Miss Gaynor Thomas comments

Page: <u>Policy S6 Public toilets</u> Section: N/A

>Larger developments where users are expected to spend long periods of time or where there is no >other local provision, should also provide 'Changing Places' toilets as identified in the British >Standard BS8300.

I am excited to see that Changing Places toilets are included on the London Plan, this is potentially a big step forward for disabled people. However, I am concerned that the wording is not strong enough, due to the determination of shops/developers/councils to interpret in the loosest sense. In particular, "should also provide 'Changing Places' toilets"...this would in my opinion would be much clearer as "<u>must</u> also provide 'Changing Places' toilets" This allows less "wiggle-room".

Similarly "where there is no other local provision" - the devil is in the detail here - what is the definition of "local"? Even a toilet located 1 mile away might be interpreted as "local" but that would be a very long distance for someone with a disabled person in a wheelchair. I would prefer that "no other local provision" was removed, unless "local" is specified exactly.