GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY

REQUEST FOR ASSISTANT DIRECTOR DECISION – ADD2586

Title: High-level impact appraisal of the GLA's environmental programme

Executive summary:

The GLA wishes to commission a consultant to carry out a high-level appraisal of its environmental programme, providing estimates on carbon, jobs and other key impacts of its projects and policies. The purpose of the work is to provide the GLA with an overview of the impacts of its environmental programme, relative to costs, in order to inform future budgeting decisions.

The main output of the work will be a summary document for the GLA to easily compare the impacts of environmental projects and policies, incorporating value-for-money metrics. The summary will be accompanied by a technical report explaining the analysis – including the underpinning assumptions; evidence; and any implications for prioritisation within the programme.

This decision form asks for approval of spend up to £50,000.

Decision:

That the Assistant Director of Environment and Energy approves expenditure of up to £50,000 on consultancy services to: deliver a high-level appraisal of the GLA's environmental programme; and provide a summary of its impacts# and an accompanying technical report.

AUTHORISING ASSISTANT DIRECTOR/HEAD OF UNIT

I have reviewed the request and am satisfied it is correct and consistent with the Mayor's plans and priorities.

It has my approval.

 Name: Catherine Barber
 Position: Assistant Director, Environment and Energy

 Signature:
 Date:

 Barber
 5/7/22

PART I – NON-CONFIDENTIAL FACTS AND ADVICE

Decision required – supporting report

1. Introduction and background

- 1.1. The GLA is seeking to commission a consultant to carry out a high-level appraisal of its environmental programme, providing estimates on carbon, jobs and other key impacts of its projects and policies. The purpose of the work is to provide the GLA with an overview of the impacts of its environmental programme, relative to the costs, in order to inform future budgeting decisions. It will be commissioned in accordance with the requirements of the GLA's Contracts and Funding Code.
- 1.2. The main output of the work will be a summary document for the GLA to easily compare the impacts of environmental projects and policies, incorporating value-for-money metrics. While this is a high-level assessment providing "ready reckoner" estimates of impact, the analysis will note the general principles of the Treasury Green Book and guidance from the Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS) on valuing carbon impacts.
- 1.3. A summary table will be accompanied by a technical report explaining the analysis, including the underpinning assumptions, evidence, and any implications for prioritisation within the programme.
- 1.4. The work should provide a baseline for the GLA to carry out further assessment internally in future years. In doing so, the successful contractor should also make recommendations as to how to improve the robustness of our evidence base; and provide instructions on how to repeat the analysis internally in future years.
- 1.5. The successful contractor will need to engage with GLA officers to collate data on carbon, jobs and other impacts from the environmental programme, drawing on existing evaluation and appraisal evidence. Where data is not available, the contractor will need to provide indicative estimates of these impacts using external evidence and professional judgement.
- 1.6. Examples of direct and indirect impacts to be measured could include carbon, greenhouse gas emissions, jobs, resource consumption and health. These areas of focus would be confirmed and agreed with the Environment Unit before starting the work.
- 1.7. Examples of projects to be included in the impact assessment include:
 - Future Neighbourhoods 2030
 - London Community Energy Fund
 - Solar Together
 - Grow Back Greener
 - London Climate Resilience Fund
 - Better Futures
 - Warmer Homes
 - Local Energy Accelerator.

1.8. The contract for the delivery of the requirements is expected to run as per the indicative timetable. The budget is up to £50,000.

2. Objectives and expected outcomes

Objectives

- 2.1 The purpose of this work is to:
 - provide an overview of the impacts of the GLA's environmental programme, relative to costs, in order to inform future budgeting decisions
 - identify any gaps in the GLA's evidence, and get recommendations on how to improve the robustness of the GLA's evidence base
 - provide the GLA with guidance on how to deliver this type of high-level appraisal internally in future years.

