MAYOR OF LONDON OFFICE FOR POLICING AND CRIME

REQUEST FOR DMPC DECISION - PCD 266

Request for authorisation to settle a claim against the Metropolitan Police Service

Executive Summary:

The DMPC agree a request for authorisation to settle a civil claim against the MPS, as set out in the part 2 report.

The Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime has the discretion to authorise the settlement of a civil claim where it would be conducive to the maintenance of an efficient and effective police force.

Recommendation:

The Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime authorises the settlement of a civil claim up to a maximum amount, as identified in part 2 of this report.

Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime

I confirm I have considered whether or not I have any personal or prejudicial interest in this matter and take the proposed decision in compliance with the Code of Conduct. Any such interests are recorded below.

The above request has my approval.

Signature

Siphie hunden.

Date

PART I - NON-CONFIDENTIAL FACTS AND ADVICE TO THE DMPC

Decision required – supporting report

1. Introduction and background

1.1. The Claimant, a former police officer in the MPS brings a claim for damages in respect of injuries he sustained during a road traffic accident that occurred in December 2012. The Claimant was riding his police issued motorcycle in the course of his duties when the braking system failed and as a result of the crash the Claimant fell off the machine. He sustained soft tissue injuries but developed severe PTSD.

2. Financial Comments

2.1. The cost of any settlement, if agreed, would be met from the centrally held MPS third party claims provision budget.

3. Legal Comments

- 3.1. Pursuant to the MOPAC Scheme of Consent and Delegation 2016, MOPAC must authorise the settlement because it fulfils the criteria set out in section 4.10 of the Scheme including the financial level.
- 3.2. The DMPC has the power to authorise any sum required in connection with the settlement for any claim made against the Commissioner under Section 88 of the Police Act 1996.
- 3.3. There is an open and exempt report as some of the information relates to data protection, is commercially sensitive and is legal professional privileged.

4. Equality Comments

4.1. To continue policing with the consent of the population it serves, the police will always seek to treat everyone fairly and openly. The accident led to a subsequent disability and the MPS will undertake learning as to how it can better support such individuals in the future.

5. Background/supporting papers

5.1. Part two exempt report

Public access to information

Information in this form (Part 1) is subject to the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA) and will be made available on the MOPAC website following approval.

If immediate publication risks compromising the implementation of the decision it can be deferred until a specific date. Deferral periods should be kept to the shortest length strictly necessary.

Part 1 Deferral:

Is the publication of Part 1 of this approval to be deferred? Yes

If yes, for what reason: Negotiations are ongoing

Until what date: Annual Review

Part 2 Confidentiality: Only the facts or advice considered as likely to be exempt from disclosure under the FOIA should be in the separate Part 2 form, together with the legal rationale for non-publication.

Is there a Part 2 form - YES

DRIGINATING OFFICER DECLARATION:

Tick to confirm statement (✓)
✓
✓
✓
✓

OFFICER APPROVAL

Chief Executive Officer

I have been consulted about the proposal and confirm that financial, legal and equalities advice has been taken into account in the preparation of this report. I am satisfied that this is an appropriate request to be submitted to the Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime.

Signature

R. Lowrence

Date 13/9/17