Executive summary

- Hidden homeless people are those without a place to call home, but who are hidden from official statistics and not receiving support.
- They can find themselves in precarious situations, including sofa surfing, sleeping rough, squatting and sleeping on public transport. These can be dangerous, and leave people at risk of abuse, assault and exploitation.
- We have estimated the numbers in London and found that 13 times more people are homeless but hidden than are visibly sleeping rough – as many as 12,500 each night.
- Young people are most likely to be affected, particularly people who identify as LGBT. We also heard that this affects people who aren’t eligible for homelessness support and people fleeing domestic violence.
- Only one in five young people affected present to a council, meaning they remain hidden from possible support.
- Some that do seek help from councils fail to be recognised as vulnerable, despite being in danger.
- The new Homelessness Reduction Act may help with this problem, but the Mayor and Government need to support local authorities in tackling it, look at gaps in eligibility for support, and do more to promote access to homelessness services.
Introduction

Homelessness remains a huge problem in London. In the year to March 2017, 18,070 households were accepted as homeless by local authorities in the capital.1 The number of those rough sleeping in London in 2016-17 totalled 8,108.2 However, homelessness charities have long argued that official statistics only tell half the story. By all indications, the problem of hidden homelessness is on a much larger scale than that of observable homelessness. Those without a roof over their head, but who are not entitled to homelessness support from the Government, are often forced into dangerous and insecure forms of accommodation, such as rough sleeping, sofa surfing and trading sex for a bed.

Unless they approach local authorities or homelessness support services, this group remains hidden from official figures and has great difficulty getting back into secure accommodation. And without an accurate picture of the numbers of hidden homeless people it is hard for the Government and local authorities to effectively support them.

The purpose of our investigation was to learn more about the lives of those who are hidden from homelessness statistics and to understand their experiences. This is an under-researched area, not least because it is a ‘hidden’ phenomenon. The report seeks to explain the problem better. It is also a call to action for both the Mayor and others to delve deeper into this problem, and to stimulate further research and action to prevent homelessness. This report highlights areas where improvements could be made and where the Mayor can show leadership in tackling this issue. We carried out a survey of homeless Londoners and spoke to a wide range of experts to inform the recommendations in this report. Details of our approach to the investigation and statistical analysis can be found in Appendix 1.
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The Housing Committee scrutinises the Mayor’s role and record in delivering the private, social and affordable homes London needs. The cross-party committee has 7 members: Sian Berry AM (Chair), Andrew Boff AM (Deputy Chair), Tom Copley AM, Tony Devenish AM, Nicky Gavron AM, Leonie Cooper AM and David Kurten AM.

For further information please see page 18.
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What is hidden homelessness?

Despite the phrase ‘hidden homeless’ appearing frequently in the press, there is no government or otherwise agreed definition. However, from our investigation, a working definition would include those who:

- either have no right to live in a fixed place (through tenancy or ownership), or cannot stay in a fixed place where they might have a right (due, for example, to fear of abuse or violence)
- are not receiving formal homelessness support from a local authority or specialist support service
- have not made a formal homelessness application since they have become homeless
- are not living with a parent or guardian
- do not have the resources or financial means to avoid their current situation

This definition captures a wide variety of situations ranging from ‘sofa surfing’ through to rough sleeping. It includes those in certain forms of overcrowded or ‘concealed’ households, depending on their financial and tenancy status. However, it excludes those who are in night shelters or other hostel provision as they will be in receipt of local authority or charity support.

People who are hidden homeless frequently don’t identify themselves as being homeless. This is often because they don’t see themselves as a ‘stereotypical’ homeless person, typified by rough sleeping. Evidence to our investigation also suggests that many people don’t identify with contemporary terms such as ‘sofa surfing’, which can glamorise the danger of situations they find themselves in. Often people tend to see themselves as simply ‘between homes’ – although this can last for extended periods.

Who are London’s hidden homeless?

