#### GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY

(By email)

Our Ref: MGLA220719-8168

25 September 2019

Dear

Thank you for your request for information which the GLA received on 22 July 2019. Your request has been dealt with under the Environmental Information Regulations (EIR) 2004.

Our response to your request is as follows:

 Please provide me a copy of your independent appraisal which scrutinises Delancey's viability assessment and any associated documents, communications and meeting minutes.

Please find attached the information within scope of your request. Please note that some names of members of staff are exempt from disclosure under Regulation 13 (Personal information) of the EIR. This information could potentially identify specific employees and as such constitutes as personal data which is defined by Article 4(1) of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) to mean any information relating to an identified or identifiable living individual. It is considered that disclosure of this information would contravene the first data protection principle under Article 5(1) of GDPR which states that Personal data must be processed lawfully, fairly and in a transparent manner in relation to the data subject.

 Also please confirm whether Delancey (or its RP 'T3 Residential Ltd') has applied for grant funding for affordable housing in respect of the above scheme and provide a copy of any such grant funding bid along with any associated documents, communications and meeting minutes.

Delancey have not applied for grant funding, however terms have been offered on a conditional basis including the requirement for submission of a formal bid.

If you have any further questions relating to this matter, please contact me, quoting the reference at the top of this letter.

Yours sincerely

If you are unhappy with the way the GLA has handled your request, you may complain using the GLA's FOI complaints and internal review procedure, available at:

 $\frac{https://www.london.gov.uk/about-us/governance-and-spending/sharing-our-information/freedom-information}{}$ 

**Subject:** FW: D&P/3654 Elephant and Castle shopping centre - Viability Repor... 16AP4458

From:

**Sent:** 27 October 2017 14:01

To: | london.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: D&P/3654 Elephant and Castle shopping centre - Viability Repor... 16AP4458

I have now reviewed the FVA prepared by DS2, their addendum of 10 July and the GVA review for LB Southwark dated August 2017.

Both the FVA and the review are broadly compliant with both PPG and the AH SPG. They assess viability based on current day values and costs and assess benchmark on an EUV approach. The FVA and review are detailed and thorough. Both are commended for the quality of work. GVA generally agree with much of the FVA assessment and I agree with this. The principle areas of disagreement are matters of opinion and are as follows:

- 1. Retail and office rent levels. The retail difference is more significant that the B1 use in overall terms- A difference of 11%. I agree with the GVA opinion in this assessment.
- 2. Build cost: DS2 cost is £333.58psf compared to GVA at £320.98. The difference is 4% which is negligible for a complex scheme of this nature. I note the BC include a contingency of 5% and an additional design contingency of 2.5%. I consider this additional contingency is not typical and should be excluded.
- 3. Benchmark:
  - a) DS2 assess benchmark at £175m, being £100m for the E&C shopping centre and £75m for the LCC site. They consider this to be an EUV assessment and have not sought to add any premium uplift.
  - b) GVA assess the benchmark at £133m, being £83m for the E&C shopping centre and £50m for the LCC site.
  - c) The DS2 assessment of the E&C shopping centre assumed a refurbishment and reletting which is more of an alternative approach (ie an AUV) than value in existing condition and use. As such, no uplift would be expected. In addition, no consideration was given to the risk/ reward requirement that would be required in purchasing the property with a refurbishment necessary. For this reason, I consider the GVA valuation to be more realistic.
  - d) The DS2 assessment of the LCC site ignored the actual quantum of value for a planning use which has limited demand. GVA recognised this limitation and considered evidence more widely to give a more evidenced opinion. Again, I think their approach more reasonably reflects the likely value.

Looking at the overall viability GVA conclude that the delivery of 35% AH that is DM4 compliant is viable, based on a profit of 14.24% of GDC, 12.11% of GDV. This reflects an IRR of 6.03%, which is below the assessed IRR target of 10-12% proposed by DS2.

Profit assumption in this development is unusual because of the forward sale to LCC/ UAL of their new college facility. I consider profit assessed on an IRR approach is appropriate, but that this needs to be sense checked against other profit measures. This is what GVA has done, and DS2 has shown in their Argus assessments. What is unclear in these Argus assessments is when the assumed land purchases took place and UAL payments were made. The west site will not be required for 5-6 years, so this should not be shown as acquired at day 1. Clarification on these points would be helpful.

I consider at this stage that the GVA position is reasonable- 35% AH, DM4 compliant should be viable.

Development Viability (Expert) Advisor

From: Kut, Jacob (GVA) <

**Sent:** <u>30 October 2017 17:58</u>

To:

(GVA)

Cc:

Subject: D&P/3654 Elephant and Castle shopping centre - Viability Repor... 16AP4458

Dear

has asked me to email you and clarify the position in regard to the treatment of the timing of land purchases/land purchase assumptions.

I confirm that we did not put the LCC site value in at day one, but rather at a later date – in July 2023. This was in accordance with the developers construction programme.

The shopping centre site value was adopted now, to reflect that this site has to be developed to facilitate relocation of the LCC in 2013.

I hope this is of assistance.

