

REQUEST FOR DMPC DECISION – PCD 364

Title: Covert Policing Management Platform (CPMP) Final Business Case

Executive Summary:

The paper is seeking the approval for the proposed purchase of a software solution to centrally manage the authorisation processes and assets involved in covert activity to ensure compliance with current and future legislation.

Recommendation:

The Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime is recommended to

- Approve this project to proceed to Gate 4, i.e. undertake the build and test phase.
- Note that the Capital Budget required is £6.48m which is £3.0m more than the Outline Business Case.
- Note that the CPMP budget in the Capital Plan in November 2016 was increased by £0.5m to £4m so the capital budget shortfall for this project is £2.5m.
- Agree that the capital budget shortfall of £2.5m is funded from the Metropolitan Police Service corporate revenue underspend in 2017/18 and ring-fenced for use on this project in 2018/19 and 2019/20.
- Note the Project Revenue Funding of £0.54m against the original projection funding of £1.02m in the Outline Business Case.
- Agree that the revenue budget shortfall of £0.72m per annum is added to the Medium Term Financial Plan as a pressure for 2019/20 and that in the budget scrutiny sessions in 2018/19 savings are found to mitigate this funding gap.
- Approve the awarding of a contract to the recommended supplier

Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime

I confirm I have considered whether or not I have any personal or prejudicial interest in this matter and take the proposed decision in compliance with the Code of Conduct. Any such interests are recorded below.

The above request has my approval.

Signature

Seblue Under

Date

16/04/18

PART I - NON-CONFIDENTIAL FACTS AND ADVICE TO THE DMPC

Decision required – supporting report

1. Introduction and background

- 1.1. The MPS requires the ability to centrally manage the authorisation processes associated with all covert activity and implement a governance regime within a single corporate IT system, the Covert Policing Management Platform (CPMP).

2. Issues for consideration

- 2.1. The recommended solution to provide this capability is a commercial, off the shelf based solution, which will replace legacy systems and processes.

3. Financial Comments

- 3.1. The capital cost is £6.48m of which £4.0m has been allocated in the capital programme. The additional capital requirement of £2.48m will be funded from the Metropolitan Police Service corporate revenue underspend in 2017/18 and ring-fenced for use on this project in 2018/19 and 2019/20.
- 3.2. Ongoing revenue costs of £0.72m p.a. are planned to be funded from savings to be identified during the budget scrutiny process.

4. Legal Comments

- 4.1. The Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime (MOPAC) is a contracting authority as defined in the Public Contracts Regulations 2015 (the Regulations). All awards of public contracts for goods and/or services value at £181,302 or above must be procured in accordance with the Regulations.

5. Equality Comments

- 5.1. Of the nine Protected Characteristics, only disability is engaged, in respect of assistive technology software. The Statement of Requirements included a mandatory requirement that the solution must be compatible with such software.

6. Background/supporting papers

- 6.1. Report

Public access to information

Information in this form (Part 1) is subject to the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA) and will be made available on the MOPAC website following approval.

If immediate publication risks compromising the implementation of the decision it can be deferred until a specific date. Deferral periods should be kept to the shortest length strictly necessary.

Part 1 Deferral:

Is the publication of Part 1 of this approval to be deferred? NO

If yes, for what reason:

Until what date:

Part 2 Confidentiality: Only the facts or advice considered as likely to be exempt from disclosure under the FOIA should be in the separate Part 2 form, together with the legal rationale for non-publication.

Is there a **Part 2** form – YES

It is recommended that the information in the Part 2 form not be published since if a request for this information was made under the FOIA, it is likely that it would be exempt from disclosure under the following sections for the FOIA:

Section 31 Freedom of Information Act (Law Enforcement)

Section 43 – Commercial Interests

Date at which Part 2 will cease to be confidential or when confidentiality should be reviewed: 10 years after the end of the contract.

ORIGINATING OFFICER DECLARATION

	<i>Tick to confirm statement (✓)</i>
Head of Unit: The Chief Finance Officer has reviewed the request and is satisfied it is correct and consistent with the MOPAC's plans and priorities.	✓
Legal Advice: The MPS legal team has been consulted on the proposal.	✓
Financial Advice: The Strategic Finance and Resource Management Team has been consulted on this proposal.	✓
Equalities Advice: Equality and diversity issues are covered in the body of the report.	✓

OFFICER APPROVAL

Chief Executive Officer

I have been consulted about the proposal and confirm that financial, legal and equalities advice has been taken into account in the preparation of this report. I am satisfied that this is an appropriate request to be submitted to the Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime.

Signature R. Lawrence

Date 11/04/18

1799

1799



MOPAC

MAYOR OF LONDON
OFFICE FOR POLICING AND CRIME

Covert Policing Management Platform (CPMP) Final Business Case (Gate 3: Investment Decision)

MOPAC Investment Advisory Board 22nd March 2018

Report by Frankie Flood QPM, Covert Policing Advisor on behalf of the Deputy Commissioner

Part 1 – This section of the report will be published by MOPAC. It is classified as OFFICIAL – PUBLIC

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to:

Seek the approval of the Deputy Mayor and the Investment Advisory Board for the proposed purchase of a software solution to centrally manage the authorisation processes and assets involved in covert activity.

