

GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY

Our reference: MGLA170226-4501

5 March 2026

Dear

Thank you for your request for information which the Greater London Authority (GLA) received on 5 February 2026. Your request has been considered under the Environmental Information Regulations (EIR) 2004.

You requested:

As a local resident, please can you supply, under a Freedom of Information request, the full list of comments: supporting, objecting and neutral for: planning application 25/0213/FUL to view.

Our response to your request is as follows:

Please find attached. Please note that some information is exempt from disclosure under Regulation 13 (Personal information) of the EIR. Names and potentially identifiable information (addresses, detailed biographical information) constitutes as personal data which is defined by Article 4(1) of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) to mean any information relating to an identified or identifiable living individual. It is considered that disclosure of this information would contravene the first data protection principle under Article 5(1) of GDPR which states that Personal data must be processed lawfully, fairly and in a transparent manner in relation to the data subject.

If you have any further questions relating to this matter, please contact me, quoting the reference MGLA170226-4501.

Yours sincerely

Information Governance Officer

If you are unhappy with the way the GLA has handled your request, you may complain using the GLA's FOI complaints and internal review procedure, available at:

<https://www.london.gov.uk/about-us/governance-and-spending/sharing-our-information/freedom-information>

Application name	Comment name	Original text	Stance	Site address
2026/0027	a07Q500001JU16u	i think you should keep vue and restaurants and the other buildings as they bring happiness to lots of people and i live going there in my free time with my friends and family. please dont close it	Not set	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	a07Q500001MPI4F	This is a social hub for our community. It is accessible and well located and if you keep removing places like this, there will be nowhere for the community to relax and socialise.	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Development at Finchley Leisure Centre	<p>Message details</p> <p>The projected high-rise buildings will overshadow the homes already there. The facilities there are necessary for the residents. So many residencies will lead to untenable overcrowding. What amenities will be available? There is already congestion along the High Street. There are a lot of pensioners in the area who will find the buses even more overcrowded.</p> <p>What would you like us to do? Cancel the idea. It will ruin Finchley as a pleasant place to live.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am a local resident with [REDACTED]. I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) By changing the use of this space you are removing one of the only recreational areas in Finchley. Do you want young people to turn to drugs and crime because they have nothing wholesome to do? <p>Please therefore reject this application.</p> <p>Thank you for taking the time to consider these submissions.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl along with our Indoor Bowling and Tai Chi lessons at Glebelands.</p> <p>It involves permanent removal of the cinema, bowling alley and indoor bowling (which is a venue for other community activities like Tai Chi) along with all the restaurants.</p> <p>We need places to be able to meet and take our children and this is a step in the wrong direction.</p> <p>The proposal would overload the area and put additional strain on health services and local infrastructure.</p> <p>Please reject this application.</p> <p>Thank you</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL

2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm 	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm 	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm 	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL

2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm 	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL

2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL

2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL

2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL

2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL

2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL

2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL

2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p> <p>I would add to the above list that as a local resident I notice that Squires Lane, the only route from this site to the key thoroughfare of East End Road, is a narrow road which is already very frequently bottlenecked. I shudder to think what this road will become with so many additional residents, many of whom will be driven to car usage (whether parking is provided or not) because of the inherently poorly connected nature of the site - something that cannot be solved by any number of new bus routes.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm 12) It's the only place locally in the area where local and people travelling from wherever to go to for leisure, this will be taking away from the community! <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL

2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants. There is a lack of activities and facilities for young children to enjoy as it is. We shouldn't be removing more of these spaces, distinctly catered for the younger generation 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants. 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL

2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm 	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL

2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm 	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>██████████ and I feel very strongly about this, this is a safe place for our younger community and for families to get together, and socialise.</p> <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL

2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL

2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL

2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL

2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL

2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>The plan demonstrates no understanding of the poor local transport links or the importance of the leisure facilities.</p> <p>It is ill conceived and ill considered</p> <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL

2026/0027	<p>Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)</p>	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>As you'll be aware, this planning application was called-in by the Mayor of London on 19 January 2026, meaning that the Mayor of London will now be responsible for deciding upon the application, instead of Barnet Council, which refused the application in December 2025. The matters raised in your email will be carefully considered by the Mayor, alongside all other representations received, as part of his assessment of the application. The Mayor will hold a Public Hearing before making a decision, with a date and venue to be confirmed in due course.</p> <p>At the hearing, the Mayor will be provided with a detailed update on the proposals from the GLA case officer. The Mayor will then hear views from the Council, in this case Barnet, the Applicant for the scheme and any objectors or supporters who register to speak at the Hearing. He will then deliberate before making a decision on the application, which will usually be on the same day.</p> <p>You will receive an email/letter from us at least 21 days in advance of the Hearing and will be provided with details on the Hearing along with the opportunity to register to speak at the Hearing should they wish to do so. We will also publicise the Hearing here online along with site notices at City Hall and near to the site. Link here: Public Hearings, Mayoral call-ins, Directions to Refuse and Appeals London City Hall</p> <p>In the meantime, please let me know if there are any further queries.</p>	Object	<p>Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL</p>
-----------	---	--	--------	---

2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities my family friends and I have been attending for decades and still use to this day, including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application! It will be a part of destroying London's communities. It's an awful and horrible plan.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL

2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL) /Stage 2	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2026/0027	Objection - Great North Leisure Park redevelopment (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL

2026/0027	Objection- Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)	<p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns below numbered. But I also would like to share how infuriating it is to remove leisure facilities where children can meet, enjoy safe fun and learn what growing up looks like. Where are our children suppose to hang out and where can families enjoy time together? This is truly shocking. I've lived in Barnet for 26 years and my children and all my neighbours' children grew up using these facilities, there is nothing else close by that resembles this.</p> <p>Other points:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
-----------	---	--	--------	---

2026/0027	Request for Mayoral Call-In – PP/23/06575, Kensal Canalside	<p>Dear Mayor Khan,</p> <p>I am writing to ask you to step in and review (call in) the planning application for the Kensal Canalside / Project Flourish development.</p> <p>I understand that new homes are needed. But this site has very serious environmental and health problems that must be looked at much more carefully.</p> <p>The land used to be a gasworks from the 1800s. It is heavily polluted with dangerous chemicals. The developer (Ballymore) has admitted there are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 67 old tar pits and chemical tanks full of things like benzene, toluene, phenols, ammonia, cyanide, and asbestos. • A real risk of toxic dust and gases getting into the air during cleanup work. • No proper protection planned for local people, schools, or vulnerable residents — only workers will have safety gear. <p>These poisons can spread through the air. Winds usually carry them at least 1 km, and often up to 7 km. This puts homes, more than 30 schools, shops, businesses, and many vulnerable people in Brent, Westminster, and nearby areas at risk.</p> <p>The World Health Organization says there is no safe level of exposure to many of these chemicals. Sainsbury's supermarket next to the site will stay open during the early cleanup phase, so thousands of customers and staff could be exposed too.</p> <p>The cleanup plan uses methods like "soil hospitals" and letting gases escape into the air. These have caused health problems at other sites (for example, Southall Gasworks). There is no promise to use safer options like sealed tents with air pressure or natural cleaning methods (bioremediation).</p> <p>The developer admits they don't have full information about past pollution — they are only using old desk studies. That is not good enough for such a complicated, dangerous site.</p> <p>There is also a medium-to-high risk of unexploded bombs (UXO) left from the war, especially near Plot 4. If one explodes during digging, it could cause a huge disaster near the chemical tanks. Because this is a "hybrid" application, work on one part of the site could damage tanks or pits in another part — but no real safety plan exists for this.</p> <p>Their emergency plan is weak: workers would be evacuated, but local people would not be warned or protected. The council would only be told up to 24 hours later. By then, serious harm from breathing in toxic gases could already have happened.</p> <p>Many schools are very close (within 1–1.5 km), and toxins could reach even farther. Children are especially at risk from these pollutants. Here are just some of the schools in the area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ark Brunel Primary Academy • Barlby Primary School • Saint Mary's Catholic Primary School • Queen's Park Primary School 	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
-----------	---	--	--------	---

