

Report title

Contract Extension – Vision Mobilising System

Report to	Date
Corporate Services Board	17 August 2021
Commissioner's Board	25 August 2021
Deputy Mayor's Fire and Resilience Board	7 September 2021
London Fire Commissioner	

Report by	Report number
Chief Information Officer	LFC-0583
	FRB-255

Protective marking: **OFFICIAL - Sensitive**

Publication status: Published with redactions

If redacting, give reason: Commercial information

Executive Summary

A ten-year contract for the supply of a replacement mobilising system (Vision), integrated communication control system and station end equipment, was awarded to Capita Business Services Ltd, on the 1 August 2012. The initial term is due to expire on 31 July 2022, however the contract contains options to extend incrementally up to July 2026. A project to replace the current mobilising solution is under way.

This paper seeks approval to extend the existing contract with by two years, to run until July 2024. A further assessment will be made in 2023 as to the progress of the replacement mobilising solution project, to determine if further extensions may be required to meet the replacement project timescales. Facilities do exist for a further two-year extension beyond 2024 if required.

Recommended decisions

For the Deputy Mayor

1. That the Deputy Mayor for Fire and Resilience authorises the London Fire Commissioner to commit expenditure of up to **£3,641,548,00**^{1 3}. so that the existing contract with Capita Business Services Ltd for use of the Vision mobilising and associated systema may to be extended for two years, to run until July 2024

¹ Redact

³ Includes 98K contingency

This decision will only be taken after further consultation with the Greater London Authority's finance and legal advisers and Corporate Investment Board.

For the London Fire Commissioner

2. That the London Fire Commissioner approves expenditure of up to **£3,641,548,00**¹ so that the existing contract with Capita Business Services Ltd for use of the Vision mobilising and associated systems to be extended for two years.
3. That the London Fire Commissioner agrees to delegate authority to the Assistant Director, Technical and Commercial Services, to award a contract extension to Capita Business Services Ltd, under the terms and conditions of the existing contract with Capita Business Services Ltd.

Introduction and background

1. The current mobilising system (Vision) was supplied by Capita Business Services Ltd and went live in November 2015. The contract was for the provision of mobilising and related services, including an ICCS² and station-end equipment.
2. The current contract with Capita Business Services Ltd is set to end in July 2022 but has options to extend the contract until 2026. The LFC is currently in discussions with Capita Business Services Ltd to extend the contract up to July 2024, with further options available to extend the contract up to 2026 if required.
3. The service currently in operation remains aligned with that tender specification. However, enhancements have been made to the system since system go-live in late 2015, reflecting the changing requirements of Brigade control.
4. A project to replace the mobilising solution was agreed in March 2021 and is currently underway with a target to complete in 2024 as set out in decision LFC-0216x-D "*Replacement Mobilising Solution: Options*" (Appendix 1). Based upon our previous experience of replacing such systems, this process can take up to four years from inception to completion. The project is split into three phases (see below) and is currently in phase 2. The supporting document to decision LFC0216x acknowledges (paras 12-16) that an extension of the current contract with Capita Business Services Ltd will be required.

Phase 1 – Enabling activity	Governance approvals to approach / establish project board and team / approval of a Project Initiation Document (PID) / agree requirements for engaging external subject matter experts (SME) (in phase 2) / early market engagement / Governance approvals (up to Deputy Mayoral approval to access funding) to move to phase 2.
Phase 2 – Requirements gathering	Requirements gathering (including any recommendations emanating from the GTI) / draft Statement of Requirements (SOR) produced / sign off SOR / Governance approvals (including funding) to go to phase 3, and to accept tender up to pre-defined value.

² ICCS is an Integrated Communication Control System which provides the voice and data communications hub of the mobilising solution designed to provide control of a number of integrated subsystems. These may include digital and analogue telephone and radio systems, with call handling systems, etc.

Phase 3 – Procurement / Implementation	Publish PIN notice, initiate procurement action / award contract / systems implementation / system go-live / project closure.
---	---

Contract Extension Costs

5. When the contract for the new mobilising system was awarded, it was estimated that over a 10 year period, the cost to the LFC would be around £20M. Actual costs will have varied as additional functionality was requested and delivered over the life of the contract and credits issued to LFC for KPI failures over this period, as part of on-going contract management activities.
6. Capita Business Services Ltd have provided a quotation for extending the contract for a further two years, to July 2024 as follows :-

Year	Price	Indexation@ 5%	Total
2022-3	£1,364,796.00	£68,239.80	£1,433,035.80
2023-4	£1,433,035.80	£71,651.79	£1,504,687.59
Total			£2,937,723.39

7. The cost of extending the contract beyond 2022, is not included in the financial model for the contract. Therefore, the costs for the next two years have been determined by applying inflation costs to the existing payment. The contract states that the K45U index for average weekly earnings is to be used when applying inflation and so this has been used to arrive at the above costs. However, as the KS4U indexation costs are produced retrospectively (for example the July figure is not normally available until September), it is not possible to predict with any degree of certainty, what the K45U figure will be from August 2022 to July 2024. Therefore for “planning purposes” we have assumed a K45U indexation of figure of 5%.³
8. In addition to the above projected costs, there are a number of contract change notices (CCN) being finalised (including support for the Fire Survival Guidance (FSG app.)). These CCN’s have not been agreed to (these are related to additional Capita Business Services Ltd resource being assigned to the LFC contract), and will be subject to the usual contract governance regime for the Capita Business Services Ltd contract. However, should these CCN’s be agreed by LFC and Capita Business Services Ltd, this will add a further cost of £302,443.00 per annum to the cost, giving an additional two-year cost of £604,886.00.

³ Adjustments to the two-year extension cost (based on the actual KS45U figure for the two years to July 2024) will be made over the life of the contract, and will use the actual K45U indexation figure for that period

9. Revised pricing (assuming acceptance of pending CCN's)

Note : A contingency sum has been included (below) to ensure sufficient funding for minor variations in CCN pricing and associated indexation.

