

# Ms Julie Nedza comments

Page: [Foreword](#)

Section: [N/A](#)

The mayor's opening statement happily states that 'many Londoners will know nothing about the London Plan'.....

That is still the case, there has been no consultation with ordinary Londoners who will be affected by this. The consultation process needs to be extended and a proper communication strategy put in place.

We do need more housing in London but this is not the right approach. London is for everyone not just the bureaucrats - this does not reflect well on the Mayor.

Page: [Policy H1 Increasing housing supply](#)

Section: [N/A](#)

Sadly I haven't had time to respond in detail on your website but I am concerned about the 'presumption in favour' for all small sites, which I understand to be less than 25 households. At what stage will the cumulative effect be considered?

In much of the London area developments will be considered 'small sites'. Back garden developments are common and often houses are demolished and replaced by 3 or 4 houses or perhaps a block of flats. The impact of 10 or 20 such developments in any area has a significant impact on local services, flood risk and the overall character of the area. At what point will this be reviewed?

Page: [Policy H2 Small sites](#)

Section: [N/A](#)

This plan has not been properly thought through and 'presumption in favour of small sites' should not automatically apply in all areas.

Page: [Policy H2 Small sites](#)

Section: [N/A](#)

Sadly I haven't had time to respond in detail on your website but I am concerned about the 'presumption in favour' for all small sites, which I understand to be less than 25 households. At what stage will the cumulative effect be considered?

In much of the London area developments will be considered 'small sites'. Back garden developments are common and often houses are demolished and replaced by 3 or 4 houses or perhaps a block of flats. The impact of 10 or 20 such developments in any area has a significant impact on local services, flood risk and the overall character of the area. At what point will this be reviewed?

Page: [Chapter 10 Transport](#)

Section: [N/A](#)

Also in my area public transport facilities are very poor. I live about one mile from the nearest overground station and while there is an adequate bus service to Cockfosters. The bus service to Enfield or Barnet is poor. We score at the bottom in your transport assessment. This means that almost everyone needs a car, despite the Mayor's intention to reduce road use - that is not possible without a major investment in public transport.

More cars will mean more congestion and poorer air quality.

Page: [Policy T1 Strategic approach to transport](#)

Section: [N/A](#)

Also in my area public transport facilities are very poor. I live about one mile from the nearest overground station and while there is an adequate bus service to Cockfosters. The bus service to Enfield or Barnet is poor. We score at the bottom in your transport assessment. This means that almost everyone needs a car, despite the Mayor's intention to reduce road use - that is not possible without a major investment in public transport.

More cars will mean more congestion and poorer air quality.

Page: [Policy T3 Transport capacity, connectivity and safeguarding](#)

Section: [N/A](#)

Also in my area public transport facilities are very poor. I live about one mile from the nearest overground station and while there is an adequate bus service to Cockfosters. The bus service to Enfield or Barnet is poor. We score at the bottom in your transport assessment. This means that almost everyone needs a car, despite the Mayor's intention to reduce road use - that is not possible without a major investment in public transport.

More cars will mean more congestion and poorer air quality.

Page: [Policy T6 Car parking](#)

Section: [N/A](#)

Also in my area public transport facilities are very poor. I live about one mile from the nearest overground station and while there is an adequate bus service to Cockfosters. The bus service to Enfield or Barnet is poor. We score at the bottom in your transport assessment. This means that almost everyone needs a car, despite the Mayor's intention to reduce road use - that is not possible without a major investment in public transport.

More cars will mean more congestion and poorer air quality.

Page: [Multi-policy response](#)

Section: [N/A](#)

See attachment - full comment

## Londonplan

---

**From:** Julie Nedza [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 01 March 2018 14:46  
**To:** Londonplan  
**Subject:** Draft New London Plan

Dear Sir/Madam,

I have recently heard about the New London Plan from one of my neighbours. Considering how important this is I am dismayed about the complete lack of communication to ordinary Londoners. I have registered to receive emails from both the London Mayor and Enfield Council, yet neither have considered it worth mentioning. I have also spoken to friends living in other London Boroughs and have no knowledge of this either.

This is completely unacceptable and you should seriously consider extending the consultation period and communicating effectively with people throughout the London area. We all agree that something does need to be done to resolve the housing issue in London but please don't pretend to consult when you haven't.

Sadly I haven't had time to respond in detail on your website but I am concerned about the 'presumption in favour' for all small sites, which I understand to be less than 25 households. At what stage will the cumulative effect be considered?

In much of the London area developments will be considered 'small sites'. Back garden developments are common and often houses are demolished and replaced by 3 or 4 houses or perhaps a block of flats. The impact of 10 or 20 such developments in any area has a significant impact on local services, flood risk and the overall character of the area. At what point will this be reviewed?

Also in my area public transport facilities are very poor. I live about one mile from the nearest overground station and while there is an adequate bus service to Cockfosters. The bus service to Enfield or Barnet is poor. We score at the bottom in your transport assessment. This means that almost everyone needs a car, despite the Mayor's intention to reduce road use - that is not possible without a major investment in public transport.

More cars will mean more congestion and poorer air quality.

This plan has not been properly thought through and 'presumption in favour of small sites' should not automatically apply in all areas.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

---

This message has been scanned for viruses by the Greater London Authority.

Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.

---