Regards

Jacob

#### **Jacob G Kut MRICS**

Senior Director RICS Registered Valuer

**GVA** 

www.gva.co.uk

65 Gresham Street, London EC2V 7NQ











GVA is the trading name of GVA Grimley Limited registered in England and Wales under company number 6382509. Our registered office is at 3 Brindleyplace, Birmingham B1 2JB. Regulated by RICS.

This message is intended solely for the addressee and may contain confidential information. If you have received this message in error, please send it back to us, and immediately and permanently delete it. Do not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this message or in any attachment.

To:

**Subject:** RE: Elephant and castle

From:

Sent: 17 July 2018 13:41

To: | london.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: Elephant and castle

Subject discussing this with Lucy, the following are my initial comments.

#### **GVA review:**

GVA review of the FVA (dated 170906) considered that 35% AH, DM4 compliant, was viable; This showed a profit on cost of 14.24% and on value at 12.11%. The IRR, which is relevant in this lengthy development, is 6.03%. GVA considered that a modest 1% increase in values over costs during the development period would lift the IRR level to 7.5%, which is considered to be a suitable target for BtR schemes. Whilst I agree with the IRR target on BtR, we should bear in mind that this is a largely commercial and mixed use development. However, I broadly agree that a 7.5% IRR in this particular case would be viable.

The GVA overall value for the AH element was ~£111.63m. The review identified a number of costs in the FVA that were considered inappropriate, particularly the benchmark (~£10m overstated.) and the design contingency at 2.5%. I agree with this.

#### DS2 June 2018 assessment:

This is an Argus toolkit with no supporting dialogue and so there are aspects of this that are unclear. The Social Rent value ( $^{c}£26.64$ m) equates to £2917/m2 which is well in excess of typical SR values (Normally  $^{c}£1500$ /m2.). It implies a level of grant funding is included, but this has not been specifically shown. In my opinion, the excess of value is  $^{c}£13$ m.

The Intermediate rents equate to £275-327/m2. I assume the lower rate includes the LLR and DMR tenures and the higher rates only DMR. The rents for the DMR tenure would be in excess of the £60k income cap. The total values of all AH tenures is  $^{\sim}$ £147.826m. This is about £36m higher than the GVA AH value (Above.)

I do not consider that this assessment fairly reflects viability. The differences on benchmark and contingency, together with a lower profit in the GVA assessment total ~£31m. Taking in to account the possible grant assumption in the SR value, I consider that the proposals in the DS2 assessment are excessive and that a DM4 compliant AH offer could be provided at 35%. This includes DMR at rent levels capped by the £60k income ceiling.

#### Increasing AH to 40%

You have asked for a consideration of increasing the AH level to 40%, with the additional AH all being DMR. There are a number of factors that come in to play in this scenario, particularly cash flow and implications on market rent levels. Consideration of specification/ services etc in comparison to a more market dominated scheme would be required. However, assuming that all the <u>additional DMR</u> units were at the maximum income cap of £60k, the difference in value between these DMR units and market rent units is £5780/m2. 5% additional AH, all at DMR would be ~3,450m2, and the loss to the development value would be ~£20m. This may be facilitated by use of grant but should be assessed in detail using an Argus toolkit to confirm the correct level.

I hope this is helpful at this stage.

Development Viability (Expert) Advisor

GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY | 4th Floor, City Hall, The Queen's Walk, London SE1 2AA

Tel: | Image: | Ima

Elephant & Castle - Delancey Build to Rent Scheme - 35% AH Provision of 116 Social Rent

# DRAFT

TIMESCALE AND PHASING DS2

Elephant & Castle - Delancey Build to Rent Scheme - 35% AH Provision of 116 Social Rent

#### Timescale (Duration in months)

Timescale (Duration in months)									
Phase 1: West (LCC) Si	Project commences Mar 2017 Phase 1: West (LCC) Site								
Stage Name	Duration	Start Date	End Date	Anchored To	Aligned	Offset			
Phase Start		Jul 2023							
Purchase	1	Jul 2023	Jul 2023	Phase Start	Start	0			
Enabling, Sub & Base	31	Jul 2023	Jan 2026	Phase Start	Start	0			
Construction	23	Oct 2025	Aug 2027	Enabling, Sub & Base	End	-4			
Sale	1	Feb 2028	Feb 2028	Income Flow	End	5			
Phase End		Feb 2028							
Phase Length	56								
•									
Phase 2: East - Baseme	ent & External	S							
Stage Name	Duration	Start Date	End Date	Anchored To	Aligned	Offset			
Phase Start		Mar 2017			_				
CPO	12	Mar 2017	Feb 2018	Phase Start	Start	0			
Enabling Works	9	Mar 2018	Nov 2018	CPO	End	0			
Demolition	11	Nov 2018	Sep 2019	<b>Enabling Works</b>	End	-1			
Substructure & Basem	28	Sep 2019	Dec 2021	Demolition	End	-1			
External Works	15	May 2021	Jul 2022	None	Start	0			
Phase End		Jul 2022							
Phase Length	65								
3									
Phase 3: East - E1 (N)									
Stage Name	Duration	Start Date	End Date	Anchored To	Aligned	Offset			
Phase Start		Jun 2020							
UAL	20	Jun 2020	Jan 2022	Purchase	End	0			
Construction	15	Jun 2020	Aug 2021	Phase Start	End	0			
Letting	6	Sep 2021	Feb 2022	Post Development	End	0			
Phase End		Mar 2022		·					
Phase Length	21								
-									
Phase 4: East - E4 (E)									
Stage Name	Duration	Start Date	End Date	Anchored To	Aligned	Offset			
Phase Start		Jun 2020							
Construction	15	Jun 2020	Aug 2021	Pre-Construction	End	0			
Letting	6	Sep 2021	Feb 2022	Construction	End	0			
Phase End		Mar 2022							
Phase Length	21								
Phase 5: East - E3 (S)									
Stage Name	Duration	Start Date	End Date	Anchored To	Aligned	Offset			
Phase Start		Jun 2020							
Construction	23	Jun 2020	Apr 2022	Pre-Construction	End	0			
Letting	6	Sep 2021	Feb 2022	Construction	End	-8			
Phase End		Apr 2023							

