

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 16 June 2014 16:36
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@mungosbroadway.org.uk>; [REDACTED]
<[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@westminster.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]
<[REDACTED]@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]
<[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: data on rough sleeping at spatial level

Thanks [REDACTED]

So, it sounds like we have data on the locations at which rough sleepers are identified, but that – other than for Westminster, Ealing, Lambeth and Southwark - it's not readily mappable at the moment.

[REDACTED] could produce a regular (monthly) report along the lines of the attached information that's circulated to the MRSG, but that covers all rough sleeping contacts rather than just instances where more than three people were seen sleeping rough (the definition of a hotspot used for outreach purposes).

Then I think the key question is for [REDACTED] would a report of this nature be sufficient for your purposes at present, or would the benefits of mapped data be such that you would be willing to invest resources in mapping the street sites to either wards or, as Westminster have already done, Google map locations? As [REDACTED] says, this would be quite a big task and, if sites were mapped to wards, may yield some rather imprecise results when sites cover two or more wards. But doing that would make it possible to produce a map.

Let me know what you think so we can agree next steps.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Senior Policy Officer
Housing Policy, Strategy & Services
GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY
Tel: 020 7983 [REDACTED]
[mailto:\[REDACTED\]@london.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk)

From: [REDACTED] [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]@mungosbroadway.org.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@mungosbroadway.org.uk)]
Sent: 12 June 2014 09:31
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: data on rough sleeping at spatial level

I think it might be difficult to develop an actual map at streetsite level, because the streetsites don't really have clearly defined spatial areas and boundaries. The streetsite is more of a notional categorisation that does what it needs to from the point of view of an outreach worker, but doesn't necessarily translate outside of that immediate context.

It might be easier to map the data at ward level, but it would still be quite a big task to go through the process of assigning wards to all the streetsites, and there will probably be a lot of cases where streetsites cover more than one ward.

In terms of frequency, assuming that all other problematic elements are resolved, I would think that a monthly report would be a reasonable approach.

■

From: ■ ■ [mailto:■@london.gov.uk]

Sent: 11 June 2014 15:02

To: ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■

Cc: ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■

Subject: RE: data on rough sleeping at spatial level

Thanks for your helpful comments, all.

It sounds like there is scope for developing some sort of resource, with input from both ■ and ■ that would be useful to both the Home Office and the Met.

I have a few questions and comments. Subject to people's answers to these, perhaps ■ ■ and I could work together to develop something that ■ and ■ could review.

Definitions

■ we could potentially map all rough sleeping contacts, to get round the issues you raise about what might look like a hotspot in an outer London borough not registering as one in Westminster.

Geography

■ is there a map/spatial definition of the street sites anywhere, either centrally or within outreach teams? I'm assuming that ■ would struggle to translate information into a map if we don't have this sort of definition recorded.

■ if we do have spatial definitions of street sites, would it be technically possible for you to translate this into a pan-London map, on which rough sleeping contacts could be plotted? Or would it be necessary to do as ■ explained Westminster have done for all street sites and map each street site to a Google map location within that street site or maybe (and perhaps less onerously) to map it to a ward? If this sort of mapping to existing geographies was required, I imagine the task of creating a map (as opposed to a list of sites) could be quite a big task?

Frequency

■ do you have any thoughts on how frequently you would want any resource updated – e.g., monthly?

■ do you have any thoughts on what would be the appropriate frequency for updating, given the total number of contacts per week/month/quarter and the speed with which the geographical distribution of rough sleepers changes over time?

Audience

Because this information is somewhat sensitive, even though it's anonymous and aggregated, my feeling is that any map or other resource shouldn't be publicly available in the first instance – although perhaps we would make it so over time, once key stakeholders had had time to review it.

██████████ if we had an online map, would it be possible to set it up so that only particular people are able to access it? We could work with ██████████ and ██████████ to identify those who would need access.

Thanks,

██████████

From: ██████████ ██████████
Sent: 11 June 2014 14:35
To: ██████████ ██████████ ██████████ ██████████ ██████████ ██████████ ██████████
Cc: ██████████ ██████████ ██████████ ██████████
Subject: RE: data on rough sleeping at spatial level

There are various options available should you want to share this kind of data publicly. We are developing a new Datastore due for launch in September that will enable anyone to upload their own data – subject to approval by a Datastore administrator.

In terms of mapping this kind of data we should be able to help. Certainly creating a static PDF/JPG map showing hot spots would be straightforward even with the different levels of geography.

We also may be able to help with an online map, but we'd need to discuss your requirements further before we could suggest which is the best option eg
Is this for public or private use?, do you want to be able to update the map each month/quarter etc?, does the data owner have any technical skills to do this?, or would you prefer us to update it?, where you want the data surfaced?, how many people are using it and who are those people?, and what you want it to look like?