Government data shows that the biggest causes of homelessness are the end of an Assured Shorthold Tenancy (AST) and relationship breakdown. Our survey work equally indicates that relationship breakdown is also a primary cause of hidden homelessness. The need to avoid domestic violence and other forms of abuse are also common causes. Our survey results suggest that specific vulnerable groups may be especially prone to becoming hidden homeless.

We heard that in some cases those who become hidden homeless should be entitled to support, but are unable to prove their entitlement. In other cases, they are not entitled to support, do not have the means to remain housed independently in their community and are unable to move elsewhere to find a home. People falling into these categories effectively become homeless, without being considered to be in ‘priority
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need’, the label which would entitle them to substantial state support to find housing. Unless they opt to sleep rough and are found by the authorities, such people become hidden homeless, below the radar of the homelessness statistics.

Groups likely to be affected include:

- those who aren’t eligible for homelessness support from local authorities but cannot afford housing – young, single people without dependent children, especially young LGBT people
- those who are eligible for homelessness support under local authorities’ duty but who don’t apply, or whose applications are turned down because they can’t prove their eligibility – primarily victims of domestic violence and abuse, often women
- those with no recourse to public funds, especially asylum seekers

Not eligible for homelessness support

Evidence we received suggests that the largest group affected by hidden homelessness are those who are single and without dependent children, and therefore not defined as being in ‘priority need’. Most commonly this affects young people, who are less likely to have the financial resources, or to have developed support networks and knowledge of homelessness legislation, to navigate the system to their advantage.

Theresa’s story

Theresa is studying for a PhD at an internationally renowned London university. She had been funded for the first three years of her studies, in which time she was expected to have finished her research. However, she hadn’t finished by her third year and was left without funding while she needed to carry on studying. Without any source of income, she could not pay rent. Faced with the choice of becoming homeless or giving up on her PhD after three years’ work, she chose to live between homes. Initially, she was able to sleep on friends’ sofas and floors, but soon their hospitality ran out. When she has no place to go she has to scrape together what little money she can find to pay for hostels. She says she finds this extremely difficult to deal with, not knowing where she will sleep from one night to the next, on top of the added pressure of her research.

Theresa has recently been given a ‘gift’ from some academics at her university which will enable her to support herself for the final period of her studies. However, without a formal income she is unable to get a tenancy in the rental market, as she wouldn’t pass reference checks. Therefore, her only choice is to continue sofa surfing or illegally sublet a room, both insecure forms of accommodation.

It appears family and relationship breakdown is the largest cause of hidden homelessness among young people in general, particularly among young LGBT people, who make up one quarter of youth homelessness. Two thirds of LGBT homeless youth reported parental
rejection as one of the top three reasons for their homelessness. This issue is particularly prevalent among the transgender community, according to evidence we received.

Affordability is another significant driver of homelessness among young single people, but is also increasingly affecting older Londoners on modest incomes. The lack of affordable properties in the private rented sector continues to cause issues in all corners of the capital. 43 per cent of hidden homeless respondents to our survey said inability to afford rent was the cause of their homelessness, while nine per cent of respondents also reported competition in the rental market as a contributing factor to their homelessness.

Changes to welfare, such as the freeze of the Local Housing Allowance (LHA) rates, also appear to have had an affect on homelessness. We heard from experts and respondents to our survey that the reduction in available benefits had made it more challenging, for young people in particular, to find housing, especially in the private rented sector. We heard that before the LHA freeze only 1.5 per cent of rental properties in London were affordable and let by landlords willing to rent to someone on benefits.

During the course of the investigation we heard stories of young workers getting their first jobs in Canary Wharf, who were having to use homelessness relief services because they couldn’t afford a rental deposit, and of university students who couldn’t afford rent or deposits for houses and were forced onto friends’ sofas or paying for hostels on a night by night basis. These factors mean that an increasing number of ordinary Londoners are spending longer between homes.
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Eligible through vulnerability, but not accepted by local authorities

Although survivors of domestic violence and abuse are legally entitled to housing support from local authorities, due to their vulnerability, we heard from Solace Women’s Aid that an increasing number are being turned away. For many people who have experienced domestic violence and abuse (which particularly affects women, LGBT people and young people), leaving the home where the perpetrator is living is the only way to escape further abuse. However, despite their vulnerable status, and associated entitlement to housing, we were told an increasing number of victims find it difficult to get accepted by local housing authorities.

