MAYOR OF LONDON OFFICE FOR POLICING AND CRIME

REQUEST FOR	DMPC	DECISION -	· PCD	22
--------------------	------	-------------------	-------	----

Title: Met Integration Platform (MetIP)

Executive Summary:

This report requests additional investment of £2.12m to provide full support and migration of data from the existing Integration Service Bus to the new service and £0.417m per annum from 2017/18 onwards for ongoing support and maintenance.

Recommendation:

That the DMPC approves the request for additional investment of £2.12m to provide full support and migration of data from the existing Integration Service Bus to the new service and £0.417m per annum from 2017/18 onwards for ongoing support and maintenance.

Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime

I confirm I have considered whether or not I have any personal or prejudicial interest in this matter and take the proposed decision in compliance with the Code of Conduct. Any such interests are recorded below.

The above request has my approval.

Signature

Sphe hender

Date

23 6 16

PART I - NON-CONFIDENTIAL FACTS AND ADVICE TO THE DMPC

Decision required - supporting report

1. Introduction and background

1.1. MetiP is an integration system (Enterprise Service Bus) that will facilitate the integration of MPS systems and data, enabling different systems to communicate and share data, events and functionality.

2. Issues for consideration

2.1. The development of MetIP has been completed; however, the original business case did not include the funding for the ongoing maintenance and support of the application or the migration of data from the existing Integration Service Bus system.

3. Financial Comments

3.1. This paper proposes an interim support arrangement for 2016/17 prior to transition to the new IT provider in 2017/18. Interim support costs and decommissioning costs will be met from project revenue. The ongoing costs will be met from within existing budgets.

4. Legal Comments

- 4.1. The recommendation can be lawfully approved in accordance with MOPAC Contract Regulations and EU/UK Procurement law.
- 4.2. In accordance with the MOPAC Scheme of Delegation and Consent (4.8), the DMPC must approve all requests to go out to tender for contracts that exceed £500,000.

Equality Comments

- 5.1. Suppliers will be assessed prior to entering into agreements to ensure they comply with relevant legislation. It will be the responsibility of user departments to ensure that the MPS Equality and Diversity policies are adhered to through the life of the contract.
- 5.2. Suppliers may be asked to sign up to the Diversity Works for London Programme which assesses suppliers against the Equality and Diversity framework.

6. Background/supporting papers

6.1. MPS Paper

Public	access	to	info	mation

Information in this form (Part 1) is subject to the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA) and will be made available on the MOPAC website following approval.

If immediate publication risks compromising the implementation of the decision it can be deferred until a specific date. Deferral periods should be kept to the shortest length strictly necessary.

Part 1 Deferral:

Is the publication of Part 1 of this approval to be deferred? NO

If yes, for what reason:

Until what date:

Part 2 Confidentiality: Only the facts or advice considered as likely to be exempt from disclosure under the FOIA should be in the separate Part 2 form, together with the legal rationale for non-publication.

Is there a Part 2 form - NO

ORIGINATING OFFICER DECLARATION:

DRIGINATING OFFICER DECLARATION:	Tick to confirm statement (✓)
Head of Unit: The SFRM team has reviewed the request and is satisfied it is correct and consistent with the MOPAC's plans and priorities.	√
Legal Advice: The MPS legal team has been consulted on the proposal.	√
Financial Advice: The Strategic Finance and Resource Management Team has been consulted on this proposal.	✓
Equalities Advice: Equality and diversity issues are covered in the body of the report.	·· √

OFFICER APPROVAL

Chief Operating Officer

I have been consulted about the proposal and confirm that financial, legal and equalities advice has been taken into account in the preparation of this report. I am satisfied that this is an appropriate request to be submitted to the Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime.

Signature

Date 23/6/16



Not Protectively Marked

BUSINESS JUSTIFICATION CASE MET Integration Platform (MetIP) Application, Maintenance and Support

Joint Investment Board – 23 June 2016

Report by Angus McCallum on behalf of the Deputy Commissioner

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

MetIP (Met Integration Platform) is an integration system that will facilitate the integration of MPS systems and data. MetIP provides an integration solution enabling different systems to communicate and share data, events and functionality.