2026/0027	Urgent Objection to Planning Application 25/0213/FUL – Great North Leisure Park	<p>Dear Mayor Sadiq Khan,</p> <p>I am writing to you as a long-term resident of this community with deep concern about the planning application 25/0213/FUL for the redevelopment of the Great North Leisure Park in N12.</p> <p>This site has been a central part of family life in our area for generations. For decades it has provided open, accessible, and irreplaceable leisure space for residents of all ages. Many of us grew up here, and now bring our own children to enjoy the park. The current proposal would result in the permanent loss of this vital community asset and replace it with a high density residential scheme that is out of scale and character with the surrounding neighbourhood.</p> <p>The leisure park is more than just land — it is a shared space that fosters exercise, play, community connection, and wellbeing. Its removal would create a gap in the social fabric of our area that cannot be replaced by flats, regardless of their number or design.</p> <p>I am also deeply concerned that previous council rejection of this scheme has been overridden, with seemingly little regard for the voices of residents who have lived here for many years. This undermines local democratic process and sends a troubling message to the community that our long-term residents and families are not being heard.</p> <p>The proposed development would increase pressure on already stretched local infrastructure and does not offer meaningful compensation for the loss of public amenity. There has been insufficient consideration of the long-term impacts on residents, children, and families who rely on the leisure park as a daily part of life.</p> <p>For all these reasons, I strongly urge you to review and refuse this planning application in the public interest. The wellbeing, heritage, and character of this community must be prioritised over a development that harms rather than helps those who live here.</p> <p>I respectfully request acknowledgement of this objection and information on the next steps.</p>	Object	Great North Leisure Park Chaplin Square London N12 0GL
2025/0183	a07Q500000dE0h	<p>The proposal is a grossly over dense housing scheme aimed at maximising the number of dwellings at the expense of safe and healthy homes presumably to fund the new leisure centre. The proposal does not comply with the NPPF, The London Plan and their Guidance on Housing Design Standards nor the New Local Plan in requirements for healthy living. There are significant shortcomings driven by height, scale and massing negatively impacting the amenity and living conditions of the future occupants. The Inspector when twice rejecting the appeal on the Homebase site established that this area of Finchley is suburban and that amenity extends to the living conditions of future residents. What is proposed far exceeds density indicated for city centre locations, and is not appropriate for this suburban site. The Design led approach has failed to produce good housing that will support a community for years to come.</p> <p>Public Transport Level is low at between 1B and 2, and there is no community infrastructure to support the 1502 households. The Local Plan does not identify this as a site suitable for tall buildings, which in Barnet is 8 storeys and above, or over 26m. The taller the buildings the more shade they cast, so residents will have to live with below standard daylight and sunlight (28% accepted below the minimum standards), especially at lower levels, looking out of their dwellings at shaded buildings, neighbours balconies, poor privacy and in 33% at least only a single aspect, (some facing north) which is unhealthy and unacceptable. The mix of homes does not meet Borough needs as set out in the Local Plan and only 22% are 'affordable'. The mixing of open space, play space, footpaths and vehicles indicates lack of ground space to support the numbers and will lead to unsafe play and green space often in the shade. Delivery bikes and vans will inevitably run across these multiuse areas. The inclusion of the Leisure Centre in the calculations is wrong.</p> <p>The concerns of design review panel were ignored and in-depth study would reveal many more contraventions. The application should be refused.</p>	Object	3/11/2025 3:02:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001lumbf	Leave the wildlife to prosper before it's too late	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001luo8K	The GLNP is on of the very few places kids can go for social activities! Vast number of my kids' friends' birthday parties were celebrated in Hollywood Bowl or Vue Cinema, followed by Pizza Hut or Nandos. Where would this all go?! Lido is fine too. This place is extremely popular - it would be insane to demolish it. Please please don't do it!	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:30 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001lv7mV	Losing this leisure park will be devastating for local families and especially teenagers. The idea of moving the bowling alley and cinema to North Finchley is trying to fit a square peg into a round hole and doomed to fail. The housing will not be supported by enough infrastructure already under more pressure due to the Tramworks development just a few hundred metres up the road. Losing any green space and nature areas will be an unacceptable blow to the area	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001lvabn	Great North Leisure Park, Chaplin Square, London N12 0GL I wish to object to the proposed redevelopment of Great North Leisure Park on environmental grounds. My concerns relate to the scale of the development, the loss of existing green space, the impact on local biodiversity, and the environmental consequences of constructing 20 buildings up to 25 storeys high. The site currently provides valuable open areas that act as informal green space for local residents and wildlife. The proposal replaces these with dense residential blocks and hard landscaping. The removal of permeable surfaces will reduce natural drainage, increasing surface water runoff and flood risk. The loss of green space diminishes opportunities for carbon sequestration and urban cooling — both essential in a borough already experiencing heat island effects. The existing site supports birds, pollinators, and small mammals that rely on the current mosaic of vegetation. Large scale demolition and construction will disrupt habitats and displace wildlife. The proposed landscaping does not compensate for the ecological value lost, as ornamental planting cannot replace established habitat networks. Increased lighting from 25 storey towers will negatively affect nocturnal species and migratory birds. Barnet already struggles with poor air quality, and this development risks worsening it. Construction traffic, dust, and emissions over several years will degrade local air quality. The scale of the scheme — up to 1,502 dwellings — will significantly increase vehicle movements, even with mitigation measures. Additional congestion on the already pressured A1000 and surrounding roads will contribute to nitrogen dioxide and particulate pollution. The multi year demolition and construction phase will generate continuous noise, vibration, and dust. This will affect residents, local wildlife, the nearby Glebelands Local Nature Reserve and the tranquility of nearby green corridors. The height and density of the proposed buildings will amplify noise through increased human activity and traffic. Whilst dust from the initial demolition of the existing buildings then construction of the new building will negatively impact the nearby nature reserve.	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001lvaf3	Very bad for the environmental Bad parking and traffic control	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001lvAyY	There is poor public transport to the North London leisure centre with the underground being dome 40 mins away. The buildings proposed are too tall and out of keeping with surrounding buildings. Barnet Council failed to consult with local residents	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001lvbEV	There are so many reasons why this would be a disaster for the local area. The infrastructure will be completely overwhelmed when it comes to public transport, doctors, schools etc and it will greatly increase traffic in an area which already sees too much (and provides access to the North Circular) - this will result in horrendous tailbacks and affect bus users and other road users. Enviromentally it would be disastrous too, not least because of the effect on the adjacent Glebelands reserve. This development would be absolutely disastrous for the whole area.	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001lvbEB	I object to this development on several grounds which are: 1. It is a gross overdevelopment of buildings which are 25 storeys high for around 1500 new residents. There are no plans for supporting infrastructure, no new facilities for extra G.P services, schools etc. to provide for so many new residents. 2. There is likely to be a large increase in traffic in an already busy area. 3. There is no provision of social housing, which is desperately needed in the Borough of Barnet and only 22% of the total number of units being so called "affordable" housing units.	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:25 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001lvbuP	I'm not against housing on that particular site per se, however my concern is that the height of the buildings will severely change the local environment, encouraging more tall buildings and causing a major wind-tunnel the way building tall buildings on Euston Rd, and even North Finchley has done. Wind tunnels are unpleasant and even dangerous. There are also not enough affordable flats for families and single people, which is the whole point of allowing massive development in the area. Also, the local services such as schools, doctor surgeries simply don't have capacity to take on the significant increase in people requiring these services, so unless the development can also accommodate such thing, the neighbourhood would need that investment before all the new people arrive. I'm glad to see that the development is not going to be a car-free zone however, the traffic on the road running from East Finchley to North Finchley is already extremely heavy, so how will the new development accommodate the increase in traffic? Also will the bus service also increase to allow people in this development to easily get to the tube stations, which are a good 10-30 minute walk away on either side. And lastly, but certainly not least, how do the developers propose to protect the fragile population of Greater Crested Newts which have made the Glebelands their home? Currently the Council does not do nearly enough to help protect their environment, allowing vast amounts of rubbish and litter to accumulate all throughout the wetlands and woodland areas, whilst their contractors seem to think it perfectly acceptable to simply mow the rubbish, which is now shredded and then buried into the ground. There seems to be very little incentive to keep this area free of litter, and an increase in local population on the very border of the newts' territory is bound to have adverse effects on the fragile populations. If these factors can be addressed to the satisfaction of the local community I don't see that the objections would be so vociferous. But as yet they have not been significantly addressed and resolved.	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001lvbXr	This is a great leisure space with a green space. There are very few leisure spaces for North Finchley for residents, and green spaces so this proposal would take this away from locals.	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001lvbXs	Just opposite this proposed development are unoccupied supposedly affordable apartments for sale and they have remained empty since they were finished a couple of years ago. The same goes for many of the flats and houses built recently in Millbrook park and surrounding developments. This also backs into a nature reserve, which will obviously disturb the wildlife including endangered newts that reside there. Don't even get me started on the traffic situation on the high road there. It's already full of cars at standstill during rush hour all honking and blocking the road, let alone adding thousands more people all trying to get in/out to work or school.	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001lvc2T	This project has many faults, it completely over populates the area with what seems like no consideration for the quality of life of potential new residents or access to essential amenities. Very poorly designed with profit seeming to be the only goal. There will be significant impact on traffic and road congestion in the area and a loss of resources utilised by the local community. The proposers have significant money to continue arguing this case pushing to get their way and it is vital that the local community response and barnet councils flat out rejection will be held in mind. Those who live in and know this area are not supportive of this project by a vast majority.	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001lvc45	This is a massive, gross overdevelopment, loss of social and community facilities, ugly and environmentally deleterious. Some housing could be accommodated on the site, but it needs to include at least half social (not 'affordable') housing, be environmentally positive and be an architectural delight. It should also come with additional community and transport links. The proposal brings nothing to the community, just profits to the developer.	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001lvDmu	I live locally and this is removing amenities for the children. It will also significantly damage local wildlife life and cause a huge amount of congestion and traffic- it is not suitable for this area	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001lviSr	In the absence of this site as it now stands, considerable leisure activities will be lost. Our young people struggle enough to find places to go and activities - this site is vital. Numerous high rises will also be an eyesore and add considerable pressure to already depleted/overstretched local services - GPs, schools for example.	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001lvMTL	The proposed development is a monstrosity that will severely impact the area causing congestion, negatively affecting public services in the area and should not be allowed in its present form	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001lvMwJ	This is a truly awful proposal. There are no local facilities to support this. I adequate transport no doctors. Losing a valued community asset in the Vue Cinema Please turn this down.	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001lvVHI	Far too High Density Buildings, resulting in loss of local public amenities such as Swimming Pool, Bowling Alley, Restaurants, Cinema etc, putting strain on local transport, and adding to congestion. LEAVE IT ALONE!!	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001lvWwS	I feel this is overdevelopment with tightly packed tall buildings impacting the local area and nature reserve. Affecting local transport, community services, GPs, schools etc. removing what is a local resource for the entire community and further afield. It does not meet the needs of an already large community and will substantially impact quality of life of many living within miles and visiting regularly from further afield. The homes will not be affordable for many.	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001lvXFq	Please Please do not build here. My children and family use this to socialise and spend time together using the amenities such as bowling cinema and swimming, there is nowhere else in Barnet that offers this, do not destroy a central Place for people to meet and have fun.	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:34 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001lvXJD	Strongly object - the loss of the leisure park would be devastating to the local community and residents of all ages who regularly use the scheme and facilities. Too many amenities have already been lost and anti-social behaviour and crime will increase further if the scheme closes. The proposed development is a massive overdevelopment that will put further pressure on already overwhelmed services - doctors, schools, buses and tube can not take the volume of additional residents that this development will bring along with others developments that have been approved in the area. The design is grotesque and hyper development in an already densely populated urban area.	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001lvZJ9	The area is already overcrowded we don't need more	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001lvZnm	This development would devastate the local area. The amenities it replaces - bowling alley, restaurants, cinema, food bank etc - are hugely well used by the local community, particularly young people. There is simply nowhere comparable for them to come together in a safe way - something afforded to communities across east, west and south London. Seemingly not here, if this development proceeds. I urge you, before any decision is made, to simply visit this area and witness the mix of people using it each day, particularly at the weekend. Furthermore the sheer scale and density of the development is completely inappropriate, with very few local transport links (one bus route towards a tube at East Finchley). This area is already closely bordered by the widest and busiest section of the North Circular in north London - regularly clogging all local roads at rush hour. The idea of putting significant additional pressure on already overwhelmed roads is a disaster waiting to happen. I am not a "nimby". We appreciate the need for more housing. There has already been a significant amount of house building in this area and North Finchley High Road is similarly earmarked for a major redevelopment using high-rise blocks. However I cannot possibly agree with the need to bulldoze a well-loved community asset surrounded by much-needed green space.	Object	1/12/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J0qFi	It's appalling that local residents will lose these amenities. There isn't enough leisure places as it is with the widening community.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J0qFj	Dystopia	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J0qFq	This is a poor idea - the area cannot support such a large abundance of more housing. There are not enough local schools, hospitals already. The Finchley Vue complex offers public swimming, gym and amenities to locals - that will be lost, and even more crazy is the idea of "relocating" to North Finchley that is at a traffic standstill already	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J0r1K	This is the only place that families, young adults, teenagers and kids can go to play and hang out. If the only place that they have will be closed it will damage the community. The damage will be to the people and society as the kids and young adults can find their way into dangerous or antisocial behaviour. We understand that in the future there going to be solutions but we have to think also about the present as for the younger even a year is too much.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J0tZn	This is a fantastic place that has been running for years. It has a swimming pool, gym, restaurants, bowling, arcade etc. if you visit evenings or weekends you will see how packed this place is and how many locals use it. Instead you will put unaffordable housing?!	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J10hM	Just too much development at the cost of well used local infrastructure All for benefit of developers	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1AXu	I have been coming here throughout my childhood and into my adulthood. It is very well used by everyone and getting rid of it will worsen the area.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1dOU	I am opposing this development.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:22 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1eHI	This is one of the few places where our kids can meet up safe and secure. Losing this would be a loss.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1f2N	REJECT REJECT REJECT this absolutely MUST NOT HAPPEN. This retail park is heavily used for all the Right reasons. Its family based, youth based, group based, where else would hundreds and thousands of families be able to frequent places like this otherwise. Its community and its vital to our living to have spaces of areas we can visit NOT NO ABSOLUTELY NOT welcoming yet another block of high-rise or any rise flats in this already very over populated area and London in general ABSOLUTELY NOT	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1f2O	This is the only escape locally from over building, over population. Many people from the whole of North London come to the pool or to the cinema and bowling	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1fbf	There is hardly anywhere left for young people and disabled to go and mingle safely. The offered alternative is unworkable. Thes scheme's affordable element has been so watered down as to be an insult and there is no thought for those of us who live locally.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:08 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J1lbi	<p>I strongly object to this planning application.</p> <p>The proposed development represents overdevelopment of the site and is entirely out of keeping with the character, scale, and capacity of the surrounding area. The size and density of the scheme are excessive and would result in an unacceptable increase in residential units that the local infrastructure is simply not capable of supporting.</p> <p>Overdevelopment and Scale The proposal is far too large for the site and would significantly alter the character of the area. The density is excessive and would lead to overcrowding, loss of openness, and a reduction in overall living standards for both existing and future residents.</p> <p>Traffic and Highway Safety The development would lead to a substantial increase in traffic in an area that already suffers from congestion. Local roads are not designed to accommodate the additional vehicle movements this development would generate. Increased traffic would worsen congestion, raise the risk of accidents, and negatively impact pedestrians, cyclists, and emergency vehicle access. The application fails to demonstrate that the local highway network can safely absorb this increase.</p> <p>Parking Pressure Additional residential units will place severe pressure on already limited parking. This will result in overspill parking on surrounding streets, obstruction of access, and increased conflict between residents, visitors, and service vehicles.</p> <p>Crime and Community Safety High-density residential developments are known to increase issues related to anti-social behaviour and crime when not properly planned and supported. The proposal does not adequately address how crime prevention, policing demand, or community safety will be managed. Existing residents should not be expected to bear the consequences of increased crime risk.</p> <p>Strain on Local Services and Infrastructure Local services including GP surgeries, schools, public transport, and waste collection are already under pressure. This development would place further strain on infrastructure without sufficient evidence that appropriate improvements will be delivered.</p> <p>Conclusion For the reasons outlined above — excessive scale, overdevelopment, traffic and parking impacts, increased crime risk, and pressure on local infrastructure — this proposal is unacceptable. It fails to meet the principles of sustainable development and should be refused.</p>	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1JkV	This development will not benefit the locals who live in this area. The roads in this area are already overly congested and this development will make it worse. The leisure activities in the area are used by many residents and we do not want to lose these facilities and these restaurants. I do not see how politicians can override the objections of the local residents in relation to this proposed development	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1K4P	It's a massive over development and will increase parking issues, traffic and the area will be over dense	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1IPF	The development does the exact opposite of addressing community needs. Demolishing amenities with no social rent housing to build apartments that nobody can afford does not address the housing crisis nor public transport.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1ISd	<p>This proposed development would lie on the north side of the valley in which the North Circular runs. On the south side are two public housing schemes of which Strawberry Vale would be this proposed commercial development's mirror image. This defines the problem because GNLP would become an isolated ghetto, just as Strawberry Vale is. It would be a socially toxic incubator detached from both North Finchley and East Finchley town centres.</p> <p>Please don't approve this unless you are in favour of.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Building a bit of isolated residential plop-art which has inadequate public transport and little parking. 2. Building an over-dense scheme with inadequate natural lighting for residents. 3. Building a scheme which threatens the habitat of the great crested newt. 4. Building a scheme with too few affordable dwellings. 5. Building a scheme which destroys the major leisure facilities of cinema and bowling alley with associated restaurants. 6. Building a scheme which removes a major centre for youth and family leisure and social activities. <p>The present plan tries to get a quart into a pint pot imho. Other objectors from Finchley (a surprisingly large number) will have detailed each of my objections so I'll only give the bare bones.</p> <p>Mayor Khan, you've done good things for London with regards air pollution and transport, but if you allow this development in its present form, it will be your toxic legacy.</p> <p>██████████</p> <p>██████████</p>	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1nZs	I am against to demolish.. my child socialises with his friends, good open green space	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1od3	This is such a massive over development of the site with far too many residential units The knock on effect for traffic and public transport will undoubtedly be horrendous.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:05 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J1qKc	I object to this planning application as it would result in the loss of a well-used leisure complex that provides valuable local options for family days and nights out, as well as a safe, supervised environment for teenagers to socialise. The proposal would also lead to the loss of hundreds of local jobs supported by the restaurants, cinema, and bowling facilities. Replacing these inclusive community and employment-generating amenities with high-rise flats would significantly harm community wellbeing, local economic vitality, and the social value of the area.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:11 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1qVX	Not in keeping with local area. Loss of local amenities- the cinema, bowling, pool and restaurants well used especially by families. My kids grew up going there often especially for affordable parties at pizza hut, macdonalds, bowling, lido. There are no other leisure sites like this nearby. 25 storey flats- the infrastructure around this part of Finchley cant support the extra people that would bring to the area. Already waiting for hospital apt locally/ GP/ dentist is ridiculous. Area is just getting busier and busier with v poor transport links - the tube is at least 15 min walk from this area so how are the people going to commute or travel ?	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1rao	I am writing to express my support for this application. Increasing the supply of housing is the only viable way to address the pressing London housing crisis. Furthermore a modern, walkable, mixed use development is going to be a significant upgrade to the townscape over the existing car focused shopping centre.	Support	1/13/2026 6:05:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1rap	We have very few amenities in Finchley. This is a well used site. Do not underestimate the need for leisure facilities	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1Ssf	We don't need any more high rise flats. We need entertainment in North Finchley. Young people need local amenities and amusements. The building looks ugly.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1sXs	Overdevelopment which will create overcrowding without the necessary infrastructure to support the new population.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1tQA	Dear Sir or Madam, I am writing to formally object to the proposed development of flats over our local leisure centre. This leisure centre is the only safe, accessible space in our area specifically suitable for young teenagers. It provides affordable, supervised activities such as bowling, an arcade, a cinema, and low-cost restaurants. These are not luxuries — they are vital social spaces for young people who are too young to access adult venues such as pubs or bars. For teenagers aged 12–15 in particular, options for meeting friends are extremely limited. Many are unable to meet at each other's homes due to space, family circumstances, or parental work commitments. Without this leisure centre, young people are left with the alternatives of loitering on the streets or becoming increasingly isolated at home. Both outcomes raise significant concerns around safety, wellbeing, and mental health. The centre is also easy to reach by public transport, allowing young people to travel independently and safely. This accessibility is rare and should be protected. Removing or significantly reducing this facility would disproportionately impact teenagers and families and would leave a clear gap in community provision that is not addressed by the proposed development. While I understand the need for housing, this should not come at the cost of removing the only dedicated, safe social space for young people in our area. Once this is gone, it cannot easily be replaced. I strongly urge the council to reconsider this proposal and to recognise the long-term social value of preserving this leisure centre for current and future generations of young people. Yours sincerely, [REDACTED]	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1u6E	Lido Leisure Centre, bowling and cinema have been essential to families' mental and physical health and wellbeing.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1u6Z	As mentioned on the considerations above ... The density of the building will definitely impact on facilities in the area: Parking, loss of amenities due to excessive demands. Underground parking could possibly help. Doctor's surgery in the building ... Additionally, although i understand the need of affordable housing, the height and size of the building, population concentration, all is overpowering and could ultimately have a negative effect on people living there. Regards [REDACTED]	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:32 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J1Uiu	This is an oversized development for this area. All tube stations are a minimum of 25 minutes walk away. There are no shops in the immediate area. The nearest supermarket is Tesco along the A406. The proposed road into the leisure complex is too narrow and off an already busy and narrow road. On Sunday there is a junior rugby at Finchley Rugby Club.... if parking is restricted on Summers Lane because of the development where are the parents expected to park..... this is a well used and supported community sports field.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1uqd	This is an unnecessary and unwanted development. The leisure park is used by many people locally	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1v3K	Loss of amenities. The Lido/Vue/Hollywood Bowl places are already well used, especially by young people.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1vBf	The scale of the development is not proportionate to the site, with far too many high-rise buildings too close to each other. The burden on local transport services will be much too great. Also impact on schools and GP services. Lido provision and parking inadequate in view of proposed number of residents. A complete rethink needed, not minor changes and slight scaling down.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1vrZ	This is just not needed. We have too many unsuitable high density developments in the local area We need to keep the bowling alley and cinema plus leisure site for our community. The proposed replace is woefully small and people do drive so do need to park	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1vvh	I do not support this development	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1w5z	This is the wrong development for this area.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1w61	The development will mean the loss of a space filled with activities and restaurants that many people use and there will be a negative impact on the biodiversity of the nature reserve nearby so it is important that this development doesn't happen.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1wlv	the leisure park is a place for families and kids to go for entertainment and there arent any alternatives in the area so its more needed than more flats.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1xm4	Absolutely NOT!!	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1xuZ	I wish to formally object to this application. I note that the Council has already rejected this proposal, and I fully support that decision. The scale, height and density of the proposed development are wholly inappropriate for the area. The demolition of existing buildings and their replacement with up to 1,485 residential dwellings across 20 buildings, some rising to 25 storeys, would represent severe overdevelopment. The proposal would cause significant harm to the character of the neighbourhood, resulting in excessive building mass, loss of openness, and an incongruous skyline. As a local resident, I am particularly concerned about the impact on existing infrastructure and services. The development would place unsustainable pressure on transport, healthcare, schools, and local amenities, which are already under strain. The proposed parking and access arrangements do not adequately address the increased traffic, congestion, and safety risks for pedestrians and cyclists. Furthermore, the height and proximity of the buildings would lead to unacceptable loss of daylight, sunlight, and privacy for neighbouring homes, as well as increased noise and disturbance during both construction and occupation. While new housing and facilities can be beneficial when appropriately designed and located, this proposal fails to respond sensitively to its surroundings or to the needs of the existing community. For these reasons, I strongly object to the application and urge the Council to maintain its decision to refuse permission.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1y1l	Very disappointing to lose the leisure facilities that are frequented by myself and my children. Huge blocks of flats without the leisure facilities to accommodate so many more people. More loss of parking making it harder to visit North Finchley when my children attend school there and it's the closest high street	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1yFF	I have lived in the area for [REDACTED]. We need as much green space, entertainment venues and sporting venues as possible, not only for us but for the healthy development of our children. We cannot continue to sacrifice this for more and more development of flats. Also, road traffic in the surrounding area is awful. Stop this over development.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1yFF	See above	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:11 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1yFG	Revolting in every way possibly imaginable - stop ruining local areas with these awful monstrosities huge population increases etc, there is no need it can be done so much better, sustainably, aesthetically - in every way! . We have to live here afterwards, don't dismiss these factors and the way people feel about where they live and the future of where they live it's extremely important. I now already face a huge hideous edifice at the end of my street which everyone I speak to hates. I want to retain what remaining charm is in our area - nice areas and charm should not be a luxury, nice housing should not be an exception!	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:22 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J1ySm	I object to this development, Increased traffic Loss of cinema and bowling lanes	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:36 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J20cb	This is not a good use of the site. People- especially young people - will be losing an area where they have activities to keep them busy and socialise. There is nothing remotely comparable anywhere close. The traffic in the area is horrendous before you think of redeveloping the area and adding in a load more homes. This is just poorly thought through.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J20iz	Ridiculous overdevelopment of a small suburb of London. 25 storeys is ridiculously high. The scheme is not well thought out and a terrible design which will not enhance the area at all!	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J20j0	The density of the proposed development and the impact on local amenities, as well as the construction impact, eventual visual impact, traffic issues and removal of large areas of open space and loss of local landmark (the Lido) all count against the site and I add my objection on those grounds.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J22Pf	While I am not totally against this development to provide much needed housing this particular scheme is too high and too dense with insufficient green space children's play space and parking.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J22RJ	Support with improved traffic arrangements	Support	1/13/2026 6:04:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J233t	Pls dont demolish our areas entertainment park	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J23Qi	This is a complex currently used by members of the community from all different areas and social backgrounds, it is an important resource for the community and shouldn't be destroyed for housing. In addition the schools and doctors in the area are already over subscribed. Where will this large influx of people find education and health care?	