

# Chapter 3 Design

## The London Plan March 2021

A drawing of London city with buildings and trees.

## Key information

Publication type: The London Plan

Publication status: Adopted

Publication date: Monday 1 March 2021

## Contents

[Policy D1 London’s form, character and capacity for growth](#)

[Figure 3.1 - Outline Character Map of London](#)

[Policy D2 Infrastructure requirements for sustainable densities](#)

[Policy D3 Optimising site capacity through the design-led approach](#)

[Figure 3.2 - Circular economy hierarchy for building approaches](#)

[Policy D4 Delivering good design](#)

[Policy D5 Inclusive design](#)

[Policy D6 Housing quality and standards](#)

[Table 3.1 - Minimum internal space standards for new dwellings](#)

[Table 3.2 Qualitative design aspects to be addressed in housing developments](#)

[Policy D7 Accessible housing](#)

[Policy D8 Public realm](#)

[Policy D9 Tall buildings](#)

[Policy D10 Basement development](#)

[Policy D11 Safety, security and resilience to emergency](#)

[Policy D12 Fire safety](#)

[Policy D13 Agent of Change](#)

[Policy D14 Noise](#)

[Navigation](#)

## Policy D1 London’s form, character and capacity for growth

### Policy D1 London’s form, character and capacity for growth

#### Defining an area’s character to understand its capacity for growth

A Boroughs should undertake area assessments to define the characteristics, qualities and value of different places within the plan area to develop an understanding of different areas’ capacity for growth. Area assessments should cover the elements listed below:

- 1) demographic make-up and socio-economic data (such as Indices of Multiple Deprivation, health and wellbeing indicators, population density, employment data, educational qualifications, crime statistics)
- 2) housing types and tenure
- 3) urban form and structure (for example townscape, block pattern, urban grain, extent of frontages, building heights and density)
- 4) existing and planned transport networks (particularly walking and cycling networks) and public transport connectivity
- 5) air quality and noise levels
- 6) open space networks, green infrastructure, and water bodies
- 7) historical evolution and heritage assets (including an assessment of their significance and contribution to local character)
- 8) topography and hydrology
- 9) land availability
- 10) existing and emerging Development Plan designations
- 11) land uses
- 12) views and landmarks.

### **Planning for growth**

B In preparing Development Plans, boroughs should plan to meet borough-wide growth requirements, including their overall housing targets, by:

- 1) using the findings of area assessments (as required in Part A) to identify suitable locations for growth, and the potential scale of that growth (e.g. opportunities for extensive, moderate or limited growth) consistent with the spatial approach set out in this Plan; and
- 2) assessing the capacity of existing and planned physical, environmental and social infrastructure to support the required level of growth and, where necessary, improvements to infrastructure capacity should be planned in infrastructure delivery plans or programmes to support growth; and
- 3) following the design-led approach (set out in [Policy D3 Optimising site capacity through the design-led approach](#)) to establish optimised site capacities for site allocations. Boroughs are encouraged to set out acceptable building heights, scale, massing and indicative layouts for allocated sites, and, where appropriate, the amount of floorspace that should be provided for different land uses.

3.1.1 This Plan provides a policy framework for delivering Good Growth through good design. Part A of this policy sets out the requirements for assessing an area's characteristics and Part B sets out the steps for using this information to establish the capacity for growth of different areas and ensure that sites are developed to an optimum capacity that is responsive to the site's context and supporting infrastructure.

3.1.2 **Understanding the existing character and context** of individual areas is essential in determining how different places may best develop in the future. An evaluation of the current characteristics of a place, how its past social, cultural, physical and environmental influences have shaped it and what the potential opportunities are for it to change will help inform an understanding of an area's capacity for growth and is crucial for ensuring that growth and development is inclusive.

3.1.3 It is important to understand **how places are perceived, experienced and valued**. Those involved in commissioning or undertaking area assessments should consider how they can involve the widest range of people appropriate depending on the scope and purpose of the work.

3.1.4 **Area assessments** should be used to identify the areas that are appropriate for extensive, moderate, or limited growth to accommodate borough-wide growth requirements. This analysis should form the foundation of Development Plan preparation and area-based strategies. This process will be fundamental to inform decision

making on how places should develop, speeding up the Development Plan process and bringing about better-quality development. It will also help speed up planning decision making by providing an easily accessible knowledge-base about an area that is integrated in Development Plan policies.

3.1.5 When identifying the growth potential of areas and sites the **sequential spatial approach to making the best use of land** set out in [GG2](#) Parts A to C should be followed.


3.1.6 The process set out in this policy, of evidence gathering and establishing the location and scale of growth in an area, provides the opportunity to **engage and collaborate with the local community and other stakeholders** as part of the plan making process, enabling them to help shape their surroundings. The requirements of Parts A and B help to inform the identification of locations that may be suitable for tall buildings, see [Policy D9 Tall buildings](#).

3.1.7 As change is a fundamental characteristic of London, **respecting character and accommodating change** should not be seen as mutually exclusive. Understanding of the character of a place should not seek to preserve things in a static way but should ensure an appropriate balance is struck between existing fabric and any proposed change. Opportunities for change and transformation, through new building forms and typologies, should be informed by an understanding of a place's distinctive character, recognising that not all elements of a place are special and valued.

3.1.8 The Mayor will provide **supplementary planning guidance** to provide additional support for boroughs when implementing the policy. Figure 3.1 illustrates the broad characteristics of London as derived from its historical development, which can be used to inform area-based strategies.

**Figure 3.1 - Outline Character Map of London**

**Figure 3.1 - Outline Character Map of London**



## Character Map of London

- 20th century suburbs
- Victorian suburbs
- Victorian entrepreneurship
- Georgian planning and growth
- The Square Mile
- Green spaces
- Green belt
- Heathrow

## Town Centres

- 20th century
- Industrial
- Railway town centre
- Historic
- Former medieval market town
- High road centre
- High roads

Copyright, Allies and Morrison Urban Practitioners, 2016. Mapping and research for Historic England: London's Local Character and Density.

Contains OS data © Crown copyright and database right (2017)

## 19th Century Industry

- Industry
- Infrastructure
- Waterways

# Policy D2 Infrastructure requirements for sustainable densities

## Policy D2 Infrastructure requirements for sustainable densities

A The density of development proposals should:

- 1) consider, and be linked to, the provision of future planned levels of infrastructure rather than existing levels
- 2) be proportionate to the site's connectivity and accessibility by walking, cycling, and public transport to jobs and services (including both PTAL and access to local services).[\[26\]](#)

B Where there is currently insufficient capacity of existing infrastructure to support proposed densities (including the impact of cumulative development), boroughs should work with applicants and infrastructure providers to ensure that sufficient capacity will exist at the appropriate time. This may mean that if the development is contingent on the provision of new infrastructure, including public transport services, it will be appropriate that the development is phased accordingly.

C When a proposed development is acceptable in terms of use, scale and massing, given the surrounding built form, uses and character, but it exceeds the capacity identified in a site allocation or the site is not allocated, and the borough considers the planned infrastructure capacity will be exceeded, additional infrastructure proportionate to the development should be delivered through the development. This will be identified through an infrastructure assessment during the planning application process, which will have regard to the local infrastructure delivery plan or programme, and the CIL contribution that the development will make. Where additional required infrastructure cannot be delivered, the scale of the development should be reconsidered to reflect the capacity of current or future planned supporting infrastructure.

3.2.1 **Infrastructure** provision should be proportionate to the scale of development. The locations and scale of growth will be identified through boroughs' Development Plans, particularly through site allocations. Infrastructure capacity, having regard to the growth identified in the Development Plan, should be identified in boroughs' infrastructure delivery plans or programmes. Boroughs and infrastructure providers should also consider the cumulative impact of multiple development proposals in an area.

3.2.2 If development comes forward with a capacity in excess of that which could be supported by current or future planned infrastructure, a **site-specific infrastructure** assessment will be required. This assessment should establish what additional impact the proposed development will have on current and planned infrastructure, and how this can be appropriately mitigated either on the site, or through an off-site mechanism, having regard to the amount of CIL generated.

3.2.3 The **capacity of existing and future public transport services**, and the connections they provide, should be taken into consideration, as should the potential to increase this capacity through financial contributions and by joint working with Transport for London. In general, the higher the public transport access and connectivity of the site, and the closer it is to a town centre or station, the higher the density and the lower the car parking provision should be. The ability to support higher densities through encouraging increased levels of active travel should be taken into account.

3.2.4 **Minor developments** will typically have incremental impacts on local infrastructure capacity. The cumulative demands on infrastructure of minor development should be addressed in boroughs' infrastructure delivery plans or programmes. Therefore, it will not normally be necessary for minor developments to undertake infrastructure assessments or for boroughs to refuse permission to these schemes on the grounds of infrastructure capacity.



3.2.5 In certain circumstances, development will be contingent on the future provision of public transport, walking and cycling infrastructure. In many areas of London higher densities could be supported by maximising the potential of active travel. Those limited circumstances for which Part B of the policy could apply include development being brought forward in areas where planned public transport schemes will significantly improve accessibility and capacity of an area, such as Crossrail 2, DLR extensions, extension of the Elizabeth line, and the Bakerloo line Extension. It may be necessary to require the **phasing of development proposals** to maximise the benefits from major infrastructure and services investment whilst avoiding any unacceptable impacts on existing infrastructure prior to the new capacity being available.

3.2.6 In order to support the Healthy Streets Approach, development proposals should take account of the existing and planned **connectivity of a site via public transport and active modes** to town centres, social infrastructure and other services and places of employment. Opportunities to improve these connections to support higher density development should be identified.

## **Policy D3 Optimising site capacity through the design-led approach**

### **Policy D3 Optimising site capacity through the design-led approach**

#### **The design-led approach**

A All development must make the best use of land by following a designed approach that optimises the capacity of sites, including site allocations. Optimising site capacity means ensuring that development is of the most appropriate form and land use for the site. The design-led approach requires consideration of design options to determine the most appropriate form of development that responds to a site's context and capacity for growth, and existing and planned supporting infrastructure capacity (as set out in [Policy D2 Infrastructure requirements for sustainable densities](#)), and that best delivers the requirements set out in Part D.

B Higher density developments should generally be promoted in locations that are well connected to jobs, services, infrastructure and amenities by public transport, walking and cycling, in accordance with [Policy D2 Infrastructure requirements for sustainable densities](#). Where these locations have existing areas of high density buildings, expansion of the areas should be positively considered by Boroughs where appropriate. This could also include expanding Opportunity Area boundaries where appropriate.

C In other areas, incremental densification should be actively encouraged by Boroughs to achieve a change in densities in the most appropriate way. This should be interpreted in the context of [Policy H2](#).