Solace Women’s Aid say that survivors of domestic violence and abuse are frequently being warned off filling out homelessness applications at the point of presenting to a local authority. We heard that many local authorities now require those making a homelessness application as a result of domestic violence to provide a police report (or risk assessment) to back up their claim. Victims are often too scared to report their abuse to the police (even though without such a report they will likely not be accepted for support). Given that Solace suggest only about a third of women report cases of domestic violence to the police,

“I found myself in terrible environments. I slept on doorsteps, sometimes family doorsteps. I slept in Euston station. I tried to sleep on buses.”

Corey, 21

this problem is likely to be widespread. When they do report, most women have their cases dropped by the police because they won’t make a ‘good witness’ or because of lack of evidence.

Without local authority support, single homeless people often sleep rough. But for vulnerable women and young people, sleeping rough is particularly dangerous. They may also ‘sofa surf’ with friends or other family members. However, where they have no such personal network to call upon, or when they have exhausted those options, they may become more desperate and seek more dangerous alternatives which may leave them vulnerable to coercion.

We heard that some vulnerable people who face homelessness, particularly women, can become exploited in their desperation for a roof over their heads. This can vary in severity from exchanging unpaid domestic labour for a roof over their head through to ‘survival sex.’ For example, we heard from Women for Refugee Women that asylum-seeking women who are made destitute are “extremely vulnerable to sexual abuse, with favours expected in exchange for resources such as accommodation.”

There are also increasing reports that some landlords in London have been posting adverts offering rent-free accommodation in exchange for sex. A search of a popular listings website for ‘free rent’ turned up 22 adverts in the London area with these kinds of offers, luring desperate people into potentially dangerous and abusive situations.
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For those forced to sleep rough, we heard that women seek out quieter, more sheltered places in order to hide themselves from potential attackers and the general public. This means that they are less likely to be picked up by rough sleeping teams who would otherwise have counted them and, hopefully, supported them into housing. However, the issue of not receiving the support they are entitled to is not limited to survivors of violence and abuse. The Royal British Legion told us that six percent of ex-service men and women discharged in the last five years (who should also be classed as vulnerable by local authorities) report problems getting a council or housing association place.19

No recourse to public funds

Finally, those with no recourse to public funds, most commonly asylum seekers with claims waiting for approval, are at high risk of becoming hidden homeless, according to various charities who submitted evidence to our investigation. They remain hidden from various authorities because they do not want to make themselves a target for deportation. Some asylum seekers have waited as long as 23 years, while destitute, before being granted leave to remain. Women for

“
If a woman didn’t tell you how she lived, you would never know. A woman is forced to hide, with a man it’s different”

Asylum seeking woman

Refugee Women told us they estimate that there are hundreds, if not thousands of asylum-seeking women in London who are destitute, although others told us that it is not possible to quantify this. The issue of having no recourse to public funds can also affect those who travel to the UK on spousal or student visas, leaving them destitute if they lose their initial accommodation, through, for example, relationship breakdown.
How many people are hidden homeless?

There are many difficulties with accurately estimating the number of hidden homeless people on any given night in London. This is because of:

- the transience of the phenomenon as people move in and out of accommodation
- our inability to count those who do not present to any agency
- the incompleteness and inconsistency of existing local authority homelessness data

But there have been attempts to quantify the scale of hidden homelessness. For example, the Homelessness Monitor 2017 estimates there were 2.27 million households containing concealed single persons in England in early 2016, in addition to 288,000 concealed couples and lone parents. This has risen by around a third since 2008. Crisis estimates that this equates to 3.34 million adults in these concealed household units, which they would describe as hidden homeless. Further estimates by Crisis suggest that on any one night in England in 2016 there were 60,000 ‘households’ sofa surfing.

Based on analysis of several polls, surveys and readily available homelessness statistics, we have been able to reach an estimate of the scale of hidden homelessness in London.