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J24dx	Strongly object. This is a place so many young families get pleasure from (including mine) and it would be a real shame to see this pulled down and turned into housing	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J24dy	This is an unwanted local development that has already been rejected by the local planning authority	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J24fq	1. The Buildings are only 18 Mtrs apart and with limited parking NO Fire brigades will NOT be able to enter THIS IS A MAJOUR FIRE RISK 2. There will be 1,485 homes = 6,000 ppl approx will bring a huge burden on : A) transport which 3 busses suggested will not cope B) schools where there is not enough spaces in current conditions, C) GP surgeries are over full and can't get current appointments which are delayed for 2 weeks D) 260 car parking spaces of which ONLY 45 allocated to Disabled ppl. EG if 1485 homes have 1 car each where will the other 1,200 odd cars go??? even at 50% comes to 750 odd where will 500 odd go and park?? E) walk ways, Green Land and protected species will be disturbed and destroyed. F) The environment impact will increase 1000 golds in noise, pollution and waist. There are many more issues with this application and the basics have not been thought out properly as developers have been concentrating on only PROFITING WITH THE DEVELOPMENT. I strongly propose this application and request the GLA to reject this application. [REDACTED]	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J24uF	Terrible idea	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J25rh	I object to planning application 25-0213-FUL on material planning grounds. The proposal would result in a significant loss of existing public leisure and community amenities at Great North Leisure Park, including cinema, bowling and family-oriented leisure uses, which currently serve a wide local catchment. While a new leisure centre is proposed, the overall quantum of leisure and community space would be substantially reduced, representing a net loss of publicly accessible amenities. This loss is not adequately justified and is not offset by equivalent or improved replacement provision. The removal of established leisure facilities would negatively impact community wellbeing, social infrastructure and local quality of life, particularly for families, young people and those without access to private transport. The development also introduces a large number of new homes without a commensurate increase in supporting community facilities, exacerbating pressure on already constrained local services. For these reasons, the proposal conflicts with principles of sustainable development and the protection of valued community infrastructure, and should be refused.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:28 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J25v0	The current plan does not provide adequate affordable housing. Also, Greenlands should be protected to allow biodiversity in the area. Young local people will lose the only area where they can hang out, celebrate birthdays parties and have fun. There is no adequate infrastructure to serve all those flats. This should not be a tick box exercise for "affordable housing built", but should be carefully planned with young and local people in mind. We need recreational spaces, better public transportation, sufficient parking lots, sufficient number of GP practices to serve local and new residents. I firmly object the current plan.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J25v2	I value the gym, particularly the sauna and swimming pools. I understand that the proposal does not have a sauna and that doesn't work for me. I'm also concerned about the size of the development, parking and the pressure put on local amenities including schools and GP surgeries.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J26Pm	As a local resident I had been using the facilities at great north leisure park over many years for both myself and my children. I feel it would be a great loss to the local community to lose these facilities. There are very few places for young people to enjoy safely locally and by development of this area they would have even less. Also I feel the proposed development of North Finchley does not sit well with the existing homes and shopping areas. The amount of traffic is also not good at the moment and will be even worse with so many extra cars and residents. I am also very concerned about the loss of open green spaces for nature and the environment. This has to be a top priority now for the future of our community.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J270q	This is an ill conceived development on a site that cannot support it. Entry and exit is already incredibly difficult and dangerous. There are not sufficient amenities to support a development of that could house nearly 1500 people. You would be removing what is a supported leisure facility which is important to the local area. The placing of cycle and bus lanes has already made the traffic problems along that stretch of road worse than appalling. Please don't not just think about housing people but also think about their quality of life	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J27tc	No! The residents spoke and it was rejected why are you overruling?? It's awful to take away community spaces	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J28BB	Far to many residential units. Also the cinema and bowling places and restaurants are always busy so where are the young people to go to hang out and have fun. All these homes need Dr's surgeries, schools etc and it's just plain greediness on the part of the developers. Shame, a real awful shame I object	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J28rE	Absolutely 100% against this! A terrible idea! The Park is an amazing place for locals to meet, eat and do activities. It is a hub for the young and brings people together! The roads are completely blocked most the time and having more housing will bring the area to an absolute standstill! This makes everything worse for everyone including the environment!!!	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2A03	Cinema is vital local amenity	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2B7J	It would be a huge loss to demolish and lose this local amenity which would impact many people and families in the area.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Bbu	I object to this new development. The swimming pool is a well used and regarded local amenity. I know there will be a replacement pool, but it wont have a fun pool - which is what makes it so great there. The fun pool was the only swimming my children would use for years, even as teenagers they still love going there. We also regularly use the cinema and bowling alley which will be relocated further from our house, in an area with poor parking facilities, and be smaller which is a shame as they are both usually full. The traffic is usually very slow outside the leisure park. This will only get worse with years of building work, then thousands of new people living in the area - it was significantly negatively affect our lives. Add to these concerns, the ugly design, the lack of new schools/transport and other amenities for all the new people living there. Please don't approve this development.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2BiL	I object on the basis that we will lose much loved and well used community facilities that I enjoy visiting with my family. The huge number of new dwellings planned will have a substantial impact on the local infrastructure, traffic and the environment.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2BiO	This is a poorly thought out plan with no thought to current residents and users of the area. Yiu are just stuffing too many people into small badly planned projects with no regard for people's mental health and physical needs Yet again another criminal waste of money	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2BiP	Hi This plan will result in the loss of significant resources to the community while massively increasing overcrowding through the enormous residential plans. It will have a huge, negative impact on an important, well-used leisure resource and is likely to increase traffic significantly from the number of residences planned.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2BKA	i take my kids there weekly for years. it is an essential social and sporting hub for the community. there's plenty of other spaces for housing to be built. it would be criminal to knock down these amenities and will only lead to more kids on the street and higher crime.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Bs5	Too dense development, harmful for traffic. Loss of cat park and local amenities	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2C88	Badly planned and thought through. No infrastructure to support this number of housing. Local leisure amenities such as the cinema and lido will be reduced in size and value. Impact on Great crested newt habitat. Please rethink.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2CGJ	Hugely against this. My family and I use the bowling, cinema, better gym and restaurants there frequently. It will be a huge loss if this goes ahead and will impact us greatly.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:41 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J2CHR	This submission was comprehensively rejected by LB Barnet for very sound reasons. I urge the London Mayor to endorse this decision.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2CJG	We do not want this , it's a very bad design and we need the leisure centre for the mental health and socialisation of locals , especially for the youth	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2CMr	<p>You said: Write an objection against development plans to demolish a well used leisure center and how it will negatively impact families and residents. It is not viable to bring a bowling alley and cinema into the town center. It will create a lot of asbo behaviour and we already don't have enough police visibility. Too much traffic mayor does not seem educated enough to know how this will negatively impact residents. This is not about affordable housing. ChatGPT said: Below is a clear, formal objection you can submit to the council or planning authority. I've kept it strong and firm while professional and credible, so it's more likely to be taken seriously and not dismissed for tone.</p> <p>Formal Objection to Proposed Demolition of the Leisure Centre</p> <p>I wish to formally object to the proposed development plans to demolish the existing leisure centre.</p> <p>The leisure centre is a well-used, vital community facility that supports families, young people, older residents, and those on lower incomes. Its removal would result in the loss of affordable, accessible recreational activities that promote physical health, mental wellbeing, and social cohesion. For many families, this centre is one of the few safe and constructive spaces available locally.</p> <p>The proposal to replace this facility with a bowling alley and cinema located in the town centre is not viable and does not meet the needs of the existing community. Town-centre leisure venues of this nature are more likely to attract anti-social behaviour, particularly in the evenings. This concern is heightened by the fact that police visibility in the area is already insufficient. Introducing additional late-night attractions without a clear, funded plan for increased policing or security places residents at further risk and undermines public safety.</p>	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2CMs	So sad to lose such family friendly amenities which are convenient to get to and suitable for a range of ages, from babies to teens and adults.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Cw7	This is an unwanted local development that has already been rejected by the local planning authority	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:21 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2CZa	<p>Dear Members of the Planning Committee,</p> <p>I am writing to express my strong objection to the proposal to build flats over our local leisure centre.</p> <p>██████████ I cannot overstate how important this space is. It is the only place locally where young teenagers can meet friends safely, independently, and appropriately for their age. They are not old enough for adult venues, yet they still need places to socialise, build friendships, and feel part of their community.</p> <p>This leisure centre offers exactly that — a safe environment with activities like bowling, cinema, and arcades, alongside affordable food options that are accessible to families. It is well connected by bus routes, meaning young people can get there safely without relying on parents for transport.</p> <p>Without this space, teenagers are left with very few alternatives: staying isolated at home, going to friends' houses where possible, or spending time on the streets. The latter is particularly worrying, as young people are increasingly vulnerable to targeting and unsafe situations when they have nowhere appropriate to go.</p> <p>There is a great deal of discussion nationally about youth mental health, antisocial behaviour, and keeping young people safe. Removing the only suitable leisure space for teenagers in our area directly undermines those aims.</p> <p>Housing is important, but so is community balance. A neighbourhood that provides homes but removes safe spaces for its young people is not a healthy or sustainable one. I urge the council to listen to families and young people and to protect this vital community resource.</p> <p>Yours faithfully, ██████████</p>	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2CZb	It is a great area for the children and the whole family we will be devastated to have it turned into an ugly apartment block! Furthermore it won't be worth it safe your time and money and keep it as it is!	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:30 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J2CzM	<p>I wish to object to the proposed development for the following reasons:</p> <p>Poor design and excessive density Finchley is a suburban area of London. High-rise buildings of up to 25 storeys are more appropriate for city centres, not residential suburbs. The proposed layout is excessively dense, with blocks positioned so closely together that many flats will suffer from inadequate daylight. Regal proposes to accommodate approximately 4,300 people on a site equivalent in size to just five football pitches, without sufficient supporting amenities.</p> <p>Air quality and pollution concerns The site is located adjacent to the North Circular Road and the Great North Road, both of which are known pollution hotspots. Approving a large residential development in such a location would expose future residents to harmful levels of air pollution, raising serious public health concerns.</p> <p>Pressure on NHS services There is no secured funding to expand already overstretched NHS services. The local GP surgery directly opposite the site is already struggling to meet existing demand and will be unable to cope with an additional 4,300 residents.</p> <p>Lack of affordable and family housing The proposal includes only 22% affordable housing and no social housing at all. This falls well short of local need and does not provide sufficient family-sized homes.</p> <p>Inadequate parking and transport provision Providing parking for only one in five flats is unrealistic in this location. Residents will inevitably spill over into already congested surrounding streets. Many people, particularly families, rely on cars due to the lack of nearby primary schools within walking distance. Public transport is poorly served: bus links are limited and East Finchley tube station is a 30-minute walk along a busy main road, effectively forcing residents to rely on private vehicles.</p> <p>Environmental impact and wildlife destruction While national policy promotes housebuilding, many local residents strongly oppose the destruction of valuable wildlife habitats. A population of rare great crested newts occupies adjacent land and is at serious risk if construction proceeds. Given significant public investment in wildlife reintroduction projects elsewhere, allowing this species to be wiped out would be deeply ironic and environmentally irresponsible.</p> <p>Impact on local schools and leisure facilities Local primary and secondary schools rely on the existing lido facilities, which are at risk of not being rebuilt to a suitable standard. This would negatively affect school sports provision and community use.</p> <p>Loss of valued leisure and entertainment facilities The proposed demolition of the Hollywood Bowl and Vue cinema would remove a well-used leisure complex with no comparable</p>	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2D0z	<p>This area is an amazing community hub. People go to the leisure centre and then often use the restaurants. Families and friends come together to use the bowling facilities. Other groups (some vulnerable) use the cinema. Young people have a place to go. The parking space makes it hugely accessible for everyone. You need to keep community venues, instead of breaking them. The proposed development will have a huge impact on our community and environment, creating a greater density of people adding more strain on our local resources such as health services and education. Parking will also become an issue. Living in London should not mean overcrowding and high rise housing in any space available. Please listen to the local residents, such as me and leave this space alone We love it.</p>	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2D2d	<p>This is a place where families frequent and if this is lost this will make recreational time with families much harder. The affordable swimming will also be lost which is a life saving skill.</p>	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2D7O	<p>I strongly object to this planning application due to the loss of a vital local social amenity park. This space is extremely important to families and children in the area, providing a safe place to play, socialise, and build a sense of community. The park supports children's physical and mental wellbeing and offers a welcoming environment for families of all ages to come together.</p> <p>Removing this amenity would significantly reduce the quality of life for local residents and weaken the community feel of the area. Access to green, open spaces and local amenities is essential, particularly for families who may not have alternative facilities nearby. Once lost, such community spaces are very difficult to replace.</p> <p>For these reasons, I believe this development would have a negative and lasting impact on the local community and should not be approved.</p>	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Daa	<p>Not in support of this - taking away these amenities will make the area less desirable</p>	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2DDu	<p>comment in attached pdf file</p>	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:22 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Dia	This is a poor idea - the area cannot support such a large abundance of more housing. There are not enough local schools, hospitals already. The Finchley Vue complex offers public swimming, gym and amenities to locals - that will be lost, and even more crazy is the idea of "relocating" to North Finchley that is at a traffic standstill already	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Doz	Lack of amenities to cater for large increase of population in such dense space.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2DsC	Loss off leisure activities	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2DtN	Local entertainment and restaurants essential for wellbeing of local residents. More flats, more traffic	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Dx5	It would be such a shame to lose the leisure amenities we have. Lucrative as the new flats would be for the developer, it would be a loss to the area. Please don't allow it to happen.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Dx6	Outright rejection on what this would mean for the locals who view this as a great amenity in the area.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Dx7	This will ruin our quality of life for all the residence in the area for years. If will. It will be impossible to keep living while the construction will be going ahead and there after with all the extra residents impacting the area. There will be a huge amount of noise, no parking , impossible to leave the house with all the construction going on and where will our children be able to go for entertainment and where will the families be able to to out for dinner. This will totally change the area for the worst.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2DYs	Objection as above reasons	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2DYt	Overcrowding: This will be one of the densest complexes in the country, with 4,300 people squeezed into an area the size of five football pitches. There are too few public spaces, amenities, and children's play areas. Unaffordable housing: The London Plan says that 50% of new homes should be "affordable", with a minimum of 35%, yet Regal proposes a meagre 22% and no social housing. Loss of entertainment venues: The Hollywood Bowl and the Vue cinema, the only such venues in this part of London, would be demolished with no comparable replacements. Young people and families will have no safe space to socialise, exacerbating rising mental health problems and antisocial behaviour. Poor transport services: TfL rates GNLP as having poor public transport access. There's only one major bus route and the Tube is 30 minutes walk away. Discrimination against car users: Only 18% of the flats have parking spaces, which is a third of what is required by Barnet's Local Plan. GNLP is remote and there are no major shops nearby. Many people need to use a car because they can't walk or cycle long distances. Damage to nature: Many trees will be removed. The plans threaten local wildlife, including the protected great crested newts at Glebelands Nature Reserve. Regal's application risks causing serious damage to a rare habitat. Bad design: The cluster of blocks up to 25 storeys' tall represents shoddy architecture. Barnet Council's own Urban Design Team has described the scheme as "unfit for purpose and in conflict with planning policy." Ignoring local people and visitors: Barnet Council failed to consult the community before allowing Regal to remove the entertainment venues. Also, just to add - in terms of ignoring people now and then - Regal's plan for GNLP totally ignores: 1. The additional provisions needed to support 4,300 new residents i.e. NHS, Dental & Educational services 2. The knock-on effect is that all these existing institutions will come under extreme pressure, and that, in turn, will affect everyone 3. The said cluster of tower blocks, so close to the 24/7 air, light & noise polluting North Circular, will only develop and/or exacerbate people with respiratory conditions The vehicle pollution will be bad as the new-build development will be so close to a known pollution hot spot and A406. In addition - Density is appropriate to central London, not suburbs - transport extremely poor and no discussion in plans on improving this and local traffic flows and parking impacts - will not meet local family housing needs - at the absolute bottom for affordability threshold, which isn't really affordable as local market rates average is exorbitant - parking plan terrible, especially if disabled parking needs rise to expected ratio - damage to Glebelands nature reserve risks breaching environmental protection laws - design of homes terrible	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Ehr	Main concern is buildings height which is totally out of keeping with the local area! Access to the site is extremely limited already with no way of increasing it safely. Traffic is already a problem on the two access roads. Over population of already crowded streets with the extra traffic this will generate. Living next door to this potential building site for the next few years will be detrimental to all concerned in terms of noise and pollution generated though the construction period. A sensible plan without the over development of the site is needed and I firmly reject the application for this proposal!	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2EHZ	This has been extremely badly thought out - particularly in terms of parking, Road layout, and traffic as well as local amenities. Far too many units with lack of affordable housing	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:23 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J2E16	The proposal for this development has already been rejected at council level and should not proceed further	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Emh	For decades, the leisure park has been a valued community hub where young people can meet, socialise, and feel safe. Losing it would mean taking away one of the few dedicated spaces where young people can come together in a positive environment.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Emi	This place has been the heart of North Finchley many memories of previous and new generations has been made There's really no point of the destruction other than to ruin an amazing memorable spot for teenagers for example the Finchley lido is one of the only gyms that allows teens a safe and lace to workout and not everyone can go all the way to Edgware for a work and the vue is the only cinema in that area we can't take multiple buses just because the council wants more flats	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2EOR	I wish to formally object to the proposed redevelopment of Great North Leisure Park. The leisure park is an important local asset that provides accessible recreational, social and employment opportunities for the surrounding community. Its loss would significantly reduce the availability of affordable leisure facilities in the area, particularly for families and young people, and would undermine the social infrastructure that supports community wellbeing. The scale and intensity of the proposed redevelopment appear excessive in relation to the surrounding context. The development risks being out of character with the existing area and may lead to overdevelopment of the site, resulting in adverse impacts on local amenity, including increased noise, congestion, pressure on public transport, and reduced air quality. There are also serious concerns regarding traffic generation and parking. The proposal is likely to exacerbate existing congestion on local roads, which are already under strain, and may negatively affect road safety for pedestrians and cyclists. Furthermore, the application does not appear to adequately demonstrate how the proposal aligns with London Plan policies on sustainable development, social infrastructure, and the protection of existing leisure uses. The loss of a functioning leisure park should only be considered where there is clear evidence of equivalent or improved replacement provision, which has not been convincingly shown. For these reasons, I believe the proposal fails to adequately balance development objectives with the needs of the local community and should be refused.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Euk	Overdevelopment causing more strain on local services and traffic problems. No thought to the local residents and community.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Eum	.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2EUs	Proposal will impact all local communities that use the leisure site. Proposals are ridiculous and don't address the impact on local infrastructure, traffic and noise.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2EwO	This is the only decent leisure area in the area for kids and adults to hang out at. I often drop my kids to cinema or restaurants. And it's ALWAYS PACKED with people. DO NOT DESTROY the only decent leisure facilities N Finchley has.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2EWX	I am a local resident and this area is a key social and community hub which supports not only young people with things to do but builds an essential sense of community. I highly object to this development which will not only cause further congestion to a already highly congested but will reduce activity for the local residents.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2EWY	Please do not pass this application. I object to this.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2F64	The loss of the amenities in the area for families and young people will be devastating	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2F7f	So many residents have objected due to valid reasons. It's time to respect the environmental issues and the true needs of local people and not to put profit of developer above local citizens basic needs and requirements. It's time to build infrastructure and housing by decent companies for decent people and communities. Thank you got doing the right thing.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2F9D	This scheme will remove the existing leisure facilities in the area, even if some are moved to other areas it won't all be together so not possible for people to go swimming and then to the cinema or out to eat for example. In addition the proposal is building on current green land which houses various animals etc, removing valuable bio diversity, trees etc and having an environmental impact. The proposal itself will over develop the site, making out of keeping with the surrounding areas. It will also over populate the area without providing services needed. Such as schools, Dr's etc this putting even more pressure on existing ones.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Fb6	It would be a dreadful shame to lose these leisure facilities. Cinema, places to eat, meet friends and swim. We need venues for the community to use.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2FCa	We should keep the cinema and restaurants for the community.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2FMA	The buildings are too high for this area. The Glebelands will be affected by the development especially the protected newts. The infrastructure is in jeopardy. The cinema, bowling alley etc are imperative for the area and youth. Over development of this area.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2FpA	The scale of the plans are unacceptable. The local infrastructure cannot support the development. Loss of facilities that have been in the area for decades. Major local opposition to this.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Fqo	Traffic and environmental concerns	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:38 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J2FsB	<p>Overcrowding: This will be one of the densest complexes in the country, with 4,300 people squeezed into an area the size of five football pitches. There are too few public spaces, amenities, and children's play areas.</p> <p>Unaffordable housing: The London Plan says that 50% of new homes should be "affordable", with a minimum of 35%, yet Regal proposes a meagre 22% and no social housing.</p> <p>Loss of entertainment venues: The Hollywood Bowl and the Vue cinema, the only such venues in this part of London, would be demolished with no comparable replacements. Young people and families will have no safe space to socialise, exacerbating rising mental health problems and antisocial behaviour.</p> <p>Poor transport services: TfL rates GNLP as having poor public transport access. There's only one major bus route and the Tube is 30 minutes walk away.</p> <p>Discrimination against car users: Only 18% of the flats have parking spaces, which is a third of what is required by Barnet's Local Plan. GNLP is remote and there are no major shops nearby. Many people need to use a car because they can't walk or cycle long distances.</p> <p>Damage to nature: Many trees will be removed. The plans threaten local wildlife, including the protected great crested newts at Glebelands Nature Reserve. Regal's application risks causing serious damage to a rare habitat.</p>	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2FsO	Object to development	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2FXI	This is the only place left to the local residents for sport leisure and amusement, and the plan will lead to overcrowding	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2FXP	<p>This project is such a horrible idea. I've lived in the area for over 25 years and think that removal of the leisure park is so silly. This project will remove a sense of community within the area. If there is nothing to do within the area where will the kids go to hang out? If we want kids to stop harming each other in the streets we have to leave them other options to go to burn off some steam.</p> <p>This plan will destroy the area and plans like these are destroying communities in London and the UK.</p> <p>There are better ways to make money than to destroy people's livelihoods and communities.</p>	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Fyq	object	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2FZ5	I object for this change	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:21 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2G0V	This will be the removal of a valued local amenity in both the cinema and the lido as well as dining establishments. I strongly object	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Gbc	We do not need more housing in an area which is already developed and has few amenities. The North Finchley Leisure Park is a significant amenity, providing a swimming pool, cinema and food bank hub to name but a few. It is easy to access and easy to park at. Please reject this ill thought application	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2GdA	A proposal so thoroughly unwelcome to local residents that it is redolent of corruption.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2GgM	Strongly against development here	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2GOc	See comments above I object	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2GOd	It's out of place in the area and us not supported by local infrastructure	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2GoV	<p>I highly object to this plan. It will be a great loss for the community and create even more traffic in an already overly developed area. There are multiple residential building plans already approved and in the making, plans that will cause when more chaotic traffic and pollution.</p> <p>Stop this madness!!</p>	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2GoW	I use the swimming pool, bowling alley and cinema regularly. I would be devastated to lose these facilities	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2GtH	We love to go there with my daughter! Some times swimming pool, sometimes Vue cinema, other times Bowling... Why do you want to take this away from the families in this area?	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2GtL	<p>There is not enough infrastructure to support such project in theis area such additional transport links, GPs, School places.</p> <p>The proposal is too much and designed for pure profit rather than adding value to the local area.</p>	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:00 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J2GIN	<p>This is a wildly overdeveloped scheme that would dominate and damage the local area.</p> <p>The site has poor transport links (low PTAL) and can't sustain such high density.</p> <p>It offers no new leisure centre, only reduced facilities compared to what's there now.</p> <p>The application fails to protect biodiversity, with significant risks to the Glebelands Nature Reserve, including protected species like great crested newts.</p> <p>Barnet Council's reasons for refusal are sound and based on planning law.</p> <p>The Mayor should respect local democracy.</p>	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2GTT	I object to this development. It will cause the overdevelopment of the area and put undue strain on local resources, schools, medical provision and increase local traffic issues.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:21 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Guw	<p>The proposed application will cause an already dangerous strain on hospitals, doctor's surgeries, schools, dentists etc.</p> <p>The area is already overpopulated.</p> <p>We will lose our only leisure facilities which we use regularly.</p> <p>Overall this proposal has caused a lot of concern and people that have lived here for decades want to leave the area.</p>	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2GWN	<p>STRONGLY OBJECT FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Too dense : This will be one of the densest complexes in the country, with 4,300 people squeezed into an area the size of five football pitches. There are too few public spaces, amenities, and children's play areas. o Unaffordable housing: The London Plan says that 50% of new homes should be "affordable", with a minimum of 35%, yet Regal proposes a meagre 22% and no social housing. o Loss of entertainment venues: The Hollywood Bowl and the Vue cinema, the only such venues in this part of London, would be demolished with no comparable replacements. Young people and families will have no safe space to socialise, exacerbating rising mental health problems and antisocial behaviour. o Poor transport services: TfL rates GNLP as having poor public transport access. There's only one major bus route and the Tube is 30 minutes walk away. o Discrimination against car users: Only 18% of the flats have parking spaces, which is a third of what is required by Barnet's Local Plan. GNLP is remote and there are no major shops nearby. Many people need to use a car because they can't walk or cycle long distances. o Damage to nature: Many trees will be removed. The plans threaten local wildlife, including the protected great crested newts at Glebelands Nature Reserve. Regal's application risks causing serious damage to a rare habitat. o Bad design: The cluster of blocks up to 25 storeys' tall represents shoddy architecture. Barnet Council's own Urban Design Team has described the scheme as "unfit for purpose and in conflict with planning policy." o Ignoring local people and visitors: Barnet Council failed to consult the community before allowing Regal to remove the entertainment venues. <p>Yet another unprofessional application which clearly treats the planning process as an admin tick box, and is intent on the social and architectural vandalism of the urban haven that is (was) Finchley/Barnet. Developers need to substantially re-think.</p>	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2GwV	Having lived in this neighbourhood for over 50 years this latest plan would be a catastrophic tragedy if approved. This is not an inner city borough that lends itself to high rise office blocks. The development has no suitable family housing, will significantly reduce safe places for families adults and the youth to go. The reduction in disabled parking will impact me personally.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Gy7	Appalling over development already rejected by LOCALLY elected authority!	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Gy8	Taking away amazing residential facilities used by the community for bowling and cinema and eating. Its is always busy and would leave such a hole in our community for yet more housing. Where will children, families, adults go for the cinema, bowling etc now.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2GYK	There is no infrastructure to support this many people in the area. If you intend to build housing make it a sensible size in keeping with the area and not high rises the are designed to line the pockets of the developers and investors, and screw over everyone else who lives nearby and has to deal with the reality of what you are creating.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Gzi	we need to retain the retail park	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Gzj	Loss of one of the very few leisure amenities for young people. Development which is out of character with the area creating too much density, lack of parking, increased traffic in an already busy area and potential for increased crime.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Gzw	The cinema, restaurants, bowling alley and pool are all used regularly by my family and it would be a real shame to lose all those facilities.