

*London Plan Examination in Public Panel Report Appendix: Panel Recommendations October 2019*

<b>Ref</b>	<b>Plan policy or paragraph reference*</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>
		<p>Where relevant, new text is <u>underlined</u> and deletions are <del>striketrough</del></p> <p>The Mayor should also undertake any necessary consequential changes arising from these recommendations</p> <p>* Policy and paragraph references are to the consolidated suggested version of the Plan published on 15 July 2019</p>
<b>PR1</b>		Include all Minor and Further Suggested Changes unless otherwise recommended.
<b>PR2</b>		When next altering or replacing the Plan publish a statement setting out how consultation requirements will be met and evidence clearly demonstrating what was done to meet those requirements.
<b>PR3</b>	GG1 to GG6	Modify the Plan to make clear that GG1 and GG6 are objectives rather than policies.
<b>PR4</b>	Figure 2.15	Move Figure 2.15 and associated text to the transport chapter and modify the text to clarify the status of the initial strategic infrastructure priorities in the wider South East and how they relate to the transport schemes listed in Table 10.1.
<b>PR5</b>	Policy SD5B	Modify as follows: "... ( <u>areas to be identified</u> <del>detailed boundaries to be defined</del> by boroughs in development plans)."
<b>PR6</b>	Policy H1 – reasoned justification	Add text to the effect that: In conjunction with the boroughs and taking account of the information published in accordance with Policy H1D, the Mayor should take a leading role in setting and updating London-wide housing trajectories and in monitoring supply against targets on a London-wide basis.
<b>PR7</b>	Paragraph 4.1.8D	Modify as follows:

*London Plan Examination in Public Panel Report Appendix: Panel Recommendations October 2019*

		"If a target is needed beyond the 10 year period (2019/20 to 2028/29) boroughs should draw on the 2017 SHLAA findings (which cover the plan period to 2041), <u>any local evidence of identified capacity in consultation with the GLA</u> and should take into account any additional capacity that could be delivered as a result of any committed transport infrastructure improvements, and roll forward the housing capacity assumptions applied in the London Plan for small sites."
<b>PR8</b>	Table 4.1	Modify ten year housing targets in accordance with Appendix A.
<b>PR9</b>	Paragraph 4.2.4	Add to end of paragraph: <u>"The small site target can be taken to amount to a reliable source of windfall sites which contributes to anticipated supply and so provides the compelling evidence in this respect required by paragraph 70 of the National Planning Policy Framework of 2019."</u>
<b>PR10</b>	Table 4.2	Modify small site ten year housing targets in accordance with table in Appendix B.
<b>PR11</b>	Policy H2A	Delete policy H2A small housing developments and related supporting text in its entirety.
<b>PR12</b>	Policy H9	Delete the policy and supporting text.
<b>PR13</b>	Policy H12	Delete part C of policy H12 and related supporting text.
<b>PR14</b>	Policy H14	Include first sentence of paragraph 4.14.1 within policy H14.
<b>PR15</b>	Paragraph 14.15.3B and 14.15.3C	Amend paragraphs 14.15.3B and 14.15.3C with words to the effect that the policy also applies to specialist older persons' housing which does not provide an element of care.
<b>PR16</b>	Policy H16 reasoned justification	Add text to the effect that: The Mayor should commit to instigating and leading a London-wide accommodation assessment for gypsies and travellers and to supporting the Boroughs in finding ways to make provision for this group. Progress in this respect should be demonstrated at the time of the next review of the Plan.

*London Plan Examination in Public Panel Report Appendix: Panel Recommendations October 2019*

<b>PR17</b>	Policy H16B	Delete part B of policy H16.
<b>PR18</b>	Policy H17 and reasoned justification	Delete part A3 of policy H17 and related supporting text.  Modify paragraph 4.17.3 with words to the effect that boroughs should encourage nomination agreements.
<b>PR19</b>	Policy D1BD	Delete part D of policy D1B.
<b>PR20</b>	Paragraph 3.4.5	Modify as follows: "Single aspect dwellings that are north facing, contain three or more bedrooms or are exposed to noise levels above which significant adverse effects on health and quality of life occur should <del>not be avoided</del> <u>permitted</u> ."
<b>PR21</b>	Paragraph 3.2.12	Delete part of paragraph 3.2.12 as below: Securing the design team's ongoing involvement can be achieved in a number of ways, such as through a condition on a planning permission, <u>or</u> as a design reviewer, <del>or through an architect retention clause in a legal agreement.</del>
<b>PR22</b>	Policy D9	Add text as follows: "Boroughs should establish policies <u>in their development plans</u> to address ..."
<b>PR23</b>	Policy D10	Part A of policy D10 should include words to the effect that policies and any site allocations, where locally justified, should be set out in development plans.
<b>PR24</b>	Policy D12	Part A of D12 should be combined with part B or deleted.
<b>PR25</b>	Policy D12	Modify part F of policy D12 as follows: "Boroughs should <del>refuse</del> <u>not normally permit</u> development proposals ..."