Around 1 in 10 people will experience hidden homelessness in any one year.

225,000 young people in London have stayed in an insecure or unsafe place because they had nowhere safe to call home.

On any one night in London we estimate a minimum of around 12,500 people are hidden homeless.

Thirteen times more people are hidden homeless than sleeping rough in London.
Why don’t they seek support?

Our investigation found that most people who experience hidden homelessness have not sought advice or support about their homelessness from local authorities or support services. The reasons for this are threefold:

- They know little about advice services and how they work.
- They don’t expect advice services to be able to help them.
- They—or people they know—have had negative experiences of such services in the past.

Most respondents to our survey said they hadn’t sought support or advice because they didn’t think they would be entitled to it; one third didn’t know where to find it. For those that did present, many reported wholly negative experiences with local authorities who couldn’t provide them with help. Kesia Reeve at Sheffield Hallam University told us that most homeless people she had surveyed said that local authorities had identified them as homeless but not given them any advice or help—a failure of their duty. However, detailed interviews revealed that most of these people were given advice and assistance, but that it was of such little value to them that they had not even recognised it as such.

This paints a bleak picture of being homeless in London. Clearly, current legislation fails to support the majority of people who have no home, as only a small percentage are entitled to support. To gain a better idea of the scale of hidden homelessness, we need more people to present as homeless, to be recorded and to be helped towards a home.

The policy landscape has remained unchanged for many years, but the introduction of the Homelessness Reduction Act 2017 looks set to improve the situation, perhaps drastically. However, more needs to be done by the Mayor, Government and local authorities to successfully implement the Act, or its success will be limited.

Tackling hidden homelessness

The Homelessness Reduction Act, when it comes into force, could improve the situation because it aims to help people before they experience homelessness. However, in order to effectively tackle homelessness, the Act must be properly funded. The Act will require local authorities to produce more accurate figures. In addition, housing options services will need to radically change the way they address homelessness, moving to a more proactive stance of trying to prevent rather than having to resolve homelessness. The Mayor also needs to ensure that Londoners know that help is available by signposting them to support. Finally, the Government must make good its aim to end the injustice experienced by survivors of domestic violence by ensuring
consistency in local authority vulnerability decisions and improving legislation around tenancy rights.

The Homelessness Reduction Act 2017

The Act gained Royal Assent in April 2017, and will come into force in April 2018. The Act’s main provision is the introduction of a universal homelessness ‘prevention’ duty for all eligible households, alongside a ‘relief’ duty to take reasonable steps to secure accommodation for any eligible person who is homeless. Previously there was no statutory duty for local authorities to carry out prevention work for all households. The intention is to ensure that everyone threatened with homelessness, regardless of priority need status, is entitled to receive free information and advice to help them with their situation, and increased support if they do become homeless. It means that 56 days prior to someone becoming homeless (from 28 days previously) they should get help, assuming they approach the local authority. As part of the prevention duty, housing officers must create a ‘personal housing plan’ with those that present. The Act also places a new obligation on public authorities (such as the NHS) to notify the housing authority if someone they are working with is facing homelessness.

The implementation of the Homelessness Reduction Act: lessons from Wales

The Homelessness Reduction Act aims to help people avoid a homelessness crisis before it happens. The biggest changes it introduces relate to the homelessness prevention work that local authorities will be required to carry out. It aims to help people avoid a homelessness crisis before it happens. Local authorities will be required to ‘take reasonable steps’ to prevent all eligible people from losing their homes and help them to secure accommodation.

Experience in Wales may provide valuable lessons. In April 2015, the Housing (Wales) Act 2014 was introduced, prefiguring several aspects of the Homelessness Reduction Act. Overall, the Act has been well received by charities and service users alike. As a result of the Act, more households are being assessed as homeless and given advice and support by local authorities. In the first year after implementation, the number of people presenting to local authorities as homeless rose by 25 per cent. The 2016-17 figures show an even larger increase in those presenting. Almost two-thirds of those presenting as threatened with homelessness are successfully prevented from becoming so. However, implementing the measures contained in the Act requires additional resource and time from local authorities.