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2H2z	Our kids, and we as a family, often visit GNLP. This could be for a cinema trip, a restaurant visit (usually both), or for the kids to celebrate a birthday party. The proposed development will be hugely detrimental to anyone in the area who enjoys such leisure activities.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:11 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J2H30	Loss of cinema, bowling, reduction of kids pool size. Building too tall. Parking, schools and GPS already strained.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2HB5	You are taking away facilities that are used by adults and children and putting more flats that we have no space for as will increase congestion in an already busy junction.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Hfe	It is outrageous that the community has made its views so clear on this development and the council has listened yet the mayoral office is ready to ride roughshod over concerns. These amenities serve a vital role within the community. The location serves as a crucial nexus for young families and is a key contributor to Finchley's prized reputation for being family friendly. In particular, it is accessible for lower income families, with abundant free parking and reasonably priced activities. Replacing this with scattered locations is poorly planned and will in particular damage the accessibility for struggling families.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2HHY	My Road is gridlocked with new accommodation being built would make it so much worse. . We use the cinema swimming pool and the restaurant. Traffic is really bad on Finchley High Road again where would the extra traffic go. What about Doctors surgery. You can't get an appointment at the moment so would be horrendous if this happened.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2HJ6	Un necessary to take an away local amenities cinema, lido, bowling, restaurants from Barnet residents and build more unnecessary housing which no one can afford. Money should be spent on transport and road infrastructure and green spaces! Another poorly thought out money making scheme by the mayor which residents do not want or need	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2HJ7	This is absolutely outrageous. Who is Sadiq khan to interfere with our local amenity. It's an important and the only proper leisure place in our area. How much more of London and how many business does he want to ruin	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2HkV	This area is remote to local transport having a few buses running past the location serving underground stations which are in excess of 1 km away. There is a big negative for traffic and people congestion with a big reduction in local amenities	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2HkW	The loss of the leisure park will be devastating for the local community. [REDACTED] this is a safe place for him to meet friends and bowl. He won't go to North Finchley as it isn't such a safe area for him. For families too this a vital place for young children. I strongly object.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2HNw	we already have excellent leisure facilities at this location. We are already lacking in schools, doctors etc. in this area. There are flats being built all over Whetstone and Barnet that most people can't afford to buy. We do not need or want more flats and more strained amenities.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2HNx	Absolutely not 25 stories is very obviously going to spoil the area landscape It has also been found that there are not enough schools etc to cope with the e tea population this will bring. Roads are already too busy too to cope with extra demand Just listen to the people and stop behaving like another dictator	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2HuE	Awful development on one of the most used sites by the community in the area. This shouldnt go ahead in its current form!	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2HuF	object	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Hvm	Our teenagers would have nothing to do if it wasn't for this complex; the gym, pool, arcade, bowling, cinema and surrounding restaurants, as well as the football pitches around the back - it's a well used space and it would be a terrible thing to lose these amenities	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:50 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J2HVz	<p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>Given that the application Ref. 25/0213/FUL submitted c/o agent Avison Young is unchanged from that which was refused by the London Borough of Barnet Planning Committee on December 4th 2025, ref 25/0213/FUL, we are writing to you to reaffirm our objection to this application. Since the application is unchanged the reasons for our objection to it also remain unchanged, and are presented once again in this document. As such these are not new points of objection, but this document presents an opportunity to bring together the many points of objection.</p> <p>Before listing our objections we would also like to restate [REDACTED] is not opposed to a development on the site that is appropriate in its scale, but insist that any application must take into account its potential impact on the largest known population in London of the great crested newt (<i>Triturus cristatus</i>), arguably the UK's most highly protected species. Hence there must be a proper mitigation undertaken which is commensurate with both the legal and population status of the newts and the proximity of their habitat to the development, and that such mitigation cannot be properly devised without a full survey having been conducted prior to planning consent. Throughout the planning process the applicant has treated the presence of the newts at best casually and at worst with contempt. [REDACTED] has suggested a reasonable mitigation based on a lower density housing scheme along with various in-situ and ex-situ conservation measures that would in our expert opinion provide positive outcomes not only for the newts and the Local Nature Reserve which forms the majority of their habitat, but also for the applicant. The financial cost of such adequate mitigation, while being substantial, would represent a very small fraction of the overall costs to the applicant of the development, and could be an exemplar of the partnership that should exist between sustainable developments and nature conservation. We have argued that this should be a chance for 'New Homes and Newt Homes' rather than one or the other.</p> <p>We would also restate that along with our own organisation the rejected planning application was opposed by all three national wildlife organisations which advocate the conservation of amphibians and reptiles, namely Froglife, the Amphibian and Reptile Conservation Trust (ARC Trust) and the Amphibian and Reptile Groups of Britain and Ireland Network (ARG-UK) in addition to both London-wide nature conservation organisations, namely the London Wildlife Trust (LWT) and the London Natural History Society (LNHS), and finally from the county-wide London Amphibian and Reptile Group (LARG) and the Hertfordshire Amphibian and Reptile Group (HARG). In our experience it is unique for every relevant wildlife conservation organisation to be united in opposing a specific planning application in this way, reflecting the lack of any serious attempt on the part of the applicant or their ecological consultant to address the statutory legal obligations relating to the presence of great crested newts in the adjacent Local Nature Reserve and also within the proposed development footprint itself. The objections expressed by these organisations still stand and are accessible in the original planning objections submitted to the London Borough of Barnet and can also readily be re-stated by these organisations to the GLA as required.</p> <p>[REDACTED] restates our objections to the planning application and the amended application below in full:</p> <p>1) Contrary to Natural England's recommendations in www.gov.uk/guidance/protected-species-how-to-review-planning-applications (which state that a survey should be undertaken or the application should be refused) no survey has been undertaken by the applicant to assess the population size and distribution of the great crested newts within the Local Nature Reserve and hence it is impossible for an appropriate mitigation plan to be drawn up in the absence of this information. Were permission to be granted for the application as it stands this would not only be in conflict with Natural England's guidance but also the following planning policies, among others: NPPF (Dec 2024) section 193, Local Plan Policy ECC07, and London Plan Policy G6 and obligations under the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006. In Law the great crested newt receives full protection from disturbance, injury and killing even during the course of a lawful development, along with its habitat, both aquatic and terrestrial, under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) as well as the Habitats Regulations (2017). These various pieces of legislation and guidance cannot simply be ignored.</p>	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Hz2	I strongly support this development because it will provide much-needed housing which has been severely lacking in recent years. It replaces a car-dependent leisure park that is rundown and creates congestion with additional demand for improvements to bus links, which will improve both traffic flow and service for nearby residents.	Support	1/13/2026 6:05:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2I5U	Do it in your own area Khan	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2I76	The cinema & bowling alley and the restaurants provide leisure for a wide catchment of people. They also are a welcome source of employment to many locally. Those living on Strawberry Vale will be particularly disappointed to lose the facilities. Having sufficient parking for the cinema & other attractions is crucial. I am also concerned about the impact on local wildlife in the Glebelands. Providing truly affordable homes must be the priority of any developments - for school TA, NHS porters, etc who need to be close to transport etc. Local GP, dentist, schools are already overfull so please ensure these are included in any plans as well as community use & faith spaces	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2IF8	The leisure park is always busy, it is a huge asset to the local community. It would be a huge loss to North Finchley. These facilities cannot be replicated in a small car park off the high road in North Finchley. Any such plans will push huge volumes of people onto small residential streets.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Ii9	My main objection is that we will be losing one of the only easily accessible leisure areas in North London that brings people together from different areas. We have used the cinema, bowling alley, restaurants and leisure centre over the years and met family and friends who we would not find it easy to meet otherwise. Our children have used the facilities and it has been a safe place for them to meet friends and socialise rather than being on some random high street. It would be sad to lose a space that is used by so many when there are few comparable places like it. Whilst I understand the need for housing I don't think that there is a point of building them when all you are doing is removing one of the nicest aspects of the area. More isn't always better.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Ijy	This our local place for leisure me and my family have been enjoying bowling swimming and watching movies it is our go to place for nice meal there is not many places like this left locally and taking away this complex would be devastating for local community. Many surrounding areas have been overdeveloped with those not well made apartments transforming the areas into undesirable places with too many people, card and not enough space please do not take ruin this lovely area like this too.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:47 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J2IK1	Insufficient and totally inadequate infrastructure (roads, nurseries, schools, GP surgeries, Dental surgeries, recreational facilities, outside space, policing, hospitals) to support 000's of additional people in local neighbourhood. I totally object to this oversized and unpopular development all driven by some mad ideology of build , build, build no matter the consequences to the local community.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2ILa	I'm against it bc I use all of them	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2In0	We need these spaces for families and the community. We do not need more high rise flats!!	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2In8	We are currently undergoing quite a lot of building just up the road. This is a massive increase on what is so far being permitted. We still do not know the impact on the local infrastructure and amenities.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2In9	25 story buildings - really ? What's going to happen about schools, doctors, parking etc ?	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2IND	This development would destroy valued community spaces and goes against the clear wishes of local residents.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2INE	Not good for area	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Irp	This is a centre I and my family frequently use. Do not agree will demolition for housing. We do not have the infrastructure for more multiply housing.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2lv3	Traffic and environmental issues	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2lv4	The area does not have the capacity.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2lv5	Too tall. Too dense. Scale and mass out of keeping with local area	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2lyH	Not only does this development mean loss of valued leisure facilities it results in a massive over development of the area. This location is not within easy walking distance of the tube or train networks so will mean many new residents use cars. This will massively impact traffic, particularly on A1000 and A406 which are already congested and at standstill at the start and end of every working day causing pollution to residents and visitors. Building 100s more flats in an area with such high density population far from the tube/train is not appropriate.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2lyN	This project will create a large number of small dwellings in high rise blocks, which are totally out of keeping with the area and won't seriously impact on the need for both low cost and family dwellings. However my most significant objection is with the public transport provision. There is one bus that covers the main route to the underground at East Finchley (263). This bus runs roughly every 10 mins and is already massively overcrowded at rush hour/ school journey times. Adding this significant number of people to the service will mean it is will become totally unusable for the current residents	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2lyV	This proposal represents a clear overdevelopment of a small site, with building height and massing that are out of keeping with the surrounding area. The development would result in the loss of the only local leisure facility, which would have a significant negative impact on the community, particularly young people who already have very limited recreational options. The area already experiences high traffic levels and has no local tube or rail station. The proposal would worsen congestion and parking pressure. Local public services, including GP surgeries and hospitals, are already oversubscribed, and the additional demand created by this development has not been adequately addressed. This development is not supported by the local community and continues the damaging trend of losing community space in favour of dense, unattractive housing, with adverse effects on residents' wellbeing, including mental health and the elderly.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2J1W	The amenities are very popular at the moment. I invite the mayor to go one weekend and see for himself. The loss of amenities would be a catastrophe. The traffic in summers lane that this scheme will create will be even a bigger catastrophe.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2J37	Please do not allow this to happen - focus improving and expanding upon existing residential areas (e.g. around new southgate station) The leisure centre is a popular destination and the Glebelands is already a fragile area of conservation - it does not need further disruption!	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2Jcb	As a local resident for 40 years we do not need more expensive blocks of flats. The leisure park is a well supported vital local amenity. Our family use it's facilities regularly as do most of our neighbors.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:57 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J2JeE	<p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl along with our Indoor Bowling and Tai Chi lessons at Glebelands.</p> <p>It involves permanent removal of the cinema, bowling alley and indoor bowling (which is a venue for other community activities like Tai Chi) along with all the restaurants.</p> <p>We need places to be able to meet and take our children and this is a step in the wrong direction.</p> <p>The proposal would overload the area and put additional strain on health services and local infrastructure.</p> <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2JG1	My livelihood, please do not destroy, but gladly add more restaurants. Keep vue, wagas and nando's and pizza hut and McDonald's	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2JHd	<p>This proposed development fails to meaningfully consider the needs of the existing local community. I was genuinely shocked that it is even being considered in its current form.</p> <p>This site is already a well-used and valued local destination. We regularly use the cinema and nearby restaurants after work, and this development would remove that flexibility entirely. Losing accessible, informal leisure spaces would have a direct and negative impact on our quality of life.</p> <p>This proposal is particularly concerning for people with disabilities and reduced mobility. Asking residents to travel further to access basic social and leisure activities creates an unnecessary barrier and risks isolating people who already face challenges getting around. Local, walkable amenities are essential for inclusion and wellbeing.</p> <p>The proposed replacement commercial unit also feels poorly thought through. It appears designed primarily for the residents of the new development rather than for the wider Finchley community. This risks creating an inward-facing, artificial environment that excludes existing residents rather than serving them.</p> <p>Traffic is another major concern. The surrounding roads are already heavily congested, and the inclusion of on-site parking clearly acknowledges that this development will generate additional car journeys. No "green" justification or sustainability language can change the reality that more vehicles will worsen an already frustrating situation for local residents.</p> <p>Finally, this site represents one of the last remaining open, spacious areas with character and shared value for the community. Replacing it with a dense, inward-looking development strips away that character and replaces it with something that feels forced, artificial, and disconnected from the area it sits within.</p> <p>For these reasons, I strongly object to this proposal.</p>	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2JJF	Please leave our area alone.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2JO5	I object as I am concerned about the visual impact of the huge ugly blocks proposed, the loss of the cinema and restaurants, the loss of green space and damage to wildlife. Also how will our local health, transport and education services and roads cope with adding that huge number of residents to this area?	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2JO8	No similar resources in the local area; roads around the site are already severely overcrowded at peak hours	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:27 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J2JPI	<p>I strongly object to this proposal for the following reasons:</p> <p>Excessive height, density and poor design Finchley is a suburban area, not a city centre. Buildings of up to 25 storeys are wholly inappropriate. The scheme is vastly over-dense, with blocks so closely packed that many homes will receive inadequate daylight. Housing around 4,300 people on a site the size of five football pitches is unacceptable and unsupported by local infrastructure.</p> <p>Serious air quality and health risks The site sits alongside the North Circular and Great North Road, both recognised pollution hotspots. Approving high-density housing in such a location would knowingly expose residents to harmful air pollution.</p> <p>Unmanageable pressure on NHS services No funding is in place to expand local NHS provision. The GP surgery opposite the site is already at capacity and cannot accommodate thousands of additional patients. There is no other surgery close by.</p> <p>Failure to deliver genuinely affordable housing The proposal offers only 22% affordable housing and no social housing, falling well short of local need and policy expectations.</p> <p>Inadequate transport and parking provision Parking for just one in five flats is unrealistic and will push cars onto already congested residential streets. Public transport is poor, and East Finchley tube station is a 30-minute walk along a major road, leaving residents with little choice but to rely on cars.</p> <p>Unacceptable environmental damage The development threatens nearby habitats, including a population of protected great crested newts. Allowing this destruction would be environmentally irresponsible.</p> <p>Loss of vital community facilities The demolition of the Hollywood Bowl, Vue cinema and lido would remove heavily used leisure facilities with no equivalent replacement. The site is demonstrably not underused.</p> <p>For these reasons, the application should be refused.</p>	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2JPK	Planning application was refused by Barnet Council. The local area does not have the resources to support this density of housing. It will also result in a loss of local amenities such as cinema, restaurants and sports facilities. The council decision should not be over ruled.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2JSw	I agree with all the other objections listed here. This is a terrible plan that will devastate the local area.	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2JuM	The Great North Leisure Park planning application is at risk to locally eradicate the Great Crested Newt population in the Glebelands Local Nature Reserve. Please see the attached document.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2JUJY	We use the facilities here all the time - cinema, bowling, restaurants etc. We will be very sad to see it go. This sounds like a dreadful plan.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2JW9	This is a busy space for the local community. Children and families visit it especially at weekends, school breaks and evenings. They visit the cinema, bowling and family friendly restaurants. The parking space is ample and free, which is a huge drawing point for families with children or children's parties. It is busy with elderly and children visiting Better Lido gym with indoor and outdoor swimming pool, one of the few left in London. All these amenities will go. The gym will be rebuilt but it will be smaller and no outdoor pool and lawn! The high blocks of 8 storeys will be incongruous with buildings around. The infrastructure is not ready for the density of the new population, transport, gp surgeries, dentists, schools, parking! Please stop and think before considering profit!	Object	1/13/2026 6:04:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2JZP	We very much value the open space and leisure amenities that will disappear with this development. Please let us keep them!	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2K0n	With very limited infrastructure and public service in the area, the decision is totally wrong.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2K41	This is where my kids go to the movies and hang out, especially when there is bad weather. This would be a huge loss to the area.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2K42	This commercial complex is an important facility for families, provides easy to access amenities and affordable places that our kids can hang out in	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2K5d	The leisure/wellness activities provided by the current organisations and buildings will be a significant loss to the local youth community, and elderly.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2KC6	This development will have a profound impact on the local environs. The volume of new dwellings is far too great to be absorbed. 25 stories is far too high for the main tower. 7t should be in keeping with Tally Ho. There will significant loss of community leisure facilities. These should not be pushed back futhers as a compromise to the developers land grab.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J2KGv	It's too large and tall development. Loss of current facilities is my concern too.	Object	1/13/2026 6:05:25 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J6iVf	Health and other public services are already under pressure in our area. It is already very difficult to get GP appointments without this new development. The current retail park provides entertainment for everyone, particularly local youth/young teens who without this, will have very little to do in their neighbourhood. The plans offer reduced leisure centre facilities, again affecting locals ability to access health and sport. It also fails to protect biodiversity. The closure of the retail park would result in a significant reduction to quality of life for local residents.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J6iVh	The infrastructure is just not there to support this many new houses. The traffic is already extremely slow at rush hour, there is no way the roads can safely accommodate the new people. There are so many other new housing developments around but no infrastructure development. We need safe bicycle lanes. I also object to the demolition of the existing measure facilities, leaving the residents without such important facilities for a long time.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J6iVi	This housing project will lead mean such a loss to the local community in terms of facilities and add to much local traffic for the roads to handle.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J6iVj	That's the only place where kids and families in the area can socialise, not many hubs like that are left. Also impact on traffic and parking will be huge. I strongly object to building residential homes in this area instead of the leisure park loved by the local communities!	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J7cQ0	This is the only mainstay leisure space in the immediate area that we all depend on and have done for years. Would be a travesty to take this resource away from the local community	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J7cQ1	Our kids need places for entertainment	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J7cQ2	We visit this site every month to swim, bowl and eat. For my young family it is one of our favoured places to go. It would be such a shame if this was closed.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J7fgM	This development would entail the loss of a much loved cinema and bowling alley with plenty of parking spaces. The density of accommodation is too great and suitable transport links are non existent	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J7uBa	The current amenities are key for the community. It ensures that youths have safe spaces where to spend their time (cinema and Finchley lido) at affordable prices. It gives them purpose: an affordable place where to exercise and spend their time at the cinema. Building a huge development instead will overcrowd our community services, such as GPs and schools.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J7uBg	I live locally and am an ██████████ We have a supported group of MENCAP users / clients in our congregation. They and their MENCAP friends and Carers use the bowling alley & cinema all the time - more than once a week - they were utterly devastated when they thought these facilities would be lost - and absolutely delighted when the council turned down the proposals. The elected local council know that this development would be completely wrong for Finchley - in every way, loss of much loved and well used amenities , inappropriate development of poorly designed overcrowded housing without playspace or community facilities, poor transport links and inadequate parking. Young people use this site with their friends to go bowling and to the cinema and to talk and eat in fast food chains. Families use the site. Old people use the site. The community needs these facilities!., The use assessment of existing facilities was done post covid when nobody was going out. Since them bowling has increased in popularity locally and according to the Times newspaper nationally. Sara Sackman our Labour MP has opposed the loss of well loved community amenities and inappropriate design. It is imperative that the Mayor listens to local elected politicians and turns down this ill conceived planning application.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J7vp3	Major loss of the food outlets and leisure area that we as a family use weekly.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J80ID	There are no other entertainment facilities in the area for children. These cannot be removed.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J80IE	I use the Leisure Park regularly for the amenities including swimming pool which is not included in the new plan. The plan comes nowhere near the currently available facilities and what the builders will provide will be overused by the residents thus adversely affecting the opportunity for residents of the borough. Moreover to have 1500 flats with inordinately tall high rises do not suit the character of the area and will be a drain on all facilities such as schools and helathcare in the neighbouring areas.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J81z8	The density is inappropriate for this location with such a low public transport accessibility level. The building heights are inappropriate for this location. Popular amenities would be lost including a cinema and bowling alley with inadequate plans to mitigate them. A development brief was invested in many years ago which had a far more appropriate density, why has this been abandoned? Delivering housing units should not be to the detriment of existing and future residents. The business case for the leisure centre that I've seen doesn't adequately consider the option of refurbishment and extension compared to demolition, and appears to put Barnet at risk of any overspending. The site offers an opportunity to deliver some new housing, retaining the popular facilities and improving accessibility on foot and cycle from nearby areas, but this has been missed.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:34 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J81z9	The proposal is for a massive over-development. It is totally out of character with the surrounding low-rise two- or three-storey housing, typical of a London suburb. The buildings will be far too high, overbearing, and unsightly. The development proposes far too high a resident density. The area has very poor public transport links. The proposal offers no new leisure centre, only reduced facilities. The reasoning of Barnet Council's Planning Department is sound, and based on planning law. The Mayor should respect local democracy.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J81zA	This will put increased strain on already stretched local resources.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J89AH	Out of character with the area we need less high rise property with lack of parking	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8AB8	Objection due to overcrowding and loss of local amenities.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:22 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8acM	This is an excellent initiative. London is desperate for new homes. I think the towers could be taller. Also, we should demand that every flat has a large balcony that can work as a small garden (some cities in China require this).	Support	1/14/2026 6:04:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8acP	Will bring so many more cars and residents to the area will not feel safe to send my teenager kids to a movie there	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8AOt	This is unnecessary and will cause terrible traffic congestion and disruption. We do not need anymore flats like this as this is an area where many families live and do not need a development like those in the area	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8auG	This development is far too large and will impact on local infrastructure, gp's, schools, local transport and community relations.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8aXZ	Vastly overdeveloped with poor transport links. Barnet Council have rejected the application for very good reason and it would be good if you would accept local democracy	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8ayw	I strongly object to this proposal as the high density housing will have a negative impact on the environment. Over development of site. Too dense. Lack of affordable social housing. Excessive height in blocks. Poor transport links. Difficult access to summers lane for vehicles coming from new position of leisure centre. Poor design proposed leisure centre	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8aZA	I object to the proposed redevelopment. The existing development is well used and enjoyed by the local community. The proposed residential development is wholly disproportionate and inappropriate and will lead to loss of amenities and adverse environmental impacts on the local community.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8aZB	Nobody wants this this hallowed institution to go away!! Hands off	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8b2F	There are already new build places on this stretch of the high road which are empty and haven't been sold yet! Where are the Drs, dentists, schools to support this amount of residential housing?	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8b7L	Barnet council have refused this application and on good grounds; the decision of a local council should be respected. The application has far too many flats and at too great a height. The site has only a couple of bus routes linking it to nearby tube stations and is not very accessible. The current site is very well used by many people, not just from Barnet. It would be a tragedy to lose the cinema, bowling alley etc on the site. People need places to go, not just homes to live in.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8bAF	In an already densely populated area with a huge amount of traffic, this proposed development can only make things worse.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8bDW	The leisure centre is much used and loved by families and young adults in the neighborhood. The proposed 'alternative' centre is significantly reduced, and would be a poor substitute.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8bGi	I object to this application.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8bIl	This is a wildly overdeveloped scheme that would dominate and damage the local area. The site has poor transport links (low PTAL) and can't sustain such high density. It also has very low affordable housing which is what Londoners need. They don't need any more overseas investors leaving property empty It offers no new leisure centre, only reduced facilities compared to what's there now. Also there is no funding for police posts doctors surgery for what is proposed to be over 3000 new residents and in public consultation meetings the developers said that it wasn't an obligation on them to provide such facilities	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8bJv	I strongly object to the scale of development at GNLp, it is too densely packed and is too far away from public transport or will add to crowding on local buses. The nearest train or tube station are well over 30 mins walk away from the development. I am worried about the impact on local woodland adjacent to the site as well. High tower blocks are not in keeping with the local area. I also do not believe that there is sufficient consideration to the design of the development so that the flats will overheat in the summer months making them unattractive to live in. This is much to big a development for the site and needs to be rejected for the reasons that Barnet Council rejected it. This is not supported by the local community.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8bIn	We need a space for our children to go to for exercise and relaxation. Do not take away from the community this bit of enjoyment! There's already an impact on our NHS and mist importantly our schools! Stop these cheap buildings!	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:58 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J8bLW	I strongly object this plan. I use the great north leisure park on a regular basis-many facilities like cinema, bowling centre, restaurants and leisure centre will be lost. My kids use the facilities too. This development will deprive the local residents from having safe leisure space and open green space. I have huge concerns on the overdevelopment, overcrowded area, lack of GP, school places, environmental impact, it's a very bad idea. Please don't do it!	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8bmy	This planned development is a total lack of thought for everything that matters to our area. Too many thoughtless developments have ruined our areas. It's time to stop.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8bNB	- lack of social or affordable housing - lack of additional services such as schools, public transport, GP surgeries - worse facilities (the swimming pool won't have a children's fun pool; the bowling & cinema will be smaller) - risk of destroying the habitat of the great crested newts	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8bob	The leisure centre, cinema, bowling and restaurants are essential for the residents of Finchley, Barnet and Arkley and for the youth to keep entertained.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8bod	Too dense Poor design. Too much being squeezed in. Blocks too close Road safety concerns due to shared use areas Limited open space. Inadequate play space Removal of leisure facilities - well used cinema and bowling alley. Especially good for families, young people and school groups. Potential replacements at lodge lane too small, no parking for school coaches, prices will be higher. Concern about impact on Glebe lands and it's special nature. Poor public transport, especially bus routes to the tube. The land was sold to the Council with the stipulation that it was to be used for sports/ leisure. It should not be used for residential. Important original enclosure plot boundaries in the form of hedges would be removed. Surveys have not been completed to record all uses currently on the site. Please see full concerns as listed on Barnet Planning portal by the Finchley Society.