Sharing data across the Met's IT landscape is complex and challenging – MetIP has implemented an Enterprise Service Bus (ESB) and associated shared services in order to meet the MPS's future and emerging integration challenges. MetIP provides a "highway for system messages" and the "on-ramps and off-ramps" required to deliver messages to their destination systems. It provides 'priority lanes', queues management and rules and routes for the message flow and delivery.

The MetIP solution will allow MPS to integrate applications faster on a common integration platform using common processes and standards with less custom development that leads to lower costs.

The paper requests funding for the ongoing maintenance and support for the MetIP system.

A. RECOMMENDATIONS -

- The Deputy Mayor is requested to approve the following recommendations:
 - 1. **Recommendation:** Approve additional project spend of £2.12m (£1.229m capital, £0.891m revenue) in 2016/17 to bring MetIP into full support and migrate data from the existing ISB. The capital requirement will be funded by £0.6m existing MetIP budget, and £0.629m from the Capital Plan provision for Information Management. The revenue requirement will be met from reserves, to be replenished as a first call on any savings arising from the wider Information Management project.
 - Recommendation: Additional BAU net revenue funding of £417k per annum from 2017/18 for ongoing support and maintenance of MetIP. If this cannot be found from savings elsewhere in DP, this will increase the corporate savings target for 2017/18.

B. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

The MetIP Programme benefits included:

Not Protectively Marked

- Efficiency savings by freeing up officer time through removing the need to key into or retrieve data from separate systems.
- Cost avoidance by removing the need to enhance, upgrade or develop new functionality on separate systems by providing shared services.
- c. Using a shared service oriented platform the MPS will be able to decrease the amount spent on maintenance
- d. Provides a core part of the future technology architecture for the provision of hand held and in vehicle mobile devices
- 2. Examples of these benefits now materialising include:
 - a. Remove the need to rekey: the NSPIS (Custody Suite System) National Footwear Database (NFD) integration completed by MetIP
 - b. Cost avoidance of developing shared Gazetteer (Address and Name database) and Mobile PNC search (MetSearch) services
 - c. Provision of a resilient and performant integration service for In Vehicle and Hand held mobile applications

C. OTHER ORGANISATIONAL & COMMUNITY IMPLICATIONS

Equality and Diversity Impact (mandatory)

A consultation request was sent to MPS Diversity & Business Change. They responded
positively and agreed to support the paper. Refer :Troth Darryl W - MPS Diversity &
Business Change

Financial Implications / Value for Money (mandatory)

- The financial implications and value for money were submitted to Lynda McMullan MPS Director of Commercial and Finance. These were reviewed and is supported by her.
- 2. The costs presented in the case are a result of omission from the original business case rather than additional scope or cost overruns. This request addresses the oversight in the original case.
- The paper proposes an interim support arrangement for 2016/17 prior to transition to the new SIAM model in 2017/18. This interim arrangement will include some final enhancement to the system which will enable these costs to be capitalised. Other interim support costs, plus decommissioning costs, will need to be met from project revenue.
- It is anticipated that the system will move into full production with support and maintenance from the SIAM Tower vendors on 1st April 2017. At this point charges will need to be met from DP operational budgets.
- 5. These costs will be partially offset by savings arising from the decommissioning of existing systems no longer required.
- Revenue spend is acknowledged as an additional pressure to the MTFP submitted to MOPAC and the GLA in February 2016 in respect of 2017/18 onwards. This pressure will either need to be accommodated within the DP operational budget or be added to the overall corporate pressure for 2017/18

Legal Implications (mandatory)

1. There are no legal implications relating to this paper.

Consultation undertaken (mandatory)

Not Protectively Marked

Consultation grid

Key stakeholder engagement (up to what level)	Supportive / Supportive with concerns / Not supportive / Not affected	
Commissioner (Management Board)	Supportive	
Digital Policing (CIO)	Supportive	
Commercial and Finance	Supportive	
Directorate of Legal Services requirements	Not affected	
Design Authority comments	Supportive	
Business Change & Diversity	Supportive	

Risk (including Health and Safety) Implications (mandatory)

1. None as this is a technology with no human interfaces and no HSE implications.

Real Estate and Environmental Implications (if relevant to the subject)

1. None as this is a technology with no property implications.

Report author:

MICHAEL JOUBERT

Background papers:

PART 2: EXEMPT SECTION OF THE REPORT

Not applicable, there is no confidential limitations in this report