

REQUEST FOR DMPC DECISION – PCD 100**Title:** Network Services Contract with British Telecom**Executive Summary:**

This paper requests the extension of the Network Services contract with British Telecom (BT), which is due to expire on the 31 December 2016. This agreement covers the provision of Network Services and associated service management.


Recommendation:

The DMPC is asked to approve the extension of the Network Services contract with BT for a period of up to 6 months.

Deputy Mayor for Policing And Crime

I confirm I have considered whether or not I have any personal or prejudicial interest in this matter and take the proposed decision in compliance with the Code of Conduct. Any such interests are recorded below.

The above request has my approval.

Signature**Date**

06/12/2016

PART I – NON-CONFIDENTIAL FACTS AND ADVICE TO THE DMPC

Decision required – supporting report

1. Introduction and background

- 1.1. The existing Network Services contract with BT is due to expire on December 31 2016.

2. Issues for consideration

- 2.1. This proposed extension will enable the service to continue and allow outstanding technical, commercial and operational issues, and associated governance to be addressed before the contract ends.
- 2.2. Further details are set out in Part 2.

3. Financial Comments

- 3.1 The cost of the extension will be met from existing budgets. These are discussed in the Part 2.

4. Legal Comments

- 4.1. These are discussed in Part 2 – Commercial section.

5. Equality Comments

- 5.1. There are no direct equality or diversity implications arising from this report

6. Background/supporting papers

- 6.1. None.

Public access to information

Information in this form is subject to the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA) and other legislation. Part 1 of this form will be made available on the MOPAC website within 1 working day of approval. Any facts/advice/recommendations that should not be made automatically available on request should not be included in Part 1 but instead on the separate Part 2 form. Deferment is only applicable where release before that date would compromise the implementation of the decision being approved.

Part 1 Deferral:

Is the publication of Part 1 of this approval to be deferred ? NO

Part 2 Confidentiality: Only the facts or advice considered as likely to be exempt from disclosure under the FOIA should be in the separate Part 2 form, together with the legal rational for non-publication.

Is there a **part 2** form – Yes

If yes, for what reason: EXEMPT under Article 2(2)(a) of the Elected Local Policing Bodies (Specified Information) Order 2011.

ORIGINATING OFFICER DECLARATION:

	<i>Tick to confirm statement (✓)</i>
Head of Unit: The Head of Strategic Finance and Resource Management has reviewed the request and is satisfied it is correct and consistent with the MOPAC's plans and priorities.	✓
Legal Advice: The MPS legal team has been consulted on the proposal.	✓
Financial Advice: The Head of Strategic Finance and Resource Management has been consulted on this proposal.	✓
Equalities Advice: No Equality and Diversity issues identified.	✓

OFFICER APPROVAL**Chief Executive Officer**

I have been consulted about the proposal and confirm that financial, legal and equalities advice has been taken into account in the preparation of this report. I am satisfied that this is an appropriate request to be submitted to the Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime.

Signature

R. Lawrence

Date

6/12/16



***Business Justification – Contract Extension
The Networks Services Contract with British
Telecom PLC
Investment Advisory Board
9th November 2016***

Freedom of Information Act Publication Scheme

Protective Marking

~~Commercial – Protected~~

Publication Scheme Y/N

~~Y~~

Title

Contract Extension: The Networks Services Contract with BT

Version

1.2

Summary

Request to Extend the Re-organised Agreement with BT for 6 months
from 1st January 2017 to 30th June 2017

(B)OCU or Unit, Directorate

Commercial Services

Author

Samale Nur

Review Date

Nov 2016

Date Issued

04/11/2016

Version History

Version	Date	Additions/Modifications	Prepared/Revised by
Draft v0.1	21.09.16	First draft	Samale Nur
v0.2	22.09.16	Amendments made following feedback / input from Caroline Hussey and Laura Bushell	Samale Nur
v0.3	28.09.16	Amendments made following feedback / input from Jonathan Smith, DLA Piper and Caroline Hussey	Samale Nur
v0.4	06.10.16	Amendments made following inputs from Hugh Logan to Finance; updated section 1 to add uplift of the contract value required; Updated section to account for ongoing networks services mapping and orphan services strategy; Added section 2.4 to cover other related procurements;	Samale Nur
v0.5	07.10.16	Amendments made following inputs and feedback from Katherine King and Hugh Logan	Samale Nur
v0.6	11.10.16	Amendments made to the financial case by Hugh Logan; Editorial update by Hugh Logan	Hugh Logan / Samale Nur
v0.7	11/10/16	Amendments made following inputs/feedback from Simon Wilson, Alex Blatchford and Mark Farraway	Samale Nur
v0.8	18/10/16	Brief amendment to the financial case in terms of budgetary impact of missed TTPI Savings	Hugh Logan
v1.0	18/10/16	Final version	Samale Nur
v1.1	21.10.16	Updated made based on feedback from Lynda McMullen	Hugh Logan / Samale Nur
v1.2	04.11.16	Updated for submission for DMPC approval. Split the paper into 2 parts – 1) publishable and 2) exempt	Samale Nur

Internal Consultation & Assurance

The following people have been consulted in the production of this Business Justification and their opinions incorporated.

