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1. Introduction

London has a lot to be proud of when it comes to education and pupils in the city are now consistently amongst the highest performers in the country. London’s education system is central to it continuing to grow as a global hub of innovation, culture and economic activity. Maintaining this requires great teachers and leaders, outstanding schools, and a seamless, high quality experience for young people from early years to adulthood. The Mayor supports London’s education system through programmes including Schools for Success, Teach London and the London curriculum. Find out more about the Mayor’s work to support high quality early years education here www.london.gov.uk/early-years

The Mayor’s Schools for Success programme recognises London’s schools that are tackling low student achievement and are willing to help others. Teachers can find out more about what these schools are doing and arrange to visit them by viewing their profiles www.london.gov.uk/schools-for-success

This section of the London Education Report provides detailed and up-to-date information regarding secondary education. Other sections cover early years, primary and 16-19 education www.london.gov.uk/London-Education-Report

This report is based on the latest available data and will be updated as new data is released. For information on updates to the LER please see the version log in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version number</th>
<th>Date of release</th>
<th>Updates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>5 July 2019</td>
<td>All latest available data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>20 Sep 2019</td>
<td>Updated exclusions at secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>23 June 2020</td>
<td>Updated performance at secondary school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>25 September 2020</td>
<td>Updated specific groups within secondary school and exclusions at secondary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Secondary: students, teachers and schools

Demographic profile of secondary school aged children in London
Schools in London have a higher proportion of pupils from disadvantaged backgrounds than the average for England. However, economic inequality is not distributed evenly across the city.

The percentage of secondary school pupils eligible for and claiming free school meals (FSM) within outer London is slightly lower than the national average but within inner London the rate is substantially higher.¹ There is also considerable variation between boroughs; the highest rate of FSM eligibility is within Tower Hamlets (38.2%), whereas the lowest rate is seen in Kingston upon Thames (6.1%).

Figure 3.1: Percentage of secondary school pupils eligible for and claiming free school meals (2018)

Schools in London also have a higher proportion of pupils from minority ethnic backgrounds than the national average.² The proportion of pupils in the city’s schools who are from minority ethnic backgrounds is more than double the England average. In London’s secondary schools 72% of pupils belong to an ethnic group other than white British, this compares to the national average of 30%.

The proportion of London’s pupils for whom English is not a first language is substantially higher than the national average.³ In London’s secondary schools 41.4% of pupils are known or believed to have a first language other than English, this compares to the national average of 16.6%.

² Ibid.
³ Ibid.
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The percentage of London pupils at secondary with special educational needs across London is broadly in line with other regions.\(^4\) However, there is a considerable difference between inner and outer London. While inner London has the highest rate, outer London has the lowest rate in the country.

Figure 3.2: Percentage of all secondary pupils with special educational needs (2018)

Secondary pupil projections and teacher supply
London has seen rapid growth in the number of children living in the city over the last decade, which has already led to a significant increase in school pupil numbers. The Greater London Authority (GLA) publishes pan-London demand for school places projections.\(^5\) The latest publication (March 2018) shows that secondary demand will peak at 479,900 places in 2023/24. By 2027/28 London will require an additional 65,200 secondary places, equivalent to roughly 2,200 classes, or 54 standard 1,200-place secondary schools. This growth in secondary demand is fuelled by the large cohorts currently seen in the primary system moving into secondary education.

---


\(^5\) https://data.london.gov.uk/dataset/pan-london-school-place-demand
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The GLA commissioned research\(^6\) by the National Foundation for Education Research (NFER) into teacher supply, retention and mobility in London. This research found that London’s teacher labour market faces a particularly acute challenge over the coming decade, especially at secondary level.