#### WITHOUT PREJUDICE

**Phase Length** 

TIMESCALE AND PHASING DS2

Elephant & Castle - Delancey Build to Rent Scheme - 35% AH Provision of 116 Social Rent Timescale (Duration in months)

Phase 6: East - E2 (W)

Stage Name	Duration	Start Date	End Date	Anchored To	Aligned	Offset
Phase Start		Jun 2020				
Construction	29	Jun 2020	Oct 2022	Pre-Construction	End	0
Letting Phase End	6	Nov 2021 Apr 2023	Apr 2022	Construction	End	-12
Phase Length	29					
Project Length	132	(Merged Ph	ases - Includ	des Exit Period)		



APPRAISAL SUMMARY

Elephant & Castle - Delancey Build to Rent Scheme - 35% AH Provision of 116 Social Rent

Summary Appraisal for Merged Phases 1 2 3 4 5 6

Currency in £

REVENUE							
Sales Valuation	Units	f+2	Sales Rate ft <sup>2</sup>	Unit Price	<b>Gross Sales</b>		
West - Car parking	34	0	0.00	60,000	2,040,000		
West - Building W3 (Social Rent)	116	98,392	271.00	229,864	26,664,232		
Additional Revenue		352,432	547.62		193,000,000		
Totals	<u>1</u> 151	450,824	347.02	193,000,000	221,704,232		
Totals	131	750,027			221,704,232		
Rental Area Summary				Initial	Net Rent	Initial	Net MRV
·	Units	ft²	Rent Rate ft <sup>2</sup>	MRV/Unit	at Sale	MRV	at Sale
West - W1 Tower 1 (Open Market)	81	62,754	47.50	36,800	2,295,228	2,980,815	2,295,228
West - W2 Tower 2 (Open Market)	99	75,304	47.50	36,131	2,754,244	3,576,940	2,754,244
West - W3 - Mansion Block (Office)	1	23,822	50.00	1,191,100	1,191,100	1,191,100	1,191,100
West - W1 (Retail)	1	2,424	44.00	106,656	106,656	106,656	106,656
West - W2 (Retail)	1	5,985	44.00	263,340	263,340		263,340
West - W2 Tower (Music Venue)	1	11,743	44.00	516,692	516,692	516,692	516,692
West - W2 Tower 3 (Open Market)	153	119,616	47.50	37,136	4,374,955		4,374,955
West - Commercialisation	1	•		500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000
West - W3 - Affordable Office Space	1	2,647	50.00	132,350	55,587	132,350	132,350
West - W1 Affordable Retail Space	1	934	44.00	41,096	22,416	41,096	41,096
West - W1 Tower 1 (Intermediate)	15	11,315	25.63	19,334	223,303	290,003	223,303
West - W2 Tower 2 (Intermediate)	17	13,435	25.63	20,255	265,141	344,339	265,141
West - W2 Tower 3 (Intermediate)	17	13,092	25.63	19,738	258,372		258,372
East - E1 (Retail)	1	14,328	44.00	630,432	630,432	630,432	630,432
East - E1 Affordable Retail space	1	6,694	44.00	294,536	160,656	294,536	294,536
East - E4 (Retail)	1	14,865	44.00	654,060	654,060		654,060
East - E3 (Retail)	1	46,952	44.00	2,065,888	2,065,888	2,065,888	2,065,888
East - E3 (Open Market) - Tower 3	90	63,115	47.50	33,311	2,308,431	2,997,963	2,308,431
East - E3 (Open Market) - Tower 2	65	47,497	47.50	34,709	1,737,203	2,256,108	1,737,203
East - E3 - Tower 3 (Intermediate Market)	56	43,591	30.41	23,671	1,020,714	1,325,602	1,020,714
East - E3 - Tower 2 (Intermediate Market)	60	42,535	30.41	21,558	995,987	1,293,489	995,987
East - E2 (Open Market) - Tower 1	161	129,332	47.50	38,157	4,607,453	6,143,270	4,607,453
East - E2 (Retail)	1	51,042	44.00	2,245,848	2,245,848	2,245,848	2,245,848
East - E2 (Leisure)	1	24,175	20.00	483,500	483,500	483,500	483,500
East - Commercialisation	1			1,000,000	1,000,000		1,000,000
East - E2 (Intermediate) - Tower 1	<u>49</u>	<u>37,213</u>	30.41	23,095	871,368	1,131,647	871,368
Totals	877	864,410			31,608,573	38,482,982	31,837,896
Investment Valuation							
Investment Valuation							
West - W1 Tower 1 (Open Market) Current Rent	2 205 220	YP @	2.25009/	30.7692	70 622 206		
	2,295,228	17 @	3.2500%	30.7692	70,622,386		
West - W2 Tower 2 (Open Market) Current Rent	2,754,244	YP @	2.25009/	20.7602	94 745 062		
West - W3 - Mansion Block (Office)	2,734,244	17 @	3.2500%	30.7692	84,745,963		
Market Rent	1,191,100	YP @	5.0000%	20.0000			
(6mths Rent Free)	1,191,100	PV 6mths @	5.0000%	0.9759	23,247,892		
West - W1 (Retail)		r v onnuis @	5.0000 /6	0.9759	23,247,092		
Market Rent	106,656	YP @	5.0000%	20.0000			
(6mths Rent Free)	100,030	PV 6mths @	5.0000%	0.9759	2,081,712		
West - W2 (Retail)		r v onnuis @	5.0000 /6	0.9759	2,001,712		
Market Rent	263,340	YP @	5.0000%	20.0000			
(6mths Rent Free)	203,340	PV 6mths @	5.0000%	0.9759	5,139,871		
West - W2 Tower (Music Venue)		i v oiiitiis @	3.0000 /6	0.3139	5, 155,671		
WITHOUT PREJUDICE							
WITHOUT I NEUODIOL							