*London Plan Examination in Public Panel Report Appendix: Panel Recommendations October 2019*

<b>PR26</b>	Policy E2	Modify part A of policy E2 as set out in the Mayor's further suggested change but with the deletion of: "at an appropriate range of rents".
<b>PR27</b>	Policy E3F and reasoned justification	Delete part F of policy E3.  Text should be added to the reasoned justification to the effect that: Planning obligations used to secure affordable workspace should include mechanisms to ensure its timely delivery including as part of mixed use schemes where it may be appropriate to require it in advance of some or all of the residential elements.
<b>PR28</b>	Policy E4A	Modify the first sentence of part A of policy E4 as follows: "... future demands for industrial and related functions should be <u>provided and</u> maintained ..."
<b>PR29</b>	Table 6.2	Before finalising the Plan for publication, the Mayor should give further consideration to, and modify if justified, the categorisations of boroughs in Table 6.2 in order to provide a more positive strategic framework for the provision of industrial capacity.
<b>PR30</b>	Paragraph 6.4.6	Add a sentence at the end of paragraph 6.4.6 to refer to boroughs considering, where necessary, whether the Green Belt in their area needs to be reviewed in order to provide additional industrial capacity in new locations in the context of policy G2.
<b>PR31</b>	Policy E4 – reasoned justification	Add text to the effect that: As part of a future London-wide Green Belt review, consideration will be given to identifying locations for industrial development if evidence of needs at the time indicates that they cannot be met in non-Green Belt locations.
<b>PR32</b>	Policy E7D	Modify first sentence of part D of policy E7 as follows: "Mixed-use or residential development proposals on non-designated industrial sites should <u>only</u> be supported where ..."
<b>PR33</b>	Policy E9D	Modify part D of policy E9 as follows:

*London Plan Examination in Public Panel Report Appendix: Panel Recommendations October 2019*

		"Where development proposals involving A5 hot food takeaway uses are permitted, <del>these should be conditioned to require</del> <u>boroughs should consider whether the imposition of a planning condition requiring the operator to achieve and operate in compliance with the Healthier Catering Commitment standard <u>would be justified</u></u> ".
<b>PR34</b>	Policy HC5	Include the first sentence of para 7.5.4 within the policy.
<b>PR35</b>	Policy G2 – reasoned justification	Add text to refer to the Mayor leading a strategic and comprehensive review of the Green Belt in London as part of the next review of the London Plan and to indicate the means by which this is to be undertaken.
<b>PR36</b>	Policy G2	Modify policy G2 as follows: "A The Green Belt should be protected from inappropriate development: 1) development proposals that would harm the Green Belt should be refused <u>except where very special circumstances exist</u> ; 2) <u>subject to national planning policy tests</u> the enhancement of the Green Belt to provide appropriate multi-functional beneficial uses for Londoners should be supported. B <u>Exceptional circumstances are required to justify either the extension or de-designation of the Green Belt through the preparation or review of a local plan. The extension of the Green Belt will be supported, where appropriate. Its de-designation will not be supported.</u> "
<b>PR37</b>	Policy G3	Delete part A(1) of policy G3: <del>Development proposals that would harm MOL should be refused.</del>
<b>PR38</b>	Policy G3	Modify part C of policy G3 as follows: "MOL boundaries should only be changed in exceptional circumstances when this is fully evidenced and justified, <del>ensuring that the quantum of MOL is not reduced, and that the overall value of the land designated as MOL is improved, by reference to each of the criteria in Part B.</del> "
<b>PR39</b>	Policy G5	Modify part B of policy G5 as follows: "In the interim, the Mayor recommends a target score of 0.4 for developments that are predominantly residential, and a target score of 0.3 for predominantly <u>office commercial</u> development."