Additional funding of £5 million each year was guaranteed for three years by the Welsh Government, with a further funding package of £7m
announced for 2017-18. Shelter Cymru told us that councils had found the funding helpful, but that more would be needed to see improved services continue beyond the initial funding period.

Shelter Cymru notes that the homelessness prevention service across Wales has dramatically improved, but that there is still work to be done. Specifically, there is evidence that non-priority-need applicants to councils are still being offered generic advice, which would suggest that further training is needed within local authorities. Further criticisms include uneven provision within councils, as well as across councils, which have been challenged by the higher workload that the Act has created. Recent Welsh homelessness statistics show that one in ten people who approach local authorities remain homeless at the end of the prevention process. It is not known where these people are going, but it is likely that they will slip into hidden homelessness.

We need better data on hidden homelessness to accurately reflect the scale of the problem

Data across London boroughs is inconsistent. Statistics only tell us part of the story and there are questions as to their reliability. In December 2015, the UK Statistics Authority published an assessment of compliance with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics in relation to DCLG’s homelessness and rough sleeping statistics. The assessment found that the Homelessness Prevention and Relief statistics ‘do not currently meet the standard to be National Statistics.’ Currently, local authorities are not required to record the numbers of people asking them for advice and support, only those whom they accept. The Homelessness Reduction Act should make the homelessness data recorded by local authorities more consistent. The Government has indicated that it intends to provide increased funding to improve data collection systems, although how much will be available is not clear at this stage.
The Mayor should push for further consistency across London boroughs to provide a more detailed picture of the issue. We heard, for example, that local authorities often don’t ask about the protected characteristics of those coming forward for assistance. This means that the extent of LGBT homelessness, for example, is hidden from official statistics, despite indications that it makes up a quarter of youth homelessness. Improved recording of gender and sexuality, alongside other protected characteristics, would help the Mayor and local authorities to target support appropriately. This should only be implemented following comprehensive training of staff about the collection and purpose of such monitoring, in order that it not put people off presenting.

A culture change is required in housing options services

Our investigation found that most people who experience hidden homelessness don’t seek advice or support from local authorities or support services. Our investigation also found that many homeless people are unaware of homelessness support and advice services available to them. This is counterproductive as there is significant evidence to show that preventing homelessness saves more money than resolving it. Given that the Homelessness Reduction Act will place significant new duties on local authorities to provide prevention work, authorities will need to be ready to provide an adequate level of service to match the demand the Act will bring.

Implementation of the Homelessness Reduction Act requires local authorities to deliver their services differently. Our investigation heard concerns with the way some local authority homelessness services are delivered. Our evidence indicates that most hidden homeless people who sought help found councils did not provide them with meaningful advice.

Recurrent criticisms of housing options services include:

- poor coordination and collaboration with other agencies, e.g. health services and social services
- being passed between services without meaningful help
- a lack of rigorous investigation by local authorities with some applicants turned away without receiving a full assessment, leading to charges of ‘gate-keeping’
- inconsistent assessment of vulnerability and intentionality
- being given outdated information and generally poor advice
- pressure on local authority resources in terms of staffing and costs, particularly the cost of temporary accommodation

“In London very few people have a spare room. You may not have family nearby or willing to help. For many single people, your friends are your family.”

Survey respondent
We understand that budget cuts put local authorities under significant strain. Effective delivery of the Homelessness Reduction Act will place even greater demands on homelessness services. Indeed, we heard that the Housing (Wales) Act 2014 has added to bureaucracy for local authorities in Wales, which has increased staff workloads significantly. Equally, Southwark told us that high staff turnover in housing options departments has put pressure on services. If more people are to present to authorities as homeless, they must feel that doing so will prove beneficial to their situation, and so local authorities must be resourced adequately to deliver.

Some authorities are taking an innovative approach. We heard from Southwark, who are a ‘trailblazer’ borough, that advice services are improving as a result of the new system and that innovations introduced as part of the trial are proving successful. However, they reported that bureaucracy has increased because of the longer prevention duty, and case workers can spend almost double the amount of time on cases than they did previously. When the Act is implemented, local authorities must support staff, recognising the increased workload this will bring, and the associated challenge to staff morale.

