

**Atkins Road
London**

**Utility Mapping Survey
Site Report
Project No. 1670**

Prepared by:
Simon Farrell
40SEVEN Limited

Unit E
Great Hollanden Business Centre
Underriver
Sevenoaks
Kent
TN15 0SQ
Tel: 08450 179 300

Commissioned by: Alison Pugh

Arcadis Consulting Ltd
1st Floor, 2 Glass Wharf
Temple Quay
Bristol

Table Of Contents

1.....	Title Page
2.....	Survey Details
2.....	Specification Notes
3.....	Existing Service Records
3.....	Field Equipment
3.....	Survey Results
4.....	Notes
4.....	Site Photographs & GPR Scans
8.....	Comments

Utility Surveyor: Konrad Grzymala, Steve Taylor

Topographical Surveyor: Enri Filipi, Urlene Paul

Date of Survey: Feburary 2019

Drawings Number Issued to the Client: 1670_P_Atkins_Road.dwg

Type of Survey: Underground Utility Location & Mapping Survey.

Survey Grid: ORDNANCE SURVEY - Related to OS Active Network using GPS.

Survey Datum: ORDNANCE SURVEY - Levels related to OS Active Network using GPS.

Accuracies: Depth by Electromagnetic Detection: +/- 10% of Depth.

Plan position by Electromagnetic Detection: +/- 10% of Depth.

Depth by GPR: +/- 10% of depth (in Normal Ground Conditions)

Plan position by GPR: +/- 10% of Depth.

Specification Notes:

All survey works carried out in the area defined by Arcadis Consulting Ltd

1. All drawings must be read in conjunction with record information.
2. 40Seven provided all available statutory authority information, but cannot be guaranteed to be the latest information available.
3. All services have been surveyed robustly using a combination of Electromagnetic Detection & Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR). All utility positions were surveyed in using an Electronic Total Station.

Existing Service Records Provided to Field Surveyor

Service	Provider	Remarks
Telecom	BT	Map Ref: TQ2977073737
Drainage	Thames Water	Map Ref: 2019_3939085
Electric	UKPN	Map Ref: 2019_2279414
Gas	SGN	Map Ref: 14638813
No other statutory record information available at time of survey.		
NOTE: Other existing statutory undertakers records were not available at the time of the survey or during the course of post processing.		

Field Equipment

Type	Make	Model	Company I.D No.	Operator(s) Initials
Electrolocation Instrument	RD	8000	PDL 005 TXT 005	KG
Ground Radar	Mala	HDR Pro	N/A	ST
Electronic Total Station	Trimble	S6	Rob 42	EF

Utility Location & Mapping Survey Results

Service	Comment Number	Successes / Problems Differences between survey & "Stats"
Drainage	1	Foul water drainage was located and traced in full on site.
	2	Surface water gullies and strip gullies unable to be traced due to flooding
	3	Statutory information available at the time of survey.
Electric	1	Electric routes located and traced by direct connection to lampposts and cables.
	2	A full passive power sweep was performed utilizing radio frequency equipment.
	3	Statutory information available at the time of survey. Incomplete routes have been transferred from record information.
Water	1	Water route traced within survey area.
	2	Polyethelene stop valve identified. Unable to trace routes.
	3	Statutory information available at the time of survey. Incomplete routes have been transferred from record information.

Service	Comment Number	Successes / Problems Differences between survey & "Stats"
Telecom	1	A BT route has been identified close to the survey boundary. This was unable to be located at the time of survey and has been added from record information.
	2	Statutory information available at the time of survey. Incomplete routes have been transferred from record information.
GPR Scans	1	The radar reflects changes in the electrical properties of materials in the sub-surface. The data prevents definition of unknown targets.
	2	A PAS128 M4P GPR survey has been carried out across the site where possible.
	3	Several unknown targets detected within the survey extents although only partially in some areas due to losses of reflection. Unable to associate any fittings or features in the vicinity to help establish utility types.
	4	GPR images shown within this report are not necessarily indicative of actual routes / anomalies detected.

Site Notes:

1. Survey was undertaken in the areas defined by Alison Pugh.
2. No access to any buildings in survey extents.
3. No access to any substations in survey extents.
4. Various utilities on site that could not be proven or completed have the appropriate comments added to the drawing.
5. Services plotted outside survey extents should not be considered to be exhaustive.
6. Through non-intrusive surveying techniques it always remains possible that there are additional services within the survey boundary that we have not been able to detect. We recommend that care is taken on site and that all service.

Site Photos:

Photo 1



Description: General view of survey extents.

Photo 2



Description: General view of survey extents.


Photo 3



Description: General view of survey extents.


GPR Images:

GPR 1



Description: Ground Penetrating Radar Scan 1.

GPR 2



Description: Ground Penetrating Radar Scan 2.

CAD Operators Comments:

1. Survey work corresponds to Utility Surveyor's fieldwork.
2. All record information added where necessary.
3. Services shown outside the survey extents should not be considered to be exhaustive.

QA Managers Comments:

1. All procedures have been followed.
2. Checked that all topographical features have utilities connected, or if not are appropriately notated.
3. Checked all guided information has been transferred correctly where appropriate.
4. Services shown outside the survey extents should not be considered to be exhaustive.

Project Managers Comments:

1. All statutory authority records should be checked prior to commencing any work.
2. A full electromagnetic and GPR survey carried out across the site.
3. GPR works by emitting electromagnetic signals into the ground and analysing signal returns. The use of GPR is strongly dependent upon local soil properties. Depth of penetration is limited by the presence of clays or other highly conductive materials. There must be a significant electrical contrast between the target and the host materials.
4. Numerous unknown routes were detected by GPR, although it was not possible to decipher function. Future intrusive works (eg: trial pits) are recommended to gather further information.
5. It is recommended that statutory authority records are acquired and read in conjunction with this information, as no guarantee can be made for the completeness of this drawing.
6. Radar depth achieved to a maximum of 1.10 metres in the tarmac areas and 1.00 metre in the grassed areas. Care should be taken, as non-metallic services may be present at greater depths.