*London Plan Examination in Public Panel Report Appendix: Panel Recommendations October 2019*

<b>PR40</b>	Paragraph 8.5.3AB	Delete second, third and fourth sentences of paragraph 8.5.3AB in their entirety.
<b>PR41</b>	Policy G6	Modify part C(3) of policy G6 as follows: " ... deliver off-site compensation <del>based on the principle of biodiversity net gain of equivalent or better biodiversity value where possible.</del> "
<b>PR42</b>	Policy SI1	Modify part A(2)(d) of policy SI1 as follows: "Development proposals in Air Quality Focus Areas or that are likely to be used by large numbers of people particularly vulnerable to poor air quality, such as children or older people, <u>should</u> <del>which do not demonstrate that design measures have been used to minimise exposure should be refused.</del> "
<b>PR43</b>	Policy SI8	In future iterations of the Plan full consideration should be given to apportioning waste needs to Mayoral Development Corporations.
<b>PR44</b>	Policy SI11	Delete policy SI11 and the reasoned justification in their entirety and make any consequential changes to other parts of the Plan.
<b>PR45</b>	Policy SI13	Modify part C of policy SI13 as follows: "Development proposals for impermeable surfacing should <u>normally</u> be <del>refused</del> <u>resisted</u> unless they can be shown to be unavoidable, including on small surfaces such as front gardens and driveways."
<b>PR46</b>	SI14 reasoned justification	Delete paragraph 9.14.8 and add a sentence to paragraph 8.3.2 to the effect that: In considering whether there are exceptional circumstances to change MOL boundaries alongside the Thames and other waterways, boroughs should have regard to policies SI14 to SI17 and the need for certain types of development to help maximise the multifunctional benefits of waterways including their role in transporting passengers and freight.

*London Plan Examination in Public Panel Report Appendix: Panel Recommendations October 2019*

<b>PR47</b>	Table 10.1 and reasoned justification	<p>Add to Table 10.1 (Indicative list of transport schemes): "Northwest Runway at Heathrow Airport".</p> <p>Add an additional paragraph to the reasoned justification to briefly describe the proposed expansion scheme at Heathrow Airport as set out in the <i>Airports National Policy Statement: new runway capacity and infrastructure at airports in the South East of England (June 2018)</i> ("ANPS") and to explain that the ANPS will be the primary basis for making decisions on any development consent applications for that scheme.</p>
<b>PR48</b>	Policy T3	<p>Modify the last sentence of part C of policy T3 as follows: "... <del>should be refused</del> <u>will not normally be permitted</u>".</p>
<b>PR49</b>	Policy T4	<p>Modify the last sentence of part B of policy T4 as follows: " ... will be required, <u>having regard to</u> <del>in accordance with</del> relevant Transport for London guidance".</p>
<b>PR50</b>	Policy T5 and Table 10.2	<p>Modify Table 10.2 so that it includes the following minimum cycle parking standards:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Specialist older persons accommodation: 1 space per 10 bedrooms.</li> <li>• Purpose built student accommodation: 0.75 spaces per bedroom.</li> </ul>
<b>PR51</b>	Policy T6 and/or reasoned justification	<p>Modify to make clear that part I of policy T6 does not apply to the redevelopment of industrial sites.</p>
<b>PR52</b>	Policy T7 and paragraph 10.7.1	<p>Add an additional sentence at the start of part A of policy T7 as follows: "<u>Development plans and development proposals should facilitate sustainable freight movement by rail, waterways and road</u>".</p> <p>Amend the second sentence of paragraph 10.7.1 as follows: "... sustainable freight movement by rail, <del>river</del> <u>waterways</u> and road ..."</p>

*London Plan Examination in Public Panel Report Appendix: Panel Recommendations October 2019*

<b>PR53</b>	Policy T8 and reasoned justification	Delete policy T8 and paragraphs 10.8.1 to 10.8.12 inclusive in their entirety.
<b>PR54</b>	Policy DF1 and reasoned justification	<p>Modify the last sentence of part A of policy DF1 as follows:  “Where relevant policies in the local development plan document are up to date, it is expected that viability testing should normally only be undertaken on a site-specific basis where there are clear circumstances creating barriers to delivery.”</p> <p>Modify part B of policy DF1 as follows:  “Where relevant policies in the local development plan document are up to date, if an applicant wishes to make the case that viability should be considered ...”</p> <p>Modify the reasoned justification to policy DF1 to make it clear that the Plan has been subject to a viability assessment that is proportionate to a spatial development strategy; to clarify that more detailed assessments will need to be undertaken to inform local plans; and to explain that the requirements in policy DF1 relating to site specific assessments apply where relevant policies in local development plan documents are up to date.</p>
<b>PR55</b>	Glossary	Delete the definition of “sustainable development”.