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8bqD	There is no option for people living there but to take a bus that is already full and get on a tube that's already full.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8brs	Strongly object to inappropriate development and loss of accessible local amenities, especially cinema.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8btP	Don't do it! It is used communally by 1000s of people. It's wrong and you know it	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8bwd	We are building too much of this sort of accommodation and while it has the potential to squeeze a lot of people in to a small space - it is not where families want to live. We are making the same mistakes we made with the high rise flats in 60s. This development is particularly inappropriate as it is on the site of a very popularly recreational facility.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8bWq	We use current building a lot	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8byG	I believe that the development is much to dense, with significant loss of local amenity not mitigated by alternatives proposed. Also excess traffic and not enough provision of local services, retail, educational, medical to support the proposed development.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8bzs	This is a very popular leisure amenity for the full range of population in north London. We have been using this leisure facility since it was built as singles, then with our young children, older children and grandchildren and now as pensioners. It is unique with parking onsite and means it's accessible to all. Young people can be seen there during evenings, weekends and school holidays. This is much better than roaming the streets of North Finchley and elsewhere. Please think of the huge numbers of local people using this leisure centre. We need to develop and grow these facilities so people can enjoy their leisure time in person as a community not on screens all the time.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8C5B	Completely unsuitable, no infrastructure accounted for. Not enough GP provision, schools,. Totally unacceptable	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8C5E	Overdeveloped scheme which would damage and dominate the local area. The blocks are too high and the housing to be provided is unsuitable for families and young children. There is insufficient outside space and parking offered to potential residents. There is not enough affordable housing. Family homes and not flats are what is required in this area. The site has very poor transport links and cannot sustain such high density. It offers reduced leisure facilities. The scheme fails to protect biodiversity and neglects protected species such as great crested newts and Glebelands Nature Reserve. The mayor should respect local democracy as the council's reasons for refusal are sound and based on planning law. The loss of the well used cinema, bowling alley and parking is a massive blow to both the local community and those that travel to use the existing facilities. The proposed alternative provision in Lodge Lane is completely inadequate	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8c7u	Leave as it is please	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8c7w	This area is becoming far too over built. There are fewer and fewer places to take our children to spend family time together as it is and to take away this would be devastating for the area and those surrounding it! People's social and emotional wellbeing will be put at risk as well and health - physical and mental - with the lido being removed. I object to this whole heartedly!	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:32 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J8c9X	I live just two bus stops away from this proposed development. The bus service is already very poor, and I hate to think what would happen if a thousand extra people were trying to use it further up the road. Moreover I understand that only a small proportion of the homes will be affordable - so what's the point of this development? It's not even going to help people who need housing. I see that a majority of the council rejected the application, so I hope the local decision will be respected.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8cEN	I'm writing to alongside "our North Finchley" movement that began in protest at Regal's various North Finchley planning applications. The major concerns of this particular project are: High density housing without sufficient transport links, leading to both a greatly increased strain on public transport as well as increase in traffic volume. It removes the entertainment facilities that currently exist, without any plans to replace them. The construction will greatly harm the Glebelands local nature reserve, home to an endangered species. Barnet Council's reasons for refusal are sound and based on planning law. The Mayor should respect local democracy, and reject the planning application.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8cfn	This is will have big environmental impact more cars in area. For teenagers and kids as well its place where can safe meet and do some activities. There is not place like this in North Finchley . Specially the bowling alley. Where kids meet up. It will get lots of traffic and noise as well . Loss local amenities	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8cfo	1,485 residential new dwellings with no counter-balancing improvement local amenities to cater for this increase is not viable, especially with the lack of additional public transport infrastructure, schools etc. This is compounded by the 35,000 new homes being proposed in North Finchley further up the road. Finchley will not cope with this level of housing increase and become unliveable.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8cj2	i use lido every day and the parking facilities it provides. I use the pool and sauna facilities, there is no other gym we can use that is near. i use all the restaurants and bowling facilities since its built in 1986. when it was used as a market and open air pool. its always been for community use and now its under threat to not only us but wild life. this cannot go ahead.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8cj3	I strongly object to the proposed redevelopment of the Great North Leisure Park. The scale of the scheme, with tower blocks up to 25 storeys, is completely out of character with Finchley and would overwhelm the surrounding area. The density is far too high for a suburban location with limited transport links and already stretched local services. The plans also involve the loss of much loved leisure facilities, including the cinema and bowling alley, without any proper replacements. These venues are important to the community and provide affordable, accessible activities for families and young people. Affordable housing provision is inadequate. At a time when local residents are struggling with rising costs, we need genuinely affordable homes, not developments that price people out. This application has attracted widespread opposition, with thousands of residents signing petitions against it. The council should listen to the community and reject developments that prioritise profit over people	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8cJl	We desperately need the amenities the cinema the bowling alley and we are already over subscribed with housing in this area	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8cJN	I object to this development - it's such a bad idea! Families with children have been coming here to use the Lido, cinema and bowling complex for many years.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8ckl	This area is a key local amenity used by people across the borough as a leisure destination for the bowling, cinema and swimming facilities. To remove this key social hub would be a huge detriment to the borough. With so many other blocks of flats already planned (e.g. the NLBP development) there cannot be any need for this, especially given the huge impact it would have. This development should absolutely not be allowed to proceed.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:21 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8cKo	I am against we need holly wood bowl	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8cmE	This will adversely impact the local area	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8cmF	It's already oversubscribed schools, not enough surgeries and hospitals. There is not enough places for toddlers and playgrounds.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8cMQ	This design does not take people, local or otherwise into consideration. The local environment, especially the Glebeland area and the nearby forest will be seriously badly affected. I don't agree with everything Barnet LA does but they are right to refuse this application. Please do not overturn their decision	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:11 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8cMS	The current leisure facilities and restaurants are well used all the time. If so many new residential buildings are put up there, where are the residents children going to go to school, which Drs & dentists can they use. The traffic is already really busy around there I can not see how the roads could take all the extra traffic with the number of homes being built.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8cnr	The plans to add so many more homes in a district of North Finchley would over burden the local amenities, schooling, health services and transport system. The location is not supported by local transportation so the use of cars so will greatly rise and impact the our environmental concerns.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:31 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J8cpb	<p>Traffic on the north circular is already at capacity. Adding more residence will make traffic and congestion worse.</p> <p>Amenities is important. This is a hubb where local young people go. Families congregate here. This is a space where the community enjoy social outings and families gather together. Being British mean to have values and respect others. Community is important, creating a sense of belonging and togetherness. Young people have so many challenges with social media. Here we have the bowling and cinema that is social and leisure activities for young people and restaurants where young people can socialise and have physical contact with their family and friends. Is that not want we want to advocate?</p> <p>The lido is a leisure facility, again, we need to keep our community moving. We want to encourage an active lifestyle!! David lloyd is not accessible to all people because of the cost. Where will the local community go for swimming?</p> <p>This for me is yet another project where making money is the driving force. Creating affordable homes is just a smoke screen to say we want to build more homes so the developers can receive planning permission. How many homes will really be affordable and why does it have to come at the expense of demolishing a social hubb not only for the local people but the wider communities that come from the local boroughs to enjoy the amenities.</p> <p>I hope that the views of the community will be taken into consideration.</p> <p>Best wishes [REDACTED]</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8cpU	<p>I had to check it's not 1st April!</p> <p>This proposed monstrosity has not taken into account the stress it will put on already stretched public services (health, schools transport etc).</p> <p>The A1 is already solid, the A406 needs redesigning in this area due to congestion, noise and pollution. This will add to this.</p> <p>The limited green spaces, nature area and the current facilities that this will replace will remove current valuable use for local families</p> <p>Reject Reject Reject!</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:31 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J8cpV	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>The London Amphibian and Reptile Group (LARG) wish to object to the planning application relating to Great North Leisure Park, Chaplin Square, based on the anticipated significant negative impacts to nature conservation – a material planning consideration in the London Borough of Barnet.</p> <p>The data held between our organisation and ██████ neither of whom were consulted during the desk study phase of the ecological assessment, suggest that the Glebelands Local Nature Reserve and SINC hosts what is likely the most significant great crested newt metapopulation in Greater London. However, the status of the population is described as 'medium' in the ecologist's report, with an estimate which appears to have been made based on the face value of historical peak counts:</p> <p>"In the last 10 years there have been six surveys of Long Marsh Hollow, recording between 20 and 27 adults [...] There are fewer records from Lady's Smock Pond [...] The largest count was of 31 adults from April 2010. Round Pond [...] has a recent record of 5 adults from 2023 and a peak count of 30 adults from 2012. "These ponds support a metapopulation which is likely to range in size between 30 -60 adults and is therefore considered a "Good" population (ranging between 10- 100 individuals)."</p> <p>It is generally accepted that the number of newts counted by torchlight represent only a fraction of the total population size, which will naturally be proportionally even smaller when large parts of some ponds cannot be adequately surveyed from the bank, as is the case for the main breeding pond at Glebelands LNR where approximately only 5% of the bank top is accessible. The population estimates given in the ecology report are therefore considered to be highly inaccurate, and we would therefore request that a proper estimate of the size of the population is assessed through torchlight survey in the coming season.</p> <p>In terms of the likely impacts to the population, we consider that the scale and proximity of the proposed development would have extremely negative consequences for the internationally protected great crested newt Triturus cristatus on the adjacent Glebelands Local Nature Reserve (LNR), in addition to other areas of suitable habitat within a 500m radius of the footprint of the proposed development.</p> <p>The additional footfall generated by the proposed construction of 1485 homes would inevitably impact negatively on the population in numerous ways which cannot adequately be addressed by mitigation measures, which are in any case referred to only nebulously in the ecologist's report (Chapter 12: Terrestrial Ecology 12.86 and following paragraphs).</p> <p>Significant adverse impacts would include all of the following, only some of which were identified in Chapter 12: Terrestrial Ecology:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Risk of trampling of newts and habitat/vegetational features Risk of predation by domestic animals such as dogs and cats Risk of pollution/poisoning through dumping of litter and harmful chemicals (given the permeable skin of amphibians this is especially problematic when compared with other animal taxa) Risk of collection, disturbance and persecution of newts both on land and especially in their breeding ponds where collection is possible by using hand nets for example Risk of introduction of alien and invasive species of plant and animal which could reduce water quality for breeding newts as well as direct predation on newt larvae by fish, terrapins etc Increased predation pressure from brown rats <i>Rattus norvegicus</i> due to anticipated increase in discarded rubbish and litter from increased human presence. <p>In summary, LARG objects to the planning proposal on the grounds that the scale and proximity of the development would have significant adverse effects on the high status population of internationally protected great crested newts and their habitat that cannot be adequately</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8cUX	<p>This space is so important to our community and so many families spend a lot of time there after work and school and on weekends. It only takes looking at the packed carpark on a saturday to see how important this area is. It shouldn't be destroyed.</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8cW7	<p>I object. The recreation facilities are well used in the community and building flat instead with a lack of local facilities such as schools doctors etc will be disastrous. The increase in housing will create more traffic in an already overcrowded road.</p> <p>Not to mention the glebelands has wildlife (such as newts) and is used by the local rugby club every week / most days. It is imperative that we keep this green space as it is</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8CZH	<p>There is a huge need for leisure facilities in the area and any loss of these would be detrimental to people living in the area. If there is new housing at least 80% b</p> <p>Needs to be affordable</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8d2N	<p>This development is totally out of keeping with local area. The area is already congested. There will be a loss of leisure facilities and an impact on green space and biodiversity.</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8d5i	<p>Finchley is already overdeveloped</p> <p>With high flats coming to the former homepage store site</p> <p>All the new flats add nothing to Finchley,except bring crime and disorder.</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8dbq	<p>I object as North Finchley will lose our entertainment facilities. Enjoyed by locals and those in surrounding boroughs.</p> <p>Additionally, not enough social and family housing, buildings too high and inaccessible to fire fighters. Buildings would be densely built with poor airflow and quality of life for those living there.</p> <p>Concerned about impact to the local nature areas.</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:42 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J8dC7	In short I object because: - the local youth and families will have no leisure outlets which, in the long term, will create anti-social behaviour and delinquency. - the buildings are too tall and the local fire brigade do not have sufficiently tall ladders. - the local transport network, both public and private, cannot sustain the increase in traffic - the local elderly will lose access to the well attended bowling club as there will be insufficient parking. - the local nature reserve will be devastated by the development - the buildings in the plans do not provide home which are needed - the local infrastructure inc water, sewage, GPs, schools will not cope with increase in residents - the plans are no more than property developers cramming in as many units with no thought of the people who have to live there. Did no-one learn anything from the highrises built in the 1960s?	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8dDi	I firmly object to the destruction of a much loved and used community entertainment centre. I wish residents views would carry weight. This will reduce my family's enjoyment of living in North Finchley significantly	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8dGt	I am concerned that the scale of new flats being proposed is not matched by adequate supporting infrastructure. There is already pressure on local GP services and schools and public transport options are too far away and not easily accessible for many residents. In addition, there is a lack of affordable recreational facilities for young people, particularly access to an affordable local cinema and a gym. Without proper investment in services and amenities, this development risks placing further strain on the community and failing to meet the needs of residents, especially youth.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8dIR	Concerned about this approach, loss of amenities in the area and over density of population in small proximity. Concerned about increased crime as a result too which is on the rise in the area.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8dIX	This would cause over population, too much traffic and loss of great large public space	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8dla	Mostly all of the above. Our area in North Finchley and its surroundings is overly populated already and the existing building project is already far too extensive. When there is density housing it comes with violence and social disruption. Social services are already at breaking point there is no room for any more demands. We need stability in our neighbourhood. Stop this encroachment on our lives.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8dlb	Although I know we need extra housing, I feel this is over development, and also puts a strain on the infrastructure of the area.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8dlc	I feel strongly that if this development goes ahead the already heavy burden of cars and traffic will really impact our lives. It feels only the developers will benefit	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8dle	Losing large number of restaurants and amenities	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8dp8	This development is far too large in the current design for the site and the local area. There sre no GP surgeries, schools, transport to support such an enormous development. These local sports facilities are important but are much smaller in the new plans. There is a good reason local people are objecting and local councillors have refused permission, the Mayor should heed them.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8dQe	The development is not right for the area.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8dSA	Local community's sports centre/swimming no longer an objective for greedy developers, not enough affordable housing for those that need it, no extra infrastructure which is already bursting at the seams. Far to many homes for us to swallow.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8dSB	I find it totally unacceptable to propose to build such a huge volume of high rise buildings in one of the few locations in the area where people (especially younger people and children) feel safe to go and spend their leisure time. I would like to see an improvement to these facilities, the cinema, bowling, restaurants, not residential buildings (which I do not believe will be affordable or suitable for families). Barnet are failing their young community by not providing more safe leisure spaces for them. People love Finchley because of the character of the area and green spaces. I object to this proposed plan.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8dvD	Losing leisure facility of bowling club building will impact quality of my life and that of the many other people who use this facility.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8e09	This is a hun used by young people and all ages alike it's a safe area for teens to just hang out. What Else are you going to deprive them of and then accuse them of being a nuisance on the streets for me personally it's been a place I can access in an instance both planned and impromptu with my entire family. The loss would ne massive	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8ejD	This is a totally disaster. We don't want these monstrous high rise buildings. Causing more wind funnels, blocking views and sunlight. What a blot on the landscape. Where are all these families going to find GP surgeries, schools, parking etc. The list goes on. What about the residents and the disruption and chaos this will cause us. Our beautiful wild life and bird will suffer too. It's not all about money it's about people, environment and our lovely nature.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8ejE	We need affordable houses not lots of expensive flats that no one can afford. If you constantly build flats it will impact current infrastructure already in place, you need to consider everything already there, i.e. transport, utilities, environment, schools, people already living, working and using the area. I though people go into to politics to help others and not themselves and their friends.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8eL4	I don't think the local area can cope with anymore footfall. The traffic is already terrible around the area. Our amenities are under pressure and more people means more pressure on surrounding hospitals, doctors surgeries, schools and an increase in traffic. This should be considered seriously	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:41 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J8eMi	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I am writing to formally object to the planning application for the comprehensive redevelopment involving demolition of existing buildings and phased redevelopment to provide up to 1,485 residential dwellings across 20 buildings (up to 25 storeys), a new leisure centre, flexible commercial space, a sports changing pavilion, and associated works.</p> <p>---</p> <p>Grounds for Objection with Policy References</p> <p>1. Overdevelopment and Scale The proposed density and height are excessive and conflict with the principle of "Making effective use of land" while respecting local character (NPPF, Paragraph 124). The scale fails to align with [Local Plan Policy on Density and Design], which requires developments to be proportionate and compatible with surrounding built form¹.</p> <p>2. Impact on Local Character and Heritage The massing and height of 25-storey towers will dominate the area, contrary to NPPF Chapter 13: Protecting Green Belt land and Chapter 12: Achieving well-designed places, which emphasise preserving local distinctiveness and heritage settings¹.</p> <p>3. Loss of Light, Privacy, and Amenity The proposal breaches [Local Plan Residential Amenity Policy] and NPPF Paragraph 130, which requires developments to create places with a high standard of amenity for existing and future users¹.</p> <p>4. Traffic and Highway Safety The scale of development will significantly increase traffic, conflicting with NPPF Chapter 15: Promoting sustainable transport, which seeks to minimise congestion and prioritise safe, sustainable travel¹.</p> <p>5. Environmental Impact Demolition and construction will harm biodiversity and green infrastructure, contrary to NPPF Chapter 14: Achieving well-designed places and [Local Plan Environmental Policy], which require developments to protect and enhance the natural environment¹.</p> <p>6. Design and Policy Compliance The proposal does not comply with NPPF Paragraph 134, which states that planning permission should be refused for development of poor design that fails to reflect local character and context¹.</p> <p>---</p> <p>Conclusion For these reasons, I respectfully request that the planning application be refused. The proposal is inconsistent with the NPPF and Local Plan policies and would have a detrimental impact on the community, environment, and infrastructure. Thank you for considering my objection. Yours faithfully, [REDACTED]</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8eMk	Likes of Khan did not build Britain, they have broken it down !	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8epj	<p>These facilities are essential community assets that support residents of all ages. They provide accessible opportunities for physical activity, social interaction, and family recreation, contributing significantly to physical and mental wellbeing. The swimming pool is particularly important for children's swimming lessons, older residents, and those requiring low-impact exercise, while the cinema and bowling alley offer affordable, inclusive leisure options for families and young people.</p> <p>The proposed development would not only result in the permanent loss of these vital amenities but would also place considerable additional pressure on an already stretched local infrastructure. An increase in housing density without adequate supporting services will exacerbate existing shortages in local school places, GP and dental surgeries, and other essential healthcare services. Many families in the area already experience long waiting times for appointments and difficulty securing school placements.</p> <p>In addition, the development would significantly worsen parking and traffic issues. The loss of existing parking associated with these facilities, combined with an influx of new residents, would increase congestion on surrounding roads and reduce safe access for pedestrians and emergency vehicles. This would negatively impact existing residents and local businesses alike.</p> <p>While I acknowledge the importance of providing new housing, this proposal fails to demonstrate how the increased population would be adequately supported by schools, healthcare services, transport, and parking provision. Housing development should not come at the expense of community wellbeing, public health, and quality of life.</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8eua	Just houses being built no facilities like GP, schools or adequate parking for residence	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:15 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J8eUh	Unbelievable. Yet another planning application aimed at creating housing to the detriment of the quality of life of residents. Access to the amenities in Muswell Hill is already being removed to be replaced by housing and now the leisure park. This is one of the very few places for young people and adults to go to spend leisure time in north London. This will result in an increase in crime as young people will have nowhere left to go and the many many people who go there will be forced to go to already crowded nearby areas. Who on earth came up with such an awful idea? It really is quite insulting to what extent Haringey Council wants to destroy the daily life of its residents.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8EYA	25 storey flats with 1.500 dwellings- Finchley cannot cope with these additional residents!!!	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8f2Y	I've been going to the great north leisure park since I was a kid. I learned to swim at the swimming pool and I still take my kids there regularly. I went to the cinema with my friends by myself for the first time and I still take my kids there today. There isn't really another place like that around here and it would be a huge shame to lose it to another block of homogenous flats. Please don't let it happen, it doesn't make sense for the local community who frequent this place regularly with their families, friends etc. it's just turning London into a sea of flats with no character or variation.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8f4A	I object to this. There are not enough leisure facilities in the area.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8f5o	Saving Local Leisure Park for Community Conversation with Gemini Housing is necessary but so are local spaces that are central for families and young people to have a broad range of recreational opportunities. With young people obsessed with screens, locals spaces like this where they hang out and talk with friends and do social activities is gold. Pls can you add to this m they want to remove North Finchley leisure park and build flats. I think the trade off is detrimental This is a very strong argument. You are highlighting the vital importance of "third places"—spaces that are neither work/school nor home—which are essential for community cohesion and mental health. Balancing the need for housing with the need for "living" is a critical challenge. If we build homes but remove the places where people actually live their lives, we risk creating dormitory towns with no soul. Here are a few ways to expand on your points, drafted for different contexts (e.g., a formal objection letter to the council or a social media post/petition). Key Arguments to Strengthen Your Case Before writing the drafts, here are three pillars to support your argument: The "Screen Time" Counter-Balance: You are correct that physical spaces are the only real antidote to digital isolation. Removing them forces young people back into bedrooms and onto phones. Preventing Anti-Social Behaviour: When young people have nowhere designated to go, they often end up loitering in streets. A leisure park provides a safe, managed environment for socialization. The "Liveability" Factor: Housing targets are important, but infrastructure must come first. "High density" living requires "high quality" amenities nearby to be sustainable. Option 1: Formal Objection (For Council/Planning Committee) Use this if you are submitting a comment on a planning application. While I understand and support the urgent need for more housing in London, I believe the proposal to demolish the North Finchley Leisure Park to build flats presents a detrimental trade-off that will negatively impact the community's long-term health. Housing is necessary, but so is the "social infrastructure" that makes a neighbourhood livable. The Leisure Park is central for families and, crucially, for young people who need accessible recreational opportunities. In an era where we are constantly battling the mental health effects of excessive screen time and digital isolation, local spaces like this are	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8fc2	Please uphold the decision by Barnet to refuse this large scale overdevelopment of the GNLP site. A more considerate plan is needed that combines a sensible density of affordable, social and family homes with a variety of quality leisure facilities. The poor PTAL of the site should not be overlooked, as seems to have been the case for this application. The impact of this area to provide 'leisure and play' facilities is felt by the surrounding four town centres (N Finchley, Finchley Central, E Finchley and Whetstone) and beyond.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:45 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J8FCN	I object to this development on the grounds that we would lose a much valued resource (the cinema) to replace it with housing that few could afford to buy or benefit from. The local facilities and services such as GPs are already over stretched, and could not accommodate the increase in demand that the new housing would bring. It would be a great loss to local families and the Finchley community if the cinema were to be replaced by housing that would benefit no one other than the developers.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:21 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8ffH	This is a well used site which provides important social amenities - it is quite unbelievable that local social amenities for people of all ages keep being taken away. It's especially important for youngsters to be able to have social activities to keep them occupied and away from crime and also elderly people to allow them to have places locally to go out so they don't get isolated. I appreciate there is a lack of housing but please stop removing every other facility!	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8fh7	Would lose an important place in the community for leisure and activity. Area is already busy traffic wise due to exit/entry to north circular. Having a big development would make the area unliveable due to traffic/business	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8fk8	It is a disgrace that the Council are planning to build high rise buildings and house so many people in this space having totally disrespected the lack of adequate local transport , the lack of parking and the overcrowding by building so many flats.the high rise buildings have been a total failure in the past and many were dimilished. Why rebuild them now.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8fkl	Strongly object This is a much used local resource to be replaced by housing not benefitting social housing and causing major disruption	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8fnP	The cinema and bowling alley is a place i frequent with my friends, as well as thousands of other people around N12. Replacing it for flats is unnecessary and would remove those only two things in the area. i strongly object.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8fp2	All the above especially the destruction of the protected newt community. I travel home going North past this complex and the traffic builds up even mid afternoon polluting the area. The car park is nearly always full indicating a great need for these facilities in what is becoming a much changed and deprived area. Also what consideration has been given to drs, dentists, schools, sewage systems and wind tunnels from these proposed high rise buildings? Having lived in this area for over 40 years I have witnessed and tolerated a lot of detrimental changes .This project isn't aimed for local people as you are taking away our only local recreational centre.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8fp4	We dont want this scheme as we will lose a very important number local amenities, the vast volumes of traffic this will generate is also cause for concern, also, the loss of important biodiversity.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8fSO	Our community strongly objects to this monstrous plan to overbuild in this area, it will negatively impact the nature and overcrowd nearby roads in terms of parking. It is sad how little Barnet is caring about people and favour short term money grabbing rather than focusing on nurturing communities and dealing with increased littering and flytipping etc.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8fSP	The local community and surrounding communities will lose leisure activities and have a huge impact on traffic congestion. This has already been declined by the local council but I know it will go ahead regardless of public objections	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8fSQ	I'm against the proposal due to the loss of the cinema, restaurants and bowling. There is also a lack of clarity on the new leasure facility, which should be an improvement on the existing in terms of size & quality. Too many developments are of high rise residential blocks whilst family activities are being lost. This drives families out of London. The amenities also provide jobs and breath life into the area. I strongly feel that the local area cannot be residential only without anything for residents to do. I understand the need for housing but I believe it is possible to have a better mix of residential units whilst keeping a cinema, restaurants etc. Losing the facilities will be a financial loss and will stop bringing people to the area.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8fSS	There has been no extra schools GPs taken into consideration. I object	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8ftn	I've been using the cinema and bowling and swimming since I was a teenager and now my teenage kids use it- the loss of local leisure amenities will be hugely felt with nothing local around. Also there is already so much traffic around that location the huge number of additional home will create gridlock.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8ftz	As a resident of the borough for 35 plus years the current amenity is a positive for taking children and grandchildren. Redevelopment of this particular site is detrimental to the social amenities of an already stretched area.