If the Act is to be implemented effectively, the Government must seek to reduce bureaucracy. Currently, the multi-stage homelessness duty means that people must be notified of which stage they have reached at various points of the process. Both charities and local authorities we spoke to recognised that lengthy decision letters involved in this process do not help service users and are unnecessary, especially at a time of personal difficulty. We heard of innovative ways of providing information to service users being trialled in Wales, such as delivering updates over text or as part of personal housing plans. Overall, innovation should seek to free up housing options staff to spend more time in frontline services, rather than filling out increased paperwork.

The process for assessing vulnerability is inconsistent

There are two definitions of vulnerability – a legislative definition and a dictionary definition. Anyone who is homeless is, by the dictionary definition, vulnerable. However, providing evidence to support a decision that someone is vulnerable by the legislative definition is becoming increasingly difficult, and decisions are increasingly inconsistent, both across and within boroughs. Evidence we received suggests that services have become so stretched that there is now a hierarchy of vulnerability being used by housing officers in local authorities. Indeed, some experts told us that the bar was “so high that it is almost impossible to get housing” for those that are vulnerable.

The number of those being assessed as vulnerable because of domestic violence has remained largely static in England since 2010, despite a wider increase in the reporting of domestic violence and abuse.

The inconsistencies in decision-making processes mean applicants find it hard to understand how likely they are to be accepted as homeless. Their faith in the system consequently decreases and fewer people...
make full applications for support. Increasingly, it seems that people are being warned off at the first stage, before they even make an application, which presents issues in reaching accurate figures for homelessness. Solace Women’s Aid suggested that many presenting to housing options are simply told that they will not have enough evidence to support their application, meaning it is not worth applying.

And all this comes during an extremely difficult personal crisis when people are already upset and vulnerable. In many cases, it seems that the very system which is designed to help them can cause them more stress.

**Advice and support services in London need to be better promoted**

We have found that homelessness advice and support services in London are not well promoted. There is good work in the sector: a wide range of services are available, both from local authorities and the not-for-profit sector. For example, helplines run by charities Centrepoint and Shelter are useful in directing people to support, but not enough people are aware of these services.

We also note that the Mayor has committed to a new rough sleeping outreach team on public transport, but this will only reach a limited cohort of those in need. Our investigation heard that hidden homeless people also frequent airports, so the Mayor should include these in his outreach work.

Among some groups there is still stigma attached to seeking formal support for housing issues. We heard that many feel too embarrassed to come forward. The Royal British Legion’s UK Household Survey, for example, found substantial evidence of members of the ex-service community who are unwilling to seek formal support. Outside of health needs, of those reporting some sort of personal or household difficulty, only one in four reports using a source of support in the last year. The Mayor has a significant voice that could be used to promote awareness of advice and support services. More prominent signposting to homelessness services could play a huge role in guiding more people towards support. The Mayor does not currently provide any information on the availability of advice and support for homelessness on the London.gov website, other than information about the Mayor’s No First Night Out initiative. He has already committed to creating a new ‘Homes for Londoners property portal’, an upgrade of the current First Steps system, intended to provide Londoners with links to social, affordable and shared ownership properties. The London.gov website draws significant traffic and seems a sensible first port of call for people seeking advice about homelessness in London. Including signposting to advice services on the London.gov website, or through his new property portal, could significantly increase people’s knowledge of homelessness services and support in addressing it.
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Simone’s story
Simone was referred to Solace Women’s Aid by multiple agencies; she and the perpetrator had recently separated and did not live together. The perpetrator had made multiple threats to kill Simone during and after the relationship. There was a restraining order in place which the perpetrator had adhered to. However, he had recruited a group of men to stand outside Simone’s property and harass and intimidate her. They were banging on the door and stated that they would kill her unless she allowed the perpetrator access to his child. Simone felt unsafe to remain in her property and so moved to sofa surf between two friends’ properties.

