

Mr Rajat Bhatla comments

Page: [Policy D6 Optimising housing density](#)

Section: [3.6.1](#)

Has this proposal been assessed for the impact this might have on London in say 5-10 years time? Allowing increase of density out of proportion with the surrounding area and without setting a guideline for an upper limit is an invitation to uncontrolled expansionist development that will have a detrimental impact on the quality of London neighbourhoods.

Appreciate the need to create more housing however that should come with clear caveats to prevent for example multi storeyed apartments from mushrooming with rows of 3 bedroom semis!

Page: [Policy D6 Optimising housing density](#)

Section: [3.6.9](#)

"These boundaries may be drawn very close to the proposed buildings, missing out adjacent areas of open space, which results in a density which belies the real character of a scheme. Alternatively, the application boundary may include a large site area so that a tall building appears to be a relatively low-density scheme while its physical form is more akin to schemes with a much higher density."

Is the plan proposing by way of the these 2 statements that the application boundary be extended to include area that may not actually be part of the application's scope and if it were say a neighbour's garden or a public park, the latter are used to add a sense of space to a high density development?

Page: [Policy H1 Increasing housing supply](#)

Section: [4.1.2](#)

If Boroughs are not required to do their assessment - what is the basis of allocation of targets for additional housing supply to them for a feasibility assessment of those targets are viable?