APPRAISAL SUMMARY DS2

AFFINAISAL SUMMANT						
Elephant & Castle - Delancey						
Build to Rent Scheme - 35% AH						
Provision of 116 Social Rent						
Market Rent	516,692	YP @	5.0000%	20.0000		
(6mths Rent Free)	010,002	PV 6mths @	5.0000%	0.9759	10,084,795	
West - W2 Tower 3 (Open Market)		i v omalo e	0.000070	0.0700	10,001,700	
Current Rent	4,374,955	YP @	3.2500%	30 7692	134,614,006	
West - Commercialisation	7,077,000	11 @	3.230070	30.7032	134,014,000	
Current Rent	500,000	YP @	6.0000%	16.6667	8,333,333	
West - W3 - Affordable Office Space	300,000	11 @	0.000070	10.0007	0,000,000	
Market Rent	55,587	YP @	5.0000%	20.0000		
(6mths Rent Free)	55,567	PV 6mths @	5.0000%	0.9759	1 084 047	
Reversion	76,763	YP @	5.0000%	20.0000	1,084,947	
1.070101011	70,703	PV 5yrs @	5.0000%	0.7835	1,202,916	
		i v Jyla 🐷	J.0000 /0	0.7000	2,287,864	
West - W1 Affordable Retail Space					2,201,004	
Market Rent	22,416	YP @	5.0000%	20.0000		
(6mths Rent Free)	ZZ, <del>4</del> 10	PV 6mths @	5.0000%	0.9759	437,516	
Reversion	18,680	YP @	5.0000%	20.0000	-101,010	
1/2/2191011	10,000		5.0000%	0.7835	202 725	
		PV 5yrs @	5.0000%	0.7635	292,725 <b>730,241</b>	
West - W1 Tower 1 (Intermediate)					730,241	
West - W1 Tower 1 (Intermediate) Current Rent	223,303	YP @	3 00000/	33.3333	7 442 422	
	223,303	1 F W	3.0000%	JJ.JJJJ	7,443,422	
West - W2 Tower 2 (Intermediate)	265 144	VD @	3 00000/	22 2222	8 838 036	
Current Rent West - W2 Tower 3 (Intermediate)	265,141	YP @	3.0000%	33.3333	8,838,036	
West - W2 Tower 3 (Intermediate)	250 270	VD @	2 00000/	22 2222	0.640.000	
Current Rent	258,372	YP @	3.0000%	33.3333	8,612,398	
East - E1 (Retail)	620 422	VD @	5 00000/	20,0000		
Market Rent	630,432	YP @	5.0000%	20.0000	12 204 772	
(6mths Rent Free)		PV 6mths @	5.0000%	0.9759	12,304,773	
East - E1 Affordable Retail space	400.050	VD @	E 00000/	20,000		
Market Rent	160,656	YP @	5.0000%	20.0000	2 425 004	
(6mths Rent Free)	400.000	PV 6mths @	5.0000%	0.9759	3,135,684	
Reversion	133,880	YP @	5.0000%	20.0000	0.007.070	
		PV 5yrs @	5.0000%	0.7835	2,097,970	
Foot F4 (Potoil)					5,233,654	
East - E4 (Retail)	054.000	VD @	4.75000/	04.0500		
Market Rent	654,060	YP @	4.7500%	21.0526	40 450 000	
(6mths Rent Free)		PV 6mths @	4.7500%	0.9771	13,453,862	
East - E3 (Retail)	0.005.000	VD @	E 00000/	00.0000		
Market Rent	2,065,888	YP @	5.0000%	20.0000	40.000.005	
(6mths Rent Free)		PV 6mths @	5.0000%	0.9759	40,322,005	
East - E3 (Open Market) - Tower 3	0.000.451	\\ <b>D</b> \	0.050004	00 7005	74 000 075	
Current Rent	2,308,431	YP @	3.2500%	30.7692	71,028,650	
East - E3 (Open Market) - Tower 2	,		0.055557	00	<b>50</b> 4 <b>5</b> 5 5 5	
Current Rent	1,737,203	YP @	3.2500%	30.7692	53,452,393	
East - E3 - Tower 3 (Intermediate Market)	,		0.00000	00	0.4.000 ====	
Current Rent	1,020,714	YP @	3.0000%	33.3333	34,023,793	
East - E3 - Tower 2 (Intermediate Market)						
Current Rent	995,987	YP @	3.0000%	33.3333	33,199,560	
East - E2 (Open Market) - Tower 1						
Current Rent	4,607,453	YP @	3.2500%	30.7692	141,767,769	
East - E2 (Retail)						
Market Rent	2,245,848	YP @	5.0000%	20.0000		
(6mths Rent Free)		PV 6mths @	5.0000%	0.9759	43,834,465	
East - E2 (Leisure)						
Market Rent	483,500	YP @	5.0000%	20.0000		
(6mths Rent Free)		PV 6mths @	5.0000%	0.9759	9,436,954	
East - Commercialisation						
Current Rent	1,000,000	YP @	6.0000%	16.6667	16,666,667	
NITHOUT PREJUDICE	1,000,000	11 @	0.000070	10.0007	10,000,001	