D Development proposals should:

#### **Form and layout**

- 1) enhance local context by delivering buildings and spaces that positively respond to local distinctiveness through their layout, orientation, scale, appearance and shape, with due regard to existing and emerging street hierarchy, building types, forms and proportions
- 2) encourage and facilitate active travel with convenient and inclusive pedestrian and cycling routes, crossing points, cycle parking, and legible entrances to buildings, that are aligned with peoples' movement patterns and desire lines in the area
- 3) be street-based with clearly defined public and private environments
- 4) facilitate efficient servicing and maintenance of buildings and the public realm, as well as deliveries, that minimise negative impacts on the environment, public realm and vulnerable road users

#### **Experience**

- 5) achieve safe, secure and inclusive environments
- 6) provide active frontages and positive reciprocal relationships between what happens inside the buildings and outside in the public realm to generate liveliness and interest

- 7) deliver appropriate outlook, privacy and amenity
- 8) provide conveniently located green and open spaces for social interaction, play, relaxation and physical activity
- 9) help prevent or mitigate the impacts of noise and poor air quality
- 10) achieve indoor and outdoor environments that are comfortable and inviting for people to use

#### **Quality and character**

- 11) respond to the existing character of a place by identifying the special and valued features and characteristics that are unique to the locality and respect, enhance and utilise the heritage assets and architectural features that contribute towards the local character
  - 12) be of high quality, with architecture that pays attention to detail, and gives thorough consideration to the practicality of use, flexibility, safety and building lifespan through appropriate construction methods and the use of attractive, robust materials which weather and mature well
  - 13) aim for high sustainability standards (with reference to the policies within London Plan [Chapters 8 and 9](#)) and take into account the principles of the circular economy
  - 14) provide spaces and buildings that maximise opportunities for urban greening to create attractive resilient places that can also help the management of surface water.
- E Where development parameters for allocated sites have been set out in a Development Plan, development proposals that do not accord with the site capacity in a site allocation can be refused for this reason.

3.3.1 For London to accommodate the growth identified in this Plan in an inclusive and responsible way every new development needs to make the most efficient use of land by optimising site capacity. This means ensuring the development's form is the most appropriate for the site and land uses meet identified needs. The optimum capacity for a site does not mean the maximum capacity; it may be that a lower density development – such as gypsy and traveller pitches – is the optimum development for the site.

3.3.2 **A design-led approach** to optimising site capacity should be based on an evaluation of the site's attributes, its surrounding context and its capacity for growth to determine the appropriate form of development for that site.

3.3.3 The **area assessment** required by Part A of [Policy D1 London's form, character and capacity for growth](#), coupled with an area's assessed capacity for growth as required by Part B of [Policy D1 London's form, character and capacity for growth](#), will assist in understanding a site's context and determining what form of development is most appropriate for a site. Design options for the site should be assessed to ensure the proposed development best delivers the design outcomes in Part D of this policy.

3.3.4 Designating appropriate development capacities through site allocations enables boroughs to proactively optimise the capacity of strategic sites through a consultative design-led approach that allows for **meaningful engagement and collaboration** with local communities, organisations and businesses.

3.3.5 Developers should have regard to designated development capacities in allocated sites and ensure that the design-led approach to optimising capacity on unallocated sites is carefully applied when **formulating bids** for development sites. The sum paid for a development site is not a relevant consideration in determining acceptable densities and any overpayments cannot be recouped through compromised design or reduced planning obligations.

3.3.6 **Good design** and good planning are intrinsically linked. The form and character of London's buildings and spaces must be appropriate for their location, fit for purpose, respond to changing needs of Londoners, be inclusive, and make the best use of the city's finite supply of land. The efficient use of land requires optimisation of density. This means coordinating the layout of the development with the form and scale of the buildings and the location of the different land uses, and facilitating convenient pedestrian connectivity to activities and services.

3.3.7 Developments that show a clear understanding of, and relationship with, the distinctive features of a place are more likely to be successful. These features include buildings, structures, open spaces, public realm and the underlying landscape. Development should be designed to respond to the **special characteristics** of these features which can include: predominant architectural styles and/or building materials; architectural rhythm; distribution of building forms and heights; and heritage, architectural or cultural value. The Mayor will provide further guidance on assessing and optimising site capacity through a design led approach.

3.3.8 Buildings should be of high quality and enhance, activate and appropriately frame the **public realm**. Their massing, scale and layout should help make public spaces coherent and should complement the existing streetscape and surrounding area. Particular attention should be paid to the design of the parts of a building or public realm that people most frequently see or interact with in terms of its legibility, use, detailing, materials and location of entrances. Creating a comfortable pedestrian environment with regard to levels of sunlight, shade, wind, and shelter from precipitation is important.

3.3.9 Measures to **design out exposure to poor air quality and noise** from both external and internal sources should be integral to development proposals and be considered early in the design process. Characteristics that increase pollutant or noise levels, such as poorly-located emission sources, street canyons and noise sources should also be designed out wherever possible. Optimising site layout and building design can also reduce the risk of overheating as well as minimising carbon emissions by reducing energy demand.

3.3.10 To minimise the use of new materials, the following **circular economy principles** (see also Figure 3.2) should be taken into account at the start of the design process and, for referable applications or where a lower local threshold has been established, be set out in a Circular Economy Statement (see [Policy SI 7 Reducing waste and supporting the circular economy](#)):

- building in layers – ensuring that different parts of the building are accessible and can be maintained and replaced where necessary
- designing out waste – ensuring that waste reduction is planned in from project inception to completion, including consideration of standardised components, modular build and re-use of secondary products and materials
- designing for longevity
- designing for adaptability or flexibility
- designing for disassembly
- using systems, elements or materials that can be re-used and recycled.

3.3.11 Large-scale developments in particular present opportunities for innovative building design that avoids waste, supports high recycling rates and helps London transition to a circular economy, where materials, products and assets are kept at their highest value for as long as possible. Further guidance on the application of these principles through **Circular Economy Statements** will be provided.



3.3.12 Figure 3.2 shows a **hierarchy for building approaches** which maximises use of existing materials. Diminishing returns are gained by moving through the hierarchy outwards, working through refurbishment and re-use through to the least preferable option of recycling materials produced by the building or demolition process. The best use of the land needs to be taken into consideration when deciding whether to retain existing buildings in a development.

3.3.13 **Maximising urban greening** and creating green open spaces provides attractive places for Londoners to relax and play, and helps make the city more resilient to the effects of climate change. Landscaping and urban greening should be designed to ecologically enhance and, where possible, physically connect, existing parks and open spaces.


3.3.14 Measures to **design out crime** should be integral to development proposals and be considered early in the design process. Development should reduce opportunities for anti-social behaviour, criminal activities, and terrorism, and contribute to a sense of safety without being overbearing or intimidating. Developments should ensure good natural surveillance, clear sight lines, appropriate lighting, logical and well-used routes and a lack of potential hiding places.

3.3.15 Development should create **inclusive places** that meet the needs of all potential users.

3.3.16 The design and layout of development should reduce the dominance of cars and provide permeability to **support active travel** (public transport, walking and cycling), community interaction and economic vitality.

**Figure 3.2 - Circular economy hierarchy for building approaches**

**Figure 3.2 - Circular economy hierarchy for building approaches**



Source: Building Revolutions (2016), David Cheshire, RIBA Publishing ©

3.3.17 New developments should be designed and managed so that **deliveries** can be received outside of peak hours and if necessary in the evening or night-time without causing unacceptable nuisance to residents. Appropriate facilities will be required to minimise additional freight trips arising from missed deliveries.

3.3.18 Shared and easily accessible **storage space** supporting separate collection of dry recyclables, food waste and other waste should be considered in the early design stages to help improve recycling rates, reduce smell, odour and vehicle movements, and improve street scene and community safety.

3.3.19 Buildings and spaces should be designed so that they can **adapt to changing uses** and demands now and in the future. Their lifespan and potential uses or requirements should be carefully considered, creating buildings and spaces that are easy to maintain, and constructed of materials that are safe, robust and remain attractive over time.

3.3.20 **Masterplans and strategic frameworks** should be used when planning large-scale development to create welcoming and inclusive neighbourhoods, promote active travel, enable the successful integration of the built

form within its surrounding area, and deliver wider benefits to residents, such as access to shared amenity space and high-quality public realm.

## **Monitoring density and site capacity**

3.3.21 **Comparing density** between schemes using a single measure can be misleading as it is heavily dependent on the area included in the planning application site boundary as well as the size of residential units. Planning application boundaries are determined by the applicant. These boundaries may be drawn very close to the proposed buildings, missing out adjacent areas of open space, which results in a density which belies the real character of a scheme. Alternatively, the application boundary may include a large site area so that a tall building appears to be a relatively low-density scheme while its physical form is more akin to schemes with a much higher density.

3.3.22 To help assess, monitor and compare development proposals several measures of density are required to be provided by the applicant. Density measures related to the residential population will be relevant for infrastructure provision, while measures of density related to the built form and massing will inform its integration with the surrounding context. The following **measurements of density** should be provided for all planning applications that include new residential units:

1. number of units per hectare
2. number of habitable rooms per hectare
3. number of bedrooms per hectare
4. number of bedspaces per hectare.

3.3.23 Measures relating to height and scale should be the maximum height of each building or major component in the development. Boroughs should report each of the required density measures provided by the applicant when they submit details of the development to the London Development Database. The following additional measurements should be provided for all major planning applications:

1. the Floor Area Ratio (total Gross External Area of all floors / site area)
2. the Site Coverage Ratio (Gross External Area of ground floors /site area)
3. the maximum height in metres above ground level of each building and at Above Ordinance Datum (above sea level).

## **Policy D4 Delivering good design**

### **Policy D4 Delivering good design**

#### **Design analysis and development certainty**

A Masterplans and design codes should be used to help bring forward development and ensure it delivers high quality design and place-making based on the requirements set out in Part D of [Policy D3 Optimising site capacity through the design-led approach](#).

B Where appropriate, visual, environmental and movement modelling/assessments should be undertaken to analyse potential design options for an area, site or development proposal. These models, particularly 3D virtual reality and other interactive digital models, should, where possible, be used to inform plan-making and decision-taking, and to engage Londoners in the planning process.

#### **Design scrutiny**

C Design and access statements submitted with development proposals should demonstrate that the proposal meets the design requirements of the London Plan.

D The design of development proposals should be thoroughly scrutinised by borough planning, urban design, and conservation officers, utilising the analytical tools set out in Part B, local evidence, and expert advice where appropriate. In addition, boroughs and applicants should make use of the design review process to assess and inform design options early in the planning process. Development proposals referable to the Mayor must have undergone at least one design review early on in their preparation before a planning application is made, or demonstrate that they have undergone a local borough process of design scrutiny, based on the principles set out in Part E if they:

- 1) include a residential component that exceeds 350 units per hectare; or
- 2) propose a building defined as a tall building by the borough (see [Policy D9 Tall buildings](#)), or that is more than 30m in height where there is no local definition of a tall building.

E The format of design reviews for any development should be agreed with the borough and comply with the Mayor's guidance on review principles, process and management, ensuring that:

- 1) design reviews are carried out transparently by independent experts in relevant disciplines
- 2) design review comments are mindful of the wider policy context and focus on interpreting policy for the specific scheme
- 3) where a scheme is reviewed more than once, subsequent design reviews reference and build on the recommendations of previous design reviews
- 4) design review recommendations are appropriately recorded and communicated to officers and decision makers
- 5) schemes show how they have considered and addressed the design review recommendations
- 6) planning decisions demonstrate how design review has been addressed.