Year	Price	Indexation @ 5%	Total
2022/23	£1,364,796.00	£68,239.80	£1,433,035.80
2023/24	£1,433,035.80	£71,651.79	£1,504,687.59
CCN	£604,886.00		£604,886.00
Contingency	£98,938.61		£98,938.61
Total			£3,641,548.00

10. The current budget for annual payments to Capita Business Services Ltd for the Vision and associated systems is **£1,820,774.00**⁴ (two-year budget **£3,641,548.00**, and therefore all the above costs can be contained within existing budgets even working with the 5% indexation planning estimate).
11. A contingency sum of £98,938.61 is included in the above price breakdown. This is to cover certain breakages at stations that are not covered under maintenance (ie speakers) and also so that we can respond to requests to re-configure station layouts, which may involve equipment moves, which happens from time to time.

Risks

12. The main risk that has been identified relates to the recent announcement that Capita Business Services Ltd has decided to sell off the division of its emergency services division. This new (amber) risk has been added to the ICT departmental risk log and is being monitored. A briefing note has been prepared and shared with the Commissioner, Directors and senior LFC staff, setting out the current position.
13. In addition, the CIO has been in touch with representatives from the NFCC who are liaising with government, to ensure that the potential risk to fire sector (Capita Business Services Ltd have 60 per cent of the current FRS systems market) is understood. As part of this consultation regular discussions are on-going to ensure that the sector is kept abreast of developments.
14. We have received assurances from Capita Business Services Ltd that they are in the advanced stages of discussions with interested suppliers and are planning a sale of the business before the end of 2021. Furthermore, Capita Business Services Ltd insist they will only sell the

⁴ Includes an element for chargeable items such as accidental damage or station re-furnishment.

business to another organisation that already operates within the emergency services domain and which is committed to supporting and developing the product set.

15. It is not possible for us to comment further on this risk until further information about the progress of the sale is made public, as Capita Business Services Ltd provide services as part of the critical national infrastructure (CNI) to a large number of fire and rescue services. In addition, Capita Business Services Ltd provide critical services to central government agencies such as Border Force, and the situation and potential impact may warrant government intervention if elements of the CNI are impacted.
16. Nevertheless, a supplier failure plan has been developed to illustrate how core mobilising services would continue in the unlikely event of a complete supplier failure.

Alternative options considered and consultation

17. Even with the risk identified relating to the sale of Capita Business Services Ltd, the LFC are not currently considering any alternative options in respect to the two-year extension of the mobilising contract. We do not believe that we can implement a new solution from any other supplier prior to 2024, taking into account requirements analysis, governance, procurement and implementation activities.
18. There has been extensive consultation with a variety of stakeholders, relating to this proposed contract extension and the new mobilising solution replacement project. This includes all those referenced on the consultation section at the end of this report.

Objectives and expected outcomes

19. The objective of this report is to secure authorisation to extension use of the Vision mobilising system by two years. If agreed, this will allow Brigade Control to continue operate as they do at present with Vision, whilst a project to replace the mobilising system completes by 2024.

Impacts

Equality impact

20. The London Fire Commissioner and decision takers are required to have due regard to the Public Sector Equality Duty (s149 of the Equality Act 2010) when taking decisions. This in broad terms involves understanding the potential impact of policy and decisions on different people, taking this into account and then evidencing how decisions were reached.
21. It is important to note that consideration of the Public Sector Equality Duty is not a one-off task. The duty must be fulfilled before taking a decision, at the time of taking a decision, and after the decision has been taken.
22. The protected characteristics are: Age, Disability, Gender reassignment, Pregnancy and maternity, Marriage and civil partnership (but only in respect of the requirements to have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination), Race (ethnic or national origins, colour or nationality), Religion or belief (including lack of belief), Sex, Sexual orientation.
23. The Public Sector Equality Duty requires us, in the exercise of all our functions (i.e. everything we do), to have due regard to the need to:
 - (a) Eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other prohibited conduct.

- (b) Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.
 - (c) Foster good relations between people who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.
24. Having due regard to the need to advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it involves having due regard to the need to:
- (a) remove or minimise disadvantages suffered by persons who share a relevant protected characteristic where those disadvantages are connected to that characteristic;
 - (b) take steps to meet the needs of persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are different from the needs of persons who do not share it;
 - (c) encourage persons who share a relevant protected characteristic to participate in public life or in any other activity in which participation by such persons is disproportionately low.
25. The steps involved in meeting the needs of disabled persons that are different from the needs of persons who are not disabled include, in particular, steps to take account of disabled persons' disabilities.
26. Having due regard to the need to foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it involves having due regard to the need to—
- (a) tackle prejudice, and
 - (b) promote understanding.
27. An Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) was completed as part of the original procurement of the mobilising system. However, since this was completed in 2012, a further EIA has been completed as part of this contract extension.due to the elapsed time since .
28. However, there have been some changes implemented that were designed to address issues found with certain aspects of the system design, cantered around the size of the font used on operator screens. . These changes were requested after LFC control staff reported that the size of the font was too small and caused problems for control staff with prolonged use.
29. Changes were implemented to address these issues, the font size was increased, and some other changes made in screen design, to accommodate these changes. The result of these changes will be advantageous to control staff with visual impairment problems.

Procurement and sustainability

30. The LFC awarded the contract to Capita Business Services Ltd an OJEU tender, following a company restructure the contact is now with Capita Secure Information systems (CSIS). The contract is for an initial term of ten years and commenced on 1 August 2012. The contract also includes an optional further extension to the term of up to four years if notice is given prior to expiry of the contract. The current expiry date is 31 July 2022, prior to enacting any further period of extension. Extending the contract would see it terminate on 31 July 2026 if both two-year extensions are utilised.

31. A price review is due on the anniversary of the contract, which will be in accordance with the indices referenced in the terms and conditions, which is K54U. This is produced by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) and is AWE: Whole Economy Index: Seasonally Adjusted Total Pay Excluding Arrears.
32. Consideration of Responsible Procurement requirements will be undertaken as standard process as part of the future re-tender. Responsible Procurement performance of the supplier, Capita Business Services Ltd includes:
- i. compliant with the Modern Slavery Act with a published Statement;
 - ii. A Prompt Payment Code signatory, and is meeting the Code's target of 95 per cent payment in 60 days, at 98% for the reporting period of 1 January 2021 to 30 June 2021;
 - iii. operating an Environment Management System certified to ISO 14,001; and
 - iv. vi) reporting an average gender pay gap of 14 pence, with women's mean hourly pay 15.2% lower than men's.