**Number and type of secondary schools**

In London, the proportion of local authority maintained secondary schools is higher than seen elsewhere in the country\(^7\). This is also the case at the primary stage of education.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>London as a % of total</th>
<th>England as a % of total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academies</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>56.9%</td>
<td>65.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free school</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Authority (LA) maintained</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studio schools</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Technical College (UTC)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>499</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(^7\) GOV.UK, ‘Get information about schools. May 2019
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3. Performance at secondary school

New accountability arrangements were introduced at Key Stage 4 in 2016, including two new headline measures: Attainment 8 and Progress 8. These measure a pupil’s attainment in eight subjects and the progress of pupils between Key Stage 2 and Key Stage 4. These measures replaced the previous 5 A*-C including English and mathematics as the key attainment measure at Key Stage 4.

At key stage 4, GCSE qualifications are now awarded on a 9-1 scale as opposed to letter grades. Nine is then highest grade and 1 the lowest. The top grades, from 9 to 7, are designed to correspond to the old grades of A and A*; this creates a greater degree of differentiation. An award of 4 is considered a standard pass whereas 5 is considered a strong pass.

In 2018, London had the highest Attainment 8 score of any region in England\(^8\), at 49.4; this compared with the national average of 44.5; this pattern was repeated in 2019 when London’s Attainment 8 score was 49.7 compared to the national average of 46.8.\(^9\) In 2019, the average Attainment 8 score for boys in Inner London was 45.6 points whereas for girls it was 51.2 points. In 2019, the average Attainment 8 score for boys in Outer London was 47.5 points whereas for girls it was 53.3 points.

In both 2018 and 2019, London performed particularly well on the Progress 8 measure\(^11\), with an average score of 0.23 (in 2018) and 0.22 (in 2019). London’s 2019 score of 0.22 means that pupils achieve more than a fifth of a grade higher in each GCSE subject than pupils with similar prior attainment nationally. London was far ahead of the next highest-performing region, the East of England with 0.00. In 2019, the average Progress 8 score for boys in Inner London was -0.08 points whereas for girls it was 0.43. In 2019, the average Progress 8 score for boys in Outer London was -0.02 points whereas for girls it was 0.5.

Pupils in London are far more likely to be entered for the EBacc\(^12\), and more likely to achieve good grades in these subjects. In 2018, 52.2% of pupils were entered for all components of the EBacc, in 2019 this rose to 53.8%. In 2018, the average EBacc points

---

\(^8\) Ofqual warns against “direct comparisons and overly simplistic descriptions”


\(^10\) We should use caution when comparing headline measures between years; we can make meaningful comparisons between regions within a year but should avoid basing conclusions on the change in scores in a region over time

\(^11\) Ibid.

\(^12\) The EBacc is a set of subjects (English language and literature, maths, sciences, geography or history and a language) that keep young people’s options open for further study and future careers
score\textsuperscript{13} for London pupils was 4.42 (this compares to a national average of 3.85), making London the highest performing region. In 2019, the average EBacc points score for London pupils was 4.47 (this compares to a national average of 3.87), again making London the highest performing region.

In both 2018 and 2019, London had the highest proportion of pupils achieving a pass in English and Maths GCSE of any region in England. In 2019, 68.7\% of London pupils achieved a 9-4 pass\textsuperscript{14} in English and Maths, this compares to a national average of 59.8\%. In the same year, 49\% achieved a 9-5 pass\textsuperscript{15}, this compares to a national average of 40.1\%.

\textsuperscript{13} The previous measure ‘percentage of pupils achieving the EBacc’ was discontinued in 2017
\textsuperscript{14} Grade 4 is considered a ‘standard pass’
\textsuperscript{15} Grade 5 is considered a ‘strong pass’
4. Specific groups within secondary school

Pupils from low income backgrounds
As in other parts of the country, pupils from low income backgrounds achieve lower results than their peers in key stage 4 assessments. However, pupils from low income backgrounds (eligible for free school meals, FSM) in London achieve higher results than similar pupils elsewhere. Furthermore, the gap (between FSM and non-FSM) is narrower in London (10.5 points) than elsewhere (13.8 points).