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:38 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J8fVd	<p>There is a lot about providing safe spaces for young people. Currently this great north leisure provides swimming, bowling and cinema. I'm a local school teacher and all the teenagers in this part of London regularly go to is these facilities...people come from miles around to use them. Younger children and their families use the facilities too. We all use these leisure facilities. You are planning to take them away and remove the ability to park for the leisure centre. This will only increase the need for scars as we will all have to travel much further to get to a cinema, a bowling alley or a children's party at a food eatery.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. You are increasing the need for a car to travel further a field to find leisure activities for all children, young people and families 2. You are reducing access to essential places for young people to get to independently and use independently of their parents. Currently all 12 and upwards use these facilities as their first trips out on their own. There has been lots in the news about Labour encouraging provision for young people - this will be taking it away from thousands of families all over North London <p>Every time Barnet knock down and rebuild leisure facilities like pools, they are built with smaller pools, less facilities etc. this whole are used to be swimming pools, then they built the lido which is useful, fun, good for exercise and easy to get to before and after work. If there is limited parking, then I won't be able to nip there in the car before or after work for a 30 minute swim. Therefore removing the car park reduces accessibility to an essential well being and fitness provision. The pool is full in the early morning because it's easy to get to.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. You are removing the accessibility and ability for local and non local people to use the pool for regular and daily exercise which can only have a huge negative impact on our quality of life and health. 4. Yet again, you are removing and reducing public land/space that will be lost forever. This land is for the benefit of everyone and should be kept that way. Not handed over to rich developers. It is our land and should be kept for the benefit of all...you g and old. 5. In a repeat of last century you are a <p>Encouraging the uncontrolled building of huge, poor standard housing which everyone knows is not satisfactory in environment, condition or quality for human habitation.</p> <p>Lastly please can I implore you to rethink this terrible idea. Re design the space for leisure, re design and improve the facilities but please don't worsen life for the people who live here. Life is hard enough at the moment and the great north leisure park provides joy, vital wellbeing and health opportunities plus somewhere to go for young people. My whole family, all families at my school, all families and adults in Finchley and beyond will be negatively affected if this building g goes ahead.</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8fvR	This development will over stretch local resources and severely reduce local leisure amenities. This will particularly impact young people and families, at a time when we are trying to encourage children to be active rather than at home on screens.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8fXD	This is a tragedy to over develop this area and lose what it currently provides. The increase of more people in tiny accommodation is over population to an already busy area. It is not wanted or needed. Leave the area as it is where it serves the community well.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8fYr	This area is already congested with very poor traffic flow. This development will not add value to this area.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8g3T	I oppose this project. For above reasons	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8g3U	Totally against the removal of existing facilities and excessive re-development when Barnet's existing road and other infrastructure is already at breaking point.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8g54	<p>Council say no, people say. Shareholders say yes.</p> <p>Environment says no. So stop it.</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8gDA	This proposed development has very poor public transport links. The loss of the leisure centre would be a massive negative for the local residents.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8gec	<p>As you may know the society was founded in 1971 by a GLC councillor for West Finchley to preserve what is great about Finchley, its heritage.</p> <p>The Lido has a long and proud history.</p> <p>A major role is its environment and planning committee which comments on planning and spoke at the Council meeting.</p> <p>At the Finchley Society members believe that decisions like this should be taken locally. Council have these local powers and their decisions should be respected. Generally, the trend of the GLA to over ride our wishes is increasing.</p> <p>My message to you is one of local democracy.</p> <p>Please listen to the voters, not greedy property interests.</p> <p>Also, as you are the Mayor, please stop infrastructure like the North Circular Road for cars. It is blighting the area with litter, noise and air pollution, as well as traffic congestion. ULEZ is coming here but people need alternatives to driving the private car. We need public transport please, as well as active transport. Trams like Croydon. Rail like Crossrail 2. Buses with better bus stops and proper signalised crossings to get there.</p> <p>But the first priority is to deliver homes that people can afford in Finchley. I volunteer at the food bank, and can't talk about specific cases.</p>	Not set	1/14/2026 6:05:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8ggB	Lido Leisure serve many families in our local area where families and friends come to entertain and dine in. It is really important for families in this vast area where they can have fun and have a healthy lifestyle locally.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8ghl	This development fails to make adequate provision for loss of local amenities.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:50 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J8gHy	While the site may need development, this is not the way. So many new homes without local infrastructure being improved - no new schools, doctors, dentists etc and just means pressure on local services will increase astronomically. This will not be a success for the local community and will do more harm than good.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8gJc	Although I agree there needs to be more housing built, the Finchley Leisure centre is not the place to build, taking away from the well established leisure centre seems ridiculous!	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8gjN	far too large a development, negatively affecting the character of the neighbourhood	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8gJZ	Please don't demolish a much loved leisure facility. Used many times a year by me and my friends.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8gkz	I strongly object to the proposed changes which appear to be another excuse for property developers to line their pockets by destroying our area. The amenities at gnlp are used by people in many areas of north and west London and the transport links have been sufficient since it was built. The arguments for these renovations are excuses and the only people to benefit would be the property developers and government meeting their targets. The housing problem will not be fixed by trying to build another giant monstrosity full of 1-2 bedroom flats which do not accommodate families, in an area that is sight after partly for having such a high number of excellent schools and family amenities. Stop trying to destroy our area. To be clear the approved works at the lodge lane car park will never replace the cinema and bowling alley. The space is too small, no company has agreed to open a bowling alley or cinema there and it will remove crucial parking for local businesses and will eliminate foot fall. I mention this as it has been used in past as raining for the proposed destruction of the gnlp. If not careful our area will be ruined. I've voted for mayor Khan every time he has been up for election. If the democratic process is vetoed here, I will not vote for him again.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8gl1	Takes away a well used resource Too many buildings and no proper plans for coping with the density Far too few affordable houses that might have justified it	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8glB	Me and my family would not be happy with this plan going ahead.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8gIC	This looks to be an over development	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8gMo	I oppose this application on the grounds that it will have a very negative impact on the local area. The number of units proposed being built are too many and the building height too high. The number of people that will have to be accommodated will have a seriously deleterious impact on local services whether it be demand on school places, GPs and traffic noise and transport disruption.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8goD	The leisure centre, bowling alley and cinema are well used and are the only ones in North Finchley. All the local schools use the pool for swimming lessons. The bowling alley is used by a wide variety of local groups as well as individuals and is a popular children's birthday party venue. The Vue is the only cinema in a wide radius that is not an expensive Everyman. We need these facilities and they can't be demolished until a decent leisure centre, cinema and bowling alley have been built somewhere else in North Finchley	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8gOQ	I object strongly to these development proposals. There seems to be little consideration for those that use the facilities like the restaurants and cinema which are due to be demolished for residential blocks. The leisure centre is one of the reasons that we moved to our home and to see it go would be devastating. I am also concerned about the impact on traffic levels which are already really high on the High Road and surrounding neighbourhood, especially in rush hour leading to grid lock. This development proposal means that there will be more cars in the neighbourhood and more pollution. On whole the development does not seem to have been thought through and will definitely have a negative impact on the surrounding community. For these reasons I strongly object to the proposals.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8gOS	Its very rubbish plan to put that many houses.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8gRd	The existing facilities are part of the community and provide social and playscape for locals. Hands off please	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8gTF	These plans are Very disappointing for our community and families!!!!	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:40 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J8gY5	<p>The scheme suffers multiple defects as follows :</p> <p>Overcrowding: This will be one of the densest complexes in the country, with 4,300 people squeezed into an area the size of five football pitches. There are too few public spaces, amenities, and children's play areas.</p> <p>Unaffordable housing: The London Plan says that 50% of new homes should be "affordable", with a minimum of 35%, yet Regal proposes a meagre 25% and no social housing.</p> <p>Loss of entertainment venues: The Hollywood Bowl and the Vue cinema, the only such venues in this part of London, would be demolished with no comparable replacements. Young people and families will have no safe space to socialise, exacerbating rising mental health problems and antisocial behaviour.</p> <p>Poor transport services: TfL rates GNLP as having poor public transport access. There's only one major bus route and the Tube is 30 minutes walk away.</p> <p>Discrimination against car users: Only 18% of the flats have parking spaces—a third of what is required by Barnet's Local Plan. GNLP is remote and there are no major shops nearby. Many people and families need a car because they can't walk or cycle long distances.</p> <p>Damage to nature: The plans threaten local wildlife, including the protected great crested newts at Glebelands Nature Reserve. Arada-Regal's scheme risks causing severe damage to this habitat. The company has failed to deliver key ecological surveys and proposals required by government.</p> <p>Bad design: The cluster of blocks up to 25 storeys' tall represents shoddy architecture. The council's Strategic Planning Committee concluded that this "high-density proposal would result in overdevelopment of the site."</p> <p>Ignoring local people and visitors: Barnet Council failed to consult the community before allowing Regal to remove the entertainment venues.</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8gY6	<p>The leisure park is a big part of our culture in Barnet not just Finchley it has many memories as a child and is very convenient when looking for an activity. It is not convenient for flats and will greatly contribute to rising prices in our area that none of us want. This is a terrible idea please shut it down</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8gZh	<p>This development is no part of the solution to our current housing crisis in its lack of genuinely affordable housing, and only exists for Regal to invest in more financial assets rather than housing the community needs. It would be a downgrade of the already-existing leisure and entertainment facilities, which is already too little to serve the local area. There would be a severe lack of decent transport links, and there are no plans for any new basic amenities such as GPs, dentists, etc. It would also encroach upon a much-valued green space, the Glebelands.</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:44 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J8gzY	<p>The proposed development, which includes over 1,500 residential units in buildings up to 25 stories high, completely deviates from the character of the surrounding area, where local buildings typically stand no higher than five stories. The excessive height and density of these new buildings would dominate the skyline, overshadow nearby homes, and green spaces, and fundamentally alter the suburban character of North Finchley. This scale of development constitutes overdevelopment; it prioritises housing quantity at the expense of liveability, infrastructure capacity, and the specific needs of the local community.</p> <p>The redevelopment will result in the loss of essential leisure and recreational facilities, including a cinema, bowling alley, arcades, and restaurants, which currently serve as vital social hubs for the community. These facilities are widely used by families, young people, and residents of all ages. Removing them would force residents to travel further afield for leisure activities, negatively affecting local businesses and diminishing the community's sense of cohesion. Furthermore, there is no clear assurance that the proposed leisure centre will adequately replicate the variety and accessibility of existing amenities. Although the developers have indicated plans to incorporate new retail and leisure facilities, these will not be completed until 2034, leaving the community without suitable local amenities for eight years, potentially causing long-term displacement of residents. Claims by developers and some supporters that the current area is run-down and primarily dominated by cars are misleading; the area remains well-maintained and visually appealing. The frequent occupation of parking spaces at various times throughout the day only reinforces the fact that these facilities are actively used and valued by residents, who clearly wish to retain them.</p> <p>Local schools are already oversubscribed, with many families struggling to secure places for their children. An influx of new residents would further exacerbate overcrowding, leading to reduced educational quality. Similarly, healthcare services—including GP surgeries and dental practices—are already under significant pressure, resulting in long waiting times for appointments. Currently, there is no clear plan to expand local healthcare provision to accommodate this increased demand. Overall, the development fails to adequately address the additional strain it would impose on essential public services.</p> <p>The site is located near the heavily congested A406 (North Circular), and the influx of additional residents and vehicles will significantly worsen existing traffic conditions, particularly during peak hours. The proposed development provides only 268 parking spaces for over 1,500 residential units—a deliberately limited ratio intended by developers to discourage car use and promote walking and public transport. However, this approach is flawed because the local public transport infrastructure is already stretched to its limits, particularly during rush hour, and is insufficient for accommodating such a substantial increase in demand. Furthermore, the lack of adequate parking will not deter new residents from bringing their vehicles—potentially even multiple cars per household—which could result in upwards of 1,500 additional cars in the area. The site's proximity to the North Circular further reinforces car dependency rather than reducing it, undermining the developers' stated objectives and exacerbating congestion and inconvenience for current residents.</p> <p>The proposed development borders Glebelands Local Nature Reserve, a protected area that supports important protected species including Great Crested Newts and other wildlife. Increased foot traffic and extensive construction activities will pose a significant threat to these sensitive habitats. The developer's own Terrestrial Ecology report acknowledges the potential adverse environmental impacts but fails to provide concrete or adequate mitigation measures. The removal of existing green spaces (directly or indirectly), alongside increased pollution, noise, and artificial lighting, will severely harm local biodiversity and air quality. Claims by developers and some supporters that the project will introduce green spaces currently lacking in the area are demonstrably false, given that a substantial field and nature reserve lie within a minute's walk of the proposed site. Any minimal addition of greenery within the development itself would be completely</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8h18	<p>This is a monstrous development!</p> <p>Where will people go for cinema and swimming and seeing friends?</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:06 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J8h2n	<p>Here's a version of your objection comment that clearly explains your opposition while sounding reasoned and constructive — suitable for submission to a planning portal or local consultation.</p> <p>Comment on Proposed Redevelopment</p> <p>I wish to object to this planning application on the following grounds:</p> <p>Traffic and highway safety: The proposed increase to 1,485 residential units will place significant additional pressure on an already congested road network. The North Circular (A406) frequently experiences long queues and safety incidents, particularly during peak hours. The scale of new development will likely worsen traffic flow, air pollution, and accident risks in the surrounding area. No clear mitigation plan appears sufficient to address this impact.</p> <p>Loss of valued local amenities: The existing leisure park – including the swimming pool, bowling alley, and cinema – currently serves as an accessible community hub for families across nearby residential areas. Its removal would represent a major loss of recreational space and affordable entertainment, reducing the quality of life for residents and increasing travel distances for equivalent facilities.</p> <p>Overdevelopment and excessive density: The proposed density of up to 25 storeys across 20 new buildings represents excessive overdevelopment. Local housing needs have already been substantially met through recent nearby projects. Further large-scale residential construction risks overwhelming local services, schools, and infrastructure, leading to a decline in overall livability and environmental quality.</p> <p>In summary, while housing provision is important, this proposal is disproportionate to the area's capacity and would cause lasting harm to local amenity, transport safety, and community wellbeing.</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8h5z	Disappointed we will be losing local amenities such as the Lido, cinema and bowling complex.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8h9C	<p>My major concern is traffic and public services(NHS GP, school, library and community centre)</p> <p>A1000 is already reached its designed capacity and creat back flow to A406 in peak hour. Also, this proposal doesn't provide enough car parking (at least 1 space for each household) which will lead to parking on the nearby road especially summer lane and granville road(may lead to blockage of traffic flow to Finchley memorial hospital)</p> <p>This development hasn't not provide extra NHS GP, school and community servies</p> <p>No more residential building should be added unless</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8hcD	Loss of third spaces for people who already live here.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8hCP	The infrastructure in this location is already weak - bus ride to the nearest tube. There is a small GP surgery locally, already over-subscribed. There are very few shops locally. The current facilities (sport, leisure, food) are very well subscribed and needed; replacing with flats is just a density issue - too much housing with too little local community features. Please do not accept this without allowing for community infrastructure.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8hCQ	I am very supportive of building extra housing. I do not understand why the proposal didn't incorporate including the existing leisure centre which has both indoor and outdoor swimming pools. It's a huge asset to the neighbouring community and to future residents of this proposed development. The pool is in pretty good condition and much nicer/newer than other north London swimming pools such as at the Archway Leisure centre.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8hFd	<p>The main bus route, the 263, running from North to South is already heavily used.</p> <p>As the estate will be nowhere near the Northern Line, the Picadilly Line and the North Finchley shopping centre the additional numbers forced to use buses will be huge.</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8hM5	<p>Loss of cinema, bowling and restaurants which we use frequently, will force us to go elsewhere far from North Finchley.</p> <p>This development will also impact negatively those existing businesses and related employment in the area.</p> <p>Building more residential dwelling will impact negatively local services and access to GPs, schools, dentists, already oversubscribed in the area.</p> <p>Lastly it will increase the need for more public parking spaces in an area where it's already difficult to find parking availability.</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8hNh	There is a lack of infrastructure (schools, hospitals etc.) to support the additional residents. The traffic congestion would increase at the busiest hours of the day, especially in the morning. This would also increase noise and air pollution for existing residents and local school children. Increased traffic also poses a risk of vehicle accidents. The current amenities on the site provide a much-needed venue for the community. The knock-on effect of relocating the amenities to the smaller Lodge Lane site would cause traffic congestion, noise and pollution issues in a more densely populated area.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8hPK	THIS PROPOSAL IS TOO DENSE, TOO HIGH RISE AND REMOVES WELL LOVED, POPULAR, AND NEEDED AMENITIES FROM LOCAL PEOPLE - THE PROPSOED REPLACEMENTS FOR THE CINEMA, BOWLING ALLEY, SWIMMING POOLS IN LODGE LANE CAR PARK ARE LUDICROUS AND INSULTING!	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8Hrm	I think with this environmental impact, not good for the local residents	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:33 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J8hU9	This will make the kids in n12 the only place they can have fun which is important in a community to reduce for instance high street crimes. Where will the kids of n12 hang out after that ? They will be left with nothing at all.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:22 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8hYz	Barnet Council refused this application for sound planning reasons, and it is vital that the Mayor respects that decision.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8IN4	Will bring crime to the area	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8IpT	While the area would benefit from regeneration, the current plans are invasive and there is little infrastructure improvement for new residents, which will have negative impact on all residents	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8lxk	Barnet Council refused this application for sound planning reasons, and it is vital that the Mayor respects that decision. I am a local resident who frequently uses the facilities here, including the leisure centre pool, the cinema and restaurants. It's an amazing set of local facilities which would be deeply missed if they were redeveloped. The proposal is also unfeasible. Adding 4,300 additional residents with no additional NHS, Education or Dental services, will be disastrous for current residents and anyone who moves in. I have just tried to sign up to a new GP in the area and been told there is a 3 month wait list - how much worse will this get with thousands of new residents? There is also a distinct lack of public transport to the area. I hope the Mayor will listen to local people and Barnet Council and refuse this application.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8KGu	This construction will irreversibly damage the local environment and living conditions for local residents. Mayor Sadiq Khan please come and see for yourself and STOP this construction.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8L3x	Children and young people are using this leisure centre to keep healthy and stay out of trouble The cinema and the restaurants are providing jobs and opportunities If these hugely needed businesses are being demolished then what are people supposed to do and go to relax? If this is allowed you are choking this area If Sir Sadiq Khan approves this development then he is no better then the Conservative Party who made young people pay for the bankers wrongs STOP THIS MADNESS	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8LHS	This will be an eyesore that will impact badly on the area - in addition the area lacks facilities and infrastructure for this kind of increase in population	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8LL3	Rather than adding to the numerous cogent objections to this scheme, I simply request that Sir Sadiq and his senior staff go through the proposal with an open mind and see what so many of us who live near here find it so very objectionable.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8NQk	Over intensive development that doesn't sit in the suburban landscape of Finchley. Loss of amenities for local residents It will create traffic mayhem at the Summers Lane junction. No additional infrastructure for the thousands of new residents overloading existing services Warehousing people rather than creating new communities Environmental impact on the Glebeland area	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8NQI	Barnet Council's reasons for refusal are sound and based on planning law. The Mayor should respect local democracy. This is a wildly overdeveloped scheme that would dominate and damage the local area. In addition, the site has poor transport links and can't sustain such high density. It offers no new leisure centre, only reduced facilities compared to what's there now. The application fails to protect biodiversity, with significant risks to the Glebelands Nature Reserve, including protected species like great crested newts.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:22 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8NsN	Finchley has seen a huge amount of new developments and this one not only is the biggest it's also the highest- it removes a vital social area for locals and a place for the teenagers to go. Removing this hub of social activity/ restaurants and facilities will greatly dimiss the lives of so many in the local area. I'm aware that the plan is to open a new smaller cinema and bowling alley- but nothing on the scale of what we have already. There is also no provision of extra schools/ GPs/ Nurseries that I can see. And with the volume of new accommodation proposed this seems like a huge oversight.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8P59	The loss of the swimming pool will have a huge impact More unaffordable housing is not what is needed	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8PmC	I have great concerns and am strongly opposed to this proposal on the grounds that it will become over populated in an already congested area of Finchley. The transport links are already poor along this stretch, with no underground station nearby. A 25 storey build will look out of place in the area, and the proposal to significantly scale down the current public amenities will deeply impact the existing residents, especially the younger population. Furthermore the surrounding streets will not be able to cope with such an increase in traffic, which will be inevitable from an additional 1485 residential dwellings.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8Pw8	Objection	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:11 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J8Pzl	The loss of leisure facilities including the lido would seriously impact the mainly families who live in the area. Many families use the bowling and cinema there. Also the restaurant too!	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8RN3	Object Leisure activity	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8RN6	I fundamentally disagree with the above as a suitable replacement of amenities hasn't been offered. This area is a massive part of the community and we need it here	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8RT6	The area has already suffered from lack of public services and facilities. Adding more demand to it is a clear mistake.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8S75	Please cancel this project making local area less convenient	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8SXB	The grossly over dense housing scheme maximises the number of dwellings at the expense of safe and healthy homes presumably to fund the new leisure centre. Despite a few minor adjustments our previous objections still stand as outlined in the attached document. The reduction of the Leisure centre facilities is unacceptable and the Centre, already fully used by residents across the Borough and beyond, will be overwhelmed by the potential 4800 new residents living adjacent. The proposal for housing does not comply with the NPPF, The London Plan and their Guidance on Housing Design Standards nor the New Local Plan in requirements for healthy living. There are significant shortcomings driven by height, scale and massing negatively impacting the amenity and living conditions of the future occupants. The Inspector when twice rejecting the appeal on the Homebase site established that this area of Finchley is suburban and the term amenity extends to the living conditions of future residents. What is proposed far exceeds density indicated for city centre locations and is not appropriate for this suburban site. The Design led approach has failed to produce good housing that will support a community for years to come. Public Transport Level is low at between 1B and 2, and there is no community infrastructure to support the 1485 households. The Local Plan does not identify this as a site suitable for tall buildings, in Barnet 8 storeys and above and over 26m. The taller the buildings the more shade they cast, so residents will have to live with below standard daylight and sunlight (28% of homes identified below the minimum standards), especially at lower levels, looking out of their dwellings at shaded buildings, neighbours balconies, poor privacy and in 33% at least only a single aspect, (some facing north) which is unhealthy and unacceptable. The mix of homes does not meet Borough needs as set out in the New Local Plan and only 22% are 'affordable'. The mixing of open, green and play space, footpaths and vehicles indicates lack of ground space to support the numbers and will lead to unsafe play and green space often in the shade. Delivery bikes and vans will inevitably run across these multiuse areas. The inclusion of the Leisure Centre in the calculations is wrong. The concerns of design review panel were ignored and in-depth study would reveal many more contraventions. The Local Authority planning committee refusal of the scheme should be supported.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8VBf	I'm writing to strongly oppose this development for various reasons. Firstly, this is a very well-used site. It may have changed over the years, eg a foodbank supply depot replacing a restaurant, but that is a sign of the times in the UK. Many people still use the amenities on offer. I found the initial survey report of usage misleading as there was a statement to the effect of cars just being parked there. No mention of why and that many people were actually in the gym, pool, cinema or restaurants. Secondly, the proposed residential towers are too high and I believe over permitted development. There would be an enormous amount of people living there, how would the proposed new sports centre cope with this influx, and how would the new traffic exit onto Summers Lane cope? Summers Lane is a heavily-used, fast road, one that would be tricky to enter from the development. With any new development, there is a fear for the impact on nature. This site is close to old woodland and green open space. The woods are home to bats and of course the protected great crested newts. What assurances would this developer offer us in regard to nature? You can clearly see that locals feel passionately about stopping this proposed development. Thank-you for reading my comments.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8Vhm	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •This is a wildly overdeveloped scheme that would dominate and damage the local area. •The site has poor transport links (low PTAL) and can't sustain such high density. •It offers no new leisure centre, only reduced facilities compared to what's there now. •The application fails to protect biodiversity, with significant risks to the Glebelands Nature Reserve, including protected species like great crested newts. <p>Barnet Council's reasons for refusal are sound and based on planning law. The Mayor should respect local democracy.</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8Vpt	<p>This is a wildly overdeveloped scheme that would dominate and damage the local area. The site has poor transport links and can't sustain such high density. It offers no new leisure centre, only reduced facilities compared to what's there now. The application fails to protect biodiversity, with significant risks to the Glebelands Nature Reserve, including protected species like great crested newts.</p> <p>Barnet Council's reasons for refusal are sound and based on planning law. The Mayor should respect local democracy.</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:23 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J8WE3	<p>I am strongly against the proposed redevelopment that aims to demolish our cherished cinema, bowling alley, and restaurant in favour of new flats. These venues are more than just buildings; they are essential community spaces where our children can create lasting memories and enjoy quality time with friends and family.</p> <p>Replacing these beloved facilities with residential developments may seem appealing, but it overlooks the importance of spaces that promote social interaction, creativity, and fun. Our children deserve access to recreational facilities that cater to their needs, rather than losing them to more flats that do not support a vibrant community.</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8WE4	<p>I object to the proposed redevelopment of the existing leisure centre, which has been located near my home and serving the local community for over 20 years, to be replaced by a block of residential apartments.</p> <p>The leisure centre is a well established and heavily used community facility that plays a vital role in promoting health, wellbeing, and social inclusion. I personally use this leisure centre every week. Its long-term presence demonstrates a sustained and ongoing need for such a facility in this area. The centre is consistently busy and caters to a wide range of users, including families, young people, older residents, and individuals who rely on its close proximity and ease of access.</p> <p>The site's location makes the leisure centre particularly accessible to nearby residents, including myself, many of whom are able to walk to the facility.</p> <p>This accessibility encourages regular use, reduces reliance on private vehicles, and supports healthier and more sustainable lifestyles. The loss of this facility would disproportionately affect those who may be unable to travel further afield to access alternative leisure provision. Both my elderly parents use the facilities frequently.</p> <p>The proposal would result in the permanent loss of a valued community asset, which cannot easily be replaced elsewhere. Once removed, it is highly unlikely that a similar facility would be reinstated in this location, resulting in long-term harm to the social infrastructure of the area. This conflicts with the objective of maintaining balanced and sustainable communities.</p> <p>In contrast, the introduction of a block of apartments on this site would increase housing density and place additional pressure on local infrastructure, including parking, traffic, and local services. The development would not provide the same level of community benefit as the existing leisure centre and would serve a significantly smaller proportion of the local population.</p> <p>Overall, the proposal prioritises residential development at the expense of an established, accessible, and well-used community facility. I believe the loss of the leisure centre would have a detrimental impact on community wellbeing, public health, and the character of the local area. For these reasons, I urge the planning authority to refuse the application and seek to protect this important community asset.</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8Wtz	I Object to the proposal	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8XAI	Application is defective in not addressing legal requirement for biodiversity protection including Great crested newt protection - this is a strategic regional population. Mitigation proposals have not followed the correct decision making process are data - deficient and reasonable requests have been ignored. Legislative breaches are open to judicial challenge if this is approved unrevised.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8XwL	this place is a gem to the local area for all ages. find somewhere else to ruin , not here	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8YAp	There are many reasons why we as a family object to this redevelopment. You are ploughing too many people into an already over populated area, removing the only leisure facility in the area. You have also not considered the need for infrastructure such as schools and GPs for the number of additional residents. The lack of consideration for the impact on the local nature reserve and the biodiversity it brings is also saddening.