#### **Maintaining design quality**

F The design quality of development should be retained through to completion by:

- 1) ensuring maximum detail appropriate for the design stage is provided to avoid the need for later design amendments and to ensure scheme quality is not adversely affected by later decisions on construction, materials, landscaping details or minor alterations to layout or form of the development
- 2) ensuring the wording of the planning permission, and associated conditions and legal agreement, provide clarity regarding the quality of design
- 3) avoiding deferring the assessment of the design quality of large elements of a development to the consideration of a planning condition or referred matter
- 4) local planning authorities considering conditioning the ongoing involvement of the original design team to monitor the design quality of a development through to completion

3.4.1 The processes and actions set out in the policy will help ensure development delivers good design. The responsibility for undertaking a particular process or action will depend on the nature of the development or plan; however, the outcome of this process must ensure the most efficient use of land is made so that the development on all sites is optimised.

3.4.2 Applicants will primarily be responsible for undertaking design analysis through the use of various **digital modelling techniques** as part of a wide range of design and presentation techniques. These techniques can also be used as part of the plan-making process to assess growth options and forms of development, as described in Part B of [Policy D1 London's form, character and capacity for growth](#).

3.4.3 To enable the design of a proposed development to be fully assessed, applicants must provide the necessary **technical information** in an agreed format. The detail and nature of this should be commensurate with the scale of the development. All outline applications referred to the Mayor should be accompanied by thorough design codes, ensuring exemplary design standards are carried through the planning process to completion.

3.4.4 The **Mayor's Design Advocates (MDAs)** will play a key role in helping to deliver good design. They will help champion design across the GLA Group and beyond, through research, design review, capacity building, commissioning and advocacy. MDAs are also members of the London Review Panel, which the Mayor has set up to provide design scrutiny. This review panel is primarily focused on the review of Mayoral investments, but can provide design review sessions for development proposals referred to the Mayor where they have not previously been subject to review, or for schemes of particular significance.

3.4.5 All development proposals should be subject to a level of scrutiny appropriate to the scale and/or impact of the project. This **design scrutiny** should include work by planning case officers and ongoing and informal review by qualified urban design officers and conservation officers. Development proposals required to undergo design review as set out under Part D will form a small portion of overall planning applications in London. The Mayor may require that other referable developments undergo design review. Boroughs are encouraged to use design review to support their scrutiny of development proposals.

3.4.6 The Mayor has published a **London Quality Review Charter**, with accompanying guidance. The Charter promotes a consistent approach across London's design review sector and promotes transparency of process. The Charter builds on the established 2013 guidance<sup>[27]</sup> which calls for reviews to be independent, expert, multidisciplinary, accountable, transparent, proportionate, timely, advisory, objective and available. The Charter includes guidance on how panels and processes should be managed and records kept. It also clarifies that the purpose of the design review process is not to dictate the design of a scheme or contradict planning policy, but to guide better design outcomes. More widely, the Mayor's Good Growth by Design Programme, is developing a support offer to London's boroughs and London's review sector, for example, offering advice to boroughs wishing to put in place a design review function.

3.4.7 The **scrutiny** of a proposed development's design should cover its layout, scale, height, density, land uses, materials, architectural treatment, detailing and landscaping. The design and access statement should explain the approach taken to these design issues (see also requirements of [Policy D5 Inclusive design](#)).

3.4.8 For **residential development** it is particularly important to scrutinise the qualitative aspects of the development design described in [Policy D6 Housing quality and standards](#). The higher the density of a development the greater this scrutiny should be of the proposed built form, massing, site layout, external spaces, internal design and ongoing management. This is important because these elements of the development come under more pressure as the density increases. The housing minimum space standards set out in [Policy D6 Housing quality and standards](#) help ensure that as densities increase, quality of internal residential units is maintained.

3.4.9 **Higher density residential developments**<sup>[28]</sup> should demonstrate their on-going sustainability in terms of servicing, maintenance and management. Specifically, details should be provided of day-to-day servicing and deliveries, longer-term maintenance implications and the long-term affordability of running costs and service charges (by different types of occupiers).

3.4.10 It is important that **design quality is maintained throughout the development process** from the granting of planning permission to completion of a development. What happens to a design after planning consent can be instrumental to the success of a project and subsequent quality of a place. Changes to designs after the initial planning permission has been granted are often allowable as minor amendments, or in the case of outline applications in the form of additional necessary detail. However, even minor changes can have a substantial effect on design quality, environmental quality and visual impact. The cumulative effect of amendments can often be significant and should be reviewed holistically. Sufficient design detail needs to be provided in approved drawings and other visual material, as well as in the wording of planning permissions to ensure clarity over what design has been approved, and to avoid future amendments and value engineering



resulting in changes that would be detrimental to the design quality.

3.4.11 **Design codes** submitted with outline planning applications for large developments can be one such way to ensure that design quality is upheld throughout the planning process. Their main purpose is to describe the key design principles of a development proposal in a simple, concise and mainly graphical format, and they should draw on the proposal's layout, massing and heights to define the principal features that make up the overall design integrity of the scheme. Assessment of the design of large elements of a development, such as landscaping or building façades, should be undertaken as part of assessing the whole development and not deferred for consideration after planning permission has been granted.

3.4.12 Having a sufficient level of design information, including key construction details provided as part of the application, can help to ensure that the quality of design will be maintained if the permitted scheme is subject to subsequent minor amendments. However, it is also generally beneficial to the design quality of a completed development if the **architectural design team is involved in the development from start to finish**.<sup>[29]</sup> Securing the design team's ongoing involvement can be achieved in a number of ways, such as through a condition of planning permission, as a design reviewer, or through an architect retention clause in a legal agreement.

## Policy D5 Inclusive design

### Policy D5 Inclusive design

A Boroughs, in preparing their Development Plans, should support the creation of inclusive neighbourhoods by embedding inclusive design, and collaborating with local communities in the development of planning policies that affect them.

B Development proposal should achieve the highest standards of accessible and inclusive design. They should:

- 1) be designed taking into account London's diverse population
- 2) provide high quality people focused spaces that are designed to facilitate social interaction and inclusion
- 3) be convenient and welcoming with no disabling barriers, providing independent access without additional undue effort, separation or special treatment
- 4) be able to be entered, used and exited safely, easily and with dignity for all
- 5) be designed to incorporate safe and dignified emergency evacuation for all building users. In all developments where lifts are installed, as a minimum at least one lift per core (or more subject to capacity assessments) should be a suitably sized fire evacuation lift suitable to be used to evacuate people who require level access from the building.

C Design and Access Statements, submitted as part of development proposals, should include an inclusive design statement.

3.5.1 The built environment includes the internal and external parts of buildings, as well as the spaces in between them. Despite recent progress in building a more accessible city, too many Londoners still experience barriers to living independent and dignified lives, due to the way the built environment has been designed and constructed or how it is managed. An **inclusive design approach** helps to ensure the diverse needs of all Londoners are integrated into Development Plans and proposals from the outset. This is essential to ensuring that the built environment is safe, accessible and convenient, and enables everyone to access the opportunities London has to offer.

3.5.2 **Inclusive design is indivisible from good design.** It is therefore essential to consider inclusive design and the development's contribution to the creation of inclusive neighbourhoods at the earliest possible stage in the development process – from initial conception through to completion and, where relevant, the occupation and on-going management and maintenance of the development.

3.5.3 Inclusive design principles should be discussed with boroughs in advance of an application being submitted, to ensure that these principles are understood and incorporated into the original design concept. To demonstrate this, and to inform decision making, speed up the process and bring about better-quality development, an **inclusive design statement** is required as part of the Design and Access Statement. The inclusive design statement should:

- explain the design concept and illustrate how an inclusive design approach has been incorporated into this
- detail what best practice standards and design guidance documents have been applied in terms of inclusive design
- show that the potential impacts of the proposal on people and communities who share a protected characteristic and who will be affected by it have been considered
- set out how access and inclusion will be maintained and managed, including fire evacuation procedures
- detail engagement with relevant user groups, such as disabled or older people's organisations, or other equality groups.

3.5.4 The detail contained in the Design and Access Statements, including the inclusive design statement, should be proportionate to the scale and type of development.

3.5.5 The social factors that influence inclusion have a direct impact on well-being and are an important component in achieving more inclusive communities. Many factors that influence potential barriers to inclusion can be mitigated **by ensuring the involvement of local communities** in the planning policies and decisions that will affect them.

3.5.6 Inclusive design creates spaces and places that can facilitate social integration, enabling people to lead more interconnected lives. Development proposals should help to create **inclusive neighbourhoods** that cumulatively form a network in which people can live and work in a safe, healthy, supportive and inclusive environment. An inclusive neighbourhood approach will ensure that people are able to easily access services, facilities and amenities that are relevant to them and enable them to safely and easily move around by active travel modes through high-quality, people-focused spaces, while enjoying barrier-free access to surrounding areas and the wider city.

3.5.7 **Links to the wider neighbourhood** should be carefully considered, including networks of legible, logical, safe and navigable pedestrian routes, dropped kerbs and crossing points with associated tactile paving.

3.5.8 Where **security measures** are required in the external environment, the design and positioning of these should not adversely impact access and inclusion.

3.5.9 **Entrances** into buildings should be easily identifiable and should allow everyone to use them independently without additional effort, separation or special treatment. High and low level obstructions in buildings and in the public realm should be eliminated. The **internal environment** of developments should meet the highest standards in terms of access and inclusion, creating buildings which meet the needs of the existing and future population.

3.5.10 Buildings should be designed and built to accommodate robust **emergency evacuation** procedures for all building users, including those who require level access. All building users should be able to evacuate from a building with dignity and by as independent means as possible. Emergency carry down or carry up mechanical devices or similar interventions that rely on manual handling are not considered to be appropriate, for reasons of user dignity and independence. The installation of lifts which can be used for evacuation purposes (accompanied by a management plan) provide a dignified and more independent solution. The fire evacuation lifts and associated provisions should be appropriately designed, constructed and include the necessary controls suitable

for the purposes intended. See also [Policy D12 Fire safety](#).

3.5.11 When dealing with **historic buildings and heritage assets**, careful consideration should be given to inclusive design at an early stage. This is essential to securing successful schemes that will enable as many people as possible to access and enjoy the historic environment now and in the future.

3.5.12 The Mayor will assist boroughs and other agencies in implementing an inclusive design approach by providing **further guidance** where necessary, continuing to contribute to the development of national technical standards and supporting training and professional development programmes. Further guidance on inclusive design standards can be found in the following British Standard documents:

- BS8300-1:2018 Design of an accessible and inclusive built environment. External environment. Code of practice. January 2018
- BS8300-2:2018 Design of an accessible and inclusive built environment. Buildings. Code of practice. January 2018.

## Policy D6 Housing quality and standards

### Policy D6 Housing quality and standards

A Housing development should be of high quality design and provide adequately-sized rooms (see Table 3.1) with comfortable and functional layouts which are fit for purpose and meet the needs of Londoners without differentiating between tenures.