**APPENDIX A**

**Table 4.1 - 10 year targets for net housing completions (2019/20 -2028/29)**

Planning Authority	Ten-year housing target	Annualised average
Barking & Dagenham	19,440 22,640	2,264
Barnet	23,640 31,340	3,134
Bexley	6,850 12,450	1,245
Brent	23,250 29,150	2,915
Bromley	7,740 14,240	1,424
Camden	10,380 10,860	1,086
City of London	1,460	146
Croydon	20,790 29,490	2,949
Ealing	21,570 28,070	2,807
Enfield	12,460 18,760	1,876
Greenwich	28,240 32,040	3,204
Hackney	13,280 13,300	1,330
Hammersmith & Fulham	16,090 16,480	1,648
Haringey	15,920 19,580	1,958
Harrow	8,020 13,920	1,392
Havering	12,850 18,750	1,875
Hillingdon	10,830 15,530	1,553
Hounslow	17,820 21,820	2,182
Islington	7,750	775

*London Plan Examination in Public Panel Report Appendix: Panel Recommendations October 2019*

Planning Authority	Ten-year housing target	Annualised average
Kensington & Chelsea	4,480 <del>4,880</del>	<del>488</del>
Kingston	9,640 <del>13,640</del>	<del>1,364</del>
Lambeth	13,350 <del>15,890</del>	<del>1,589</del>
Lewisham	16,670 <del>21,170</del>	<del>2,117</del>
London Legacy Development Corporation	21,540 <del>21,610</del>	<del>2,161</del>
Merton	9,180 <del>13,280</del>	<del>1,328</del>
Newham	32,800 <del>38,500</del>	<del>3,850</del>
Old Oak Park Royal Development Corporation	13,670	<del>1,367</del>
Redbridge	14,090 <del>19,790</del>	<del>1,979</del>
Richmond	4,110 <del>8,110</del>	<del>811</del>
Southwark	23,550 <del>25,540</del>	<del>2,554</del>
Sutton	4,690 <del>9,390</del>	<del>939</del>
Tower Hamlets	34,730 <del>35,110</del>	<del>3,511</del>
Waltham Forest	12,640 <del>17,940</del>	<del>1,794</del>
Wandsworth	19,500 <del>23,100</del>	<del>2,310</del>
Westminster	9,850 <del>10,100</del>	<del>1,010</del>
<b>Total</b>	<b>522,850 <del>649,350</del></b>	<b><del>64,935</del></b>

**APPENDIX B**

**Table 4.2 - 10 year targets (2019/20 -2028/29) for net housing completions on small sites (below 0.25 hectares in size)**

Planning Authority	Ten-year housing target <u>for small sites</u>	<del>Annualised average</del>
Barking & Dagenham	1,990 <del>5,190</del>	<del>519</del>
Barnet	4,340 <del>12,040</del>	<del>1204</del>
Bexley	3,050 <del>8,650</del>	<del>865</del>
Brent	4,330 <del>10,230</del>	<del>1023</del>
Bromley	3,790 <del>10,290</del>	<del>1029</del>
Camden	3,280 <del>3,760</del>	<del>376</del>
City of London	740	<del>74</del>
Croydon	6,410 <del>15,110</del>	<del>1511</del>
Ealing	4,240 <del>10,740</del>	<del>1074</del>
Enfield	3,530 <del>9,830</del>	<del>983</del>
Greenwich	3,010 <del>6,810</del>	<del>681</del>
Hackney	6,580 <del>6,600</del>	<del>660</del>
Hammersmith & Fulham	2,590 <del>2,980</del>	<del>298</del>
Haringey	2,600 <del>6,260</del>	<del>626</del>
Harrow	3,750 <del>9,650</del>	<del>965</del>
Havering	3,140 <del>9,040</del>	<del>904</del>
Hillingdon	2,950 <del>7,650</del>	<del>765</del>
Hounslow	2,800 <del>6,800</del>	<del>680</del>

*London Plan Examination in Public Panel Report Appendix: Panel Recommendations October 2019*

Planning Authority	Ten-year housing target <u>for small sites</u>	<del>Annualised average</del>
Islington	4,840	484
Kensington & Chelsea	1,290 <del>1,690</del>	169
Kingston	2,250 <del>6,250</del>	625
Lambeth	4,000 <del>6,540</del>	654
Lewisham	3,790 <del>8,290</del>	829
London Legacy Development Corporation	730 <del>800</del>	80
Merton	2,610 <del>6,710</del>	671
Newham	3,800 <del>9,500</del>	950
Old Oak Park Royal Development Corporation	60	6
Redbridge	3,680 <del>9,380</del>	938
Richmond	2,340 <del>6,340</del>	634
Southwark	6,010 <del>8,000</del>	800
Sutton	2,680 <del>7,380</del>	738
Tower Hamlets	5,280 <del>5,660</del>	566
Waltham Forest	3,590 <del>8,890</del>	889
Wandsworth	4,140 <del>7,740</del>	774
Westminster	5,040 <del>5,290</del>	529
<b>Total</b>	<b>119,250 <del>245,730</del></b>	<b>24,573</b>