Strategic Drivers

33. The current mobilising system is a critical enabler to the LFC 999 service and allows control officers to record incoming 999 calls and determine and dispatch an appropriate emergency response and so is at the heart of the LFC's purpose to *be "trusted to serve and protect London"*;
34. The extension of the contract with Capita Business Services Ltd for continued use of Vision, supports the **delivering excellence** pillar of the Transformation Delivery Plan by continuing to ensure that the Brigade has an efficient and effective mobilising system.

Workforce impact

35. The extension of the Vision contract will not have any impact upon the workforce, predominantly control officers. The subsequent project to procure a new mobilising system will be subject to staff side consultation.

Finance comments

36. This report proposes a two year extension to the existing Vision Mobilising System with Capita Business Services Ltd. The current ten-year contract is due to expire in July 2022, this extension would run until 31st July 2024. The report notes that this will result in a revenue costs of up to £3,641,548 for the two year extension across 2022/23 and 2023/24; this cost is based on the Capita Business Services Ltd extension quote at £2,937,723.39 which includes indexation as well as charges for contract change notices, to be finalised, at £604,886 and a contingency of £98,938.
37. The costs of the contract extension can be contained within the existing IT CAMS (mobilising) contract revenue budget.

Legal comments

38. Under section 9 of the Policing and Crime Act 2017, the London Fire Commissioner (the "Commissioner") is established as a corporation sole with the Mayor appointing the occupant of that office. Under section 327D of the GLA Act 1999, as amended by the Policing and Crime

Act 2017, the Mayor may issue to the Commissioner specific or general directions as to the manner in which the holder of that office is to exercise his or her functions.

39. By direction dated 1 April 2018, the Mayor set out those matters, for which the Commissioner would require the prior approval of either the Mayor or the Deputy Mayor for Fire and Resilience (the "Deputy Mayor").
40. Paragraph (b) of Part 2 of the said direction requires the Commissioner to seek the prior approval of the Deputy Mayor before "[a] commitment to expenditure (capital or revenue) of £150,000 or above as identified in accordance with normal accounting practices...". The decision to extend the Capita Business Services Ltd contract for Vision mobilising and associated systems by a further two years at a costs of up to £3,641,548,00 will therefore require approval from the Deputy Mayor.
41. The General Counsel notes that the Vision mobilising and associated systems was tendered compliantly in accordance with the Public Contract Regulations 2015.
42. The statutory basis for the actions proposed in this report is provided by sections 7 and 5A of the Fire and Rescue Services Act 2004 ("FRSA 2004"). Under Section 7 (2)(a) FRSA 20014 the Commissioner has the power to secure the provision of personnel, services and equipment necessary to efficiently meet all normal requirements for firefighting and section 5A allows the Commissioner to procure personnel, services and equipment they consider appropriate for purposes incidental or indirectly incidental to their functional purposes.

List of Appendices

Appendix	Title	Protective Marking
1.	Report LFC-0216x-D	

Consultation

Name/role	Method consulted
Chief Information Officer	By email/draft report
ICT management team	By email/draft report
Vision and Incident Systems Project Manager	By email/draft report, meetings
Deputy Had of Procurement	By email/draft report
Assistant Commissioner - Operational Resilience and Control	By email/draft report

Decision title

Replacement of Mobilising Solutions: Options

Recommendation by

Chief Information Officer (CIO)

Decision Number

LFC-0216x-D

Protective marking: **NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED**

Publication status: Published in full

Summary

Report LFC-0216x explains that the London Fire Brigade's 10 year contract with Capita for the supply of the Vision Mobilising system runs until 31 July 2022. The contract can be extended incrementally up to 2026 but The Vision system went live in November 2015, and if these contract extension options are exercised in full, the Brigade will have been using the Vision mobilising system for 11 years by 2026. Based upon past experience, the procurement and implementation of a replacement mobilising solution could take over four years; the current contract will, therefore, need to be extended beyond the 2022 end date.

Report LFC-0216x sets out two options for system replacement and proposes a governance strategy for the project.

Decision

That the London Fire Commissioner agrees:

1. That a corporate project be established with the objective of delivering a new mobilising solution for the Brigade by 2026 and that the project be delivered in three phases (as outlined in this report), and
2. That the CIO be authorised to spend up to £368K, beginning in financial year 2020/2021, in order to complete phase 2 of the project (requirements gathering). Funding for phase 3 (procurement/implementation) would be sought via the governance process for future financial years.

Andy Roe

London Fire Commissioner



Date

12th March 2020

Access to Information – Contact Officer

Name	Steven Adams
Telephone	020 8555 1200
Email	governance@london-fire.gov.uk



LONDON FIRE BRIGADE

Report title

Replacement Mobilising Solution: Options

Report to

Deputy Mayor's Fire and Resilience Board

Date

21 January 2020

Report by

Chief Information Officer (CIO)

Report number

LFC-0216x

Protective marking: **OFFICIAL**

Publication status: Published in full

Summary

A 10-year contract for the supply of the Vision mobilising system and associated services was awarded to Capita on the 1 August 2012. The contract is due to end on 31 July 2022 but can be extended incrementally up to 2026. The Vision system went live in November 2015, and if these contract extension options are exercised in full, the Brigade will have been using the Vision mobilising system for 11 years by 2026.

Based upon past experience, the procurement and implementation of a replacement mobilising solution could take over four years; the current contract will, therefore, need to be extended beyond the 2022 end date.

This paper sets out two options for system replacement and proposes a governance strategy for the project.

Recommendations

1. That a corporate project be established with the objective of delivering a new mobilising solution for the Brigade by 2026 and that the project be delivered in three phases (as outlined in this report).
2. That the CIO be authorised to spend up to £368K, beginning in financial year 2020/2021, in order to complete phase 2 of the project (requirements gathering). Funding for phase 3 (procurement/implementation) would be sought via the governance process for future financial years.
3. That the board endorses the approach set out as option 2 and seek to adopt an 'off the shelf' solution for the new mobilising solution (paragraph 26 refers).

