
From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

Dear Mayor Khan and the London Plan team,

With reference to the London Plan consultation I wish to respond as follows.

TREES are an invaluable part of green & open spaces. Access to green spaces, for health & wellbeing citywide should be emphasised. I support the protection for trees in all decisions pertaining to potential negative impact of development in the city. Please clarify that Public Sector owned sites eligible for development should not include woodland, parks & open spaces. Any loss of such space should be compensated for with alternative accessible sites. I ask you to support the principle of no net loss of overall green cover. Creative measures - green roofs, new street trees, whatever can be put in place. Trees & other forms of greenery should be routinely, but thoughtfully, incorporated into play area for children. I support that sites of Metropolitan Importance, & all ancient woodlands, be added to the category of planning applications that must be referred to the Mayor. I recommend that Boroughs be directed to The Planner's Manual for Ancient Woodland & Veteran Trees (Woodland Trust 2017; woodlandtrust.org.uk/plannersmanual Please accept this as my formal response to the consultation. I look forward to hearing how the plan progresses. Please be the responsible voice that our TREES & GREENSCAPES need now & that the citydwellers of the future require of us. Yours, in trust, Rosanna Zabeth (Ms)

In the sections listed below (in the same order as they appear in the draft London Plan).

- Paragraph 1.3.3. Recommend an addition (in CAPS) to the following sentence: "Access to green and open spaces, including waterways AND TREES, can improve health, but access varies widely across the city."
- Paragraph 3.9.5. I support the inclusion of "Protection for trees" as an issue in the amplification of potential negative impacts of large-scale basement development beneath existing buildings.
- Policy H1. Increasing housing supply. I recommend that Sentence B2)d is clarified so that "public sector owned sites" will not include woodland, parks and open spaces.
- Paragraph 4.2.9. I support the principle of "no net loss of overall green cover" as a result of small housing developments, and support "off-site provision such as new street trees" in order to achieve this principle. I recommend an addition (in CAPS) to the following sentence: "Loss of existing biodiversity OR GREEN SPACE, as a result of small housing developments, should be mitigated through measures such as the installation of green roofs, the provision of landscaping that facilitates sustainable urban drainage, or off-site provision such as new street trees in order to achieve the principle of no net loss of overall green cover. Rainwater attenuation features should be incorporated to achieve greenfield run off rates. LOSS OF ANY PUBLIC GREEN SPACE WILL BE COMPENSATED FOR WITH ALTERNATIVE ACCESSIBLE SITES."
- Policy S4. Play and informal recreation. I support section B2)d, that residential development proposals for schemes that are likely to be used by children and young people should incorporate good-quality, accessible play provision for all ages, of at least 10 square metres per child that: "incorporates trees and/or other forms of greenery".
- Policy G1. Green infrastructure. I support this policy, and subsequent narrative that mentions the important role of trees.
- Policy G5. Urban greening. I support this policy, including the recommended Urban Green Space Factor, and subsequent narrative that mentions the important role of trees. I support the inclusion of the role and weighting for woodland and trees in Table 8.2 - Urban Greening Factors. I recommend including a reference to Residential Development and Trees (Woodland Trust, 2015, woodlandtrust.org.uk/residentialdevelopments).
- Policy G6. Biodiversity and access to nature. I support this policy. I recommend that Sites of Metropolitan Importance and all ancient woodlands are added to the categories of planning applications that must be referred to the Mayor.

- Policy G7. Trees and woodlands. I support this policy. I recommend that Section B)1 is strengthened as follows (amendments in CAPS): "GIVE THE STRONGEST POSSIBLE protection TO 'veteran' trees and ancient woodland, ESPECIALLY where these are not already part of a protected site". I support the subsequent narrative on the role of trees, particularly paragraphs 8.71 – 8.73. I recommend referring London boroughs to guidance on ancient woodland and veteran trees: Planners' manual for ancient woodland and veteran trees(Woodland Trust, 2017, woodlandtrust.org.uk/plannersmanual).

Please accept this my formal response to the consultation. I will look forward to hearing from you as the Plan progresses.

Yours,

Rosanna Zabeth

This message has been scanned for viruses by the Greater London Authority.

Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.