APPRAISAL SUMMARY

Elephant & Castle - Delancey
Build to Rent Scheme - 35% AH
Provision of 116 Social Rent

East - E2 (Intermediate) - Tower 1

Current Rent 871,368 YP @ 3.0000% 33.3333 29,045,615

870,552,075

GROSS DEVELOPMENT VALUE 1,092,256,307

Purchaser's Costs (55,599,528)

Effective Purchaser's Costs Rate 6.39% (55,599,528)

NET DEVELOPMENT VALUE 1,036,656,780

**Income from Tenants** 

West - W1 Tower 1 (Open Market) 1,912,690 West - W2 Tower 2 (Open Market) 1,377,122 West - W2 Tower 3 (Open Market) 364,580 West - W1 Tower 1 (Intermediate) 186,086 West - W2 Tower 2 (Intermediate) 132.571 West - W2 Tower 3 (Intermediate) 21,531 2,116,062 East - E3 (Open Market) - Tower 3 East - E3 (Open Market) - Tower 2 1,592,436 East - E2 (Open Market) - Tower 1 2,303,726 East - E2 (Intermediate) - Tower 1 435,684

10,442,486

**Additional Revenue** 

East - LUL Tfl Credit 17,500,000

17,500,000

142,000,000

15,158,000

NET REALISATION 1,064,599,266

OUTLAY

ACQUISITION COSTS

 Fixed Price
 50,000,000

 Fixed Price
 92,000,000

Total Acquisition 142,000,000

 Stamp Duty
 5.00%
 7,100,000

 Agent Fee
 1.30%
 1,846,000

Legal Fee 0.50% 710,000 9,656,000

Other Acquisition

WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Other Development Costs 15,158,000

CONSTRUCTION COSTS

Construction **Units Unit Amount** Cost West - Block W3 (Mansion Block) - Residential 1 un 32,873,639 32,873,639 West - Block W2 - Residential 107.449.586 107.449.586 1 un West - Block W1 - Residential 1 un 33,467,980 33,467,980 West - Demolition & Enabling 4,810,000 4,810,000 1 un 101,010 West - Kiosk\* 101,010 1 un West - External Works (Incl services) 3,088,982 3,088,982 1 un West - Utilities 1 un 4,329,000 4,329,000 West - PV Installation 240,500 240,500 1 un West - Basement 27,759,472 27,759,472 1 un West - Sewer Connections 529,100 529,100 1 un