Recommendations

The MPS Portfolio & Investment Board (PIB) is asked to:

1. Approve this project to proceed to Gate 4, i.e. undertake the build and test phase.
2. Note that the Capital Budget required is £6.48m which is £3.0m more than the OBC.
3. Approve within this capital budget the uplift of 19 sites to have access to the MPS corporate SECRET platform at a cost of £1.65m which is over the costs envisaged at the OBC stage.
4. Approve within this capital budget an increase in the Project team cost of £0.8m over the costs envisaged at the OBC stage. This covers an extended implementation period with business change resources and extra technical, testing, business analysis and project management resources in line with OMM best practice.
5. Note that the CPMP budget in the Capital Plan in November 2016 was increased by £0.5m to £4m so the capital budget shortfall for this project is £2.5m.
6. Agree that the capital budget shortfall of £2.5m is funded from the MPS corporate revenue underspend in 2017/18 and ring-fenced for use on this project in 2018/19 and 2019/20.
7. Note the Project Revenue Funding of £0.54m against the original projection funding of £1.02m in the OBC.
8. Agree that the revenue budget shortfall of £0.72m per annum is added to the MTFP as a pressure for 2019/20 and that in the budget scrutiny sessions in 2018/19 savings are found to mitigate this funding gap.

9. Approve the awarding of a contract to the recommended supplier

If supported by the MPS Portfolio & Investment Board, the Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime, via the Investment Advisory Board (IAB), is asked to:

1. Approve this project to proceed to Gate 4, i.e. undertake the build and test phase.
2. Note that the Capital Budget required is £6.48m which is £3.0m more than the OBC.
3. Note that the CPMP budget in the Capital Plan in November 2016 was increased by £0.5m to £4m so the capital budget shortfall for this project is £2.5m.
4. Agree that the capital budget shortfall of £2.5m is funded from the MPS corporate revenue underspend in 2017/18 and ring-fenced for use on this project in 2018/19 and 2019/20.
5. Note the Project Revenue Funding of £0.54m against the original projection funding of £1.02m in the OBC.
6. Agree that the revenue budget shortfall of £0.72m per annum is added to the MTFP as a pressure for 2019/20 and that in the budget scrutiny sessions in 2018/19 savings are found to mitigate this funding gap.
7. Approve the awarding of a contract to the recommended supplier

Time sensitivity

A decision is required from the Deputy Mayor by 31st March 2018 to enable the chosen solution provider to begin work within the timescales described in Part 2 of the report.

Non-confidential facts and advice to the Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime

Introduction and background

1. The MPS requires the ability to centrally manage the authorisation processes associated with all covert activity and implement a governance regime within a single corporate IT system, the Covert Policing Management Platform (CPMP).
2. The purpose of this Platform will be to create a system to manage:
 - Covert authorities for Part II Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 (RIPA) (Directed Surveillance, Intrusive Surveillance, Covert Human Intelligence Sources, Undercover Operations and Open Source Research) and Part III Police Act 1997 (Property Interference);
 - Covert assets deployed on operations supporting these authorisations;
 - The allocation and more efficient deployment and governance of all related covert resources on MPS investigations and operations;
 - The risks associated with utilising covert assets and techniques.
3. The recommended solution to provide this capability is a commercial, off the shelf based solution, which will replace legacy systems and processes.

Issues for consideration

4. This information is contained in the restricted section of the report.

Contributes to the MOPAC Police & Crime Plan 2017-2021

5. This contributes to delivering the Police and Crime Plan by making the deployment of covert methods and assets in support of policing priorities more efficient and effective.

Financial, Commercial and Procurement Comments

6. The procurement route used to select the recommended solution was a mini-competition run under the Police ICT Company Covert Management Systems Framework. Details of the costs and funding are contained in the restricted section of the report.

Legal Comments

7. The Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime (MOPAC) is a contracting authority as defined in the Public Contracts Regulations 2015 (the Regulations). All awards of public contracts for goods and/or services value at £181,302 or above must be procured in accordance with the Regulations.

Equality Comments

8. Of the nine Protected Characteristics, only disability is engaged, in respect of assistive technology software. The Statement of Requirements included a mandatory requirement that the solution must be compatible with such software.

Privacy Comments

9. There are no privacy issues arising from the Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA) [formerly Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA)] and the project assists MPS compliance with the Data Protection Act and General Data Protection Regulation.

Real Estate Implications

10. This information is contained in the restricted section of the report.

Environmental Implications

11. There is no impact on the Mayor's London Environment Strategy.

Background/supporting papers

12. None.

Report author: Frankie Flood, Covert Policing Advisor, 020 7161 7116

Part 2 – This section refers to the details of the Part 2 business case which is NOT SUITABLE for MOPAC Publication.

The Government Security Classification marking for Part 2 is OFFICIAL-SENSITIVE [OPERATIONAL].

Part 2 of Covert Policing Management Platform (CPMP) Final Business Case (Gate 3: Investment Decision) is exempt from publication for the following reasons:

- Exempt under Article 2(2)(a) of the Elected Local Policing Bodies (Specified Information) Order 2011 (Data Protection Section 43 – Commercial Interests);
- Section 31 Freedom of Information Act (Law Enforcement).

The paper will remain exempt from publication until 10 years after the end of the contract.