Figure 3.3: FSM eligible pupils’ attainment at key stage 4 (2019)

In terms of progress, London FSM pupils score better (-0.15) than similar pupils elsewhere (-0.53) and the gap (0.46 points in London versus 0.59 nationally) from non-FSM pupils is smaller.

A greater percentage of London pupils from low income backgrounds achieve a 9-4 pass in GCSE Maths and English (56.8% in inner London and 50.6% in outer London versus 41.5% nationally). The gap between the percentage FSM pupils passing Maths and English versus non-FSM students is narrower in London (13.2% in inner London and 22.3% in outer London) than it is nationally (27.2%).

---

16 Department for Education, 'Key stage 4 performance 2019 (revised)', September 2020
Pupils with special educational needs (SEN)

Like other regions across England, pupils with a SEN statement or those eligible for SEN support achieve lower results than their peers in key stage 4 assessments.\(^\text{17}\) However, in London both groups (EHC plan and SEN support) achieve higher results than similar pupils elsewhere.

\(^\text{17}\) Department for Education, 'Key stage 4 performance 2019 (revised)', September 2020
In terms of progress, both London pupils with SEN support and those with an EHC plan, score better than similar pupils elsewhere.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No SEN</th>
<th>SEN Support</th>
<th>EHCP/SEN statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A higher proportion of London pupils with an EHC plan or SEN statement, and those with SEN support, have a 9-4 pass in Maths and English, compared to similar pupils nationally. The gap in attainment between those with SEN support versus non-SEN (32.8% in Inner London and 38.3% in Outer London) is smaller than that seen nationally (39%). However, the gap between those with an EHC plan and non-SEN is larger in Outer London (62.3%) than for England as a whole (60.2%); however, it is smaller in Inner London (59.7%).

Figure 3.6: SEN pupils’ passing Maths and English at key stage 4 (2019)
Pupils from minority ethnic backgrounds
Both nationally and in London there are differences in attainment at key stage 4 between pupils with different ethnic backgrounds.\(^\text{18}\) **Attainment 8 scores for each ethnic category in London are higher than the national average.**

Figure 3.7: Attainment at key stage 4 by ethnicity (2019)

The performance/attainment of London pupils with different ethnic backgrounds shows a similar pattern to that seen nationally in terms of progress 8 scores. Both Chinese and Asian students show the highest rate of progress, both in London and nationally. However, Black pupils in London have a lower average progress 8 score than that seen nationally.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Mixed</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Chinese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The percentage of London pupils achieving a 9-4 in Maths and English in each ethnic category is higher than the national average. In a similar pattern to that seen for attainment and progress, Chinese and Asian students show the highest rates of achieving a 9-4 in Maths and English.

\(^{18}\) Department for Education, 'Key stage 4 performance 2019 (revised)', September 2020
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Figure 3.8: Percentage achieving a 9-4 pass in Maths and English by ethnicity (2019)

Two of the largest underperforming groups in London are black Caribbean and free school meal eligible white boys. The GLA commissioned Boys on Track report\(^\text{19}\), which was published in 2018, draws attention to these two groups and presents ways they can be supported to improve their educational attainment.

**Pupils with English as an additional language**

Between key stage 1 and 2 at primary school, both nationally and in London, the gap that existed between pupils for whom English is not their first language (EAL) and those for whom it is, closes. During secondary school, EAL pupils overtake their counterparts\(^\text{20}\). In London, their attainment 8 averages are slightly higher (0.9 of a point in Inner London and 1.9 points in Outer London) than pupils whose first language is English. In addition, in London more EAL pupils pass (9-4) Maths and English (3.8% more in Inner London and 0.3% more in Outer London) than pupils whose first language is English.