<u>Outputs</u>

- 2.2 The key outputs expected to be delivered by this work are as follows:
 - a high-level appraisal table:
 - the successful contactor should develop a table (using Microsoft Excel or an alternative) enabling the GLA to compare the impacts across the programme, relative to the costs, incorporating value-for-money metrics
 - the carbon and labour market impacts of the GLA's environmental programme will be the key metrics that the GLA is interested in, but other impacts (including non-monetised impacts) may also need to be reported in the analysis.
 - an accompanying technical report setting out:
 - o how the estimates in the table have been derived, including data sources and inputs
 - $\circ\;$ the underpinning assumptions that inform the calculations such as the additionality and other adjustments
 - \circ instructions on how the GLA should repeat the appraisal in future years internally
 - o recommendations for improving the GLA's evidence base.
- 2.3 Further details on the consultants' deliverables include the following:
 - Engagement with GLA officers the contracted partner will be expected to engage with officers in the GLA Environment Unit and GLA Economics team in order to:
 - clarify the scope of the work
 - $\circ~$ agree on the key impacts to be measured
 - o understand the key policies and projects of the Mayor's environmental programme
 - \circ understand the key performance indicators and data collection processes already in place

- \circ agree the appraisal methodology and assumptions used for this project.
- Review of information and collation of data the contractor will be expected to:
 - review a range of documentation, information and data associated with the policies and projects in scope; this might include documentation on concluded, live or planned business cases, appraisals and evaluations, and monitoring and performance data
 - collate the available data on carbon, jobs and other impacts, and establish the time profile of these impacts
 - set out any gaps in the GLA's evidence; and identify proxies for impact metrics using external evidence from similar policies, impact evaluations or other evidence.
- Appraisal and impact analysis the contractor should develop an Excel (or alternative) table listing the key elements of the programme; the gross and net carbon impacts after adjusting for additionality; job impacts; other impacts; costs; value-for-money metrics; and any other information that could be used to inform future prioritisation and future budget decisions.

3. Equality comments

- 3.1. Under section 149 of the Equality Act 2010, the Mayor and GLA are subject to the public sector equality duty and must have due regard to the need to:
 - eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation
 - advance equality of opportunity between people who share a relevant protected characteristic and those who do not
 - foster good relations between people who share a relevant protected characteristic and those who do not.
- 3.2. The "protected characteristics" are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation and marriage/civil partnership status. The duty involves having appropriate regard to these matters as they apply in the circumstances, including having regard to the need to: remove or minimise any disadvantage suffered by those who share or is connected to a protected; take steps to meet the different needs of such people; encourage them to participate in public life or in any other activity where their participation is disproportionately low. This can involve treating people with a protected characteristic more favourably than those without one.
- 3.3. The project will comply with the GLA's policies on equality and accessibility and public sector duties; and it is not expected to have any negative impact on protected groups or others.
- 3.4. Unlawful discrimination will be avoided in the tender process through clear guidelines on how the contract is to be awarded.

4. Other considerations

Key risks and issues

Risk no.	Risk description	Mitigation/Risk response	Probability (1-4)	Impact (1-4)	RAG
1	Delay in obtaining the data required for the consultant to deliver the work.	Engage GLA officers early in the timeline and identify data available before project kick off meeting.	1	3	Amber
2	Delay in agreeing the impacts to be measured by the consultant.	Engage GLA officers early, and start discussions on impacts that could be measured before the project kick-off meeting.	2	3	Amber
3	Lack of active participation from GLA stakeholders.	Engage GLA officers early in the timeline. Engage senior staff to direct staff to participate.	2	2	Amber
4	Delay in having the final deliverables by the agreed deadline.	Weekly progress meetings to oversee progress and address any barriers.	2	3	Amber

Links to Mayoral strategies and priorities

4.1. As this programme of work is meant to evaluate the impact of the GLA's environmental programme, it links directly to the London Environment Strategy and the Mayor's ambition of achieving a net-zero London by 2030.

Impact assessments and consultations

- 4.2. This programme of work has been designed and will be delivered in collaboration with officers from the GLA Environment and Economics teams to ensure that the methodologies and results applied are robust and relevant.
- 4.3. Production of the outputs of this programme of work will be developed with consultation from key GLA teams who cover the issues and areas of focus for the appraisal. Specifically, GLA officers from the Environment Unit will be engaged to clarify the scope of the work and the projects and impacts to be appraised. The consultant will also be expected to work with the GLA Economics team to agree the appraisal methodology and assumptions used for this project.