Introduction

1. This paper seeks agreement to a corporate project for a replacement mobilising solution and resources for a dedicated project team. It also seeks agreement to adopting an 'off-the-shelf' solution and an early market engagement exercise with solution suppliers.
2. Developing a statement of requirements (SOR), carrying out a procurement and subsequently implementing a new mobilising system, is an extremely complex and resource intensive activity. Whilst the Brigade has significant experience of replacing mobilising systems (Vision is the third system), this is an expensive proposition and carries a high degree of risk. Risks are mostly associated with disruption to the mobilising process during system deployment and switch over. From an operational perspective, the Brigade must deliver a resilient emergency call handling and despatch service in order to comply with statutory requirements.
3. In recent months, papers have been submitted to both the Corporate Services and Operations directorate boards to ascertain the viability of replacing the current mobilising system, without engaging in a costly and time-consuming procurement (i.e. continuing to use the Vision system and simply moving to the next version). Having consulted widely, it is clear that a new procurement will be required. However, there is an option for a change of approach from the Brigade.
4. From a governance perspective, it is proposed that the project would comprise three phases as set out below.

Phase 1 – Enabling activity	Governance approvals to approach / establish project board and team / approval of a Project Initiation Document (PID) / agree requirements for engaging external subject matter experts (SME) (in phase 2) / early market engagement / Governance approvals (up to Deputy Mayoral approval to access funding) to move to phase 2.
Phase 2 – Requirements gathering	Appoint external SME / requirements gathering (including any recommendations emanating from the GTI) / draft Statement of Requirements (SOR) produced / sign off SOR / Governance approvals to go to phase 3, and to accept tender up to pre-defined value.
Phase 3 – Procurement / Implementation	Publish PIN notice, initiate procurement action / award contract / systems implementation / system go-live / project closure.

5. This report primarily concerns Phase 2, requirements gathering (includes early supplier engagement). This is on the assumption that the recommendation to establish this corporate project is approved. Phase 1 of the project is essentially enabling activity and no specific additional funding will be required to complete this phase, as this can be accommodated within existing resources. Phase 1 will aim to ensure that funding for phase 2 is authorised and that Phase 2 can therefore commence immediately at the start of the 2020/21 financial year.

Background

6. The Brigade first introduced a computerised mobilising system in February 1990. This was a Marconi based system that took more than nine years to plan and implement. In 2004, a new system from Motorola (ProCad) was introduced after some seven years of planning and preparation.
7. Following the government's decision to abandon the national Fire Control project in 2010, the Brigade decided in 2011 to seek to replace the Motorola ProCad system with a new generation solution. The new mobilising system chosen, which remains the Brigade's current system (Vision), was supplied by Capita and went live in November 2015. This was some four years and eight months from the decision to procure a new system. The contract was for the provision of mobilising and related services, including an ICCS⁵ and station-end equipment.
8. At the time the decision was taken to renew the mobilising system, LFEPA decided that the new solution should be a fully managed service. This meant that the full mobilising function (including staffing) would be provided to the Brigade as a service (i.e., outsourced). It was thought that significant efficiencies might be possible, primarily around using new technology, new ways of working and reductions in staff.
9. The specification for the new solution was developed on the basis of a full-service solution. However, some nine months later, with the LFEPA under new political control, it was agreed that the mobilising service should remain in-house. After competitive tendering, the contract was subsequently awarded to Capita using the original specification for the system elements. The service currently in operation remains aligned with that tender specification. Of course, a number of enhancements have been made since system go-live in late 2015.
10. At the time of the original outsource decision, LFEPA agreed that there should be an in-house bid for the service. Although this bid did not progress to the point of submitting a tender, this effectively precluded some Brigade control staff from having any significant input into the specification of the system. Although this couldn't be avoided (as there was a need to avoid a conflict of interest), not allowing control staff to have significant input to the specification has potentially contributed to some of the usability issues that Vision has experienced.
11. The cost of the mobilising system contract with Capita over a ten-year period to 2022 will be £19.6 M. This cost includes £325K per annum toward the cost of a technical refresh.

Existing contract and extension

12. Officers agree that the Brigade must re-procure its mobilising solution as there is no provision in law to extend the current contract and retain the Vision system beyond the current contract end date. The contract runs until 2022 and has provision for extensions to 2026. To facilitate the procurement and implementation of a replacement mobilising solution, the existing contract with Capita will need to be extended beyond the August 2022 end date.

⁵ ICCS is an Integrated Communication Control System which provides the voice and data communications hub of the mobilising solution designed to provide control of a number of integrated subsystems. These may include digital and analogue telephone and radio systems, with call handling systems, etc.

13. Previous experience suggests that it can take over four years to specify, procure and implement a new mobilising solution. It will, therefore, be necessary to activate the contract extensions beyond 2022, probably up to 2024 initially, as this would fit with the 4-year timetable. The need for any further extension beyond 2024 would need to be reviewed as part of the project.
14. The cost of extending the contract beyond 2022, is not included in the financial model for the contract. Therefore, it is not possible to predict precisely the cost of extension(s). The current budget for annual payments to Capita for the Vision system is £1,561,004. This includes a £325K annual payment for a contractually agreed technical refresh. There will be no technical refreshes past the 2022 date and therefore this payment will cease from August 2022. This will result in a revenue saving of £216k in the 2022/23 financial year rising to £325K for a full year.
15. The contract stipulates that the K45U index for Average Weekly earnings is to be used when applying inflation. The current estimate for this is three per cent which gives rise to projected service charge(s) as follows:
 - 2021/22 – £1,643,206
 - 2022/23 – £1,476,503*
 - 2023/24 – £1,412,798
 - 2024/25 – £1,455,182
 - 2025/26 – £1,498,837

* this includes the part year charge for the technical refresh
16. Provision has been made within the medium-term forecast (revised through the 2020/21 budget process) for increases of £49K in 2022/23 and £45K in 2023/24 which is the end of the current four-year forecast period.