Thanks

██████████ ██████████
Intelligence
GREATERLONDONAUTHORITY

t: 020 7983 ██████████
Twitter: [@ldn_data](#)
London Datastore: <http://data.london.gov.uk/>

From: ██████████ ██████████ [<mailto:██████████@mungosbroadway.org.uk>]
Sent: 11 June 2014 13:05
To: ██████████ ██████████ ██████████ ██████████ ██████████ ██████████
Cc: ██████████ ██████████ ██████████ ██████████ ██████████ ██████████
Subject: RE: data on rough sleeping at spatial level

Hello all

To provide some clarification from the CHAIN side, when a street contact is recorded on the system the user will also specify the streetsite at which this occurred. Each borough has its own list of streetsite options, and the available options are generally decided upon by the outreach service that is operating in that borough. Depending on the pattern and density of rough sleeping in the borough, the options can vary quite widely from very specific streets (e.g. "Westminster - Orange Street") to more generalised areas (e.g. "Camden - Kings Cross"). In the outer boroughs we tend to have fewer street

site options, and teams will often mainly use a catch all option (e.g. "Barking & Dagenham - Other") with specific details recorded in an accompanying notes field. Certain boroughs (Westminster, Ealing, Lambeth and Southwark) have also expressed a requirement to report on geographical distribution at ward level, so in those cases we have assigned each streetsite option to a ward, based on information supplied to us by the outreach teams.

For Westminster we have also assigned each streetsite to a count patch, which are geographical divisions used by the outreach teams for their intelligence purposes. Westminster have also assigned a Google Maps URL to each of their streetsites, based on an arbitrary location somewhere in the middle of the streetsite area.

As you will ascertain from the above, Westminster is generally the borough with the richest and most precise geographical data available, and in other boroughs the usefulness of geographical data will vary.

In terms of reporting, we can certainly provide periodic summaries of number of contacts and/or number of people contacted at each streetsite, grouped by borough (and ward, if available).

I hope that helps.

Regards

■■■■■
CHAIN Information Manager
St Mungo's Broadway
Email: ■■■■■ [mungosbroadway.org.uk](mailto:■■■■■@mungosbroadway.org.uk)
Tel: 020 7710 ■■■■■
CHAIN Helpdesk: 020 7710 ■■■■■

15 Half Moon Court, Bartholomew Close, London, EC1A 7HF

From: ■■■■■ ■■■■■ [<mailto:■■■■■@westminster.gov.uk>]
Sent: 10 June 2014 15:02
To: ■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■
Cc: ■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■@london.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: data on rough sleeping at spatial level

Thanks ■■■■■

I will speak to ■■■■■ to about this as I am due to see him at 3.30pm (and also ■■■■■ when I next see him) as I think we will need to be careful on what we consider a hotspot if we are looking across London as what is a hotspot to one borough might not be of a sufficient level in Westminster, but anything that can assist in getting police resources would be gratefully received from our side.

We do have hotspot maps at a local level which we are looking to use for Tasking and Targeting meetings moving forward which the local police do attend, so this can assist with this on a borough level which I might use as discussion piece with ■■■■■ so he is aware of what is available. But a more London wide map would certainly assist with the cross border enforcement piece of work.

Many thanks

■■■■■

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 10 June 2014 14:17
To: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] ([REDACTED]@mungosbroadway.org.uk); [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]@london.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: data on rough sleeping at spatial level

Thanks, [REDACTED] our intention is to get a pan London understanding of the current intelligence picture of rough-sleeping on a geographical basis.

As discussed, this is an outcome from our bi-lateral discussion between Chief Superintendent [REDACTED] Borough Commander, Westminster and our regional Director for Immigration Enforcement, [REDACTED].

We do not intend to move from a business as usual approach which means responding to partners as and when required.

However, having a geographical London-wide picture of hotspots would give us some assurance that we understand what is happening on the ground and allow us to ensure that we are matching resources to need. It would also be useful to see the development of activity over time, so a product produced on a periodical basis would be helpful.

Evidently, CHAIN research and the underpinning data will provide what we are looking for. There may be an issue going down to ward level or further (postcode), as we would like, as this level of detail may not be recorded or it may not be recorded in a uniform way to make it usable.

The best product would be a GIS-based one, but this will require an analyst with access to the software. ([REDACTED] may be able to comment on thus.)

Finally, our intention is not to use anything more than anonymous data although we may need to think carefully as to what level of access is given to any product identifying hotspots.

Happy to discuss,

[REDACTED]
immigration inspector

*London & South of England
Immigration Enforcement
Home Office
Becket House, 60-68 St Thomas Street, London SE1 3QU*

[REDACTED]
From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk]
Sent: 10 June 2014 12:12
To: [REDACTED] ([mailto:[REDACTED]@mungosbroadway.org.uk]); [REDACTED]@westminster.gov.uk
Cc: [REDACTED]@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk; [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Subject: data on rough sleeping at spatial level

Dear All,

I'm emailing to follow up a conversation I've just had with [REDACTED] [REDACTED] from the Home Office, about some work he's doing with [REDACTED], Westminster Borough Commander. I understand [REDACTED] is due to discuss this work with [REDACTED] [REDACTED] at Westminster later today. ([REDACTED] presumably [REDACTED] is one of your colleagues in Community Protection?)

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] are keen to improve their respective services' capacity to identify risk and allocate resources. They are hoping that we might be able to provide some intelligence on rough sleeping at a spatial level. [REDACTED] as discussed, would you be able to tell us a bit more about how you would envisage using this intelligence, please? As discussed on the phone earlier and when we met back in March, there are a number of groups that it might be helpful for you and Paul to work with, including a rough sleeping enforcement group that [REDACTED] has led. Having a slightly fuller picture of your work will help us to put you in touch with the relevant groups and hopefully to avoid dissipation of efforts to tackle rough sleeping.