APPRAISAL SUMMARY DS2

ALL INAIGAE GOMMAN					
Elephant & Castle - Delancey Build to Rent Scheme - 35% AH					
Provision of 116 Social Rent					
West - Block W3 (Mansion Block) - Office	1 un	5,626,851	5,626,851		
West - Block W2 - Retail	1 un	548,087	548,087		
West - Block W2 - Music Venue	1 un	6,680,757	6,680,757		
West - Block W1 - Retail	1 un	654,160	654,160		
East - Basement	1 un	36,648,352	36,648,352		
East - Demolition & Enabling Work	1 un	5,772,000	5,772,000		
East - Services & Connections	1 un	6,531,980	6,531,980		
East - Sewer Connections	1 un	663,780	663,780		
East - External Lighting / Power / Security	1 un	1,038,960	1,038,960		
East - PV Installation	1 un	240,500	240,500		
East - Relocate London Cycle*	1 un	96,200	96,200		
East - Relocate TFL Bus Stop*	1 un	96,200	96,200		
East - External Works	1 un	3,254,446	3,254,446		
East - Basement (Retail)	1 un	22,328,020	22,328,020		
East - Basement (LUL)	1 un	12,606,048	12,606,048		
East - E1	1 un	79,430,416	79,430,416		
East - E1 (Retail)	1 un	3,981,718	3,981,718		
East - E1 (LUL)	1 un	491,582	491,582		
East - E4 (E) (Retail)	1 un	5,503,602	5,503,602		
East - E3 (S) Residential Tower 3	1 un	89,767,491	89,767,491		
East - E3 (S) Retail	1 un	7,658,482	7,658,482		
East - E2 (W) Retail	1 un	15,275,598	15,275,598		
East - E2 (W) Residential	<u>1 un</u>	74,811,277	74,811,277		
Totals		,,	594,355,775	594,355,775	
Design Contingency		2.50%	14,858,894		
Construction Contingency		5.00%	29,717,789		
West - CIL / MCIL			4,565,000		
West - Other Development Costs			1,000,000		
East - CIL / MCIL			8,638,000		
Est.S106			4,411,000		
East - Other Development Costs			700,000		
East - Utilities connections			2,840,000		
East - Othities connections			2,040,000	66,730,683	
PROFESSIONAL FEES					
Professional Fees		12.00%	76,671,895		
1 1010001011011 000		12.0070	70,071,000	76,671,895	
MARKETING & LETTING				70,071,093	
West - Open Market Marketing		1.00%	2,899,824		
Commercial Marketing	195,336 ft <sup>2</sup>				
	195,336 112	2.00 /ft²	390,672		
East - Open Market Marketing		1.00%	2,662,488		
Letting Agent Fee		10.00%	796,855		
Letting Legal Fee		5.00%	398,428		
				7,148,266	
DISPOSAL FEES			0.015.55		
Sales Agent Fee - PRS & Commercial		1.00%	9,219,596		
Sales Legal Fee		0.50%	5,183,284		
				14,402,880	
MISCELLANEOUS FEES					
NwR Arches Refurb			1,300,000		
			.,,	1,300,000	
TOTAL COSTS				027 422 500	
IOTAL GOSTS				927,423,500	

PROFIT WITHOUT PREJUDICE

APPRAISAL SUMMARY DS2

Elephant & Castle - Delancey Build to Rent Scheme - 35% AH Provision of 116 Social Rent

137,175,766

Performance	Measures
Drofit on Co	o+0/

14.79%
12.56%
13.23%
3.41%
3.62%
3.70%
6.96%
4 yrs 4 mths
N/A



### Elephant and Castle shopping centre – GLA Viability report review. D&P/3654

#### Background:

The residential part of this development will comprise 979 flats, with 481 included within the east side of the development and 498 in the west side. This latter development will be constructed following the substantial completion of the east side scheme. Housing is proposed to be BtR on the east side and may be either BtR or for sale on the west side.

The applicant has submitted a viability assessment (13 June 2018.) which shows an affordable housing provision of 35%- 330 flats, of which 116 are Social Rent, 95 LLR and the rest (161 flats) are Discounted Market Rents. It is not clear whether this is the new proposed AH split, but the following should be noted:

- 1. The assessment appears to be inclusive of grant. Housing and Land agreed this at £60k (SR) and £20k (Intermediate), being a total of £11,240,000
- 2. The DMR units are valued at a level which implies an income of £90k on all DMR units.

#### Viability variation between applicant and LB Southwark:

This was considered in 2017 and generally valuation levels were agreed. Main differences were:

- Additional contingency assumed by the applicant of £14,858,894. This is not agreed by LBS. This extra contingency sum is typically not accepted in viability reviews and, unless the applicant can specifically justify what the costs relate to, should be excluded.
- 2. Benchmark is assessed by the applicant at £142m and by LBS at £133m. The difference of £9m also has significant interest cost implications. No clarification has been provided by the applicant for this increased benchmark in comparison to the review land value. The review benchmark assessment was well justified in the review report.
- 3. Profit assumed by the applicant is ~£6m more than that considered appropriate by LBS. The LBS profit was the residual result of the viability review assessment and was considered sufficiently close to the viable target to be acceptable. However, it is below the target profit level and is therefore less justifiable as a cost saving.

The development is expected to be in excess of 10 years which is generally considered to be a multi-phase development where growth modelling should be considered as part of the assessment. LBS has done this, albeit with growth assumptions at 1%- substantially lower than most market expectations for BtR. There is potentially greater scope for showing improved viability on growth modelling, However, this is inevitably more of a 'guestimate' given the uncertain market conditions.

**In summary,** of the three main costs differences, the applicant's inclusion of additional contingency and level of benchmark appear excessive. Their adoption of a higher profit is justified on a current day approach. However, if growth assumptions are taken in to account, which is reasonable in this multi-phase development, additional AH should be viable.

#### **Provision of additional AH:**

The review for LBS concluded that 35% AH could viably be provided compliant with LBS housing policy DM4. This assessment included 5% of AH at income cap of £70k and 4% at income cap of £80k. No further comment has been provided regarding the latest tenure proposals. Clarification on this should be provided. In particular, it is unclear if the differences in costs and values identified in the viability assessments in 2017 are properly reflected/agreed in the revised (June 2018.) assessment.