Directorate / Dept.	Name & Job Role	Rank / Grade	Date Assured
Commercial Services	Simon Wilson	Commercial Director	11.10.16
DP	Angus McCallum	Chief Information Officer	
Legal (DLS)	Tania Dougall	Lawyer	06.10.16
Finance Business Partners	Hugh Logan (acting on behalf of	Finance Business	20.10.16

Directorate / Dept.	Name & Job Role	Rank / Grade	Date Assured
	Karim Mahamdallie)	Partner	

[REDACTED]

Contents

1	<i>Decisions Required</i>	5
2	<i>Management Case</i>	6
2.1	Officers Responsible	6
2.2	Performance and user satisfaction	6
2.3	Project management	6
2.4	Equality and Diversity Impact	6
2.5	Legal Implications	6
2.6	Environmental Implications	6

PART

1 Decisions Required

This Business Justification concerns the extension of the primary current agreement between Authority and BT (known as the Re-organised Agreement) which is due to expire on 31st December 2016. This agreement covers the provision Network Services, and associated service management, of the following services to Authority:

Backup, Recovery and Archive	Redcare CCTV / Alarms	Conferencing
Data Centres	Directory inquiries	Sensitive Broadband
IP Services RTC (Call Manager)	Calls	Firewall maintenance
Mobile Telephony	Fixed Telephony	Secure Services
Mobility Infrastructure - VOD VPN	Pagers	Pass-through Services (Other Suppliers):
Security Management	Local Area Network	Vodafone
Service Management Services	Phones RTC	Telefonica O2 Ltd
Voice Networks	ICT WAN Pass Through (Circuits)	Language Line Ltd
Wide Area Networks	Mobile Network Services	EE
Service Enhancements	Voice - UC- CRM - CPE	
Call Routing Service - 999	Network Services	

There is an ongoing procurement - originally part of TTPi - to award a contract to supersede the Re-organised Agreement (RA) and form the Network Tower under the new SIAM / Tower model. BT is the remaining bidder in this tender and, whilst the process is well-progressing, there are significant outstanding technical, commercial and operational issues, and associated governance, before it can be completed to a satisfactory degree in the time allotted.

Decisions Required – Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime (DMPC) to approve the following recommendations:

1. The extension of the Network Services contract with BT as set out in Part 2 of this document due to exemption requirements.
2. As per above, the increase of the value of the contract as set out in Part 2 of this document due to exemption requirements.
3. Extension of the contract for BT Secure Services at a cost as set out in Part 2 of this document due to exemption requirements.

2 Management Case

2.1 Officers Responsible

The recommended option will be implemented through contractual change mechanism by executing an Extension CCN. The CCN will define the scope of the Services that will form part of the extension and, if applicable, the point when the services identified in paragraph 2.4 above will be removed from the contract.

The responsibility for drafting and execution of the extension CCN lies with the MPS's RA Contract Manager with Commercial Services. The Contract Management Team is headed by Katherine King who is accountable for the management of this contract amongst all the strategic contracts the Authority holds.

2.2 Performance and user satisfaction

Performance and user satisfaction will be managed in accordance with existing contractual performance framework and in line with DP's Service Delivery's service management model.

2.3 Project management

The projects are managed in accordance with the new MPS Digital Policing Delivery (DP) Lifecycle; for which the Supplier is contractually obliged to comply with.

2.4 Equality and Diversity Impact

There are no equality and diversity issues related to this extension paper. All new procurement activity undertaken will do so in line with the relevant MPS policies and standards within MPS Procurement Processes with regard to responsible procurement issues.

2.5 Legal Implications

MOPAC is a contracting authority as defined in the Public Contracts Regulations 2015. The commercial section of this paper looks at the legal risks that pertains to the paper and the recommendations section of this report requests that DMPC makes its decision whilst considering the legal risks. DLS supports the external legal advice provided by DLA.

2.6 Environmental Implications

There are no environmental issues related to this extension paper