B Qualitative aspects of a development are key to ensuring successful sustainable housing. Table 3.2 sets out key qualitative aspects which should be addressed in the design of housing developments.

C Housing development should maximise the provision of dual aspect dwellings and normally avoid the provision of single aspect dwellings. A single aspect dwelling should only be provided where it is considered a more appropriate design solution to meet the requirements of Part D in [Policy D3 Optimising site capacity through the design-led approach](#) than a dual aspect dwelling, and it can be demonstrated that it will have adequate passive ventilation, daylight and privacy, and avoid overheating.

D The design of development should provide sufficient daylight and sunlight to new and surrounding housing that is appropriate for its context, whilst avoiding overheating, minimising overshadowing and maximising the usability of outside amenity space.

E Housing should be designed with adequate and easily accessible storage space that supports the separate collection of dry recyclables (for at least card, paper, mixed plastics, metals, glass) and food waste as well as residual waste

F Housing developments are required to meet the minimum standards below which apply to all tenures and all residential accommodation that is self-contained.

### Private internal space

- 1) Dwellings must provide at least the gross internal floor area and built-in storage area set out in Table 3.1.
- 2) A dwelling with two or more bedspaces must have at least one double (or twin) bedroom that is at least 2.75m wide. Every other additional double (or twin) bedroom must be at least 2.55m wide.
- 3) A one bedspace single bedroom must have a floor area of at least 7.5 sq.m. and be at least 2.15m wide.
- 4) A two bedspace double (or twin) bedroom must have a floor area of at least 11.5 sq.m..

5) Any area with a headroom of less than 1.5m is not counted within the Gross Internal Area unless used solely for storage (If the area under the stairs is to be used for storage, assume a general floor area of 1 sq.m. within the Gross Internal Area).

6) Any other area that is used solely for storage and has a headroom of 0.9-1.5m (such as under eaves) can only be counted up to 50 per cent of its floor area, and any area lower than 0.9m is not counted at all.

7) A built-in wardrobe counts towards the Gross Internal Area and bedroom floor area requirements, but should not reduce the effective width of the room below the minimum widths set out above. Any built-in area in excess of 0.72 sq.m. in a double bedroom and 0.36 sq.m. in a single bedroom counts towards the built-in storage requirement.

8) The minimum floor to ceiling height must be 2.5m for at least 75 per cent of the Gross Internal Area of each dwelling.

### **Private outside space**

9) Where there are no higher local standards in the borough Development Plan Documents, a minimum of 5 sq.m. of private outdoor space should be provided for 1-2 person dwellings and an extra 1 sq.m. should be provided for each additional occupant, and it must achieve a minimum depth and width of 1.5m. This does not count towards the minimum Gross Internal Area space standards required in Table 3.1

G The Mayor will produce guidance on the implementation of this policy for all housing tenures.

## **Table 3.1 - Minimum internal space standards for new dwellings**

**Table 3.1 - Minimum internal space standards for new dwellings**

Minimum gross internal floor areas and storage in square metres

Table 3.1 shows the minimum internal space standards for new dwellings in square metres based on the number of bedrooms and storeys

<b>Number of bedrooms (b)</b>	<b>Number of bed spaces (persons(p))</b>	<b>1 storey dwellings</b>	<b>2 storey dwellings</b>	<b>3 storey dwellings</b>	<b>Built-in storage</b>
1b	1p	39 (37)*	n/a	n/a	1
1b	2p	50	58	n/a	1.5
2b	3p	61	70	n/a	2
2b	4p	70	79	n/a	2
3b	4p	74	84	90	2.5
3b	5p	86	93	99	2.5
3b	6p	95	102	108	2.5
4b	5p	90	97	103	3
4b	6p	99	106	112	3
4b	7p	108	115	121	3

Number of bedrooms (b)	Number of bed spaces (persons(p))	1 storey dwellings	2 storey dwellings	3 storey dwellings	Built-in storage
4b	8p	117	124	130	3
5b	6p	103	110	116	3.5
5b	7p	112	119	125	3.5
5b	8p	121	128	134	3.5
6b	7p	116	123	129	4
6b	8p	125	132	138	4

### Notes to Table 3.1

#### Key

b: bedrooms

p: persons

New dwelling in this context includes new build, conversions and change of use.

\* Where a studio / one single bedroom one person dwelling has a shower room instead of a bathroom, the floor area may be reduced from 39 sq.m. to 37 sq.m., as shown bracketed.

The Gross Internal Area (GIA) of a dwelling is defined as the total floor space measured between the internal faces of perimeter walls that enclose a dwelling. This includes partitions, structural elements, cupboards, ducts, flights of stairs and voids above stairs. GIA should be measured and denoted in square metres (sq.m.).

Built-in storage areas are included within the overall GIA and include an allowance of 0.5 sq.m. for fixed services or equipment such as a hot water cylinder, boiler or heat exchanger.

GIAs for one storey dwellings include enough space for one bathroom and one additional WC (or shower room) in dwellings with five or more bedspaces. GIAs for two and three storey dwellings include enough space for one bathroom and one additional WC (or shower room). Additional sanitary facilities may be included without increasing the GIA, provided that all aspects of the space standard have been met.

3.6.1 Housing can be delivered in different physical forms depending on the context and site characteristics. Ensuring homes are of adequate size and fit for purpose is crucial in an increasingly dense city; therefore this Plan sets out **minimum space standards** for dwellings of different sizes in [Policy D6 Housing quality and standards](#) and Table 3.1. This is based on the minimum gross internal floor area (GIA) relative to the number of occupants and takes into account commonly required furniture and the spaces needed for different activities and moving around. This means applicants should state the number of bedspaces/ occupiers a home is designed to accommodate rather than simply the number of bedrooms. When designing homes for more than eight bedspaces, applicants should allow approximately 10 sq.m. per extra bedspace.

3.6.2 The space standards are minimums which applicants are encouraged to exceed. The **standards apply to all new self-contained dwellings** of any tenure, and consideration should be given to the elements that enable a home to become a comfortable place of retreat. The provision of additional services and spaces as part of a housing development, such as building management and communal amenity space, is not a justification for failing to deliver these minimum standards. Boroughs are, however, encouraged to resist dwellings with floor



areas significantly above those set out in Table 3.1 for the number of bedspaces they contain due to the level of housing need and the need to make efficient use of land.

3.6.3 To address the impacts of the urban heat island effect and the fact that the majority of housing developments in London are made up of flats, a **minimum ceiling height** of 2.5m for at least 75 per cent of the gross internal area is required so that new housing is of adequate quality, especially in terms of daylight penetration, ventilation and cooling, and sense of space. The height of ceilings, doorways and other thresholds should support the creation of an inclusive environment and therefore be sufficiently high to not cause an obstruction. To allow for some essential equipment in the ceilings of kitchens and bathrooms, up to 25 per cent of the gross internal area of the dwelling can be lower than 2.5 m. However, any reduction in ceiling height below 2.5 m should be the minimum necessary for this equipment, and not cause an obstruction.

3.6.4 **Dual aspect dwellings** with opening windows on at least two sides have many inherent benefits. These include better daylight, a greater chance of direct sunlight for longer periods, natural cross-ventilation, a greater capacity to address overheating, pollution mitigation, a choice of views, access to a quiet side of the building, greater flexibility in the use of rooms, and more potential for future adaptability by altering the use of rooms.

3.6.5 **Single aspect dwellings** are more difficult to ventilate naturally and are more likely to overheat, and therefore should normally be avoided. Single aspect dwellings that are north facing, contain three or more bedrooms or are exposed to noise levels above which significant adverse effects on health and quality of life occur, should be avoided. The design of single aspect dwellings must demonstrate that all habitable rooms and the kitchen are provided with adequate passive ventilation, privacy and daylight, and that the orientation enhances amenity, including views. It must also demonstrate how they will avoid overheating without reliance on energy intensive mechanical cooling systems.

3.6.6 A variety of approaches to housing typologies and **layout of buildings** should be explored to make the best use of land and create high quality, comfortable and attractive homes. For example, increasing ceiling heights and having bay windows can optimise daylight and sunlight and allow buildings to be closer together than can otherwise be achieved.

3.6.7 Housing developments should be designed to **maximise tenure integration**, and affordable housing units should have the same external appearance as private housing. All entrances will need to be well integrated with the rest of the development and should be indistinguishable from each other.

3.6.8 Development should help create a more socially inclusive London. **Gated forms of development** that could realistically be provided as a public street are unacceptable and alternative means of security should be achieved through utilising the principles of good urban design and inclusive design (see [Policy D5 Inclusive design](#)).

3.6.9 **Private outside space** should be practical in terms of its shape and utility, and care should be taken to ensure the space offers good amenity. All dwellings should have level access to one or more of the following forms of private outside spaces: a garden, terrace, roof garden, courtyard garden or balcony. The use of roof areas, including podiums, and courtyards for additional private or shared outside space is encouraged.

3.6.10 **Communal play space** should meet the requirements of [Policy S4 Play and informal recreation](#).

## **Table 3.2 Qualitative design aspects to be addressed in housing developments**

**Table 3.2 Qualitative design aspects to be addressed in housing developments**

Table 3.2 describes the qualitative design aspects to be addressed in housing developments

#	Area	Description
i	Layout, orientation and form	<p>The built form, massing and height of the development should be appropriate for the surrounding context, and it should be shown that alternative arrangements to accommodate the same number of units or bedspaces with a different relationship to the surrounding context have been explored early in the design process (making use of the measures in paragraph 3.3.23), particularly where a proposal is above the applicable density indicated in Part D of <a href="#">Policy D4 Delivering good design</a></p>
ii	Layout, orientation and form	<p>The layout of the scheme (including spaces between and around buildings) should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• form a coherent, legible and navigable pattern of streets and blocks</li> <li>• engender street based activity and provide a sense of safety</li> <li>• maximise active frontages onto public facing sides of a development, where appropriate wrapping around inactive frontages</li> </ul>
iii	Layout, orientation and form	<p>The site layout, orientation and design of individual dwellings and, where applicable, common spaces should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• provide privacy and adequate daylight for residents</li> <li>• be orientated to optimise opportunities for visual interest through a range of immediate and longer range views, with the views from individual dwellings considered at an early design stage</li> <li>• provide clear and convenient routes with a feeling of safety</li> <li>• help reduce noise from common areas to individual dwellings</li> <li>• help meet the challenges of a changing climate by ensuring homes are suitable for warmer summers and wetter winters</li> </ul>

#	Area	Description
		Communal outside amenity spaces should:
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• provide sufficient space to meet the requirements of the number of residents</li> <li>• be designed to be easily accessed from all related dwellings</li> </ul>
iv	Outside space	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• be located to be appreciated from the inside</li> <li>• be positioned to allow overlooking</li> <li>• be designed to support an appropriate balance of informal social activity and play opportunities for various age groups</li> <li>• meet the changing and diverse needs of different occupiers</li> </ul>
v	Outside space	Private amenity space for each dwelling should be usable and have a balance of openness and protection, appropriate for its outlook and orientation
		The development should ensure that:
vi	Usability and ongoing maintenance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the experience of arrival, via footpaths, entrances and shared circulation spaces is comfortable, accessible and fit for purpose</li> <li>• features are designed to allow maintenance activities such as window cleaning, to be undertaken with ease</li> <li>• sufficient levels of secure, covered and conveniently located externally accessible storage is provided for deliveries and other bulky items</li> <li>• recycling and waste disposal, storage and any on site management facilities are convenient in their operation and location, appropriately integrated, and designed to work effectively for residents, management and collection services.*</li> </ul>

\* See also the London Waste and Recycling Board's Waste Management Planning Advice for New Flatted Properties 2014. <http://www.lwarb.gov.uk/what-we-do/resource-london/successes-to-date/efficiencies-programme-outputs/>

3.6.10 Other components of housing design are also important to improving the attractiveness of new homes as well as the Mayor's wider objectives to improve the quality of Londoners' environment. The Mayor intends to produce a single **guidance** document which clearly sets out the standards which need to be met in order to

implement [Policy D6 Housing quality and standards](#) for all housing tenures, as well as wider qualitative aspects of housing developments. This will include guidance on daylight and sunlight standards. This will build on the guidance set out in the 2016 Housing SPG and the previous London Housing Design Guide.