[REDACTED] subject to [REDACTED] comments, I am wondering whether you might be able to provide some data from CHAIN on the spatial distribution of rough sleeping? I know you are already providing data on hotspots for the MRSRG, which both the Home Office and the Met (though not [REDACTED] and Paul personally) receive, because they're both represented on the MRSRG, so perhaps we could share this with them? But might there also be scope for generating a report that showed the distribution of all cases of rough sleeping at a lower level than borough? ([REDACTED] there is a map showing the borough level distribution of rough sleeping in the annual Street to Home report that CHAIN produces. The 2013/14 report is due out soon, but meanwhile the 2012/13 report is at <http://www.broadwaylondon.org/CHAIN/Reports/StreettoHomeReports.html> and the map is on p.21.) [REDACTED] if we are able to supply some data from CHAIN, would you be looking for a one off set of data that might be refreshed periodically or for regular (e.g., quarterly or monthly) reports?

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Senior Policy Officer
Housing Policy, Strategy & Services
GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY
Tel: 020 7983 [REDACTED]
[mailto:\[REDACTED\]@london.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk)

Got something to say about London's biggest issues? Share your thoughts and opinions with the Talk London community: <http://www.talklondon.london.gov.uk>

Hotspots during March 2014, ranked by total contacts, number of days when three or more people were recorded at sites, and average contacts per person

The three tables below set out hotspots - i.e., sites at which more than three people were seen sleeping rough - identifiable from March's CHAIN records (up to and including 30/03/14). They report the sites by total number of contacts (rather than individuals) during that period, the number of days during that period on which more than three people were reported sleeping rough, the average number of contacts per person during that period.