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8YE7	It would be a sad loss	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8YE8	As mentioned above!	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8Yfa	<p>I want to object to the proposed construction of high-rise residential buildings, in the place of a leisure center and green open space for the local community.</p> <p>The proposed development will place strain on existing infrastructure, including traffic congestion, parking, schools, and healthcare facilities.</p> <p>In addition, the scale and height of the proposed buildings are incompatible with the surrounding low- to mid-rise neighborhood character.</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8YUM	<p>Preposterous idea on a far too over developed area. It has not transport links and is on top of the North Circular with noise pollution. Risk to the local nature in the area and does not protect biodiversity.</p> <p>There is no offer to replace the full size leisure center which is always busy and popular.</p> <p>Barnet councils reasons for refusal are sound and based on planning law. The mayor should respect local democracy.</p>	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:32 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001J8ZJm	This probably is the worst design ever seen. There is already one sky scraper at Tally Ho Corner, which has an environmental impact because of its height, by blocks out the view and causes a horrible wind tunnel. There is a proposal to erect more skyscraper blocks of flats which will be even more detrimental to the surrounding area. shops at that point and nearby are low standard and full of money laundering, nail shops, barbers and numerous coffee bars. Frequented by strange people. Betting shops. There are no useful shops and what guarantee is there that this will change. Not enough affordable flats as far as we can see. Where are the family houses which we need. People bringing up children want affordable houses as well. Is the borough going to be full of flats in order to squash more people into the area. Who are these people who are going to be able to afford them? This creates high density. I am totally opposed to this development.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8ZTT	Object! Object! Object! This site is a local amenity which is well used & needed in the community	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8ZTU	There is a lack of infrastructure for this large development with limited public transport and already heavy traffic on the nearby roads, including the A 406 (North Circular) and the High Road (A1000). The proposed unsightly 25 Storey tower block is completely out of character with the surrounding area. I hope that the Mayor will abide by Barnet Councils refusal of the present planning application and the huge public outcry against it.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8ZV0	This is an unsuitable development. It has far too dense population for the number of units. There is no infrastructure to support this very large development. It does not help the need for affordable housing in the borough.	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8ZwR	This proposal is an absolute disgrace, with no thought to the local community. There are not enough facilities for the community as it is, it is not viable as the infrastructure, healthcare, schools etc cannot cope with such a scheme. Hundreds of new properties have been built in the last few years (350 properties alone on the Homebase site across the road) and to have such a massive, ugly and ill thought development will impact the local community in so many negative ways, putting a massive strain on services that cannot be sustained.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8Zy5	Impact on local medical and educational facilities Loss of facilities	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8Zy7	There are no other entertainment facilities in the area for children. These cannot be removed.	Object	1/14/2026 6:05:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001J8Zze	Lack of School places, Doctor spaces .	Object	1/14/2026 6:04:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Ja3rj	This proposal is totally out of keeping with both its local structural context and local community interests and views, and is far too overbearing for this location	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Ja3rk	This place is very important for the locals. It brings communities together and keeps healthy social activities. Removing this place will have a great negative impact on the residents specially youths and families.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Ja4Yu	The proposal is completely out of proportion to the available space, and sited next to 2 major roads, A1000 and A406, both are which are already dangerous for pedestrians and families to cross and to live near.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Ja4Yv	The proposal represents an over-intensive form of development that is out of scale and character with the surrounding suburban area. The height, density and design are not justified by the site's poor public transport accessibility and would result in harm to the local townscape and sustainability objectives. The scheme would also lead to the loss of well-used community leisure facilities, including the cinema, bowling alley and restaurants, which make an important contribution to local social life. The proposed replacement provision is not equivalent and would result in a clear loss of community benefit. In addition, the development would place undue pressure on local infrastructure. The cumulative harm of the proposal outweighs any claimed benefits.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Ja6id	The leisure park being threatened with demolition provides a much-needed gathering and entertainment space for families and young people in the area and throughout North London. Adding housing to an already densely populated area and removing a huge community gathering space that caters to many children and families will be detrimental to the wider community. Furthermore, there are already so few safe spaces close to home for teenagers to meet their friends and partake in safe, legal and wholesome activities. The Hollywood bowl and surrounding leisure park is vital for keeping young people active, social, entertained and safe.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Ja9PP	There are not so many places like this for the locals to go out. This is a very well established area. Please don't disrupt it with this replanning.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:57 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001Ja9PQ	<p>I object to the proposed redevelopment of Great North Leisure Park (up to 1,485 dwellings in buildings up to 25 storeys high). My main concerns are:</p> <p>Excessive height and scale — Towers of this height are out of character with the surrounding low- to medium-rise area, harming local townscape and visual amenity.</p> <p>Adverse impact on neighbours — Significant overshadowing, loss of daylight/sunlight, and overlooking for nearby homes.</p> <p>Strain on infrastructure — Over 1,400 new homes would worsen traffic congestion, parking issues, and pressure on schools, healthcare, and public transport without adequate mitigation.</p> <p>Loss of community facilities — Demolition of the existing leisure park (including cinema and bowling) reduces valuable amenities, with insufficient replacement.</p> <p>The proposal conflicts with policies in the London Plan and Barnet Local Plan on design, character, residential amenity, and sustainable development.</p> <p>I urge refusal of the application. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaAuZ	What is the point of adding more housing and getting rid of various amenities for the local populous. Please reconsider and move to another location. Thanks	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaayi	The traffic in the area is already unmanageable! There is no sufficient infrastructures in place to support more people, not enough schools, GP surgeries etc. Also, what's going to happen all the restaurants and leisure centre and bowling alley?!	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaayo	Absolutely unwanted plan.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaB35	The current site as it is, provides much needed leisure and entertainment activities for local residents and not only. There have been already many other developments in the area, and this is the only place in the area where people can go spend quality time with family and friends. People need leisure and entertainment activities too, for their children and the whole family. I strongly object the demolition of the current site to build a new development; it is definitely not in the best interest of local residents or people living in Barnet who frequently use the current site.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:21 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JablV	I am so upset if the place is replaced by residential properties	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jabnv	Losing a place where us families can take our children. There are no other local bowling alleys or vue cinemas. The swimming pool would be a big loss. Dont need more flats overwhelming the area, hospitals, GPs etc. really sad if this leisure park closes.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaciA	Local families from the immediate area and beyond rely on this space for cultural and social reasons. I do not think the new plans are adequate to replace what would be lost if this redevelopment were to be approved.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaciB	This development does not include extra infrastructure needed to accommodate the increase in population to the area. How affordable these properties will be to the people who really need the housing is questionable.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaciB	We visit lido every week. Cannot imagine loosing that place. So unfair. Residential buildings are everywhere around...people need that space.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaciE	Fully Support	Support	1/19/2026 6:05:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaciF	As it is the area has little to no places for children to visit. With their friends or with family. Leave one last thing in the area. And stop driving away all the local amenities. It's disgusting how every single space is being filled in the area with disgusting high rise accommodation.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JacyS	I'm totally against the over development and any new housing on this site.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jad1D	Infrastructure is not there in Barnet to support a development of this size. This includes: Hospital, doctors surgery, Dentist, schools both primary and secondary and lack of sporting venues. Furthermore, single lane road will be blocked with traffic and is one of the main arterial routes into the centre of London.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:15 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JadEm	<p>I object to this application on the grounds that the proposal, as currently submitted, fails to demonstrate compliance with the London Plan and Barnet Local Plan and does not provide sufficient information for the impacts of a development of this scale to be properly assessed.</p> <p>The proposal involves demolition of existing buildings and phased redevelopment to deliver up to 1,485 residential units across 20 buildings up to 25 storeys, together with leisure, commercial and sports facilities. This constitutes a major development of strategic scale, yet key matters are either inadequately assessed or deferred to later stages.</p> <p>In particular:</p> <p>1. Height, massing and character Buildings of up to 25 storeys are wholly out of keeping with the surrounding townscape. The application does not robustly demonstrate compliance with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • London Plan Policies D3 and D9 • Barnet Local Plan policies on tall buildings, townscape character and contextual height <p>There is insufficient justification for the scale, massing and cumulative visual impact of 20 linked buildings of this height.</p> <p>2. Phasing and deliverability No binding phasing strategy has been provided to demonstrate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How demolition and construction will be sequenced • How long each phase will last • What protections exist if later phases are delayed or not delivered <p>This creates a serious risk of long-term construction blight and land banking, contrary to good planning practice.</p> <p>3. Construction and demolition impacts Given the scale and likely multi-year construction period, the absence of a fully detailed and enforceable Construction Management Plan is unacceptable.</p> <p>There is inadequate assessment of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HGV routing and traffic disruption • Noise, vibration and dust impacts • Construction hours and weekend working • Impacts on vulnerable residents, schools and local businesses <p>These impacts cannot be left to condition at a later stage.</p> <p>4. Transport, parking and cumulative impact The application fails to convincingly demonstrate that:</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaen5	There are few, if any, leisure amenities in this area and to take away the Lido, Bowling Alley, Restaurants and Cinema is a unwarranted decision.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaf4O	This development is too big, there are not enough transport, school or Doctors surgeries to support such a large influx of population. There is already a large amount of housing development on the High Road (the Homebase site) and in North Finchley and East Finchley. The area cannot sustain such a large influx of population, also any further development must be affordable to the general population.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaf4w	The leisure park being threatened with demolition provides a much-needed gathering and entertainment space for families and young people in the area and throughout North London. Adding housing to an already densely populated area and removing a huge community gathering space that caters to many children and families will be detrimental to the wider community. Furthermore, there are already so few safe spaces close to home for teenagers to meet their friends and partake in safe, legal and wholesome activities. The Hollywood bowl and surrounding leisure park is vital for keeping young people active, social, entertained and safe.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:11 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JafG7	This is a much loved local leisure centre and there is no need for more congestion and housing here.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JafHv	Will have negative social impact. This is a great hub from people in the immediate and surrounding neighbourhoods to come spend the day either eating at the restaurants, watching a movie, visiting the Lido etc.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JafRu	<p>The density of housing will impact the amenities and area. The developers are max profit by over development. How is the additional cars, parking, be accounted for. Local facilities- doctors, dentist, school will not be able to cope. Housing very close to two main roads. The building are too tall for the area. Not sure how many will be affordable for key workers.</p> <p>I use the Better Gym - it will be rebuilt minus the kids pool - not taking into account the addition visitors from the additional 1400 homes!! The facilities will not be able to cope.</p> <p>Are we looking at another Grenfeld height tower block?</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jag5Y	Not happy no amenities left in the area!	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:37 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001Jag7J	I am in support of building this housing in the proposed area.	Support	1/19/2026 6:05:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JagqA	The loss of social/community infrastructure is unacceptable. You can't just build endless housing and have no community infrastructure to service the residents!	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JagS4	I strongly object to the redevelopment plans. This space has been such a lovely hang for my family with such an assortment of amenities within reach particularly in the cold winter months.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jahfo	Im totally against this development. I make use of the cinema and swimming pool and bowling. Its one of thr few things locally for kids to enjoy	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JahmA	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JahRR	The current site offers much needed and well used leisure and entertainment facilities which are not being replaced in the current scheme.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JahuE	Please don't destroy a treasure of North Finchley's long standing heritage, this is a thriving and crucial aspect of our local area's economy and replacing it with unwanted, uninvited, unaffordable housing that has already taken place across North Finchley is simply unforgiveable. I know a great many people, young and old, working and retired who have expressed their displeasure with this project and it doesn't benefit the overall community; in the way that our hidden gem of the Leisure Park does and has done for decades. My one & only wish is to not take away what has been cherished for generations and to be replaced with quite simply nothing in exchange.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jahxa	My children love visiting the bowling alley and cinema. This will be a real loss for children and young adults in the area.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JahzT	This area is and has always been a key community space for the area. There are no other public bowling alleys in Enfield or the area. Also the amenity and shops makes this a great area.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jai43	We are blessed to have a leisure centre shared by all corners of Finchley and beyond. A focal point for all ages: families, young people, senior citizens. North Finchley Leisure Centre affords a variety of activities that brings community together in a safe location that feels friendly and safe. NFLC also has a good bus service on the doorstep and a free car park. At a time when places are closing, life is so expensive - young people and old need a respite to the harsh realities of every day life. PLEASE Mayor Khan don't let our centre go. On every level the people need it. Fight for us! Fight for what makes a difference to the well being of a community. Thank you.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaiFg	This is a disgrace to our community. Everyone we know loves visiting the area for fun and relaxation. The thought of it disappearing is heart breaking. We don't need yet another housing development. In my years living here we have had developments on the Corner of the North Circular, next to the Yellow storage. The corner of Granville Road. The site of the old Homebase store. All within 200 meters. Enough is enough.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaiou	People do not want to live in 25 storey apartments. We do not want to lose the leisure amenities. What are you going to provide for the younger generation.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaiql	The proposed redevelopment cannot be supported in its current form. The plans fail to replace key existing amenities — including the cinema, bowling facilities, lido and restaurants. This represents a significant loss of community resources. Any redevelopment must, at minimum, provide the same level and range of facilities that residents currently rely on. It is deeply concerning that these plans have progressed to this stage without the local authorities adequately considering the needs and expectations of the community. The proposals must be revised to ensure that all existing amenities are retained or replaced like for like. The community deserves development that enhances our area, not one that diminishes it.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaiQX	Losing the cinema, bowling alley and restaurants would be a huge loss and disaster for the area. My family and I use these facilities all the time and if they weren't there, we would have to travel further to find equivalent facilities. This extra travel would increase pollution - something the mayor of London is supposed to care about.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jais5	The scheme will get rid of the already minimal number of restaurants in the area and parking available for using the new pool. It is highly impractical to use the pool in winter then have to wait ages for the bus in the cold with young children. Also thw amount of traffic in the area is completely unacceptable due to lack of roads and the population density. This scheme will make it even worse for residents. The scheme also doesnt encourage any community and doesn't improve the area in any way except to increase the burden on existing amenities in the area.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaitY	object	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaitZ	Losing a small mall, the cinema, the swimming will be a big loss for the community. Creating more houses will ruin the neighbours. Will be too crowded for all the public services of the area.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaiwr	We need more Doctors dentists hospitals before our area can take on more housing. Also this is used daily for recreational and social we need to keep this .	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaiws	Huge disappointment in this development losing this leisure park where everything is available. Why demolish something that is so popular???? To house who? People who are too lazy to work????? Or the immigrants???? Selfish decision to lose such an iconic site where children actually enjoy going to.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:59 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaiwv	<p>Dear Team,</p> <p>I live in Enfield and this is a leisure complex that we use in terms of the cinema, bowling and eateries when locally the only other bowling area is in Finsbury Park.</p> <p>It's convenient in terms of timing to reach but also with free parking, makes it an easy outing choice for our family. At a time when kids and young people need places to spend their leisure time and to connect with each other and not behind screens, taking away an opportunity like this leisure park is disappointing. Families being affected are further than just the Borough of Barnet. Please reconsider this development. In an already dense North London it's also adding to the congestion.</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaiYd	<p>I object to the proposed comprehensive redevelopment of the Great North Leisure Park on the grounds that the scale, density, and height of the development are excessive and would result in significant harm to the character of the area and the quality of life of existing and future residents. The proposal for up to 1,485 residential units across buildings reaching up to 25 storeys represents overdevelopment of the site, which is out of keeping with the surrounding townscape and would create an overly dominant and visually intrusive form of development. Furthermore, the scheme would place unacceptable pressure on existing local infrastructure, including transport networks, healthcare, schools, and community services, without sufficient evidence that these impacts can be adequately mitigated. The loss of existing leisure provision during phased redevelopment, increased traffic congestion, reduced air quality, and potential overshadowing and loss of daylight to neighbouring properties further demonstrate that the proposal conflicts with the principles of sustainable development and fails to meet local and national planning policy objectives. For these reasons, the application should be refused.</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaj8B	<p>I am concerned that the development will have:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Limited parking so the local bus service which is not as regular as advertised will be overwhelmed 2. Very tall buildings 3. An impact on the Glebelands nature reserve and bats which fly in the area 4. An impact on over busy local GP, dentist services and schools of which some are over subscribed 5. A negative noise and environmental impact on local residents, water, electricity supplies 6. A reduction in restaurants, cinema and bowling alley which are used by many people from North London - Tottenham, Harringey, Highgate, Muswell Hill etc. The area does not have entertainment areas so North Finchley, and other local areas will lose income, jobs and recreation. 7. A limited access to supermarkets, chemists, etc. <p>The development is too large, and will not address housing needs, i.e. proper affordable housing to buy and rent, and council housing, a much more equitable part-buy part-rent deal. There needs to be the building of, or conversion of existing buildings into homes for singles, couples, small families, growing families, larger families, students, tired people on basic pensions, people with different access needs and individual needs with spacious rooms, well managed affordable management. This development does not have these.</p> <p>Regal have refused to fund extra police, GP and health services and work with TFI. They are a company which needs to make money for shareholders.</p> <p>Why can't the mayor set up a house building organisation to cover new homes for all Londoners, homeless people, and people in need which will provide for local, community, real need?</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JajCt	Another loss of community space to ugly unaffordable housing...	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JajhY	This is an amazing leisure space where I take my children frequently we will be losing a swimming pool good restaurants and bowling and there's nothing else in the vicinity like this	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:51 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JajPn	<p>I strongly object to the proposed redevelopment of Great Leisure Park, North Finchley.</p> <p>This development would result in the permanent loss of a well-used, accessible community leisure destination that currently provides cinema, bowling, restaurants, local employment, and a safe social space for families, young people, and older residents alike. The existing complex plays a vital role in North Finchley's evening economy and community life, particularly in an area already under pressure from congestion, overdevelopment, and strain on infrastructure.</p> <p>The proposal represents an excessive and inappropriate scale of development that is entirely out of keeping with the surrounding area. It would significantly increase traffic, parking stress, noise, and pressure on local services without delivering equivalent or meaningful public benefit. The design and density prioritise developer profit over community wellbeing and local character.</p> <p>Crucially, this scheme has already been roundly rejected at council level, reflecting the clearly expressed will of local residents and elected representatives who understand the area best. An executive override by the Mayor would undermine local democracy and public trust in the planning system.</p> <p>There has been no convincing justification for the loss of established leisure facilities, nor any credible replacement that meets the same social, cultural, and economic needs. Once demolished, this community asset will be lost forever.</p> <p>Poor transport services: TfL rates GNLP as having poor public transport access. There's only one major bus route and the Tube is 30 minutes walk away.</p> <p>Discrimination against car users: Only 18% of the flats have parking spaces, which is a third of what is required by Barnet's Local Plan. GNLP is remote and there are no major shops nearby. Many people need to use a car because they can't walk or cycle long distances.</p> <p>Damage to nature: Many trees will be removed. The plans threaten local wildlife, including the protected great crested newts at Glebelands Nature Reserve. Regal's application risks causing serious damage to a rare habitat.</p> <p>I therefore urge the Mayor to respect the council's decision, listen to local residents, and reject this proposal in full.</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JajrC	<p>Awful to take over much needed leisure space in the area. The current provision serves many communities and has done for many years. Shocking to see this proposal being considered and the ramifications would be significant</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JajuU	<p>The Great North Leisure Park is regularly used by many local residents. The restaurants, bowling, cinema, swimming and other facilities are a vital resource for us, which is shown by the fact that they are always busy.</p> <p>Demolishing the park will deprive the area of social resources that people need for their emotional well-being.</p> <p>Building new accommodation will also put further strain on local facilities that are already stretched such as doctors surgeries, schools and nurseries.</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jajxn	<p>This leisure centre has been there for many years & enjoyed by the younger generation. It is the only leisure centre in the Borough.</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jak2W	<p>Absolute madness, area is badly congested as it is, the proposition of new development is very irresponsible and should not go ahead!</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jak2X	<p>In a time where youth crime is increasing massively amenities which take children and youngsters off the street, places like Hollywood bowl vie cinema and the lido are of substantial benefit in helping to give young people save spaces.</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JakaU	<p>Keep what we have. No need for more unaffordable housing which only benefits the owners rather than the community.</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JakB8	<p>Please please please don't get rid of this very beloved local resource. All the local families use this development regularly. What a shame to alter it!</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jakc1	<p>The area is poor in entertainment choices and with this development it loses a swimming pool (including an outdoor one), a cinema complex and a few restaurants. It really appears to be an unusual choice, considering that this is London and it isn't just about residential development.</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JakCA	<p>As above</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:57 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JakDq	<p>I wish to object to the Regal development of the site at Leisure Way North Finchley for the following reasons -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The height of the tallest building reaching a massive 26 storeys is out of character for the area. The buildings will cast a huge shadows at different times of the day, not to the Gleblelands Nature Reserve, but to surrounding homes blocking out daylight & sunlight. Also the height of the tallest building will overlook my garden and privacy in Summers Lane. 2. The development of 1'500 new apartments and an increase in 3'000 new residents in this location will put a massive strain on the infrastructure provision for transport (buses), doctors, hospitals and school provision which is already overstretched! 3. Access to the new swimming pool, to be built on The Gleblelands Nature Reserve, will be via Summers Lane, & will create even more traffic congestion, noise, car pollution and long delays to an already busy road due to a Recycle Centre and Secondary School being located on Summers Lane! This will also place additional strain to the only bus which travels along Summers Lane (a single decker 382). 4. Regal has made no provision to protect the wildlife and nature in The Gleblelands Nature Reserve and with such a densely populated housing development (with extremely high buildings) it will create a danger to wildlife, which is a crime under the Wildlife and Countryside Act. 5. The height of the taller buildings on this development is likely to create a wind vortex with the Pentland Centre located at North Finchley (which also has wind vortex issues due to height and location). 	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaKhM	It is unacceptable, nay, disgraceful, that a leisure centre that gives pleasure and joy to so many in the entire borough should be destroyed for the sake of a few. There are many other areas and wasted spaces where apartment blocks could be erected without infringing on the amenities of residents. You have no right to do this!	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JakiX	The area simply cannot handle the influx of people that the development aims to bring. Not enough spaces at GP surgeries, nurseries, school and other local amenities	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaktk	<p>There have been too many developments already without enough consideration to existing residents.</p> <p>The area already has a shortage of quality schools and GP facilities.</p> <p>This would also create additional traffic due to the number of unnecessary dwellings.</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JakU1	<p>over time and also as a teacher who has brought many a school group to the park from out of the borough, I know too well how hugely valued the site is as A LEISURE PARK.</p> <p>It has been an invaluable source for mental, social and physical well-being for decades and at a time where we are more acutely aware of the importance of these things than at any time in history, to further compromise them by removing them would be a grave error.</p> <p>Leisure facilities should not be lost. Convert empty office blocks/spaces instead. Please look elsewhere for your residences.</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jakx0	Completely ridiculous to not have the correct community infrastructure nearby. E.g. GP, library, shops	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JakXA	Massive loss to the community getting rid of the cinema and the bowling and the gym and swimming pool	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jakyg	Lack of parking, negative impact on wildlife, loss of assessable restaurants and leisure, overpopulation, very bad traffic in the area already.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jal1n	<p>My main concern is the loss of amenity. It seems that the bowling alley, cinema, Finchley Lido & maybe the Indoor Bowls will all go, with only a 2 storey Leisure Centre as replacement. And would this Leisure Centre be affordable?</p> <p>It's a loss for existing residents & for any intended new residents to the area. I also object to a 25 storey block, totally out of keeping with the area. You will have to improve access, perhaps by a properly constructed junction with traffic lights, as the current access is very dangerous.</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JalBM	Impact on infrastructure will be huge. Finchley is going down rapidly and taking away places for young people and families a huge mistake	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:22 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaleZ	<p>I strongly object to this planning application.</p> <p>The proposed development represents a clear case of overdevelopment of the site and developer greed.</p> <p>The density of housing is far too high for the plot, and there does not appear to have been any sufficient consideration to the infrastructure required to support the number of additional residents. Roads, traffic capacity, parking, schools, GP services and other local amenities are already under pressure, and this proposal would significantly worsen those issues.</p> <p>The height of the buildings is also not in keeping at all with the local area.</p> <p>Whilst I understand the area does need redevelopment, it must be carefully considered and proportionate to the space and area.</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:22 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JalRk	Am concerned about the lack of affordable housing, infrastructure such as public transport and health services as well as the loss green/open space.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:45 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001Jam4K	We have so many new residential developments in this area that we really don't need yet another one. No provision for more doctors, schools etc. What we do need as a community is a good recreational area with a cinema, bowling alley (exercise), swimming pool (brilliant exercise for all ages), restaurants of all types and prices, good parking. Gosh! We have this already and you're thinking of taking away this much-needed and much-loved facility. Shame on you!	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jam6G	I object to this development due to A. Loss of leisure amenities that many local people enjoy. B. Poor design of generic flats. Housing for singles or couples but not families C. High rise buildings not in keeping with an Outer London suburb D. Lots of flats not near the underground stations so will add to traffic and pollution E. Not a good idea to build high density housing without amenities such as Doctors Surgeries, Dentists etc (the existing practices can't cope)	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jam7Z	Extremely distressed about the removal of the cinema, bowling and restaurants. This area is a vital activity hub for the local community, especially teenagers who literally have NOWHERE else to go. I implore you not to allow this proposal to go through, for the sake of our young people.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jamdr	Me and my family visit regularly this space for leisure activities, and our weekends would be at risk due to the lack of options in the area, namely an available swimming pool. Cost effective restaurants, bowling alley, and cinema form a vibrant and important area of entertainment for many local families. To replace these amenities for flats would be the end of many happy moments with families and friends.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JamFc	It would be a huge loss to the local community to lose the sports and other facilities here. This space has been used for local amenity for decades. The swimming pool, bowling alley and cinema are a vital part of the local recreational facilities.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JamFd	I object because this development removes one of the few accessible leisure and social hubs serving families, young people, and older residents in the area.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jamh3	Need the housing. Retail park is ugly. Just have sensible number of houses.	Support	1/19/2026 6:04:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JamkE	Its a concrete jungle. We need this site for local people to go and relax, watch a movie play bowling etc. A space for people to unwind with all the stresses they face. ENOUGH OVERDEVELOPMENTS IN THE LOCAL AREA	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JamM3	This is overdevelopment of site and deprives community of leisure and parking facilities. The proposed replacement leisure facility in north finchley is not appropriate, has inadequate parking.