Lessons learned

17. The Vision system went live in November 2015 after several delays. It is well known that there were a significant number of issues with the system after go-live. The Brigade has worked with Capita to ensure that any problems are resolved and that the system performs in a satisfactory way.
18. Before it selected the solution offered by Capita, which included the Vision mobilising system, the Brigade produced a detailed set of requirements. Each tenderer had to demonstrate the extent to which it could meet those requirements with its standard offering. Inevitably, there was a gulf between the Brigade's ideal requirements and some of the functionality offered by potential suppliers. The decision to select a particular product took into account the 'best fit' with the Brigade's requirements, alongside other matters such as price. The extent to which the chosen solution did not meet the Brigade's mandatory requirements resulted in enhancement/ adjustments to the offered system to build in those requirements. This was separate from the work necessary to make sure that any solution interfaced properly with the Brigade's back-office systems like the Staff Attendance Recording System (StARS) – which supplies details of officers on duty to be mobilised – and the Incident Management System

(IMS) – which holds the Brigade’s definitive record of incidents attended and supplies data to the Home Office as part of national statistics collection.

19. Any new project will make extensive use of the ‘lessons learned’ log that was produced as part of closure of the project and a separate but related document recently produced by control management that highlighted some lessons learned issues. The objective will be to leverage previous experience relating to this project (and others) in order to minimise the risk of similar problems happening in respect to any new mobilising system deployment. In particular, health and safety advisors will be engaged to ensure that important aspects of the system such as “font size” and associated usability related issues, are factored into requirements.
20. A stakeholder analysis will be carried out as part of the project and steps will be taken to ensure that representative bodies are included in the governance process in an open and transparent manner.

Procurement options for a replacement mobilising solution

21. There are broadly two options for the replacement of the mobilising solution:

- **Option 1:** Developing a custom specification for a Brigade mobilising solution (as per Vision and previous mobilising systems), procuring a system that most closely meets these requirements and then undertaking any necessary customisations.
- **Option 2:** Procuring an ‘off-the-shelf’ package and ‘bending the business’ to implement it without customisation, instead configuring it to the Brigade’s needs.

Option 1 – Custom specification for LFB

22. This option would essentially repeat the exercise undertaken to procure the Vision product. This would involve the Brigade producing a detailed statement of requirements and subsequently initiating a procurement, based on those detailed requirements. Based on the last procurement, none of the solutions offered by tenderers met the Brigade’s requirements exactly, and this is likely to be the position again. It is likely that the Brigade would need to accept a solution that does not meet every requirement and some customisation (and/or new software) needed to bring the system closer to the Brigade’s requirements.
23. Option 1 may require significantly more funding than for Option 2. As the solution would be built around our specific requirements, it is reasonable to predict that this will require significantly more resource throughout the entire project.
24. Based on past experience, and previous timescales, it is expected that this would take more than four years to complete (to go-live). However, this would depend upon the extent of the customisation.
25. The advantages and disadvantages of Option 1 may be summarised as follows, (see below)

Advantages	Disadvantages
Brigade will be able to specify exact requirements to meet its business needs.	Would not challenge or change existing business processes which have developed over time and may not be the most efficient.
In house resources can be utilised to draft the detailed requirements for this option.	Suppliers are unlikely to be able to meet all Brigade requirements with their products without customisation and/or additional or new software.
At an early stage Brigade is able to define what it wants.	Changes to system to meet business needs post contract award would incur additional costs.
	May preclude some suppliers who have a more technically advanced already available.
	Would not encourage innovation from suppliers if they can supply a solution broadly similar to previous specification.
	There is a risk that these detailed requirements will specify a solution that does not improve Brigade capability or efficiency.
	This may lock the Brigade into a solution that is not the best option over the longer term.

Option 2 – Off-the-shelf package

26. Option 2 would be to seek to use an 'off-the-shelf' solution and 'bend the business' to take advantage of the solution, rather than build or adapt a solution to meet specific LFB requirements. This could be done in two parts:
- The project team would be tasked with developing a high level SOR for specialist external subject matter experts (SME) to support the Brigade with the replacement mobilising solution project. This SOR would include a statement of the Brigade's objective in replacing its mobilising system, high level requirements and terms of reference for the SME to operate under. The SME initial role would be to assist the Brigade with research and to explore existing products and services available in the marketplace. This could include reference site visits to other users. This would increase our awareness of current capability in this market.
 - The second part would be for the SME (acting as part of the corporate project team) to engage with control management and staff, and other stakeholders (to be identified as part of a stakeholder analysis) to define the SOR for a new mobilising solution. In addition, the SME would engage with the market on options for optimising and developing our SOR, within the functional parameters of available solutions. This approach would help the Brigade make its requirements less niche; by understanding the functionality of commonly available 'off-the-shelf' solutions and ensures the specification avoids any solution requiring costly customisation.
27. Whilst this option sets out to avoid specifically customising a solution just for London, it is important to remember that any solution selected will need to be interfaced with existing Brigade back office systems, particularly StARS which feeds the mobilising solution with

details about resource availability and capability for mobilising. Ensuring that such interfaces are designed, implemented and tested effectively is a time consuming and complex task.

28. It is thought that this process would be shorter than for Option 1, as the Brigade would not be asking for significant changes to the base product. However, precise timescales are not possible at this stage.
29. The advantages and dis-advantages of this approach may be summarised as follows: -

Advantages	Disadvantages
Would force an opportunity to challenge existing business processes that have been developed in house over many years and may not be the most efficient ways of working. Would encourage the introduction of industry standards.	The principle of bending the business would need to be rigidly enforced by the project sponsor, the concept well communicated and strong governance processes put in place to manage risk.
Allows the Brigade to increase our knowledge and awareness of other systems and the marketplace.	Adds an additional stage in the project, prior to the procurement process.
Reduces the amount of time required to produce a specification.	May mean that the Brigade did not have some functionality that was currently in use (or nice to have functionality that has tended to have been developed in the past for particular stakeholders).
May allow selection of an off-the-shelf package, rather than a bespoke or highly customised solution (bending the business rather than the solution). This is likely to be less costly and ensures that the Brigade is on a “standard” version of the product and not something that has been developed specially for London.	The solution may result in changes to the way the Brigade does business which are significant and result in wider disruption including generating training requirements, or policy changes. This represents a degree of risk to the Brigade and would have to be carefully managed via the governance process and will need to be supported by a risk assessment.
Would mean the Brigade utilises a mainstream product that has been tried and tested. The Brigade would be on mainstream releases (i.e., not a London version of anything which has caused some problems with the Vision system).	

