

Mrs Mariyka Ivanova comments

Page: [Policy SD10 Strategic and local regeneration](#)

Section: [N/A](#)

According to the ambition of regeneration projects going in London in the past years, I would like to object the fact, that companies like Peabody and Bexley council, with the backing of government does not provide the homeowners , who will be affected from the Regeneration with fair compensation. In the Public Inquiry meeting at the end of October , 2017 it was obvious that Peabody, supported by Bexley Council do not have a like for like policy and do not have an intention to compensate me, as a homeowner for the lost of my property.

I object the policy, where the ambition is only for the benefits or the Big Companies, taking part in the process of regeneration, but not on the benefits of people, owning their hard achieved ownership of the property.

I say no to the plan, that will not protect my human rights to own the property I have bought, making sacrifices all my life, and it my right as a part of the Declaration for Human rights.

If the Mayor of London wants to protect our Human Right, he must not allow the regeneration companies and Councils to issue CPO.

CPO by itself is a breaking of our Human right, according the Universal Declaration of the Human Right of the UN.

The article 17 of this Declaration states: (1) Everyone has the right to own property alone, as well as in association with others.

(2) No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his/her property.

Article 22. Right to Social Security.

It will be broken our right of social security if my house is taken away from the Peabody Regeneration project at the age of 70+.

I object the fact that CPO is issued in London and Homeowners are not given a fair deal.

Please, stop this inappropriate practice if you really want to help londoners and homeowners, not only regeneration companies.

Page: [Policy H7 Affordable housing tenure](#)

Section: [N/A](#)

It should very precise what affordable housing means and not playing by words.

A family or a person should be able to pay for their home according to the average income nationwide. Otherwise, people will struggle financially and more people will go to ask for benefits.

Page: [Policy H10 Redevelopment of existing housing and estate regeneration](#)

Section: [4.10.2](#)

I strongly object any Regeneration project that does not involve Like for like policy and force homeowners to become tenants, instead of staying homeowners and enjoying the fruit of their hard earned money.

No to CPO.

CPO must not be issued, as it violates our human right according to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights of UN, that has been adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations in 1948.

We are going out of the EU, but we still are in the UN and the UK government and the Mayor of London must obey the Declaration of Human right in full, not breaking it.

So, stop the practice of issuing the CPO as a mandatory. Instead of this, do try hard to compensate the homeowners with the same land and high quality property, the same size of the bedrooms, gardens etc.

Fair compensation, fair deal is needed. Otherwise, your plan for improving London will benefit Regeneration Companies, you as a Mayor, Councils, but it will not benefit the homeowners, it will be a big loss for homeowners.

Let's everyone concerned take a real part in the planning and where the houses will be destroyed, the same amount of houses must be built for the people, who live there. This is a fair deal.

I hope, the Mayor of London will be much happier if people, whose houses have been taken as a part of his plan are compensated fairly and rewarded for their loss of the current property fairly.