\(^{19}\) https://www.london.gov.uk/sites/default/files/lkmco_boys_on_track_report.pdf  
\(^{20}\) Department for Education, ‘Key stage 4 performance 2019 (revised)’, September 2020
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Figure 3.9: Attainment at key stage 4 by EAL (2019)

Figure 3.10: Percentage achieving a 9-4 pass in Maths and English by EAL (2019)
The closing of the gap by EAL pupils is demonstrated through their progress 8 scores which, both nationally and in London, are substantially higher than those pupils whose first language is English.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>English is first language</th>
<th>First language other than English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner London</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer London</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Exclusions at secondary

Exclusions at the secondary school level are more common than at primary. Across London the rates of permanent and fixed-period exclusions are lower than the national average. As shown in the table below, the rate of permanent exclusions in London represents just one-fifth of one percent of the total of London secondary school pupils. While fixed-period exclusions are more common, in London less than five percent of pupils received such an exclusion in 2018/19.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Permanent exclusion rate</th>
<th>Fixed-period exclusion rate</th>
<th>1 or more fixed-period exclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>4.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner-London</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>9.44</td>
<td>5.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer-London</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>4.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of permanent and fixed-period exclusions in London secondary schools between 2011/12 and 2018/19 can be seen in the following figures.

Figure 3.11: Permanent exclusions in secondary schools (2012 to 2019)

---

22 Department for Education, ‘Statistics: exclusions’
Figure 3.12: Fixed-period exclusions in secondary schools (2012 to 2019)\(^{23}\)

![Bar chart showing fixed-period exclusions in secondary schools (2012 to 2019)](chart1)

Figure 3.13: Pupils with one or more fixed-period exclusions in secondary schools (2012 to 2019)\(^{24}\)

![Bar chart showing pupils with one or more fixed-period exclusions (2012 to 2019)](chart2)

---

\(^{23}\) Department for Education, ‘Statistics: exclusions’

\(^{24}\) Ibid
Secondary exclusions by gender
In 2018/19, despite making up only slightly more than half the student population in London, boys accounted for almost three-quarters of permanent exclusions and fixed-period exclusions.\textsuperscript{25}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Headcount</th>
<th>Permanent</th>
<th>Fixed period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>49.2%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>50.8%</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
<td>72.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Secondary exclusions by free school meal eligibility
In London, FSM pupils are disproportionally represented in the number of permanent and fixed-period exclusions. In 2018/19, FSM pupils made up 41.1\% of permanent exclusions despite only making up 21\% of the secondary school population in London. An FSM student was more than twice as likely to have one or more fixed-period exclusions during 2018/19 when compared to non-FSM pupils.

Figure 3.14: Exclusions in secondary schools by FSM eligibility (2019)\textsuperscript{26}


\textsuperscript{26} Ibid.
Secondary exclusions by SEN status
Despite making up 12.4% of the student population in 2018/19, pupils with a special educational need\textsuperscript{27} accounted for 27.7% of permanent exclusions.\textsuperscript{28} SEN pupils were almost three times as likely (10.7% versus 3.9%) as non-SEN pupils to receive one or more fixed-period exclusions.

Secondary exclusions by ethnicity
There is variation in both permanent and fixed-period exclusions rates by ethnicity. In 2018/19, the rates of permanent exclusions were considerably lower for Asian students when compared to all other ethnic groups.

Figure 3.15: Rates of permanent exclusions in secondary schools by ethnicity (2019)\textsuperscript{29}

\textsuperscript{27} Includes both those with an EHC plan and those with a SEN support
\textsuperscript{29} Ibid
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In terms of the rates of pupils with one or more fixed-period exclusions, the rates were highest among Black pupils and lowest among Asian pupils.

Figure 3.16: Rates of pupils with one or more fixed-period exclusion in secondary schools by ethnicity (2019)\textsuperscript{30}

Other formats and languages
For a large print, Braille, disc, sign language video or audio-tape version of this document, please contact us at the address below:

Greater London Authority
City Hall
The Queen’s Walk
More London
London SE1 2AA

Telephone 020 7983 4100
www.london.gov.uk

You will need to supply your name, your postal address and state the format and title of the publication you require.

If you would like a summary of this document in your language, please phone the number or contact us at the address above.