Preferred approach

30. Officers are recommending option 2 for the new mobilising solution. This option we believe will on balance, be simpler to implement, and probably less costly. However, it may require significant business change for both Control staff and the way officers and fire appliances are mobilised (depending on the system selected after tendering). Until potential solutions are

identified and a 'gap analysis' undertaken, it would not be possible to quantify the extent of business changes that might be required.

31. Care would have to be taken, as part of the evaluation process, that any new system was not so far away from our requirements that it in fact it does not meet our requirements. Mobilising systems are capable of being extensively configured to fit in different operating environments and this is perhaps an area that the Brigade should concentrate upon.

Costs of a replacement mobilising solution

32. The two options described above could give rise to widely differing level of costs. It is not possible, therefore, to predict the total cost of any new solution with any degree of accuracy. Instead, an indicative range of costs is set out below (paragraph 41).
33. The market for mobilising solutions has significantly changed since the last procurement undertaken by the Brigade. There are now more suppliers and delivery options and generally more flexibility in the marketplace.

Impact of cloud-based solutions

34. The current mobilising system is entirely an 'on-premise' system, with Capita servers located at the Brigade's Primary Control site within the London Operations Centre (LOC) at Merton, and at the secondary control room site at Stratford.
35. In line with the way that ICT services are moving in general, a number of mobilising solution providers now offer for some or all elements of their solution to be *cloud-based*. *Cloud-based* solutions are hosted remotely (usually in specialist or commercial data centres) and are accessed securely by users via the internet or dedicated communication links. In some cloud-based solutions there may be an element(s) of the system on-premise, but in some there may be no server hardware/software installed locally.
36. This means that it could be possible for the Brigade to use a mobilising solution (or elements of it) that is not installed at the LOC at Merton (or the fall-back Control at Stratford). Access to the system would be via Internet links and the Brigade would be consuming a service on a 'pay-to use' basis.
37. The costs of a cloud-based solution are less predictable at this stage and will potentially shift the balance of cost from capital to revenue. Previous procurements have generally been supported by capital funding, with system support charged on a revenue basis. With cloud-based solutions, there may be little, if anything, that could be capital funded.

Soft market testing

38. Soft market testing will not be as straight forward as in previous procurements, primarily because of the advent of cloud technology. The last procurement didn't really have to consider a cloud-based system as the market really wasn't mature enough at that time. However, the current landscape is quite different, and it may now be possible to procure a system that has cloud based (pay per use elements), in conjunction with on-premise infrastructure. The Brigade has limited experience of cloud-based solutions of this scale and therefore could not rely upon previous experience to guide us at present, particularly without a fully developed SOR. Once we have concluded early market engagement with suppliers and

a clearer picture of the different offerings available in the market is available, it should be possible to provide more accurate cost predictions. Until this has been completed, we need to rely on the historic costs of procurement and implementation to make an assessment of the likely future cost.

Costs of procurement and implementation

39. Using the procurement and implementation of the current mobilising system as a guide, the overall costs of a replacement solution could be as set out in the table below.

Procurement and implementation, including project team	£10 million
Total running costs (for 10 years)	£15 to £25million

40. These costs included the cost of the system (hardware / software / licenses), project management costs of deployment, customisation, testing and go-live, as well as development and testing of interface to Brigade systems such as StARS. However, the costs above are for the existing “on-premise” solution. Any new solution may be on-premise or cloud based, at this stage we do not know which solution design may prevail. If the selected solution is to be cloud based, we would expect the implementation costs generally to be less than if it was based on-premise.

Running costs

41. The running costs of £15 to £25 million (in the table at para 39) are based on option 2 and the assumption that these costs will be less than option 1, as this option precludes having a bespoke system for LFB.
42. However, as the Brigade is proposing a different approach to the procurement this time (an ‘off the-shelf’ product), coupled with changes in technology and system delivery options, it is not possible to provide anything other than a cost range at this point. Based on the information available right now, we believe that this may be in the region of £15M – £25M over a 10-year contract term. Because we do not know whether a cloud-based solution will be recommended as a result of tendering, we cannot at this point identify the split between costs which will be capital and those that will be revenue (as explained in para 37). Cloud based systems will have less of a capital requirement and a higher revenue requirement.

Local Digital Declaration (LDD)

43. In May 2019, the London Fire Commissioner signed the [Local Digital Declaration](#) (LDD) on behalf of the Brigade. The LDD which is signed by national and local government bodies, is seeking to co-create the conditions for the next generation of local public services, where technology is an enabler rather than a barrier to service improvements, and services are “*a delight for citizens and officials to use*”. It is acknowledged that one size doesn’t fit all, but by developing common building blocks local authorities, and other public services, it will be possible to build services more quickly, flexibly and effectively. Only in this more open and flexible market, it is believed, will we unlock the full potential for innovation.
44. The LDD ambition requires both a culture shift and a technology shift and sets out five principles to help do this (available via the link above). In particular, and relevant to any new

or replacement computer systems, including the mobilising solution, is principle 1 which is *“We will go even further to redesign our services around the needs of the people using them. This means continuing to prioritise citizen and user needs above professional, organisational and technological silos.”*

45. A key issue, following LDD principles, will be to ensure that the needs of service users (i.e. the general public making a 999 call) are fully met. Some engagement with such users may be appropriate as part of the project to replace the mobilising solution. For example, given the new ways (including via social media) that people now want to communicate, it will be important, in deploying a new mobilising solution, that it has the capacity to embrace new communication channels.
46. Also, as outlined above, it was not possible for Control staff to be fully engaged with the work to specify and procure the current Vision mobilising system. We want to put our Control staff front and centre of the project to replace the mobilising system. We can see that the principles of the LDD that put the user first can have huge benefits in terms of developing systems that get the very best from our staff. We will do this by seconding Control staff to the project team and putting in place a user testing regime that allows for quick feedback and the agility to enhance and iterate solutions so that they are right for our staff.

