

**REQUEST FOR DMPC DECISION – PCD 134****Title: Delegation to Chief Executive: National CT Grant Spend****Executive Summary:**

MOPAC receives from the Home Office Counter Terrorism (CT) grants which are allocated by the National CT Policing HQ into specialist units across the country, the largest of which is the CT Command in London. The CT policing grant and priorities are governed under the national CONTEST strategy through a Home Office chaired Police Counter-Terrorist Board.

It is proposed that the Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime, under paragraph 1.7 of the MOPAC Scheme of Consent and Delegation (October 2016), delegate to the Chief Executive Officer of the Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime the authority to take decisions on the CT grant-funded expenditure on covert capabilities.


**Recommendation:**

That DMPC delegate, under paragraph 1.7 of the MOPAC Scheme of Consent and Delegation (October 2016), to the Chief Executive of the Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime the authority to take decisions on the CT grant-funded expenditure on covert capabilities.

**Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime**

I confirm I have considered whether or not I have any personal or prejudicial interest in this matter and take the proposed decision in compliance with the Code of Conduct. Any such interests are recorded below.

The above request has my approval.

**Signature****Date** 31.1.2017 .

## **PART I - NON-CONFIDENTIAL FACTS AND ADVICE TO THE DMPC**

### **Decision required – supporting report**

#### **1. Introduction and background**

- 1.1. MOPAC receives from the Home Office Counter Terrorism (CT) grants which are allocated by the National CT Policing HQ into specialist units across the country, the largest of which is the CT Command in London.
- 1.2. As MOPAC is the legal entity for the contracts awarded under this funding stream it has a duty to ensure the appropriate allocation of resources to priorities and the achievement of value for money.

#### **2. Issues for consideration**

- 2.1 Approving the delegation to the Chief Executive of MOPAC will meet the requirement for decisions relating to contract awards and/or to approve funding for the CT function and the MPS's CT Command funded from the Home Office grant, which focus on covert activities and where there is a requirement to have Developed Vetting (DV) to discuss these decisions with officers from the CT Policing function.
- 2.2 Delegation to the Chief Executive of MOPAC, does not remove the DMPC's right to be sighted on all MOPAC contract awards and variations. Under the Scheme of Delegation and Consent section 4.14, the DMPC reserves the right to call in any contract award for £500,000 or above and all contract variations and extensions to contracts with an original value of £500,000 or above.

#### **3. Financial Comments**

- 3.1 There are no direct financial implications arising from this decision.

#### **4. Legal Comments**

- 4.1 There are no legal implications arising from this decision. At paragraph 1.7 the MOPAC Scheme of Delegation and Consent states that "the DMPC may in addition, from time to time make specific delegations to officers within MOPAC" and that "staff are authorised to exercise decision making within their area of responsibility subject to appropriate delegations".

#### **5. Equality Comments**

- 5.1. There are no equality implications arising from this decision.

#### **6. Background/supporting papers**

None

**Public access to information**

Information in this form (Part 1) is subject to the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA) and will be made available on the MOPAC website following approval.

If immediate publication risks compromising the implementation of the decision it can be deferred until a specific date. Deferral periods should be kept to the shortest length strictly necessary.

**Part 1 Deferral:**

Is the publication of Part 1 of this approval to be deferred? No

If yes, for what reason:

Until what date:

**Part 2 Confidentiality:** Only the facts or advice considered as likely to be exempt from disclosure under the FOIA should be in the separate Part 2 form, together with the legal rationale for non-publication.

Is there a **Part 2** form – No

**ORIGINATING OFFICER DECLARATION:**

	<i>Tick to confirm statement (✓)</i>
<b>Head of Unit:</b> The Director of Police Resources and Performance has reviewed the request and is satisfied it is correct and consistent with the MOPAC's plans and priorities.	✓
<b>Legal Advice:</b> Legal advice is not required.	✓
<b>Financial Advice:</b> The Strategic Finance and Resource Management Team has been consulted on this proposal.	✓
<b>Equalities Advice:</b> Equality and diversity issues are covered in the body of the report.	✓

**OFFICER APPROVAL****Chief Executive**

I have been consulted about the proposal and confirm that financial, legal and equalities advice has been taken into account in the preparation of this report. I am satisfied that this is an appropriate request to be submitted to the Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime.

Signature

R. Lawrence

Date

31/1/2017