Solace supported Simone to apply for an emergency panel landlord application; the local authority stated that Solace’s risk assessment and multiple agency information were insufficient and requested a Police risk assessment. Simone was too scared to report much of the abuse to the police, therefore the risk assessment came back as ‘standard’ due to limited information. The local authority has stated that it doesn’t believe that she is at high risk from the group of men threatening her outside her property and that she doesn’t meet its criteria for a move.

Simone is currently still sofa surfing with family members. Solace has spent five months advocating for a move for Simone with no success. Simone is now sadly disengaging from support from Solace as she feels that nothing is going to change.

Transport for London (TfL) also has one of the world’s biggest advertising portfolios, with posters in place at every station and on vehicles across the network. The Mayor and TfL regularly use these information posters to promote events and policy information. Using this advertising space to promote the availability of homelessness advice and support services would reach millions of Londoners easily, for very little cost.

Better housing support for survivors of domestic violence and abuse
Vulnerable people, such as survivors of domestic violence and abuse, face difficulties getting housing for which they are legally entitled because of inconsistency in assessments for housing by local authorities.

Local authorities have a limited by the number of homes available to support survivors of domestic violence and abuse, which are in high demand. There is specific demand for specialist housing for vulnerable people and those with complex needs, for whom general-needs accommodation is not appropriate. It has been noted that, because most people sleeping rough are men, homelessness services are typically designed around the needs of male clients. This means that specialist refuges, which provide safe accommodation and skilled support, are a vital lifeline for many women escaping domestic violence.

A lack of refuge spaces and supported housing is not helping the problem. There was a shortfall of 326 refuge bed spaces across London in 2016. The committee has already raised concerns with this issue in
its report last year, Supported Housing in the Balance, but little has been done to improve the situation.

We also heard that victims could be better supported by clarifications in tenancy law that would give victims more claim over their housing. We believe that in London in 2017 it should not be so difficult to get housing support if you are vulnerable and genuinely homeless.

Authorities still lack guidance around tenancy rights in cases of domestic violence and abuse. It is unclear whether perpetrators of domestic violence and abuse should be allowed to remain in the property if a survivor flees. The Renting Homes (Wales) Act 2016, developed by the Law Commission to simplify tenancy law, deals with this problem. It allows names on a tenancy to change throughout the contract, so that people who have experienced domestic violence and abuse have a higher chance of remaining in a tenancy following a domestic violence case, rather than the perpetrator. There is evidence that local authorities in London are already moving towards better working practices regarding domestic violence and tenancy rights, but this improvement needs to be enshrined in English legislation if it is to become widespread.

The process of finding a home for those that choose to leave the property of a perpetrator could be made easier. There are several examples of reciprocal housing agreements between London boroughs and housing associations which work positively for survivors. For example, we heard that in the London Borough of Southwark women are able to keep their local authority housing eligibility band (which prioritises their need for housing) when they move out of the borough. The Pan-London Housing Reciprocal Agreement, a voluntary collaboration between local authorities and registered housing providers in London, is a good example of this. It is an alternative housing route that can be utilised if a local authority or registered housing provider cannot meet the need of the tenant from within their own stock, including when the risk to the tenant is too great for them to remain within a certain area. The principle is that local authorities and registered providers in London cooperate to move households where suitable available accommodation can be identified. This is positive as it allows survivors of abuse to move away from a perpetrator, if they wish, and reduces the chance of homelessness. Making this practice commonplace, through new legislation, would improve the lives of victims.

The Government has announced it is planning to implement changes in the form of the Domestic Violence and Abuse Bill. We would welcome a strong focus on housing within this legislation, noting that previous attempts at improving support for victims have often focused on criminal justice issues rather than housing issues.
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Recommendations

**Recommendation 1**
The Government should recognise the acute nature of homelessness in London and keep under review the funding to implement the Homelessness Reduction Act for London local authorities, to ensure that boroughs have sufficient resources to relieve and prevent homelessness.