| Total number of contacts recorded during Mar 2014 (up to 30/03/14) at any site where three or more people were recorded on a single date | | | Number of days during Mar 2014 when three or more people were recorded at sites | | | Average number of contacts per person at sites during Mar 2014 | | |
|--|---|--------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| borough | site | number of contacts | borough | site | number of days | borough | site | average contacts per person |
| Newham | Stratford Shopping Centre | 63 | Westminster | Buckingham Palace Road | 11 | Lambeth | Waterloo - Cornwall Road | 7.8 |
| Westminster | Buckingham Palace Road | 54 | Westminster | Vincent Square Ward | 11 | Westminster | Vere Street | 4.0 |
| Westminster | Vincent Square Ward | 52 | Westminster | Warwick Ward | 8 | Westminster | Christchurch Gardens | 3.6 |
| Westminster | Lillington & Longmore Estate | 36 | Westminster | Lillington & Longmore Estate | 7 | Westminster | Henrietta Place (John Lewis) | 3.5 |
| City of London | Aldgate | 34 | City of London | Aldgate | 6 | City of London | Aldgate | 3.1 |
| Westminster | Warwick Ward | 32 | Westminster | Craven Street | 6 | Westminster | Buckingham Palace Road | 3.0 |
| Hillingdon | Terminal 3 | 26 | City of London | St Paul's | 5 | Hounslow | Hounslow Central- Trinity Church | 2.8 |
| City of London | Tower Hill | 25 | City of London | Tower Hill | 5 | K&C | High Street Kensington | 2.8 |
| Westminster | Smith Square | 24 | Heathrow | Terminal 3 | 4 | Westminster | Charing Cross Rd | 2.8 |
| City of London | St Paul's | 22 | Newham | Stratford Shopping Centre | 4 | Westminster | King Street | 2.7 |
| Westminster | Craven Street | 21 | Southwark | Bankside: Falcon Point | 4 | Westminster | Oxford Street West | 2.7 |
| Southwark | Bankside: Falcon Point | 15 | Westminster | Adelaide Street | 4 | City of London | St Paul's | 2.6 |
| Westminster | BMW Tunnels | 15 | Westminster | Apollo Steps | 4 | Westminster | Apollo Steps | 2.6 |
| Westminster | Francis Street | 15 | Westminster | Smith Square | 4 | Camden | St Pancras Church | 2.5 |
| Westminster | Adelaide Street | 13 | Hounslow | Hounslow Central- Trinity Church | 3 | Newham | Stratford Shopping Centre | 2.5 |
| Westminster | Apollo Steps | 13 | Westminster | BMW Tunnels | 3 | Southwark | Bankside: Falcon Point | 2.5 |
| Westminster | Charing Cross Underpass | 12 | Westminster | Exeter Street | 3 | Westminster | BMW Tunnels | 2.5 |
| Ealing | Acton - South Acton Estate | 11 | Westminster | Francis Street | 3 | Westminster | Marylebone Parish Church | 2.5 |
| Westminster | Henrietta Place (John Lewis) | 11 | Westminster | Henrietta Place (John Lewis) | 3 | City of London | Barbican | 2.3 |
| Hounslow | Hounslow Central- Trinity Church | 11 | Westminster | Marylebone Parish Church | 3 | Westminster | Piccadilly | 2.3 |
| Westminster | Marylebone Parish Church | 11 | Brent | Wembley Central | 2 | City of London | Bart's | 2.3 |
| Westminster | Exeter Street | 10 | Camden | Camden Town | 2 | Westminster | Adelaide Street | 2.2 |
| Westminster | Plinth | 9 | Camden | Holborn | 2 | Westminster | All Souls Church | 2.2 |
| Westminster | Vauxhall Bridge Rd North - Victoria St to Warwick Way | 9 | City of London | Bart's | 2 | Westminster | Horseferry Road | 2.2 |
| Westminster | All Souls Church | 8 | City of London | Liverpool Street | 2 | City of London | Tower Hill | 2.2 |
| City of London | Liverpool Street | 8 | Ealing | Acton - South Acton Estate | 2 | Westminster | Whitcomb street | 2.1 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|---|----------------|---|---|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----|
| City of London | Bart's | 7 | Lambeth | Waterloo - Cornwall Road | 2 | Westminster | Vincent Square Ward | 2.1 |
| Westminster | Charing Cross Rd | 7 | Southwark | Leather Market Gardens | 2 | Westminster | Smith Square | 2.1 |
| Camden | Holborn | 7 | Westminster | All Souls Church | 2 | Westminster | Lillington & Longmore Estate | 2.0 |
| Westminster | Piccadilly | 7 | Westminster | Charing Cross Underpass | 2 | Westminster | Craven Street | 1.9 |
| Camden | Camden Town | 6 | Westminster | Christchurch Gardens | 2 | Westminster | St George Street | 1.9 |
| Westminster | Christchurch Gardens | 6 | Westminster | Grosvenor Gardens | 2 | Westminster | Grosvenor Gardens | 1.8 |
| Westminster | Grosvenor Gardens | 6 | Westminster | Horseferry Road | 2 | Westminster | Hyde Park Corner Tunnels | 1.8 |
| K&C | High Street Kensington | 6 | Westminster | Hyde Park Corner Tunnels | 2 | Westminster | Tavistock Street | 1.8 |
| Westminster | Horseferry Road | 6 | Westminster | Oxford Street West | 2 | City of London | Liverpool Street | 1.7 |
| Westminster | Hyde Park Corner Tunnels | 6 | Westminster | Piccadilly | 2 | Westminster | Lancaster Gate Ward | 1.7 |
| Southwark | Leather Market Gardens | 6 | Westminster | Plinth | 2 | Westminster | Plinth | 1.6 |
| Westminster | Oxford Street West | 6 | Westminster | Vauxhall Bridge Rd North - Victoria St to Warwick Way | 2 | Camden | Holborn | 1.6 |
| Westminster | SMITF Church | 6 | Westminster | Vere Street | 2 | Westminster | Charing Cross Underpass | 1.6 |
| Ealing | Southall - Lady Margaret Road | 6 | Westminster | Whitcomb street | 2 | Camden | Euston | 1.5 |
| Westminster | Vere Street | 6 | Brent | Neasden | 1 | City of London | Fleet Street | 1.5 |
| Lambeth | Waterloo - Cornwall Road | 6 | Camden | Euston | 1 | Ealing | Southall - Lady Margaret Road | 1.5 |
| Brent | Wembley Central | 6 | Camden | St Pancras Church | 1 | Southwark | Leather Market Gardens | 1.5 |
| Westminster | Whitcomb street | 6 | Camden | Tottenham Court Road Centre | 1 | Westminster | Carlisle Place | 1.5 |
| Westminster | St James's Ward | 5 | City of London | Barbican | 1 | Brent | Wembley Central | 1.4 |
| Westminster | Tachbrook Street | 5 | City of London | Fleet Street | 1 | Westminster | Francis Street | 1.4 |
| Westminster | Adelphi Terrace | 4 | Croydon | NCP Whitgift MSCP | 1 | Westminster | Victoria Train Station | 1.4 |
| Croydon | NCP Whitgift MSCP | 4 | Ealing | Southall - Lady Margaret Road | 1 | Heathrow | Terminal 3 | 1.4 |
| Hillingdon | Terminal 5 | 4 | Heathrow | Terminal 5 | 1 | Westminster | Warwick Ward | 1.4 |
| Westminster | Ashley Place | 3 | Islington | Holloway & Caledonian | 1 | Ealing | Acton - South Acton Estate | 1.4 |
| City of London | Barbican | 3 | K&C | High Street Kensington | 1 | Westminster | Marylebone High Street Ward | 1.3 |
| Westminster | Carlisle Place | 3 | Westminster | Adelphi Terrace | 1 | Westminster | West End Ward | 1.3 |
| Westminster | Charing Cross Station (forecourt) | 3 | Westminster | Ashley Place | 1 | Heathrow | Terminal 5 | 1.3 |
| Westminster | Churchill Ward | 3 | Westminster | Carlisle Place | 1 | Westminster | Exeter Street | 1.2 |
| Camden | Euston | 3 | Westminster | Charing Cross Rd | 1 | Westminster | Old Bond Street | 1.2 |
| City of London | Fleet Street | 3 | Westminster | Charing Cross Station (forecourt) | 1 | Camden | Camden Town | 1.2 |
| Islington | Holloway & Caledonian | 3 | Westminster | Churchill Ward | 1 | Islington | Holloway & Caledonian | 1.2 |
| Westminster | King Street | 3 | Westminster | King Street | 1 | Westminster | St James's Ward | 1.1 |
| Westminster | Kings Scholar Passage | 3 | Westminster | Kings Scholar Passage | 1 | Brent | Neasden | 1.0 |
| Westminster | Lancaster Gate Ward | 3 | Westminster | Lancaster Gate Ward | 1 | Camden | Tottenham Court Road Centre | 1.0 |
| Westminster | Marylebone High Street Ward | 3 | Westminster | Marylebone High Street Ward | 1 | Croydon | NCP Whitgift MSCP | 1.0 |
| Brent | Neasden | 3 | Westminster | Old Bond Street | 1 | Westminster | Adelphi Terrace | 1.0 |
| Westminster | Old Bond Street | 3 | Westminster | Semley Place | 1 | Westminster | Ashley Place | 1.0 |
| Westminster | Semley Place | 3 | Westminster | SMITF Church | 1 | Westminster | Charing Cross Station (forecourt) | 1.0 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|---|-------------|--|---|-------------|--|-----|
| Westminster | St George Street | 3 | Westminster | St George Street | 1 | Westminster | Churchill Ward | 1.0 |
| Westminster | St James Park | 3 | Westminster | St James Park | 1 | Westminster | Kings Scholar Passage | 1.0 |
| Camden | St Pancras Church | 3 | Westminster | St James's Ward | 1 | Westminster | Semley Place | 1.0 |
| Westminster | Tachbrook Ward | 3 | Westminster | Tachbrook Street | 1 | Westminster | SMITF Church | 1.0 |
| Westminster | Tavistock Street | 3 | Westminster | Tachbrook Ward | 1 | Westminster | St James Park | 1.0 |
| Camden | Tottenham Court Road Centre | 3 | Westminster | Tavistock Street | 1 | Westminster | Tachbrook Street | 1.0 |
| Westminster | Vauxhall Bridge Rd South - Warwick Way to River | 3 | Westminster | Vauxhall Bridge Rd South - Warwick Way to River | 1 | Westminster | Tachbrook Ward | 1.0 |
| Westminster | Victoria Train Station | 3 | Westminster | Victoria Train Station | 1 | Westminster | Vauxhall Bridge Rd North - Victoria St to Warwick Way | 1.0 |
| Westminster | West End Ward | 3 | Westminster | West End Ward | 1 | Westminster | Vauxhall Bridge Rd South - Warwick Way to River | 1.0 |