Mobilising project team

47. A project team will need to be established to deliver the new mobilising system. Some work will need to be carried out to establish the project and ensure that once funding is approved, the project is able to immediately move forward in 2019/20. This work will be undertaken as phase 1 of the project, and this can be achieved using existing resources within the ICT Department, working closely with Control management and staff.
48. The resources needed for the project team will vary over time to support the different phases of the work:
- Phase 1 – Project enabling activity
 - Phase 2 – Requirements gathering
 - Phase 3 – Procurement, contract award, implementation
49. This report seeks the boards agreement in principle to the project approach, noting that specific funding for phase 2 (requirements gathering) and ultimately phase 3 (procurement, contract award and implementation) will be sought via appropriate funding bids from ICT (the exact split between revenue and capital yet to be determined). The output of phase 2 will be a completed statement of requirements (SOR) for a new mobilising system. The output of phase 3 will ultimately be the implementation of a new mobilising system for the Brigade.

Phase 2 – Requirements gathering

50. Phase 2 of the project, which we anticipate taking 18 months to complete, will require additional resources. Specifically, we will require 1 x dedicated project manager, 1 x project support, 1 x business analyst, as well as financial provision to back fill 2 x Control officer posts who will be seconded to the project, initially for phase 2. This will result in a 12-month staff

cost of £205k and a one-off cost of £60k for the business analyst, for a total annual cost in 2020/21 of £265k. There will then be further six-month staff cost of £103k in 2021/22, for a total cost £ 368K over an 18-month period.

Phase 3 – Procurement and implementation

51. Phase 3 of the project, which we anticipate taking around 18-24 months to complete inclusive of all governance requirements, will also need additional resources. In particular, a dedicated procurement resource and test manager (for delivery/implementation) will be required. In addition, there may need to be funding to back-fill for ICT staff who may need to be seconded to the project to work on the software interfaces between the mobilising system and Brigade backoffice systems during the delivery/implementation phase.
52. The additional costs for phase 3 will be considered as part of the preparation for the 2021/22 (and future year) budgets and included in a future report seeking authorisation to begin phase 3.

Collaboration opportunities

53. Under the Policing and Crime Act 2017, the Brigade has a duty to keep collaboration opportunities (with police and ambulance services) under review and, where it is in the interests of efficiency or effectiveness, to put those collaboration opportunities into practice. For the Brigade these collaboration opportunities would be with another blue light emergency services in London (i.e. the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) and/or the London Ambulance Service (LAS), or collaboration with other fire and rescues services.
54. The MPS command and control system is some 35 years old and a project to replace this system it is currently underway and MPS are in the process of reviewing tenders. Their intention is to award a contract at the end of 2019 with go live anticipated in 2021. The Brigade received a briefing from the MPS on its implementation in May 2018 but, at this time, the opportunity to align the procurements was not possible. The MPS were at quite an advanced stage, having defined their requirements and not far away from initiating a procurement. Work had not even started on defining the Brigades requirements as there was still up to six years to run on the Brigade's contract (with contract extensions).
55. The Brigade has spoken to the MPS about their recent procurement and further meetings are planned. Specifically, a workshop is planned for early in the new year where the Brigade will be able to take on board any lessons learned or particular approaches that may be beneficial, from the Met recent procurement. In particular, we have tried to structure our approach in a similar manner, breaking the project into governance stages and seeking approval for each stage.
56. The LAS command and control system contract runs until the early 2020s and they are looking to procure a system nationally with all ambulance trust regions as part of an NHS project. For this reason, LAS would not be looking for collaboration opportunities outside the ambulance sector.
57. Collaboration is viewed as being potentially more efficient as some costs may be shared and, therefore, the economies of scale could be maximised. However, it is probably more likely

that the adoption of protocols and standards such as MAIT⁶, that allow emergency control rooms to be linked and share incidents in real time, will provide the best opportunities for operational collaboration.

58. Collaboration opportunities with other fire and rescue services will be examined as part of any new procurement once the Brigade statement of requirements has been defined. Early notification of the project has already been flagged via the NFCC ICT Managers forum, that the CIO chairs.

LFC/Mayoral governance approvals

59. As outlined earlier, it is proposed that the project will be in three phases:

- Phase 1 – Enabling activity
- Phase 2 – Requirements gathering (including supplier engagement)
- Phase 3 – Procurement / Implementation

60. Prior to phase 1 being initiated, it is proposed to seek governance approvals (this report) for the proposed approach to the replacement of the mobilising solution and to move to phase 1; no additional staffing resources will be required for phase 1.
61. For each subsequent stage of the project, pre-approval will be sought for funding, up to an agreed value, to complete that stage. This report asks the board to note that funding of £368K will be required to initiate and complete phase 2 of the project (requirements gathering). The funding required for the final phase 3, including the acceptance of tenders, will be determined toward the end of phase 2. It is not possible to provide further information in relation to this at present.
62. There will be significant costs incurred by companies bidding for this work. Due to the complex and therefore time-consuming nature of the procurement, it is likely that some form of negotiated procurement approach may be required. As this will involve significant investment in terms of time and resources from potential suppliers, it will be necessary to move forward with a high degree of confidence that the Brigade will be able to award at the conclusion of the procurement.
63. We believe that the proposed approach will allow us to move forward with a degree of confidence and yet still provide visibility to the Mayor's Office and the Deputy Mayor for Fire and Resilience (via her Fire and Resilience Board), as the project progresses.

Conclusion

64. Taking lessons learned from previous mobilising solution procurements into account, there is a strong case to adopt an approach where the Brigade selects an existing 'off-the-shelf' solution or product and bending the business to fit with the way it works; not the system i.e.

⁶ Multi-Agency Incident Transfer (MAIT): The MAIT protocol allows for incident records to be electronically shared from one emergency service to another through defined fields and values so that it can be injected into the receiving organisation's computer aided despatch system.

Option 2 above. This is an approach also endorsed by the MPS and adhered to as part of their project approach for mobilising system replacement.