**Recommendation 2**
The Mayor should lobby London local authorities to record the protected characteristics of those presenting at housing options services, following comprehensive training of staff about the sensitivity required in collection, and the purpose of such monitoring. This would create better understanding of London’s homeless population.

**Recommendation 3**
The Mayor should review the assessment of ‘vulnerability’, and advice given to non-priority need applicants across London to create best practice guidelines for local authorities and homelessness charities. This should make particular reference to advice and support for young and LGBT persons, as well as supporting vulnerable people. He should add this to the agenda for his No Nights Sleeping Rough Task Force.

**Recommendation 4**
The Government should rewrite the guidance around evidence required to reach an assessment of ‘vulnerability’ for those who have experienced domestic violence and abuse to make it easier for authorities to identify victims accurately. They should ensure that staff know that police crime reference or risk assessment numbers are not required in order to validate an application.

**Recommendation 5**
In light of the Homelessness Reduction Act’s introduction, and new data gained from prevention services, the Government should look to review the list of those who meet the criteria for ‘vulnerability’ under the legislation.

**Recommendation 6**
The Mayor should promote the use of existing homelessness advice and support services using the London.gov.uk website and TfL advertising space.

**Recommendation 7**
The Government should ensure that any future legislation on domestic violence and abuse gives survivors of domestic violence and abuse priority in tenancy law. This would mean that survivors are able to remain in their homes following an incident of abuse, if they wish, rather than the perpetrator.

Legislation should seek to formalise the use of reciprocal housing agreements between local authorities (and housing associations) to ensure that people who experience domestic violence and abuse can maintain their housing eligibility band across London.
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For further information about the work of the Housing Committee, and to see our current investigations, visit our website.

About the London Assembly

The London Assembly holds the Mayor and Mayoral advisers to account by publicly examining policies and programmes through committee meetings, plenary sessions, site visits and investigations. As well as examining the Mayor’s actions and decisions, Assembly Members act as champions for Londoners by investigating issues that are important to the capital. Assembly investigations are carried out by cross-party committees which cover vital areas like transport, policing, housing and planning, the economy, health and the environment. The Assembly can press for changes to national, Mayoral or local policy.
Appendix 1 – Our approach

This investigation is based on testimony from a number of organisations, primary research in the form of a small survey and analysis of secondary data sources.

The committee met twice formally to discuss this issue with invited guests, on 23 March and 27 June 2017. At our first meeting, we heard testimony from two people who had experienced hidden homelessness, as well as one woman who had hosted homeless young people as part of Depaul’s Nightstop emergency service for homeless young people.

The following guests attended the two meetings:

- Dr Kesia Reeve, Principal Research Fellow, Centre for Regional Economic and Social Research, Sheffield Hallam University
- Nicola Harwood, Head of Nightstop, Depaul UK
- Bob Green, Chief Executive Officer, Stonewall Housing
- Mary Mason, Chief Executive Officer, Solace Women’s Aid
- Vincent Lawal, Housing Solutions Manager, London Borough of Southwark
- Jenny Barnes, Head of Policy and Research, Centrepoint
- Deborah Halling, Senior Policy Officer, Housing and Land, Greater London Authority
- Jennie Bibbings, Campaigns Manager, Shelter Wales

We also launched a short survey on the issue of hidden homelessness, which we called ‘Between Homes.’ This received 114 responses to a mix of both qualitative and quantitative questions which informed our research outcomes, alongside additional testimony. The committee also met informally with the following organisations:

- Centrepoint
- Crisis
- Nightstop (Depaul UK)
- Women for Refugee Women

In addition, we received written submissions from Housing Justice and the Royal British Legion, as well as several members of the public who had experienced hidden homelessness. Case studies used in this report are based on evidence to the committee, and from Solace Women’s Aid.

The evidence base for this investigation is a mixture of qualitative and quantitative data, both primary and secondary. Given that there is a limited evidence base to draw on, the estimates we have created through analysis of statistics should be treated with some caution. We have been careful to create minimum estimates rather than overstate the problem. However, it is likely that the problem is larger than we have estimated.

For further information, contact Tom Gill, tom.gill@london.gov.uk.
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