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 16 June 2014 18:39

To: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk]

Cc: [REDACTED] (Immigration Enforcement) <[REDACTED]@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]
<[REDACTED]@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk>

Subject: data on rough sleeping at spatial level: meeting with GLA and MPS

[REDACTED]

This is certainly along the right lines, I will respond in more detail elsewhere; our regional Director, [REDACTED], would like to have a tri-lateral with yourself from the GLA and Chief Superintendent [REDACTED], the borough commander for Westminster who has been charged to develop proposals for rough-sleeping for the MPS.

The objective will be is to map out a pan-London picture of the hotspots (as here) and develop an understanding of priorities and risks. Our overall intention is to deliver this rough-sleeping work in co-operation with existing partners, with the minimal disruption to local arrangements where these are working.

MPS and the Home Office will work together to provide a strategic framework but, of course, it is important to keep yourself, the GLA and the Mayor's office up to speed notwithstanding our intention to broadly maintain a business as usual approach.

Could we work towards a couple of potential dates, perhaps late June/early July? The agenda will be based around the hotspot analysis and a forward look, the main issue on the horizon, from our perspective, being the change in benefit entitlements for EEA nationals.

Happy to discuss,

Regards,

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

immigration inspector

*London & South of England
Immigration Enforcement
Home Office*

Becket House, 60-68 St Thomas Street, London SE1 3QU

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk]

Sent: 16 June 2014 16:36

To: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: data on rough sleeping at spatial level

Thanks [REDACTED]

So, it sounds like we have data on the locations at which rough sleepers are identified, but that – other than for Westminster, Ealing, Lambeth and Southwark - it's not readily mappable at the moment.

[REDACTED] could produce a regular (monthly) report along the lines of the attached information that's circulated to the MRSG, but that covers all rough sleeping contacts rather than just instances where more than three people were seen sleeping rough (the definition of a hotspot used for outreach purposes).

Then I think the key question is for [REDACTED] would a report of this nature be sufficient for your purposes at present, or would the benefits of mapped data be such that you would be willing to invest resources in mapping the street sites to either wards or, as Westminster have already done, Google map locations? As [REDACTED] says, this would be quite a big task and, if sites were mapped to wards, may yield some rather imprecise results when sites cover two or more wards. But doing that would make it possible to produce a map.

Let me know what you think so we can agree next steps.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

Duplicate from page 2

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 June 2014 14:19
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]
<[REDACTED]@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@mungosbroadway.org.uk>;
[REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@westminster.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]
<[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: data on rough sleeping at spatial level

If [REDACTED] can send over the data, or a sample of it, we can begin to figure out the best way to map it.