However, calculations have been carried out to assess the cost of providing additional AH or changing the tenure proposals. These calculations are high level and need to be properly tested through viability toolkit assessments. The following are the approximate cost implications for additional housing/ tenure variations:

- 1. Provision of 40% AH: Increasing AH from 35% by an additional 5% Social Rent: £36m. This equates to £750k/flat.
- 2. Provision of 40% AH: Increasing AH from 35% by an additional 5% LLR: £30m. This equates to £625k/flat.
- 3. Provision of 40% AH: Increasing AH from 35% by an additional 5% DMR all at income cap of £60k: £20m. This equates to £410k/flat.
- 4. Provision of 50% AH: Increasing AH from 35% by an additional 15% DMR all at income cap of £60k: £60m\*. This equates to £410k/flat.
- 5. Changing current DMR assumptions of £90k income to £60k income: £25m. This equates to £155k/flat.

\*This cost may be potentially significantly more as the specification and amenities available for the residential development changes if AH is at 50% in a high value area.

#### Additional testing following Deputy Mayor briefing on 25 July 2018:

Following the briefing provided for DMs, additional calculations have been carried out to assess reductions in value in providing 35% AH with varied tenure splits. The results are as follows:

- 1. 35% AH, split 50% SR; 50% LLR: Reduction in value is £14.575m.
- 2. 35% AH, split 38% SR; 62% LLR: Reduction in value is £10m.
- 3. 35% AH LB Southwark policy DM4 compliant with DMR not exceeding £60k income cap. LBS viability assessment considered that 35% AH compliant with policy DM4 with 5% AH at income cap up to £70k and 4% up to £80k was viable. Reduction in value to an income cap of £60k is £3.23m.

31July 2018

From: 10 October 2018 13:46 Sent: To: RE: Elephant & Castle Shopping Centre - Affordable Housing Viability Summary Subject: **Attachments:** Re: Rom Valley Way Yes, I have. I mentioned earlier that there were three areas of inputs that DS2 & GVA disagreed on-build cost, profit share and benchmark. The overall cumulative difference if GVA's position was correct was about £30m. These differences have now been resolved- applicant's build cost and profit are accepted (Which is probably right given the increase in build costs in recent months.) and the LBS benchmark accepted. Overall, the end result is that the offer submitted by the applicant is the maximum reasonable without any grant funding for additional AH. I have not seen the s106 agreement on late stage VRMs. These should be considered once prepared. From: Sent: 02 October 2018 10:50 london.gov.uk> Subject: RE: Elephant & Castle Shopping Centre - Affordable Housing Viability Summary Hi Have you had a chance to consider the below? It would be helpful for me to understand whether we have any outstanding concerns on the viability at this stage.£ Happy to discuss. Many thanks, | Team Leader – Development Management | Development Management | Development, **Enterprise & Environment** GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY | 4th Floor, City Hall, The Queen's Walk, London SE1 2AA | Email: london.gov.uk From: **Sent:** 19 September 2018 12:58 To: london.gov.uk> Subject: FW: Elephant & Castle Shopping Centre - Affordable Housing Viability Summary From: @ds2.co.uk> **Sent:** 17 September 2018 15:23

london.gov.uk>

Subject: Elephant & Castle Shopping Centre - Affordable Housing Viability Summary

Further to our conversation last Wednesday please see below a summary of the affordable housing component in relation to the Elephant & Castle Shopping Centre. The project now has a resolution to grant planning consent subject to the signing of the S106.

DS2 worked with Southwark and their advisors, GVA, in order to get an agreed position on all matters pertaining to development viability and the delivery of affordable housing & other obligations.

#### Summary

The scheme proposes 35% affordable housing, by habitable rooms, consisting of the following tenures;

116 Social Rent homes (38%)
53 London Living Rent homes (15%)
161 Discount Market Rent Homes (47%)

The provision deviates away from Southwark's draft Build to Rent policy and guidance in that the affordable housing component includes the provision of Social Rent. The decision to deliver Social Rent was a decision made following the deferral of the application from Planning Committee in early 2018. The Applicant is however still offering a 30 year covenant on the site despite this.

The above affordable housing provision is subject to Grant Funding totalling £11.24m. This enables the provision of an additional 42 Social Rent homes, up from the agreed 74 Social Rent homes.

This affordable housing provision is supported by the attached agreed 'base' appraisal between DS2 and GVA. This derives an ungeared IRR of 7.51% vs an agreed target IRR of 11%.

The Applicant has made a commercial decision to commit to providing 35% affordable housing even though the returns fall short of what a reasonable developer would require to bring forward the scheme on a current day basis. The Applicant feels that through Value Engineering and growth in the rental values that in the future an IRR target of 11% can be achieved. It is worth noting that the affordable housing meets the requirements of the draft London Plan in respect of Build to Rent 'FastTrack' i.e. no viability reviews required.

However, the Applicant and the Council are currently in discussions regarding the S106 which will include a Non-Implementation review mechanism and a Late Stage review mechanism. This work has been underway as part of the wider S106 discussions for c. 12 months and the structure and timing of the VRs is agreed with much of the detail also now also tied down.

#### Viability

DS2 submitted a Financial Viability Assessment in November 2016 which was independently assessed by GVA over a period of c. 18 months.