## Policy D7 Accessible housing

### Policy D7 Accessible housing

A To provide suitable housing and genuine choice for London's diverse population, including disabled people, older people and families with young children, residential development must ensure that:

- 1) at least 10 per cent of dwellings (which are created via works to which Part M volume 1 of the Building Regulations applies) meet Building Regulation requirement M4(3) 'wheelchair user dwellings'
- 2) all other dwellings (which are created via works to which Part M volume 1 of the Building Regulations applies) meet Building Regulation requirement M4(2) 'accessible and adaptable dwellings'.

3.7.1 Many households in London require **accessible or adapted housing** to lead dignified and independent lives. In addition, Londoners are living longer and with the incidence of disability increasing with age, older people should have the choice of remaining in their own homes rather than moving due to inaccessible accommodation. To address these and future needs, [Policy D7 Accessible housing](#) should apply to all dwellings which are created via works to which Part M volume 1 of the Building Regulations applies,<sup>[30]</sup> which, at the time of publication of this Plan, generally limits the application of this policy to new build dwellings.

3.7.2 Where any part of an **approach route** – including the vertical circulation in the common parts of a block of flats – is shared between dwellings of different categories (i.e. M4(2) and M4(3)), the design provisions of the highest numbered category of dwelling served should be applied, to ensure that people can visit their neighbours with ease and are not limited by the design of communal areas. For residential disabled persons parking requirements – see [Policy T6 .1 Residential parking](#).

3.7.3 To ensure that all potential residents have **choice within a development**, the requirement for M4(3) wheelchair user dwellings applies to all tenures. Wheelchair user dwellings should be distributed throughout a development to provide a range of aspects, floor level locations, views and unit sizes.

3.7.4 Standard M4(3) wheelchair user dwellings distinguishes between '**wheelchair accessible**' (a home readily usable by a wheelchair user at the point of completion) and '**wheelchair adaptable**' (a home that can be easily adapted to meet the needs of a wheelchair user). Planning Practice Guidance<sup>[31]</sup> states that Local Plan policies for wheelchair accessible homes should only be applied to those dwellings where the local authority is responsible for allocating or nominating a person to live in that dwelling, otherwise M4(3) dwellings should be wheelchair adaptable.

3.7.5 As set out in Approved Document M of the Building Regulations, Volume 1: Dwellings, to comply with requirements M4(2) or M4(3), **step-free access** into the dwelling must be provided.

3.7.6 In exceptional circumstances the provision of a lift to dwelling entrances may not be achievable. In the following circumstances – and only in blocks of four storeys or less – it may be necessary to apply some flexibility in the application of this policy:

- Specific small-scale infill developments (see [Policy H2 Small sites](#))
- Flats above existing shops or garages
- Stacked maisonettes where the potential for decked access to lifts is restricted

3.7.7 If it is agreed at the planning stage (for one of the reasons listed above) that a specific development warrants flexibility in the application of the accessible housing standards M4(2) and M4(3), affected dwellings above or below ground floor would be required to satisfy the mandatory building regulations requirements of M4(1) via the Building Control process. M4(2) and M4(3) dwellings should still be required for ground floor units.

3.7.8 M4(2) and M4(3) dwellings should be **secured via planning condition** to allow the Building Control body to check compliance of a development against the optional Building Regulations standards. Planning conditions should specify:

- Number of dwellings per size typology (i.e. x no. of y bed units) which must comply with Part M4(2)
- Number of dwellings per size typology (i.e. x no. of y bed units) which must comply with Part M4(3)(2)(a) wheelchair adaptable standards
- Number of dwellings per size typology (i.e. x no. of y bed units) which must comply with Part M4(3)(2)(b) wheelchair accessible standards,

## Policy D8 Public realm

### Policy D8 Public realm

Development Plans and development proposals should:

A encourage and explore opportunities to create new public realm where appropriate

B ensure the public realm is well-designed, safe, accessible, inclusive, attractive, well-connected, related to the local and historic context, and easy to understand, service and maintain. Landscape treatment, planting, street furniture and surface materials should be of good quality, fit-for-purpose, durable and sustainable. Lighting, including for advertisements, should be carefully considered and well-designed in order to minimise intrusive lighting infrastructure and reduce light pollution

C maximise the contribution that the public realm makes to encourage active travel and ensure its design discourages travel by car and excessive on-street parking, which can obstruct people's safe enjoyment of the space. This includes design that reduces the impact of traffic noise and encourages appropriate vehicle speeds

D be based on an understanding of how the public realm in an area functions and creates a sense of place during different times of the day and night, days of the week and times of the year. In particular, they should demonstrate an understanding of how people use the public realm, and the types, location and relationship between public spaces in an area, identifying where there are deficits for certain activities, or barriers to movement that create severance for pedestrians and cyclists

E ensure both the movement function of the public realm and its function as a place are provided for and that the balance of space and time given to each reflects the individual characteristics of the area. The priority modes of travel for the area should be identified and catered for, as appropriate. Desire lines for people walking and cycling should be a particular focus, including the placement of street crossings, which should be regular, convenient and accessible

F ensure there is a mutually supportive relationship between the space, surrounding buildings and their uses, so that the public realm enhances the amenity and function of buildings and the design of buildings contributes to a vibrant public realm

G ensure buildings are of a design that activates and defines the public realm, and provides natural surveillance. Consideration should also be given to the local microclimate created by buildings, and the impact of service entrances and facades on the public realm

H ensure appropriate management and maintenance arrangements are in place for the public realm, which maximise public access and minimise rules governing the space to those required for its safe management in accordance with the Public London Charter



I incorporate green infrastructure such as street trees and other vegetation into the public realm to support rainwater management through sustainable drainage, reduce exposure to air pollution, moderate surface and air temperature and increase biodiversity

J ensure that appropriate shade, shelter, seating and, where possible, areas of direct sunlight are provided, with other microclimatic considerations, including temperature and wind, taken into account in order to encourage people to spend time in a place

K ensure that street clutter, including street furniture that is poorly located, unsightly, in poor condition or without a clear function is removed, to ensure that pedestrian amenity is improved. Consideration should be given to the use, design and location of street furniture so that it complements the use and function of the space. Applications which seek to introduce unnecessary street furniture should be refused

L explore opportunities for innovative approaches to improving the public realm such as open street events and Play Streets

M create an engaging public realm for people of all ages, with opportunities for social activities, formal and informal play and social interaction during the daytime, evening and at night. This should include identifying opportunities for the meanwhile use of sites in early phases of development to create temporary public realm

N ensure that any on-street parking is designed so that it is not dominant or continuous, and that there is space for green infrastructure as well as cycle parking in the carriageway. Parking should not obstruct pedestrian lines

O ensure the provision and future management of free drinking water at appropriate locations in the new or redeveloped public realm

3.8.1 The **public realm** includes all the publicly-accessible space between buildings, whether public or privately owned, from alleyways and streets to squares and open spaces, including the Thames and London's waterways. Some internal or elevated spaces can also be considered as part of the public realm, such as markets, shopping malls, sky gardens, viewing platforms, museums or station concourses. Such forms of public realm are particularly relevant in areas of higher density.

3.8.2 The quality of the public realm has a significant influence on quality of life because it affects people's sense of place, security and belonging, as well as having an influence on a range of health and social factors. For this reason, the public realm, and the buildings that frame those spaces, should be attractive, accessible, designed for people and contribute to the highest possible standards of comfort, good acoustic design, security and ease of movement. Higher levels of comfort should be sought in places where people will wish to sit, play, relax, meet, and dwell outside compared to other parts of the public realm that are primarily used for movement. As London's population grows, the demands on London's public realm to accommodate a greater **variety and intensity of uses** will increase. It is particularly important to recognise these demands in higher density development.

3.8.3 The public realm should be seen as a series of **connected routes and spaces** that help to define the character of a place. Around eighty per cent of public realm in London is in the form of streets and roads. A small proportion (less than eight per cent) of these have the primary purpose of moving large numbers of vehicles through them, while most are intended to be quiet residential streets used for play, recreation and local access. The remaining streets are places which function as key centres for leisure, shopping, culture, social interaction and accessing services and employment, such as high streets or public squares.

3.8.4 The specific balance between the different functions of any one space, such as its place-based activities, its function to facilitate movement and its ability to accommodate different uses of the kerbside, should be at the heart of how the space is designed and managed. The Mayor's **Healthy Streets Approach** explains how the design and management of streets can support a wide range of activities in the public realm as well as encourage and facilitate a shift to active travel.

3.8.5 **Pedestrian crossings** should be accessible and provide tactile paving and associated dropped kerbs or level access in accordance with national guidance.

3.8.6 Places should be distinctive, attractive and of the highest quality, allowing people to meet, congregate and socialise, as well as providing opportunity for quiet enjoyment. Public realm is **valuable for London's cultural activity**, providing a stage for informal and everyday culture and for organised cultural activity. The opportunity to incorporate these uses should be identified and facilitated through community engagement, careful design and good acoustic design. Careful consideration is needed of the benefits of using the public realm for particular events and the impact of the events on the use and enjoyment of the space by the public.

3.8.7 **Legibility and signposting** make an important contribution to whether people feel comfortable in a place, and are able to understand it and navigate their way around. Transport for London's Streets Toolkit provides detailed design guidance for creating high quality streets and public spaces.

3.8.8 Even when a development does not include the creation of new public realm it will have an **impact on neighbouring public realm**. Therefore, any impact or change to the conditions, use or nature of existing public space brought about by a development should meet the requirements of this policy.