Thanks

[REDACTED]
Intelligence
[GREATERLONDONAUTHORITY](#)

t: 020 7983 [REDACTED]
Twitter: [@ldn_data](#)
London Datastore: <http://data.london.gov.uk/>

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 June 2014 14:06
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: data on rough sleeping at spatial level

Thanks [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] would you be willing to work on maps showing all contacts over a month for Westminster, Ealing, Lambeth and Southwark that can be updated monthly and shared with [REDACTED] and Paul at the Met? Perhaps you could give an idea of how long it might take to prepare a prototype once you've had an initial conversation about this?

I'd suggest we accompany this with data on contacts in other boroughs, by location. Although this won't be as readily digestible as the maps, it will make sure you're alerted to any pronounced shifts in the areas where rough sleepers are being located.

Once these reports are on place, they might help inform conversations with boroughs and outreach services about potential for other boroughs to develop reporting to enable mapping – a case of showing them how information can be used and useful.

I agree that we should keep access to the map limited, for now at least. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] perhaps you could talk about the best way of doing this – e.g., could we have an online location for it, but password-restricted access?

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk)]
Sent: 16 June 2014 19:56
To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: data on rough sleeping at spatial level

Thanks, [REDACTED]

This is a really useful step forward; I think it would be most useful to have a monthly cycle of reporting although that should be open for review as we don't really know what the impact of the changes in benefit rules will be over the next few months.

I fully accept the discussion around mapping outside the four boroughs and the amount of work this would cause. Perhaps we could work with a Westminster hotspot map initially as this is both deliverable on the basis of the data available and clearly the main priority area?

My preference would be to work from all the data available rather than a notion of what a hotspot is as the product will not generate confidence if it is not complete and trend analysis will be undermined by false negatives.

The monthly product would look like the attachment with a Westminster map providing a pictorial representation. If Ealing, Lambeth and Southwark can be easily mapped, it would be useful to have them as well, partners evidently want them and there seems no point in not providing those maps as part of the monthly package. No doubt once this is produced it will create further demand, my preference would be to include Camden and Islington, and then Kensington and Chelsea.

Location definition will be a problem for us, and probably the police. Local knowledge serves local delivery but the provision of a comprehensive overview, my intention here, is being made more difficult by the variety of definitions and the imprecise nature of some of them. Our intention, and perhaps others', is to be able to plot encounters, plan our outreach work and collect Management Information in a meaningful way.

It would be useful to know what extra work would be required to map encounters on a specific location basis, map reference, post code etc.

If we jointly own the product, I think our position would be that it should not be in the public domain and there should be some restrictions on access. The CHAIN reporting already in the public domain is probably as far as we should go – I would suggest.

Happy to meet to discuss further,

Regards,

■■■■■ ■■■■■
immigration inspector

*London & South of England
Immigration Enforcement
Home Office
Becket House, 60-68 St Thomas Street, London SE1 3QU*

■■■■■
From: ■■■■■ ■■■■■ [<mailto:■■■■■@london.gov.uk>]
Sent: 16 June 2014 16:36
To: ■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■
Cc: ■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■
Subject: RE: data on rough sleeping at spatial level

Thanks ■■■■■

So, it sounds like we have data on the locations at which rough sleepers are identified, but that – other than for Westminster, Ealing, Lambeth and Southwark - it's not readily mappable at the moment.

■■■■■ could produce a regular (monthly) report along the lines of the attached information that's circulated to the MRSG, but that covers all rough sleeping contacts rather than just instances where more than three people were seen sleeping rough (the definition of a hotspot used for outreach purposes).

Then I think the key question is for ■■■■■ would a report of this nature be sufficient for your purposes at present, or would the benefits of mapped data be such that you would be willing to invest resources in mapping the street sites to either wards or, as Westminster have already done, Google map locations? As ■■■■■ says, this would be quite a big task and, if sites were mapped to wards, may yield some rather imprecise results when sites cover two or more wards. But doing that would make it possible to produce a map.

Let me know what you think so we can agree next steps.

Thanks,

■■■■■

■■■■■ ■■■■■
Senior Policy Officer
Housing Policy, Strategy & Services
GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY
Tel: 020 7983 ■■■■■
<mailto:■■■■■@london.gov.uk>

From: [REDACTED]@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 17 June 2014 17:31

To: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

<[REDACTED]@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk>

Cc: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (Immigration Enforcement) <[REDACTED]@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

<[REDACTED]@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: data on rough sleeping at spatial level: meeting with GLA and MPS

Hi [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] can do the 17 July, afternoon preferably.

[REDACTED] – can I ask if you please check if the others are free. If you can let me know as soon as please so I can block in the diary.

Thanks

[REDACTED]

Executive Officer
Support to Director of London and South Region
Immigration Enforcement
2nd Floor Eaton House
518 Staines Road
Hounslow
TW4 5DL

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk]

Sent: 17 June 2014 15:08

To: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (Immigration Enforcement); [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: data on rough sleeping at spatial level: meeting with GLA and MPS

I'm on leave on 10 and 15 July, but could to the afternoon of 17 July. Do you want to see if this suits [REDACTED] and others? If not, maybe we need to look at the week or two after that?

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 17 June 2014 14:27

To: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (Immigration Enforcement); [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: data on rough sleeping at spatial level: meeting with GLA and MPS

[REDACTED] thanks. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] is on leave currently and his only available dates are pm 10/7, 15 and 17 July. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] would be a good representative for the LA perspective.