65. In reality, this will mean that some functionality currently available in the Vision system, either may not be available in the new system or may exist in a different form. Whilst the challenge of adopting this approach should not be under-estimated (it is probable that some business processes, particularly in the Control Room may need to change), the benefits to the organisation overall are likely to outweigh any Brigade specific functionality loss.
66. It is the conclusion of work to date that the best approach for the Brigade would be to adopt Option 2. Participating in an exercise of early market engagement, under the guidance of procurement colleagues, will facilitate an understanding of the marketplace and greatly assist the Brigade to develop a SOR that is not Brigade specific. This fact should reduce cost, complexity and subsequently risk to the Brigade.
67. Having consulted with the MPS about their procurement, we propose to adopt a broadly similar approach to this project, by breaking the project into three key stages, and seeking governance approvals at the entry to each stage and funding up to an agreed limit.

Finance comments

68. This report sets out two options for the replacement of the mobilising system, with the recommendation that Option 2 for an 'off the shelf' option is agreed. Due to the change in approach proposed for this procurement the total cost of the replacement solution has been estimated within the range of £15m to £25m for a ten-year contract.
69. The replacement will be carried out in three phases, with the cost of phase 1 of the project to be contained within existing ICT Department resources. The report asks the Board to note that funding of £368k will be required to deliver phase 2 of the process A growth bid for this expenditure will be included as part of the Budget Submission to the Mayor . The report notes that additional funding will also be required for phase 3 and funding will be sought for this at a later date. This will be considered as part of the budget process for future years once identified.
70. The current mobilising system contract was for a ten-year period up to 2022 at a cost of £19.6m. This included £325k per annum towards the cost of a technical refresh. As there will be no technical refreshes past the 2022 date this payment will cease from August 2022 and will deliver an ongoing revenue saving. It should be noted that this could mean that when the procurement is complete this would result in a pressure at that time.
71. The report also sets out forecast inflation costs for the contract from 2022/23, these costs will be contained within the existing contingency for inflation.
72. If option 1 is agreed for a custom specification for the new system, the report notes that this may result in a significantly higher cost than for option 2. The report does however note that option 2, while less costly, could result in significant business change. The impact of this should be evaluated and monitored as part of the procurement.

73. The previous procurement for the existing system included a significant element of capital expenditure and then an ongoing revenue cost. The potential move to a 'pay to use' basis could result in a move in costs from capital to revenue, the impact of which will need to be considered as part of the budget process.

Workforce comments

74. Consultation with staff will be required, particularly if the principle of "bending the business" rather the system is adopted as it may involve changing business processes. The intention will be to start the consultation process at the earliest opportunity. As outlined in para 44, the intention is to put Control staff at the front and centre of this project. Control staff will be seconded to work as part of the project team and the user testing will allow for quick feedback and the agility to enhance and iterate solutions so that they are right for our staff.

Legal comments

75. Under section 9 of the Policing and Crime Act 2017, the London Fire Commissioner (the "Commissioner") is established as a corporation sole with the Mayor appointing the occupant of that office. Under section 327D of the GLA Act 1999, as amended by the Policing and Crime Act 2017, the Mayor may issue to the Commissioner specific or general directions as to the manner in which the holder of that office is to exercise his or her functions.
76. By direction dated 1 April 2018, the Mayor set out those matters, for which the Commissioner would require the prior approval of either the Mayor or the Deputy Mayor for Fire and Resilience (the "Deputy Mayor").
77. Paragraph (b) of Part 2 of the said direction requires the Commissioner to seek the prior approval of the Deputy Mayor before "[a] commitment to expenditure (capital or revenue) of £150,000 or above as identified in accordance with normal accounting practices...".
78. The Deputy Mayor's approval will be required for the Commissioner when it comes to any new procurement of a mobilising system.
79. The statutory basis for the actions proposed in this report is provided by section 7 (2)(c) of the Fire and Rescue Services Act 2004, under which the Commissioner must make arrangements for dealing with calls for help and for summoning personnel for the purpose of extinguishing fires in its area and protecting life and property in the event of fires in its area.
80. Under section 2(1) of the Policing and Crime Act 2017, the Commissioner has a statutory duty to keep under consideration whether entering into a collaboration agreement with one or more other relevant emergency services in England could be in the interests of the efficiency or effectiveness of that service and those other services.
81. Furthermore, the proposed procurement of the mobilising system must be in compliance with the Public Contracts Regulations 2015 given that the value is well above OJEU threshold.

Sustainability implications

82. Any new procurement activity will need to be undertaken in line with the GLA group Responsible Procurement policy. As part of delivery of this policy, the Greater London Authority group is currently in the process of affiliating with Electronics Watch, which requires

the inclusion of additional terms and conditions for contracts with significant hardware purchases. The terms aim to improve the transparency of the supply chain and management of any noncompliance with labour standards identified with the support of Electronics Watch. Where hardware replacement of considerable value forms part of the requirement for any of the options proposed, additional terms covering ethical sourcing will need to be included in the tender or renegotiation.

Equalities implications

83. The Public Sector Equality Duty applies to the London Fire Brigade when it makes decisions. The duty requires the Commissioner to have regard to the need to:
- a) Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other behaviour prohibited by the Act. In summary, the Act makes discrimination etc. on the grounds of a protected characteristic unlawful.
 - b) Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.
 - c) Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not including tackling prejudice and promoting understanding.
84. The protected characteristics are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, marriage and civil partnership, race, religion or belief, gender, and sexual orientation. The Act states that 'marriage and civil partnership' is not a relevant protected characteristic for (b) or (c) although it is relevant for (a).
85. An equalities impact assessment will be carried out in respect of this project to make sure that any replacement mobilising solution will not have a disproportionately adverse effect on any persons with a characteristic. The development of a specification for a replacement mobilising solution will need to consider the needs of staff users with protected characteristics; this will be particularly important where any business process changes are needed if the Brigade were to adopt an 'off-the-shelf' solution. The Brigade will also need to consider the impact on those members of the public with protected characteristics that might need to engage with the Brigade to call for assistance and whether they would be affected by any change of mobilising solution. Where necessary, the Brigade will need to reflect any particular or special requirements in the SOR.