3.8.9 The effective **management and ongoing maintenance** of public realm should be a key consideration in the design of places and secured through the planning system where appropriate. Whether publicly or privately owned, public realm should be open, free to use and offer the highest level of public access. These spaces should only have rules restricting the behaviour of the public that are considered essential for safe management of the space. The Mayor will develop a 'Public London Charter' which will set out the rights and responsibilities for the users, owners and managers of public spaces irrespective of land ownership. The rules and restrictions on public access and behaviour covering all new or redeveloped public space and its management should be in accordance with the Public London Charter, and this requirement should be secured through legal agreement or planning condition.

3.8.10 The **lighting** of the public realm needs careful consideration to ensure it is appropriate to address safety and security issues, and make night-time activity areas and access routes welcoming and safe, while also minimising light pollution.

3.8.11 The provision of accessible **free drinking water** fountains helps improve public health, reduces waste from single-use plastic bottles and supports the circular economy through the use of reusable water bottles. Free drinking water fountains that can both refill water bottles directly and be drunk from should be provided in appropriate locations in new or redeveloped public realm. Appropriate locations for these water fountains should be identified by boroughs during the planning process. These locations include areas with high levels of pedestrian activity, such as in town centres and inside shopping malls, as well as areas of the public realm used for play, exercise and relaxing, such as parks and squares. The ongoing management and maintenance of facilities should be secured and agreed at the planning stage to ensure long-term provision is achievable.

3.8.12 Opportunities should be identified by boroughs and applicants for the **meanwhile (temporary) use** of phased development sites to create attractive public realm. Parameters for any meanwhile use, particularly its longevity and associated obligations, should be established from the outset and agreed by all parties. Whilst the creation of temporary public realm makes the best use of land and provides visual, environmental and health benefits to the local community, planning permission for more permanent uses is still required.

## Policy D9 Tall buildings

### Policy D9 Tall buildings

## **Definition**

A Based on local context, Development Plans should define what is considered a tall building for specific localities, the height of which will vary between and within different parts of London but should not be less than 6 storeys or 18 metres measured from ground to the floor level of the uppermost storey.

## **Locations**

B

- 1) Boroughs should determine if there are locations where tall buildings may be an appropriate form of development, subject to meeting the other requirements of the Plan. This process should include engagement with neighbouring boroughs that may be affected by tall building developments in identified locations.
- 2) Any such locations and appropriate tall building heights should be identified on maps in Development Plans.
- 3) Tall buildings should only be developed in locations that are identified as suitable in Development Plans.

## **Impacts**

C Development proposals should address the following impacts:

1) visual impacts

a) the views of buildings from different distances:

i long-range views – these require attention to be paid to the design of the top of the building. It should make a positive contribution to the existing and emerging skyline and not adversely affect local or strategic views

ii mid-range views from the surrounding neighbourhood – particular attention should be paid to the form and proportions of the building. It should make a positive contribution to the local townscape in terms of legibility, proportions and materiality

iii immediate views from the surrounding streets – attention should be paid to the base of the building. It should have a direct relationship with the street, maintaining the pedestrian scale, character and vitality of the street. Where the edges of the site are adjacent to buildings of significantly lower height or parks and other open spaces there should be an appropriate transition in scale between the tall building and its surrounding context to protect amenity or privacy.

b) whether part of a group or stand-alone, tall buildings should reinforce the spatial hierarchy of the local and wider context and aid legibility and wayfinding

c) architectural quality and materials should be of an exemplary standard to ensure that the appearance and architectural integrity of the building is maintained through its lifespan

d) proposals should take account of, and avoid harm to, the significance of London's heritage assets and their settings. Proposals resulting in harm will require clear and convincing justification, demonstrating that alternatives have been explored and that there are clear public benefits that outweigh that harm. The buildings should positively contribute to the character of the area

e) buildings in the setting of a World Heritage Site must preserve, and not harm, the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage Site, and the ability to appreciate it

f) buildings near the River Thames, particularly in the Thames Policy Area, should protect and enhance the open quality of the river and the riverside public realm, including views, and not contribute to a canyon effect along the river

g) buildings should not cause adverse reflected glare

h) buildings should be designed to minimise light pollution from internal and external lighting

2) functional impact

a) the internal and external design, including construction detailing, the building's materials and its emergency exit routes must ensure the safety of all occupants

b) buildings should be serviced, maintained and managed in a manner that will preserve their safety and quality, and not cause disturbance or inconvenience to surrounding public realm. Servicing, maintenance and building management arrangements should be considered at the start of the design process

c) entrances, access routes, and ground floor uses should be designed and placed to allow for peak time use and to ensure there is no unacceptable overcrowding or isolation in the surrounding areas

d) it must be demonstrated that the capacity of the area and its transport network is capable of accommodating the quantum of development in terms of access to facilities, services, walking and cycling networks, and public

transport for people living or working in the building

e) jobs, services, facilities and economic activity that will be provided by the development and the regeneration potential this might provide should inform the design so it maximises the benefits these could bring to the area, and maximises the role of the development as a catalyst for further change in the area

f) buildings, including their construction, should not interfere with aviation, navigation or telecommunication, and should avoid a significant detrimental effect on solar energy generation on adjoining buildings

3) environmental impact

a) wind, daylight, sunlight penetration and temperature conditions around the building(s) and neighbourhood must be carefully considered and not compromise comfort and the enjoyment of open spaces, including water spaces, around the building

b) air movement affected by the building(s) should support the effective dispersion of pollutants, but not adversely affect street-level conditions

c) noise created by air movements around the building(s), servicing machinery, or building uses, should not detract from the comfort and enjoyment of open spaces around the building

4) cumulative impacts

a) the cumulative visual, functional and environmental impacts of proposed, consented and planned tall buildings in an area must be considered when assessing tall building proposals and when developing plans for an area. Mitigation measures should be identified and designed into the building as integral features from the outset to avoid retro-fitting.

#### **Public access**

D Free to enter publicly-accessible areas should be incorporated into tall buildings where appropriate, particularly more prominent tall buildings where they should normally be located at the top of the building to afford wider views across London.

3.9.1 Whilst high density does not need to imply high rise, **tall buildings** can form part of a plan-led approach to facilitating regeneration opportunities and managing future growth, contributing to new homes and economic growth, particularly in order to make optimal use of the capacity of sites which are well-connected by public transport and have good access to services and amenities. Tall buildings can help people navigate through the city by providing reference points and emphasising the hierarchy of a place such as its main centres of activity, and important street junctions and transport interchanges. Tall buildings that are of exemplary architectural quality, in the right place, can make a positive contribution to London's cityscape, and many tall buildings have become a valued part of London's identity. However, they can also have detrimental visual, functional and environmental impacts if in inappropriate locations and/or of poor quality design. The processes set out below will enable boroughs to identify locations where tall buildings play a positive role in shaping the character of an area.

3.9.2 Boroughs should determine and **identify locations where tall buildings may be an appropriate form of development** by undertaking the steps below:

1. based on the areas identified for growth as part of [Policy D1 London's form, character and capacity for growth](#), undertake a sieving exercise by assessing potential visual and cumulative impacts to consider whether there are locations where tall buildings could have a role in contributing to the emerging character and vision for a place
2. in these locations, determine the maximum height that could be acceptable
3. identify these locations and heights on maps in Development Plans.

3.9.3 Tall buildings are generally those that are substantially taller than their surroundings and cause a significant change to the skyline. Boroughs should **define what is a 'tall building' for specific localities**, however this definition should not be less than 6 storeys or 18 metres measured from ground to the floor level of the

uppermost storey. This does not mean that all buildings up to this height are automatically acceptable, such proposals will still need to be assessed in the context of other planning policies, by the boroughs in the usual way, to ensure that they are appropriate for their location and do not lead to unacceptable impacts on the local area. In large areas of extensive change, such as Opportunity Areas, the threshold for what constitutes a tall building should relate to the evolving (not just the existing) context. This policy applies to tall buildings as defined by the borough. Where there is no local definition, the policy applies to buildings over 6 storeys or 18 metres measured from ground to the floor level of the uppermost storey.

3.9.4 The higher the building the greater the level of **scrutiny** that is required of its design. In addition, tall buildings that are referable to the Mayor, must be subject to the particular design scrutiny requirements set out in Part D of [Policy D4 Delivering good design](#).

3.9.5 The Mayor will work with boroughs to provide a **strategic overview of tall building locations** across London and will seek to utilise 3D virtual reality digital modelling to help identify these areas, assess tall building proposals and aid public consultation and engagement. 3D virtual reality modelling can also help assess cumulative impacts of developments, particularly those permitted but not yet completed.

3.9.6 A tall building can be considered to be made up of three main parts: a top, middle and base. The top includes the upper floors, and roof-top mechanical or telecommunications equipment and amenity space. The **top** should be designed to make a positive contribution to the quality and character of the skyline, and mechanical and telecommunications equipment must be integrated in the total building design. Not all tall buildings need to be iconic landmarks and the design of the top of the building (i.e. the form, profile and materiality) should relate to the building's role within the existing context of London's skyline. Where publicly-accessible areas, including viewing areas on upper floors, are provided as a public benefit of the development, they should be freely accessible and in accordance with Part G of [Policy D8 Public realm](#). Well-designed safety measures should be integrated into the design of tall buildings and must ensure personal safety at height.

3.9.7 The **middle** of a tall building has an important effect on how much sky is visible from surrounding streets and buildings, as well as on wind flow, privacy and the amount of sunlight and shadowing there is in the public realm and by surrounding properties.

3.9.8 The **base** of the tall building is its lower storeys. The function of the base should be to frame the public realm and streetscape, articulate entrances, and help create an attractive and lively public realm which provides a safe, inclusive, interesting, and comfortable pedestrian experience. The base should integrate with the street frontage of adjacent buildings and, where appropriate, enable the building to transition down in height.

3.9.9 Any **external lighting** for tall buildings should be minimal, energy efficient and designed to minimise glare, light trespass, and sky glow, and should not negatively impact on protected views, designated heritage assets and their settings, or the amenity of nearby residents.

3.9.10 The list of impacts of tall buildings in [Policy D9 Tall buildings](#) is not exhaustive and **other impacts** may need to be taken into consideration. For example, the impact of new tall buildings in proximity to waterbodies supporting notable bird species upon the birds' flight lines may need to be considered.

3.9.11 **Safety** considerations must be central to the design and operation of tall buildings. [Policy D11 Safety, security and resilience to emergency](#) provides information on how to ensure the design of buildings follows best practice to minimise the threats from fire, flood, terrorism, and other hazards and [Policy D12 Fire safety](#) sets out specific requirements to address fire risk.

# Policy D10 Basement development

## Policy D10 Basement development

A Boroughs should establish policies in their Development Plans to address the negative impacts of large-scale basement development beneath existing buildings, where this is identified as an issue locally.

3.10.1 High residential land values and development constraints have led to **increasing levels of basement development** beneath existing buildings, particularly within central and inner London boroughs.