Tender evaluation criteria

4.4. The evaluation process will be conducted to ensure that submissions are evaluated fairly to select the most economically advantageous offer. The quotation evaluation process will take account of the following:

Requirement	Weighting(s)
Approach and methodology	30%
 Demonstrate an understanding of the requirements 	
An effective approach to appraising the GLA's environmental programme	

Knowledge and experience	20%
 Evidence of relevant expertise, inclusive of CVs Evidence of undertaking similar work in relation to appraisal and evaluation of environmental programmes and projects Evidence of knowledge and understanding of the Green Book and BEIS guidance on valuing carbon emissions, as well as the monitoring of job impacts. 	
 Project management Detailed work plan including key milestones and time spent on each element of the project Detailed resource plan: this must include the resource name and level; estimated time on each element of the project; charge rate; and total days for the contract duration A clear project management structure and proposals for project management, monitoring, control and reporting An effective approach to risk management, including key assumptions, risks and issues 	15%
 Responsible procurement Conformance with the GLA's Responsible Procurement Policy including equality, diversity, and sustainability Brief overview of the organisation's approach to promoting equality, diversity and inclusivity in the delivery of the contract. 	5%
Total technical weighting	70%
Assessment of value for money against the project budget – proposals will be recognised for being proportionate to the amount of time and budget available	
Total commercial weighting	30%

4.5. The letting of the contract will be managed by the GLA's Environment team and TfL Procurement, with advice provided by the Economics team on the project and research being commissioned. A contract will be granted after a competitive tender process.

5. Financial comments

- 5.1. Funds of up to £50,000 is required to commission a high-level appraisal across the Environment Unit's programme.
- 5.2. A suitable contractor will be procured to carry out the appraisal and will cover the scope of work detailed above in section 2.
- 5.3. As this assessment will take place across various environmental projects, several will contribute towards the costs. Therefore, this expenditure will be contained within the Unit's 2022-23 budget, and within projects that have overarching MD approval. Good practice is to allocate up to 10 per cent of project funding to monitoring and evaluation; to be conservative, no individual project will contribute more than 5 per cent of its City Hall funding to this evidence-gathering project. Funds will be taken first from those budget lines considered at highest risk of underspending in 2022-23.

6. Planned delivery approach and next steps

Task	Timeline
Issue of invitation to tender	w/c 27 June 2022
Tender clarification deadline	4 July 2022
Clarification response deadline	8 July 2022
Tender submission deadline	13 July 2022
Contract award and commencement	20 July 2022
Kick-off meeting with project manager and advisory group including agreement	
of key impacts to be measured	22 July 2022
Engagement with GLA stakeholders to scope relevant A&E activities to be	
completed	w/c 25 July 2022
Weekly catch-up meetings with project manager on progress	July-August 2022
The high-level appraisal table and accompanying technical report shared with	
the GLA	29 August 2022

Appendices and supporting papers:

None.

Public access to information

Information in this form (Part 1) is subject to the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FoIA) and will be made available on the GLA website within one working day of approval.

If immediate publication risks compromising the implementation of the decision (for example, to complete a procurement process), it can be deferred until a specific date. Deferral periods should be kept to the shortest length strictly necessary. **Note**: This form (Part 1) will either be published within one working day after it has been approved <u>or</u> on the defer date.

Part 1 - Deferral

Is the publication of Part 1 of this approval to be deferred? NO

Part 2 – Sensitive information

Only the facts or advice that would be exempt from disclosure under the FoIA should be included in the separate Part 2 form, together with the legal rationale for non-publication.

Is there a part 2 form – NO

ORIGINATING OFFICER DECLARATION:	Drafting officer to confirm the following (✓)
Drafting officer:	/
<u>Ruxanda Profir</u> has drafted this report in accordance with GLA procedures and confirms the following:	V
Corporate Investment Board	/
A summary of this decision was reviewed by the Corporate Investment Board on 4 July 2022.	V

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF FINANCE AND GOVERNANCE:

I confirm that financial and legal implications have been appropriately considered in the preparation of this report.

Signature

Anna Casteolt

Date 5/7/22