██████████ ██████████

From: ██████████ ██████████ [<mailto:██████████@london.gov.uk>]
Sent: 17 June 2014 13:56
To: ██████████ ██████████
Cc: ██████████ ██████████ (Immigration Enforcement); ██████████ ██████████
Subject: RE: data on rough sleeping at spatial level: meeting with GLA and MPS

Hi ██████████

I'm certainly happy to meet. I think it might be good to have someone who can provide a borough perspective and is involved with the cross-borough enforcement group there too – perhaps ██████████ ██████████ from Westminster. They would have much more direct experience of the joint working between boroughs, their rough sleeping outreach services and enforcement agencies on the ground.

Here are some slots I could do:

- Wednesday 25 June pm
- Friday 27 June pm
- Thursday 3 July pm
- Friday 4 July, any time
- Monday 7 July, any time

Thanks,

██████████

From: ██████████ ██████████ [<mailto:██████████@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk>]
Sent: 16 June 2014 18:39
To: ██████████ ██████████
Cc: ██████████ ██████████ (Immigration Enforcement); ██████████ ██████████
Subject: data on rough sleeping at spatial level: meeting with GLA and MPS

██████████

This is certainly along the right lines, I will respond in more detail elsewhere; our regional Director, ██████████, would like to have a tri-lateral with yourself from the GLA and Chief Superintendent ██████████, the borough commander for Westminster who has been charged to develop proposals for rough-sleeping for the MPS.

The objective will be is to map out a pan-London picture of the hotspots (as here) and develop an understanding of priorities and risks. Our overall intention is to deliver this rough-sleeping work in co-operation with existing partners, with the minimal disruption to local arrangements where these are working.

MPS and the Home Office will work together to provide a strategic framework but, of course, it is important to keep yourself, the GLA and the Mayor's office up to

speed notwithstanding our intention to broadly maintain a business as usual approach.

Could we work towards a couple of potential dates, perhaps late June/early July? The agenda will be based around the hotspot analysis and a forward look, the main issue on the horizon, from our perspective, being the change in benefit entitlements for EEA nationals.

Happy to discuss,

Regards,

■■■■■ ■■■■■
immigration inspector

*London & South of England
Immigration Enforcement
Home Office
Becket House, 60-68 St Thomas Street, London SE1 3QU*

■■■■■

From: ■■■■■ ■■■■■ [<mailto:■■■■■@london.gov.uk>]
Sent: 16 June 2014 16:36
To: ■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■
Cc: ■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■
Subject: RE: data on rough sleeping at spatial level

Thanks ■■■■■

So, it sounds like we have data on the locations at which rough sleepers are identified, but that – other than for Westminster, Ealing, Lambeth and Southwark - it's not readily mappable at the moment.

■■■■■ could produce a regular (monthly) report along the lines of the attached information that's circulated to the MRSG, but that covers all rough sleeping contacts rather than just instances where more than three people were seen sleeping rough (the definition of a hotspot used for outreach purposes).

Then I think the key question is for ■■■■■ would a report of this nature be sufficient for your purposes at present, or would the benefits of mapped data be such that you would be willing to invest resources in mapping the street sites to either wards or, as Westminster have already done, Google map locations? As ■■■■■ says, this would be quite a big task and, if sites were mapped to wards, may yield some rather imprecise results when sites cover two or more wards. But doing that would make it possible to produce a map.

Let me know what you think so we can agree next steps.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Senior Policy Officer

Housing Policy, Strategy & Services

GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY

Tel: 020 7983 [REDACTED]

[mailto:\[REDACTED\]](mailto:[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk) [london.gov.uk](https://www.london.gov.uk)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 18 July 2014 12:19
To: [REDACTED] westminster.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]
<[REDACTED]@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] met.pnn.police.uk'
<[REDACTED] met.pnn.police.uk>; [REDACTED] [REDACTED] @met.pnn.police.uk)'
<[REDACTED] met.pnn.police.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] westminster.gov.uk''
<[REDACTED] westminster.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] westminster.gov.uk'; [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] london.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] (Immigration Enforcement)
([REDACTED] homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk)' <[REDACTED] homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] @london.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Development of a strategic approach to rough-sleeping and anti-social behaviour in London

Hello All,

I've spoken to [REDACTED] and his colleague who's been working on mapping CHAIN contacts.

They would be able to add a filter capturing whether or not rough sleepers contacted are non-UK nationals, which make the map a more useful tool.

[REDACTED] R, they also reckon they could have a temporary version of the map available for sharing at some point next week. (Sharing a final version involves sorting out some software licensing issues, which could take up to a month.) So I'm hopeful we could get you something for the meeting you mentioned convening.

Best wishes,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] rt [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]@westminster.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@westminster.gov.uk)]

Sent: 18 July 2014 10:44

To: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] met.pnn.police.uk'; [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

([REDACTED] B [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]; [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Development of a strategic approach to rough-sleeping and anti-social behaviour in London

Thanks [REDACTED]

I will take this to the cross border meeting next week and ask that each borough makes contact with their inspector to begin a conversation around reporting.