3.10.2 The construction of basements can cause significant disturbance and disruption if not managed effectively, especially where there are cumulative impacts from a concentration of subterranean developments. **Large-scale basements** (i.e. those that are multi-storey and/or those that extend significantly beyond the existing building footprint) can cause particular issues, especially when located in residential or higher density mixed-use areas. Such basement development can impact on land and structural stability as well as causing localised flooding or drainage issues. The extent and duration of construction of large-scale basements can also lead to a large number of HGV trips, as well as noise and vibration issues, causing disturbance to local residents. Measures such as requiring Construction Method and Management Plans can help protect neighbours during construction. Other consents and regulatory regimes may also be involved, such as Environmental Health in regard to noise and contamination, and Highways in relation to licences for skips and temporary structures.

3.10.3 The Mayor supports boroughs in **restricting large-scale basement excavations** under existing properties where this type of development is likely to cause unacceptable harm. Local authorities are advised to consider the following issues, including any cumulative impacts, alongside other relevant local circumstances when developing their own policies for basement developments: local ground conditions; flood risk and drainage impacts; land and structural stability; protection of trees, landscape, and biodiversity; archaeology and heritage assets; neighbour amenity; air and light pollution; and the impacts of noise, vibration, dust and site waste. Where particular and cumulative flood risk issues exist, boroughs should consider restricting the use of basements for non-habitable uses. The Agent of Change Principle ([Policy D13 Agent of Change](#)) should be applied to basement development to limit the impact of ground-borne noise and vibration from existing uses and infrastructure. Further guidance will be provided in Supplementary Planning Guidance.

3.10.4 Most proposals for the construction of a basement will require planning permission. These proposals need to be managed sensitively through the planning application process to ensure that their potential impact on the local environment and residential amenity is acceptable.

3.10.5 Basement development (small or large) can also cause significant noise and vibration disturbance through the reflection/focusing of ground-borne vibration originating from existing infrastructure, such as London Underground infrastructure, if this issue is not considered and managed effectively during its design and construction. Impact assessments prior to construction should consider the effects on the ground-borne vibration environment and propose appropriate mitigation, especially for surrounding residents.

3.10.6 The Mayor considers that **smaller-scale basement excavations**, where they are appropriately designed and constructed, can contribute to the efficient use of land, and provide extra living space without the costs of moving house. In areas where basement developments could cause particular harm, boroughs can consider introducing **Article 4 Directions** to require smaller-scale proposals to obtain planning permission.

## Policy D11 Safety, security and resilience to emergency



## Policy D11 Safety, security and resilience to emergency

A The Mayor uses his convening power to work with relevant partners and stakeholders to ensure and maintain a safe and secure environment in London that is resilient against emergencies including fire, flood, weather, terrorism and related hazards as set out in the London Risk Register.

B Boroughs should work with their local Metropolitan Police Service ‘Design Out Crime’ officers and planning teams, whilst also working with other agencies such as the London Fire Commissioner, the City of London Police and the British Transport Police to identify the community safety needs, policies and sites required for their area to support provision of necessary infrastructure to maintain a safe and secure environment and reduce the fear of crime. Policies and any site allocations, where locally justified, should be set out in Development Plans.

C Development proposals should maximise building resilience and minimise potential physical risks, including those arising as a result of extreme weather, fire, flood and related hazards. Development should include measures to design out crime that – in proportion to the risk – deter terrorism, assist in the detection of terrorist activity and help mitigate its effects. These measures should be considered at the start of the design process to ensure they are inclusive and aesthetically integrated into the development and the wider area.

3.11.1 Londoners look to the Mayor as a civic leader for support, advice and reassurance in the event of a major incident taking place. The role of the Mayor in an attack is an interconnected one and is clarified via his attendance at COBR<sup>[32]</sup> meetings about incidents affecting, or potentially affecting, London. The London Resilience Partnership maintains the **London Risk Register**<sup>[33]</sup>. The London Risk Register provides a summary of the main risks affecting London and identifies the existing risk management arrangements for the risks.

3.11.2 New developments, including building refurbishments, should be constructed with resilience at the heart of their design. In particular they should incorporate appropriate **fire safety** solutions and represent best practice in fire safety planning in both design and management. The London Fire Commissioner should be consulted early in the design process to ensure major developments have fire safety solutions built-in. Flooding issues and designing out the effects of flooding are addressed in [Chapter 9](#).

3.11.3 Measures to **design out crime**, including counter terrorism measures, should be integral to development proposals and considered early in the design process, taking into account the principles contained in guidance such as the Secured by Design Scheme<sup>[34]</sup> published by the Police. Further guidance is provided by Government on security design<sup>[35]</sup>. This will ensure development proposals provide adequate protection, do not compromise good design, do not shift vulnerabilities elsewhere, and are cost-effective. Development proposals should incorporate measures that are proportionate to the threat of the risk of an attack and the likely consequences of one.

3.11.4 By drawing upon current Counter Terrorism principles, new development, including streetscapes and public spaces, should incorporate elements that deter terrorists, maximise the probability of their detection, and delay/disrupt their activity until an appropriate response can be deployed. Consideration should be given to **physical, personnel and electronic security** (including detailed questions of design and choice of materials, vehicular stand off and access, air intakes and telecommunications infrastructure). The Metropolitan Police (Designing Out Crime Officers and Counter Terrorism Security Advisors) should be consulted to ensure major developments contain appropriate design solutions, which mitigate the potential level of risk whilst ensuring the quality of places is maximised.

## Policy D12 Fire safety

### Policy D12 Fire safety

A In the interests of fire safety and to ensure the safety of all building users, all development proposals must achieve the highest standards of fire safety and ensure that they:

- 1) identify suitably positioned unobstructed outside space:
  - a) for fire appliances to be positioned on
  - b) appropriate for use as an evacuation assembly point
- 2) are designed to incorporate appropriate features which reduce the risk to life and the risk of serious injury in the event of a fire; including appropriate fire alarm systems and passive and active fire safety measures
- 3) are constructed in an appropriate way to minimise the risk of fire spread
- 4) provide suitable and convenient means of escape, and associated evacuation strategy for all building users
- 5) develop a robust strategy for evacuation which can be periodically updated and published, and which all building users can have confidence in
- 6) provide suitable access and equipment for firefighting which is appropriate for the size and use of the development.

B All major development proposals should be submitted with a Fire Statement, which is an independent fire strategy, produced by a third party, suitably qualified assessor.

The statement should detail how the development proposal will function in terms of:

- 1) the building's construction: methods, products and materials used, including manufacturers' details
- 2) the means of escape for all building users: suitably designed stair cores, escape for building users who are disabled or require level access, and associated evacuation strategy approach
- 3) features which reduce the risk to life: fire alarm systems, passive and active fire safety measures and associated management and maintenance plans
- 4) access for fire service personnel and equipment: how this will be achieved in an evacuation situation, water supplies, provision and positioning of equipment, firefighting lifts, stairs and lobbies, any fire suppression and smoke ventilation systems proposed, and the ongoing maintenance and monitoring of these
- 5) how provision will be made within the curtilage of the site to enable fire appliances to gain access to the building
- 6) ensuring that any potential future modifications to the building will take into account and not compromise the base build fire safety/protection measures.

3.12.1 The **fire safety of developments** should be considered from the outset. Development agreements, development briefs and procurement processes should be explicit about incorporating and requiring the highest standards of fire safety. How a building will function in terms of fire, emergency evacuation, and the safety of all users should be considered at the earliest possible stage to ensure the most successful outcomes are achieved, creating developments that are safe and that Londoners can have confidence living in and using.

3.12.2 The matter of fire safety compliance is covered by Part B of the Building Regulations. However, to ensure that development proposals achieve the **highest standards of fire safety**, reducing risk to life, minimising the risk of fire spread, and providing suitable and convenient means of escape which all building users can have confidence in, applicants should consider issues of fire safety before building control application stage, taking into account the diversity of and likely behaviour of the population as a whole.

3.12.3 Applicants should demonstrate on a site plan that space has been identified for the **appropriate positioning of fire appliances**. These spaces should be kept clear of obstructions and conflicting uses which could result in the space not being available for its intended use in the future.

3.12.4 Applicants should also show on a site plan **appropriate evacuation assembly points**. These spaces should be positioned to ensure the safety of people using them in an evacuation situation.

3.12.5 Developments, their floor layouts and cores need to be **planned around issues of fire safety and a robust strategy for evacuation from the outset**, embedding and integrating a suitable strategy and relevant design features at the earliest possible stage, rather than features or products being applied to pre-determined developments which could result in less successful schemes which fail to achieve the highest standards of fire safety. This is of particular importance in blocks of flats, as building users and residents may be less familiar with evacuation procedures.

3.12.6 Suitable **suppression systems** (such as sprinklers) installed in buildings can reduce the risk to life and significantly reduce the degree of damage caused by fire, and should be explored at an early stage of building design.

3.12.7 The provision of **stair cores** which are suitably sized, provided in sufficient numbers and designed with appropriate features to allow simultaneous evacuation should also be explored at an early stage and provided wherever possible.

3.12.8 [Policy D5 Inclusive design](#) requires development to incorporate **safe and dignified emergency evacuation** for all building users, by as independent means as possible. In all developments where lifts are installed, [Policy D5 Inclusive design](#) requires as a minimum at least one lift per core (or more, subject to capacity assessments) to be a suitably sized fire evacuation lift suitable to be used to evacuate people who require level access from the building. Fire evacuation lifts and associated provisions should be appropriately designed and constructed, and should include the necessary controls suitable for the purposes intended.

3.12.9 **Fire statements** should be submitted with all major development proposals. These should be produced by a third-party independent, suitably-qualified assessor. This should be a qualified engineer with relevant experience in fire safety, such as a chartered engineer registered with the Engineering Council by the Institution of Fire Engineers, or suitably qualified and competent professional with the demonstrable experience to address the complexity of the design being proposed. This should be evidenced in the fire statement. Planning departments could work with and be assisted by suitably qualified and experienced officers within borough building control departments and/or the London Fire Brigade, in the evaluation of these statements.

3.12.10 **Fire safety and security measures** should be considered in conjunction with one another, in particular to avoid potential conflicts between security measures and means of escape or access of the fire and rescue service. Early consultation between the London Fire Brigade and the Metropolitan Police Service can successfully resolve any such issues.

3.12.11 **Refurbishment** that requires planning permission will be subject to London Plan policy. Some refurbishment may not require planning permission; nevertheless, the Mayor expects steps to be taken to ensure all existing buildings are safe, taking account of the considerations set out in this policy, as a matter of priority.

## Policy D13 Agent of Change

### Policy D13 Agent of Change

A The Agent of Change principle places the responsibility for mitigating impacts from existing noise and other nuisance-generating activities or uses on the proposed new noise-sensitive development. Boroughs should ensure that Development Plans and planning decisions reflect the Agent of Change principle and take account of existing noise and other nuisance-generating uses in a sensitive manner when new development is proposed nearby.

B Development should be designed to ensure that established noise and other nuisance-generating uses remain viable and can continue or grow without unreasonable restrictions being placed on them.

C New noise and other nuisance-generating development proposed close to residential and other noise-sensitive uses should put in place measures to mitigate and manage any noise impacts for neighbouring residents and businesses.