GVA's initial viability report, dated June 2017, indicated that the Scheme could viably provide 35% affordable but at more 'affordable' levels (60% at LLR or lower / 40% DMR). Their supporting appraisal, based upon their views on costs and values, derived an IRR of 6.52% vs a target of 7.50% which they indicated was generally accepted to enable the scheme to come forward. One of the ways in which the 35% affordable housing has been maintained is by some of the DMR homes being at household income caps of up to £90,000. Southwark have tested the additionality that is created by this approach, and clearly this was deemed to be acceptable to Officers and Members.

The key areas of disagreement between DS2 and GVA at that time were;

□.	Construction costs
$\Box$ .	Site Value
□.	Target IRR

Since that date, the Applicant's advisors worked with GVA in order to a) provide evidence to support their view on costs/values b) reach a conclusion in order to enable the scheme to reach planning committee.

In summary, the Applicant and the Council reached an agreement on the following matters;

$\Box$ .	Construction costs
$\Box$ .	Site Value – The Applicant accepted GVA's position in order to reach an agreement
$\Box$ .	Target IRR – It was agreed that an 11% IRR target was reasonable in order to bring forward a scheme based
	on the nature of the project, Build to Rent with a mix of other uses, the capital commitment required, the

location, the scale and so on. Given the fundamental impact that the target IRR would have on the outcome of the affordable housing provision this point was discussed in detail over a number of months with GVA. The Applicant entered into discussions with some of the largest institutions to seek external advice as to what return is required to enable a Build to Rent scheme to come forward. The underlying responses was that institutions would not commit to a project with an IRR not less than 10% and in many instances a higher IRR would be required. A copy of our response to GVA can be provided if required.

In reaching an agreement on all inputs, the Applicant and the Council concluded that the affordable housing provision was the maximum reasonable amount of affordable housing that the scheme could viably provide and this was reported by Officers to Members.

If you require any more information, please let us know.

#### Regards



This email is sent on behalf of DS2 LLP ('the firm'), a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales (no OC372219). A list of the members of the firm may be inspected at its registered office, 100 Pall Mall, London SW1Y 5NQ.

The firm contracts on its terms of business, which may be read at <a href="www.ds2.co.uk">www.ds2.co.uk</a>. No personal liability is assumed by the sender of this email. Emails sent or received may be monitored to ensure compliance with the law and the firm's policies. Although this email (as well as any attachments) has been scanned for viruses, the recipient should ensure that it is virus-free before opening it. This e-mail and any attachments hereto are strictly confidential and intended solely for the addressee. If you are not the intended addressee, you must not disclose, forward, copy or take any action in relation to this e-mail or attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please delete it and notify <a href="mailto:postmaster@ds2.co.uk">postmaster@ds2.co.uk</a>

This message has been scanned for viruses by the Greater London Authority.

Click here to report this email as spam.

## **MEETING MINUTES**



[Agenda items not relevant to the request are redacted]

<b>Attendees:</b>		GLA	
		GLA	
		GLA	
		Delancey	
		Delancey	
		G&T	
		DP9	
		DS2	
	Liam Janusz	DS2	
Date &	18 <sup>th</sup> October 2018 @ 2pm	Location:	GLA
Time of			
<b>Meeting:</b>			
Subject	Elephant & Castle Shopping Cer	ntre & UAL	

Item	
1	
2	
3	Affordable Housing
	gave an overview of the progression of the affordable housing offer and status of the viability assessment.
	noted that the intention has always been to provide 35% AH and this has not changed. Given the offer is at 35% he did not believe the scheme should be providing a covenant or a review mechanism but the applicant has agreed to both.
	questioned if a BTR operator would accept the agreed 30 year covenant (exceeds GLA policy by 15 years).
	confirmed that it had been reluctantly accepted to reach an agreed position with LBS.

## stated the following: that he found the work carried out to date by DS2 and GVA to be very good and thorough; that he believed the DS2 position to be justified; that he thought it was a robust and transparent offer; the two points of issue which he noted were, GVA better evidenced the benchmark education value and the proposed BTR yield put forward by GVA was unjustified and unsupported. noted that the agreed position with GVA on the BTR IRR was 11%. stated he believed the proposed offer to be the best BTR offer ever seen by the GLA. It exceeds the starting point of the draft London Plan and responds to Southwark Local Plan. noted the issue for the Mayor is affordability and the inclusion of £90k cap for the DMR being above the £60k cap in the SPG. noted that a previous exercise had been undertaken to demonstrate the effect of reducing the DMR cap to £60k resulted in a 10% reduction in AH (35% down to 25%) to provide the same returns. agreed to re-run the testing with the latest offer and share the outcome with the GLA. confirmed that the most recent briefing to the Mayor had taken place before had confirmed his agreement with the DS2 Financial Viability Assessment. 4 5 **Next Steps** confirmed that officers will update the Deputy Mayors on the viability, affordable housing at the next available opportunity, which is likely to be on 30<sup>th</sup> October 2018.

	advised that LBS are likely to formally refer the scheme back to the GLA for Stage 2 approval during the early part of November.
	confirmed that the GLA then have a 14 day period to issue their Stage 2 response, and that weekly Mayoral/Deputy Mayoral meetings are in the diary from now until 17th December.
6	