Regards

[REDACTED]

Commissioning Manager - Rough Sleeping

Rough Sleeping Team
Housing Regeneration & Property
Westminster City Council
10th Floor

City Hall
64 Victoria Street
London
SW1E 6QP

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 17 July 2014 17:48
To: [REDACTED]@met.pnn.police.uk'; [REDACTED]@met.pnn.police.uk);
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]@westminster.gov.uk"; [REDACTED]@westminster.gov.uk';
[REDACTED]@London.gov.uk'; [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Development of a strategic approach to rough-sleeping and anti-social behaviour in London

All,

AS PROMISED : LONDON ICE TEAM CONTACT POINTS.

Please share liberally,

Regards,

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
immigration inspector

*London & South of England
Immigration Enforcement
Home Office
Becket House, 60-68 St Thomas Street, London SE1 3QU*

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 November 2014 13:55
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: data on rough sleeping at spatial level: meeting with GLA and MPS

Hi [REDACTED]

I realise that, having forwarded you this data, I hadn't answered your question about whether it's ok to assume it's in the public domain. We don't publicly release the data I've sent to you, but it's fine to report it, since it's aggregate and anonymous. Please explain it's from CHAIN.

When are the hearings taking place? I may be able to use that timeframe to speed up data colleagues' work on the mapping we've discussed.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 12 November 2014 10:49
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: data on rough sleeping at spatial level: meeting with GLA and MPS

[REDACTED]

Could you forward the latest set of data. [REDACTED] says s he doesn't get it.

Also, can we presume that this data is in the public domain and we can use in the forth-coming Home Affairs Select Committee hearings?

[REDACTED]
Immigration Inspector

South London Immigration , [REDACTED] and Enforcement Team
Immigration Enforcement
Home Office
Lunar House
Wellesley Road
Croydon
CR9 2BY

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk]
Sent: 03 November 2014 10:42
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: data on rough sleeping at spatial level: meeting with GLA and MPS

Hi [REDACTED]

Thanks for your email.

This data goes to the Mayor's Rough Sleeping group routinely, so [REDACTED] will have received it. I can forward papers to you if that's helpful?

One of my colleagues here has set up the map that we discussed with you, though. The only reason I've not yet shared this is because they were waiting for an upgrade to the mapping software that meant they would be able to set up password access to the map for named individuals outside GLA. Let me get an update from them on this.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 01 November 2014 11:42

To: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Subject: FW: data on rough sleeping at spatial level: meeting with GLA and MPS

[REDACTED]

Would it be useful to refresh this hotspot analysis and perhaps represent it as a trend analysis?

Regards,

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Immigration Inspector

South London Immigration , [REDACTED] and Enforcement Team
Immigration Enforcement
Home Office
Lunar House
Wellesley Road
Croydon
CR9 2BY

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Sent: 16 June 2014 18:39

To: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (Immigration Enforcement); [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Subject: data on rough sleeping at spatial level: meeting with GLA and MPS

██████████

This is certainly along the right lines, I will respond in more detail elsewhere; our regional Director, ██████████ would like to have a tri-lateral with yourself from the GLA and Chief Superintendent ██████████ the borough commander for Westminster who has been charged to develop proposals for rough-sleeping for the MPS.

The objective will be is to map out a pan-London picture of the hotspots (as here) and develop an understanding of priorities and risks. Our overall intention is to deliver this rough-sleeping work in co-operation with existing partners, with the minimal disruption to local arrangements where these are working.

MPS and the Home Office will work together to provide a strategic framework but, of course, it is important to keep yourself, the GLA and the Mayor's office up to speed notwithstanding our intention to broadly maintain a business as usual approach.

Could we work towards a couple of potential dates, perhaps late June/early July? The agenda will be based around the hotspot analysis and a forward look, the main issue on the horizon, from our perspective, being the change in benefit entitlements for EEA nationals.

Happy to discuss,

Regards,

██████████ ██████████

immigration inspector

*London & South of England
Immigration Enforcement
Home Office
Becket House, 60-68 St Thomas Street, London SE1 3QU*

████████████████████

From: ██████████ ██████████ [mailto:████████████████████@london.gov.uk]

Sent: 16 June 2014 16:36

To: ██████████ ██████████ ██████████ ██████████ ██████████ ██████████ ██████████

Cc: ██████████ ██████████ ██████████

Subject: RE: data on rough sleeping at spatial level

Thanks ██████████

So, it sounds like we have data on the locations at which rough sleepers are identified, but that – other than for Westminster, Ealing, Lambeth and Southwark - it's not readily mappable at the moment.

■ could produce a regular (monthly) report along the lines of the attached information that's circulated to the MRSRG, but that covers all rough sleeping contacts rather than just instances where more than three people were seen sleeping rough (the definition of a hotspot used for outreach purposes).

Then I think the key question is for ■ would a report of this nature be sufficient for your purposes at present, or would the benefits of mapped data be such that you would be willing to invest resources in mapping the street sites to either wards or, as Westminster have already done, Google map locations? As ■ says, this would be quite a big task and, if sites were mapped to wards, may yield some rather imprecise results when sites cover two or more wards. But doing that would make it possible to produce a map.

Let me know what you think so we can agree next steps.

Thanks,

■

■ ■
Senior Policy Officer
Housing Policy, Strategy & Services
GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY
Tel: 020 7983 ■
<mailto:■@london.gov.uk>