D Development proposals should manage noise and other potential nuisances by:

- 1) ensuring good design mitigates and minimises existing and potential nuisances generated by existing uses and activities located in the area
- 2) exploring mitigation measures early in the design stage, with necessary and appropriate provisions including ongoing and future management of mitigation measures secured through planning obligations
- 3) separating new noise-sensitive development where possible from existing noise-generating businesses and uses through distance, screening, internal layout, sound-proofing, insulation and other acoustic design measures.

E Boroughs should not normally permit development proposals that have not clearly demonstrated how noise and other nuisances will be mitigated and managed

3.13.1 For a long time, the responsibility for managing and **mitigating the impact of noise** and other nuisances on neighbouring residents and businesses has been placed on the business or activity making the noise or other nuisance, regardless of how long the business or activity has been operating in the area. In many cases, this has led to newly-arrived residents complaining about noise and other nuisances from existing businesses or activities, sometimes forcing the businesses or other activities to close.

3.13.2 The **Agent of Change principle** places the responsibility for mitigating the impact of noise and other nuisances firmly on the new development. This means that where new developments are proposed close to existing noise-generating uses, for example, applicants will need to design them in a more sensitive way to protect the new occupiers, such as residents, businesses, schools and religious institutions, from noise and other impacts. This could include paying for soundproofing for an existing use, such as a music venue. The Agent of Change principle works both ways. For example, if a new noise-generating use is proposed close to existing noise-sensitive uses, such as residential development or businesses, the onus is on the new use to ensure its building or activity is designed to protect existing users or residents from noise impacts.

3.13.3 The Agent of Change principle is included in the National Planning Policy Framework, and **Planning Practice Guidance** provides further information on how to mitigate the adverse impacts of noise and other impacts such as air and light pollution.<sup>[36]</sup>

3.13.4 The Agent of Change principle predominantly concerns the impacts of noise-generating uses and activities but **other nuisances** should be considered under this policy. Other nuisances include dust, odour, light and vibrations (see [Policy SI 1 Improving air quality](#) and [Policy T7 Deliveries, servicing and construction](#)). This is particularly important for development proposed for co-location with industrial uses and the intensification of industrial estates (see Part D4 of [Policy E7 Industrial intensification, co-location and substitution](#)). When considering co-location and intensification of industrial areas, boroughs should ensure that existing businesses and uses do not have unreasonable restrictions placed on them because of the new development.

3.13.5 Noise-generating **cultural venues** such as theatres, concert halls, pubs, night-clubs and other venues that host live or electronic music should be protected (see [Policy HC5 Supporting London's culture and creative industries](#)). This requires a sensitive approach to managing change in the surrounding area. Adjacent development and land uses should be brought forward and designed in ways which ensure established cultural venues remain viable and can continue in their present form without the prospect of licensing restrictions or the threat of closure due to noise complaints from neighbours.

3.13.6 As well as cultural venues, the **Agent of Change principle should be applied to all noise-generating uses and activities** including schools, places of worship, sporting venues, offices, shops, industrial sites, waste sites, safeguarded wharves, rail and other transport infrastructure.

3.13.7 Housing and other **noise-sensitive development** proposed near to an existing noise-generating use should include necessary acoustic design measures, for example, site layout, building orientation, uses and materials. This will ensure new development has effective measures in place to mitigate and minimise potential noise impacts or neighbour amenity issues. Mitigation measures should be explored at an early stage in the design process, with necessary and appropriate provisions secured through planning obligations.

3.13.8 Ongoing and longer-term management of mitigation measures should be considered, for example through a **noise management plan**. [Policy T7 Deliveries, servicing and construction](#) provides guidance on managing the impacts of freight, servicing and deliveries.

3.13.9 Some **permitted development**, including change of use from office to residential, requires noise impacts to be taken into consideration by the Local Planning Authority as part of the prior approval process. Boroughs must take account of national planning policy and guidance on noise, and therefore the Agent of Change principle would apply to these applications.

3.13.10 **Noise and other impact assessments** accompanying planning applications should be carefully tailored to local circumstances and be fit for purpose. That way, the particular characteristics of existing uses can be properly captured and assessed. For example, some businesses and activities can have peaks of noise at different times of the day and night and on different days of the week, and boroughs should require a noise impact assessment to take this into consideration. Boroughs should pay close attention to the assumptions made and methods used in impact assessments to ensure a full and accurate assessment.

3.13.11 Reference should be made to [Policy D14 Noise](#) which considers the impacts of noise-generating activities on a wider scale and [Policy SI 1 Improving air quality](#) which considers the impacts of existing air pollution. **Further guidance** on managing and mitigating noise in development is also provided in the Mayor's London Environment Strategy.

## Policy D14 Noise

### Policy D14 Noise

A In order to reduce, manage and mitigate noise to improve health and quality of life, residential and other non-aviation development proposals should manage noise by:

- 1) avoiding significant adverse noise impacts on health and quality of life
- 2) reflecting the Agent of Change principle as set out in [Policy D13 Agent of Change](#)
- 3) mitigating and minimising the existing and potential adverse impacts of noise on, from, within, as a result of, or in the vicinity of new development without placing unreasonable restrictions on existing noise-generating uses
- 4) improving and enhancing the acoustic environment and promoting appropriate soundscapes (including Quiet Areas and spaces of relative tranquillity)
- 5) separating new noise-sensitive development from major noise sources (such as road, rail, air transport and some types of industrial use) through the use of distance, screening, layout, orientation, uses and materials – in preference to sole reliance on sound insulation
- 6) where it is not possible to achieve separation of noise-sensitive development and noise sources without undue impact on other sustainable development objectives, then any potential adverse effects should be controlled and mitigated through applying good acoustic design principles
- 7) promoting new technologies and improved practices to reduce noise at source, and on the transmission path from source to receiver.

B Boroughs, and others with relevant responsibilities, should identify and nominate new Quiet Areas and protect existing Quiet Areas in line with the procedure in Defra's Noise Action Plan for Agglomerations.

3.14.1 The **management of noise** is about encouraging the right acoustic environment, both internal and external, in the right place at the right time. This is important to promote good health and a good quality of life within the wider context of achieving sustainable development. The management of noise should be an integral part of development proposals and considered as early as possible. Managing noise includes improving and enhancing the acoustic environment and promoting appropriate soundscapes. This can mean allowing some places or certain times to become noisier within reason, whilst others become quieter. Consideration of existing noise sensitivity within an area is important to minimise potential conflicts of uses or activities, for example in relation to internationally important nature conservation sites which contain noise-sensitive wildlife species, or parks and green spaces affected by traffic noise and pollution. Boroughs, developers, businesses and other stakeholders should work collaboratively to identify the existing noise climate and other noise issues to ensure effective management and mitigation measures are achieved in new development proposals.

3.14.2 The **Agent of Change Principle** places the responsibility for mitigating impacts from existing noise-generating activities or uses on the new development. Through the application of this principle existing land uses should not be unduly affected by the introduction of new noise-sensitive uses. Regard should be given to noise-generating uses to avoid prejudicing their potential for intensification or expansion.

3.14.3 The management of noise also includes promoting **good acoustic design of the inside of buildings**. Section 5 of BS 8223:2014 provides guidance on how best to achieve this. The Institute of Acoustics has produced advice, Pro:PG Planning and Noise (May 2017), that may assist with the implementation of residential developments. BS4214 provides guidance on monitoring noise issues in mixed residential/industrial areas.

3.14.4 Deliberately **introducing sounds** can help mitigate the adverse impact of existing sources of noise, enhance the enjoyment of the public realm, and help protect the relative tranquillity and quietness of places where such features are valued. For example, playing low-level music outside the entrance to nightclubs has been found to reduce noise from queueing patrons, leading to an overall reduction in noise levels. Water features can be used to reduce the traffic noise, replacing it with the sound of falling water, generally found to be more pleasant by most people.<sup>[37]</sup>

3.14.5 Heathrow and London City Airport Operators have responsibility for noise action plans for airports. [Policy T8 Aviation](#) sets out the Mayor's approach to **aviation-related development**.

3.14.6 The definition of **Tranquil Areas, Quiet Areas and spaces of relative tranquillity** are matters for London boroughs. These are likely to reflect the specific context of individual boroughs, such that Quiet Areas in central London boroughs may reasonably be expected not to be as quiet as Quiet Areas in more residential boroughs. Defra has identified parts of Metropolitan Open Land and local green spaces as potential Quiet Areas that boroughs may wish to designate.<sup>[38]</sup>

## Navigation

---

<sup>[26]</sup> PTAL and Time Mapping (TIM) catchment analysis is available on TfL's [WebCAT](#) webpage. TIM provides data showing access to employment, town centres, health services, and educational establishments as well as displaying the population catchment for a given point in London (see [PTAL](#) in glossary for more information on WebCAT and Time Mapping).



[27] Design Review Principles and Practice, The Design Council, et al, 2013, available at:  
[https://www.designcouncil.org.uk/sites/default/files/asset/document/DC%20Cabe%20Design%20Review%202013\\_W\\_0.p](https://www.designcouncil.org.uk/sites/default/files/asset/document/DC%20Cabe%20Design%20Review%202013_W_0.p)

[28] Higher density residential developments are those with a density of at least 350 units per hectare

[29] Shaping London: How can London deliver good growth?, Mayor's Design Advisory Group, 2016

[30] This is governed by the Building Regulations 2010:  
[http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2010/2214/pdfs/ukxi\\_20102214\\_en.pdf](http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2010/2214/pdfs/ukxi_20102214_en.pdf) and the Building Regulations &c. (Amendment) Regulations 2015: [http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2015/767/pdfs/ukxi\\_20150767\\_en.pdf](http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2015/767/pdfs/ukxi_20150767_en.pdf)

[31] <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/housing-optional-technical-standards>

[32] COBR (often referred to as COBRA) stands for Cabinet Office Briefing Rooms, these are the locations the Government's emergency response committee set up to respond to major events and emergencies.

[33] For further details see <http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor-assembly/mayor/london-resilience>

[34] For further details see <http://www.securedbydesign.com/>

[35] Crowded Places Guidance, National Counter Terrorism Security Office, 2017:  
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/crowded-places-guidance>; Crowded Places: The Planning System and Counter-Terrorism, Home Office and DCLG, 2012; and Protecting crowded places: design and technical issues, Home Office, Centre for the Protection of National Infrastructure and the National Counter-Terrorism Security Office, 2014: <https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/crowded-places>

[36] National Planning Policy Guidance, Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government, 2014,  
<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/noise--2>

[37] For more information on approaches to minimise noise related to road and rail traffic, aircraft, water transport and industry see the Mayor's Environment Strategy

[38] Noise Action Plan: Agglomerations Environmental Noise (England) Regulations 2006 (as amended), Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs, 2014:  
[https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/276228/noise-action-plan-agglomerations-201401.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/276228/noise-action-plan-agglomerations-201401.pdf)

Corrections have been made to section 3.3.3, part A of Policy D4 and part C of Policy D6 in this chapter. The PDF version of the Plan incorrectly refers to part B of Policy D3. This should instead refer to Part D.

---

[Contents](#)

[< Chapter 2](#)

[Chapter 4 >](#)

[Back to table of contents](#)