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JamnX	A community area for families to gather without worrying about parking and spending quality time with others.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JamSX	What a terrible loss of a multifunctional community space - for more ugly high-rise housing that could be built in more appropriate spaces. I am not aware of anyone who supports this project - other than commercial developers. I sincerely hope this doesn't go ahead.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JamU7	This project looks to destroy valuable local amenities and in their place build a vast housing scheme served only by a few bus routes. There are no substantial shops within a reasonable walking distance. Traffic is already busy around there and this will only make things worse.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JanBj	Losing the current retail park would be a disaster. It is a key community destination for entertainment and restaurant. The plan will replace it with soulless copy and paste buildings. Why don't you have small residential development on the site.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:11 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jand7	Yet another housing development that will impact community facilities and green spaces. I doubt whether many local people will be able to afford it either.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Janej	Not in keeping with area	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JanF5	The loss of the many restaurants, leisure centre and bowling alley to be replaced with a much downsized leisure facilities is ridiculous. Not to mention the poor local transport to the area resulting in significantly more cars to the areas which already suffers over traffic (busyness and significant potholes). Very poor plan	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JanKF	The area is over densely populated. Area plagued by heavy traffic. Locals do not want it, please consider their obvious objections.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JanQK	Rowans Bowling Alley is a beloved North London institution, but is under threat in this scheme. It has been part of London's nightlife since 1988 and before that, it was a dance hall where even The Beatles played!..it is part of our city's history. It is a popular place where people can gather together to enjoy a communal game together, not isolated in front of a screen. Not enough affordable ie truly affordable housing! And buildings are too tall.... 25 storeys will tower over the whole area!	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JantG	This is the only area left where children, youth and families can go for recreational purposes. There is no alternative places in North Finchley area. Barnet council and London Mayor should oppose this if they want to stay in power.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:26 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JanV2	The leisure park being threatened with demolition provides a much-needed gathering and entertainment space for families and young people in the area and throughout North London. Adding housing to an already densely populated area and removing a huge community gathering space that caters to many children and families will be detrimental to the wider community. Furthermore, there are already so few safe spaces close to home for teenagers to meet their friends and partake in safe, legal and wholesome activities. The Hollywood bowl and surrounding leisure park is vital for keeping young people active, social, entertained and safe.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JanWc	The great north leisure park is a great place for young people and families to spend time. It is a positive place for teenagers to frequent and its demolition would be a great loss to the community.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JanWS	Are you joking? There is nothing to do in the Finchley, Barnet, Whetstone areas. This is the only leisure park for miles around. There's swimming, gym, bowling, cinema & you want to take that away from our kids? There's nothing else for them for God Sake. If this goes ahead, expect the crime rate to go through the roof as you'll have thousands of bored youngsters with nothing to do. Not to mention the people you'll be putting out of work when the restaurants go. Where exactly are the families kids that move in there going to go to school? There are no places left? Which doctors & dentists are they going to access? There are no appointments! More cars driving out onto that main road & North Circular! Seriously? Expect more pollution, more jams, more environmental impact. Don't make a bad decision by allowing this to go ahead. Its outrageous that its even being considered.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JanYK	The area doesn't need anymore monstrous unaffordable housing buildings, we don't have the appropriate infrastructure to support more residents. What we do need is family friendly facilities (like those provided by Hollywood Bowl) so that families/young people have local entertainment venues.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JanZt	My family uses the facilities at Chaplain Square regularly. How far would we have to travel to go to the cinema or go bowling without this? Too far, having a negative impact on our leisure options. All these extra residents and nothing for them or anyone else to do. In addition, the proposed number of dwellings will further impact the local infrastructure. How many new schools or doctors surgeries will be opened to serve any swell in the population in the area? Another huge development without any additional facilities.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaoFm	This is a well used amenity by the local and wider community. It's always busy and parking is free. So many affordable restaurants and leisure facilities. It's a crime to destroy it especially the bowling .. keeps the teenagers entertained .	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaom2	Amenities at Chaplin Square has served the community very well over the years. In cold winter evenings I can talk my little one for a evening out. Be it bowling or movies and dinner at a local restaurant. It is easy to travel with no fuss for finding a parking space or sitting in a traffic jam unlike wood green. A gym and swimming pool for the community. So your plan is to have more houses, unhealthy living, sad little communities and Grinch.How are you going to provide for the dentists, schools, library. Yes there is Finchley Memorial hospital but it doesn't have A&E. Is this your legacy.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaonf	Taking away a social hub for teens & families to enjoy locally. Nothing like this nearby. -without this option for the younger generation to spend their time they will suffer mentally & have no place to relax & socialise. - cause ASB to climb & drugs use to feel there time.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaopL	We do not need more housing what we do need is places to keep the already too many residents in the area entertained, Barnet, whetstone, Finchley is quickly becoming a very unattractive place to live and taking away one of our only sources of entertainment will only make matters worse. As a lifelong resident of whetstone I strongly object.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaoyw	This is an important local amenity and should be saved. We were once a suburb but now we are more like an inner city area. Let us retain our way of life	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaOz6	This is an excessive development creating high density unaffordable housing without recommended levels of affordable housing. There is no indication that the development includes supporting amenities and infrastructure such as additional schools, GP surgeries hospital capacity, road capacity, public transport links, emergency services. The proposal has been rejected by local residents and Barnet Council. It would be undemocratic for the Mayor of London to override a properly considered and debated local decision for a poorly designed scheme which does not follow planning guidelines. An alternative scheme should be developed in conjunction with local resident and planners.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaPOJ	The main issue I have is population density and the aesthetic for the local area. We already have massive developments going on in New Barnet, High Barnet and now North Finchley. There are not enough GPs, dental practices and schools to accommodate all these extra new homes. My concern is that external purchasers from abroad will be able to buy the properties and then rent them back to the council as has happened in Colindale. The area has low rise buildings generally apart from the tally ho tower and being able to see the light and the sky in Barnet is one of the reasons we moved here we do not want to be like more central London where where feels enclosed and busy. We frequently use the facilities of the view cinema the restaurants and when my children were younger the leisure facilities. We need to ensure that these are maintained even if they are being rebuilt. We need to ensure that this area is maintained safely and there is enough lighting and safe spaces. Also currently there is enough free parking and if this were to be lost all those amenities would struggle to keep going. I am not in favour of the proposed development and something more aesthetic and low rise, lower density would be preferable if it has to happen at all.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:29 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JapOK	There has been massive overdevelopment along the Great North Road from Finchley to Barnet over recent years. I grew up in Barnet and moved back 10 years ago when I started a family, I thought it would be beneficial to raise my family in a suburban area with local jobs, but there are no local jobs as all offices, banks, post sorting offices have been turned into flats. The constant traffic and noise is now overwhelming and I worry about reduced air quality and the impact on local wildlife with the loss of gardens and green space. The Leisure Park is a well used facility, and the proposed development will remove these facilities, which are the more affordable options locally (cinema, bowling, restaurants) and remove yet more local employment options. I would ask Mr Khan to come and visit the area to see how built up it already is, and explore him to consider the harm that comes from overdevelopment, mainly tiny flats, while the infrastructure remains untouched (not enough doctors, childcare, shops) and removing much needed local facilities. Ultimately, only the greedy developers are benefiting from these plans	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JapOY	The plan for this development will overshadow the area and remove vital leisure facilities (cinema and bowling, and restaurants) which are particularly important for young people living in the area. Having many more houses, but removing things for people to do doesn't make any sense. Have you been there on a weekend and seen how busy and popular it is? People also come from outside the local area to use these facilities, there isn't another bowling anywhere nearby and I think the idea that these will be relocated at Lodge Lane are insane, how can there possibly be space there!	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JapAK	I agree with policies to build residential housing over car parks if the parking spaces are retained underground, and I agree that some under-used restaurants and a generic cinema would be well replaced by housing. However the plans were rightly rejected by the council due to the massive oversizing of the buildings/ number of dwellings with poor access to transport and lack of infrastructure. This is the type of development that should be overruled/ greenlit if it is next to a tube or rail station, but this one is half way between East Finchley and Finchley Central, and the road already gets jammed with traffic/ busses get overloaded without introducing a large new development housing thousands more people with no additional way in or out for them, no additional GPs etc.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Japbf	This is unnecessary.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JapBr	There is no sufficient infrastructure to deal with this vast influx of people - ie, schools, doctors, dentists, parking, transport, etc.... Also, the current facilities are used to capacity especially at weekends - which will be a big loss to the area.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JapBs	This would be a great loss to the community and particularly teenagers, as there isn't anywhere for them to go this side of the borough. It's too dense, and the loss of local amenities is too great for the local community. There needs to be balance and this has not got any.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JapdG	I am not against developing this site. However, the density of the development with over 20 high rise buildings is equivalent to building a small town on the site. The impact would be to completely change the character of the local area and put immense pressure on public services. A more modest development, with scope for open public spaces and community shops would be more appropriate.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JapdK	This development is going to have a big detrimental impact on the local community, and does nothing to help those struggling to get on the housing market. Only 23% affordable housing in the proposed plans, need I say more? On top of that, the cinema and bowling alley provide much-needed leisure space to teens and adults alike. Though there are plans to build a new cinema and bowling alley in a different location, there is no guarantee it will remain as affordable or convenient as the current buildings. It is such a shame to turn a space for community, celebration and enjoyment into more high-rise that are not in the interests of the people of Finchley. Additional concerns include: increased traffic and noise pollution, access to GPs and schools, increased congestion on already-busy tube lines.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Japes	We have much object to this development. We frequently use the amenities in this area and it would be a great loss if they're not knocked down.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JapF5	Appears over dense, buildings too high. Will create traffic issues in the area at peak hours. Loss of restaurants and multi screen cinema which offers cheap tickets, making it an affordable place for young people to visit.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Japi6	This redevelopment will lead to a material and unrecoverable deterioration in the local community facilities available within Barnet. Especially impactful to our youth who have nowhere else to go. A huge loss for the whole area of the ONLY entertainment space eg cinema, bowling, food, gym. Please stop the demolition!	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Japi8	It's a well used local amenity that's is not only used by local families but also families from the whole of the Borough of Barnet. Any leisure facilities included in the proposed development will be just a token effort with minimal parking.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JapLW	Huge overdevelopment of the site. Loss of leisure facilities. How are the local schools, doctors surgeries and Barnet hospital supposed to cope with so many more people in the borough. No nearby underground station.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JapLX	We visit Better in Finchley Lido with kids. Please let it be the quiet place	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JapoY	This is an amenity we have used forever and want our children to use	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Japrm	It's absolutely crazy. North Finchley is drowning with people. It's become rough and unsafe. Crime has increased in the area. Children can't get into local schools as already over populated. People can't get GP appts anymore, causing backlog and stress to hospital A&Es as GPs are already full to the brim so more flats, more people, no GPs no schools, more crime. It's just crazy. You've ruined a wonderful town and made it into a depressing area so live in.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:54 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JapRy	A leisure centre is very important to the wellbeing of a neighborhood and young people...rather than loads of housing..and all the infrastructure needed....I propose keeping the leisure centre and maybe build some housing behind the site but not tower blocks.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JapRz	Loss of amenities, leisure facilities.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaptS	This has been going on for a long time with residents complaining about impact to the local area. The infrastructure surrounding the development is not being invested in so it will put a strain on already stretched resources. The developers do not have a solution for this. I use Finchley lido and the surrounding area for leisure activity. The area is already congested with traffic and pollution. Adding more housing will negatively impact the area and community.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Japv4	Not only will it take jobs away from people it will over populate an area that quite clearly doesn't need it. You are also taking away amenities from men, women and children not only in Finchley but from everywhere. I have friends not only from Barnet but from Islington from Camden from woodgreen or even Enfield that go here. It's a perfect place to go to enjoy yourself. From the bowling to the arcade to the cinema and the restaurants. Many people had their first dates here and are now taking their children there. You aren't only damaging the environment, the local green space behind it you will be damaging people.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:21 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaq1R	Over development, and lack of infrastructure especially schools, GP practices, and transport.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaq1S	This is our leisure centre, and kids love it	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaq33	This leisure centre is a community hub and should not be listed, our young people need spaces like this to meet and socialise. We already have enough expensive housing sitting empty that no one can afford to buy and live in!	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaq35	The amenities in the current leisure park are essential for kids in the area.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaq36	I wish to object to this planning application. While I understand the need for development, I have serious concerns about the impact this proposal will have on the local area. In particular, I am worried about increased traffic and parking pressure, the effect on the character of the neighbourhood, and the loss of local amenities with accessible parking. I frequently use the cinema, pool & restaurants with my children. I do not believe the application adequately addresses these concerns, and I respectfully ask the council to reconsider or refuse this proposal.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaq37	The locals do not want this . We want to keep our amenities and not be overly populated	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaq6H	This is development cannot go ahead! The loss of public amenities has gone too far. Finchley Lido is one of the last swimming pools in the local area. The site should be for the benefit of local resident, not lining the pockets of developers.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaq6K	Strongly object- this does not align with barnets local plan which highlights the need for family homes. We will lose important local amenity and the area will become a permanent traffic jam, exacerbating pollution. The buildings heights are not in keeping with the area and simply do not provide the type of housing Barnet needs.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaq7t	Whilst I appreciate the need for additional housing, the N11/N12 area has already had significant new builds, the vast majority being unaffordable flats of questionable design. The site itself is not suitable for over 1000 extra residents, given how poor public transport options are in that area. I would also ask that some consideration is given to existing local residents who may object to losing the current facilities, as well as the jobs lost whilst demolition and construction works are carried out. I ask that the decision of Barnet Council and local residents is respected and that future development plans are revised.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaq7u	██████████ We have ██████████ in our congregation who use Hollywood Bowl and Vue Cinema a lot. They were so pleased when the council saved their leisure activities and are now so worried and upset to think they might be lost. This morning they were asking for help to make banners to say "save our bowling alley. " PLEASE SAVE THESE VITAL LEISURE FACILITIES	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaqcX	I love and use the entertainment park all the time! The loss of the cinema will be particularly devastating to us locals, cinema and art are what life is all about, it would be a shame to replace it.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaqEP	This is for the community. Myself, prior to kids, my kids, their friends and us as a family have utilised all the amenities there so much since it was attended by Arnold Schwarzeneger all those years ago when it was opened. It would be criminal to remove this. Where will the local kids go to watch a movie, swim or bowl? And then a treat deciding where to eat. I definitely object.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaqfl	If this. goes ahead,there will be nowhere around for families to go ie swimming bowling cinema,and resterants,McDonald's,the site is full up every day of the week	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:11 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaqnp	It will lead to congestion in the area and not enough infrastructure to support more housing.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaqO2	I strongly object to this planning application for the reasons provided.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaqpR	Don't want to lose the cinema : bowling. It's been a great use.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaquH	There are too few drive-to places like this with parking. We can't make the whole of London so densely populated and compact. Keep some land without thousands of flats on or build on something that's empty and in a less populated place. The place is always busy with full car park = people need and want this.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:22 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaqul	Enough high rise blocks!! Loss of Vue site a sad outlook for Barnet residents.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:25 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001Jaqvt	I have lived in the local area for over 30 years bringing up a family. I cannot comprehend that losing the swimming pool, bowling, leisure centre and many restaurants can be seen as advantageous. Families need leisure facilities, all generations benefit from being able to use these facilities locally and with easy access. Mental well being for all will be affected. I would love to discuss this with the Mayor. I am an avid labour supporter but am struggling to see how the proposals can benefit people who live in the local area. The push for affordable housing should not override well being of people in the area.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaqW5	What a vile over development of the land. A disgrace. It's just totally unnecessary and excessive development. Where are the schools, doctors surgery, parking, improved transport links etc for all these people. The traffic is bad enough round there already. It's just a terrible idea.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaqW6	It would be a real shame to lose the amenities on this site.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaqXh	This is not what the area wants or need please rethink the ideas	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaqZJ	It's a place I take my children to regularly.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaqZK	We need this not just houses. We are not rats	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaS6f	As a local resident and someone that regularly uses the amenities (cinema and restaurants) the loss of leisure facilities and amenities will impact the local area significantly. I object to the proposed tower blocks as a replacement, due to severe over density, lack of adequate public transport options and parking, and impact to neighbouring residents of lack of privacy and reduced light. There will also be a significant impact on local schooling and medical services with such a high number of new residents and no additional facilities are included in the plans	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaSSd	It has important community facilities, gym, entertainment, object to demolish these	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaUy3	The local area does not have any such amenities nearby - this is the only cinema locally, the only recreational facilities (bowling, swimming pool). We already have too many flats being overdeveloped and built in our area and it would be extremely unwise and unfair to take away what little local facilities that remain for locals including the youth who will be left with even lesser facilities nearby. We are overpopulated already in this area with no new infrastructure or facilities being made immediately available.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaUy4	This is the only retail complex which includes cinema, bowling, swimming etc. Local services are stretched beyond being usable. Just try and find a NHS dentist or get a GP appointment. I have been using this retail park since I was a boy and it will be a disgrace if they are removed.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaUy5	There are few local accessible amenities as it is and lots of housing already built-up recently in the area with a lack of any extra amenities for the forever growing population. Where are the youth meant to spend their leisure time - on the street? We don't need more flats in the area but more to do - why not build the complex into more recreational facilities?	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:46 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JaV3H	<p>The Mayor should affirm the decision of Barnet Council to refuse the application because the proposed scheme would be an over-development of the site. This extremely dense scheme is not appropriate for a location with poor transport accessibility (PTAL 2).</p> <p>For example, Policy CDH 01A states that the council should: "support higher density development in the most sustainable and well-connected locations and areas with existing high-density development". This is a poorly connected area with low to medium density housing.</p> <p>The number of dwellings proposed is 1,485. The Local Plan (Site 58) proposes a "minimum" of 352 dwellings, and states that "significant uplifts may be supported subject to a design-led approach in accordance with London Plan Policy D3." It is absurd to suggest that building three times the proposed minimum is in any way justified. Policy D3B would only justify such an approach in "locations that are well connected to jobs, services, infrastructure and amenities by public transport, walking and cycling", which is not the case here.</p> <p>There is no meaningful enhancement proposed of the limited public transport capacity as would be required to accommodate an additional 4,500 people dependent on a single bus stop in each direction.</p> <p>Policy CDH01B requires the development to be "of a high architectural and urban design quality". However, I agree with the council's Urban Design Team that the scheme is not "fit for purpose" and is in "conflict with design related planning policy." The Team states that the scheme is characterized by: "excessive density, poor placemaking, failure to integrate sensitively with its surroundings, and shadowing of the proposed lido."</p> <p>The scheme does not provide the family accommodation required in Barnet's Local Plan. Policy HOU02 requires 70% of new market housing to have 3 or more bedrooms. this scheme has 15%. For affordable housing the requirement is 44%, compared with 28% offered by the scheme.</p> <p>Given the poor PTAL rating, the parking offered is excessively low. Provision is only 0.18 spaces per unit. Policy TRC03 sets a maximum of 0.75 to 1.0 per unit. The huge gap between proposed and maximum is not justified.</p> <p>Because of the small number of non-disabled bays, the scheme cannot realistically provide 10% of the dwellings with disabled parking, as is required by the London Plan. Any authority that approves the scheme would be in breach of its statutory duties under the Equality Act.</p> <p>The proposed scheme will cause damage to the protected species in the adjacent Glebelands Local Nature Reserve.</p> <p>The tall buildings violate the legally-mandated policies of the Local Plan and the London Plan. Policy D9B specifies that tall buildings can be built only in areas designated in the Local Plan, which does not include the application site. In addition, the tall buildings proposed fail many of the tests of quality in Policy D9C (visual impacts, functional impact, environmental impact).</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaVhO	<p>We use this leisure centre, cinema frequently It's something for the kids to do instead of screen time and hang about on the unsafe London streets because Khan only thinks what's gonna put money in his pocket. Not the rest of the capital. Doesn't care what everyone wants or needs!!!</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaVXd	<p>Strongly oppose this unnecessary development. Leisure and restaurant and cinema facilities have been cherished over the years. This is an unacceptable and unnecessary development in the wrong area. This is a sports and leisure hub for this part of Barnet and needs to be improved and developed further. Yes we drive there but we use electric / hybrid vehicles. I have not seen any viable alternatives.</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaW1d	<p>Critical facilities for the neighbourhood</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaW1f	<p>Where are the schools dentists and GPs to support so many new people moving into the area. What about parking noise and refuge collection? Are there going to be mature trees and parks & landscaping? Will there be a nature reserve. How many of these will be affordable and key worker friendly? What facilities will there be to encourage for community ?</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JaW1g	<p>I strongly object to this proposed development due to its size and mass and lack of proper infrastructure including schools and GP surgeries, and adequate transport facilities. It would put a huge strain on all local facilities.</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JDffO	<p>Area is already congested with high pollution levels, don't need another housing development of this magnitude.</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JE7KU	<p>I can see the development will bring some benefits to the local area but the proposed plan of 1485 new homes and 25 storey buildings is too much for this area.</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JE7w0	<p>This area has terribly poor transport links with no tube or train links nearby which means that most ppl living here will need to drive which means increased road traffic, pollution, etc.</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:37 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JEc4B	<p>if a new proposal were submitted in which the buildings were</p> <p>a) lower / had fewer floors b) less densely packed allowing more light to get to the Glebelands and fewer people overloading the local health, travel & education etc infrastructure c) to incorporate green living walls, bat boxes and raptor nesting sites</p> <p>and</p> <p>the process of building were very strictly monitored with strict measures to mitigate dust, litter and water-borne pollutants during the building phase</p> <p>then</p> <p>I might not object</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEdrX	This development is an unsightly over-development. It also denies a significant leisure facility for the surrounding area which is very popular with families and young people. This facility is absolutely necessary.	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEeAI	<p>The local area doesn't have the infrastructure to handle this many new homes and residents. More homes but yet the removal of leisure centres? It doesn't add up. Where are the new GPs? Where's the extension to the hospital? M [REDACTED] but even this is closing soon.</p> <p>The crime rate in the area is on the rise as well - this will only increase if you keep cramming people into small places. Sort it out - we can't keep going on like this.</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEGdF	Like the majority of local residents I would wish the local council decision to reject the proposals for this site be upheld	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEiB8	<p>Please support and respect the local community view's and reject this proposal. The GNL complex was designed for our health and wellbeing. There is nothing to replace it with safe amenities, transport and parking. A leisure hub is essential.</p> <p>The new designs are very poor and ignore local needs. The area should still be a leisure hub offering all that it has at the moment.</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEiHH	The Great North leisure park is a great place for the local community to meet up whether it is for the cinema, bowling or just food. It is a closeby to local residents to take kids and gather. Building this block of flats will ruin the area of parking restrictions and the loss of a local heritage. The same dagame that was done to Mill Hill East witht he build up of block of flats. We need family homes and spaces for children to play and develop not built up areas. Do I have to add that this will be an eyesore for the local area where all the other houses around the area is couple of floors.	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEINB	Please save our leisure centre. Not everything should be for sale, just because money and power want them. There are already so many unoccupied flats in north London. What would the impact on local schools, etc? Developers shouldn't keep winning the fight when the masses try to preserve certain history. London should not be for sale to the highest bidder, please!	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEnpP	<p>This is a wildly overdeveloped scheme with poor design that would dominate and damage the local area.</p> <p>The site has poor transport links (low PTAL) and can't sustain such high density.</p> <p>There is insufficient parking, no local shops and the nearest stations are 30 mins walk away.</p> <p>It offers significantly reduced leisure facilities compared to what's there now.</p> <p>The application fails to protect biodiversity, with significant risks to the Glebelands Nature Reserve, including protected species like great crested newts.</p> <p>Barnet Council's reasons for refusal are sound and based on planning law. The Mayor should respect local democracy.</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEnv5	I use the gym facilities regularly even from a young age where I used to take swimming lessons with Better. I value it a lot.	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEoMW	<p>A major blow and loss to the leisure and entertainment facilities for North Finchley and surrounding areas.</p> <p>Over population and Urbanisation of open green spaces.</p> <p>Increase in vehicle traffic and further burden on services due to over crowding.</p> <p>Detrimental effect on the attraction of living in Finchley.</p> <p>Less facilities for families and young people to enjoy in the area.</p> <p>Instead of building monstrous housing developments, you should be improving the quality of family life in the area, not destroying it.</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEp0v	I use the gym facilities regularly even from a young age where I used to take swimming lessons with Better. I value it a lot.	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEpvJ	The development I'd a huge amenity for residents of Finchley and beyond. It is excellent for families with the cinema, bowling alley and restaurants. You will take the heart and soul out of our community by overruling the council. Public transport links are poor to the site. Please do not overturn the decision of the council	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEpYj	<p>This is a totally unnecessary development</p> <p>The cinema, restaurants and bowling alley are all well used and enjoyed</p> <p>To replace a fairly modern development with high density high cost housing is inexcusable</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:32 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JEpYk	To turn this area into housing would be a huge loss for the local community, particularly young people, many of whom use the area frequently to meet and spend time with friends. The Hollywood Bowl, Vue cinema and leisure centre are local institutions that many locals have a dear affinity with. To go ahead with this development would be to strip Finchley and Barnet of a community hub, providing instead a soulless housing development and wreaking havoc on the local area through disruptive construction.	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEpYl	The impact on roads, services, parking and existing services will outweigh any benefit that this development might bring. I am part of Finchley rfc (parent). The development will clog up roads nearby and also result in a further loss of amenity. It is also a long way from tube stations so will result in bus services being further crowded.	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEq06	This leisure park is a key community amenity at the moment and serves an important role in providing a space where people can socialise together in many different ways. It is particularly used by families and younger adults and it would be to the detriment of our local community and society to have it replaced with primarily housing.	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEq4y	I strongly object to this development on the basis that the density is far too high. In addition my understanding is that the local leisure amenities will be significantly reduced.	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEqcs	The site has poor transport links. Barnet Council has refused permission and their decision should be respected.	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEQdp	I am very concerned about the major impact such big, densely populated development would have on local traffic, East and North Finchley infrastructure and services, environment to name a few.	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEqUm	I use the gym facilities regularly even from a young age where I used to take swimming lessons with Better. I value it a lot.	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEqWS	<p>There is concern within the Barnet green spaces network, the wider Barnet community, and myself, that a potential breach of law may occur if the application is approved.</p> <p>I have been told that the colony of newts found on the site by newt expert Will Atkins from the London, Essex and Hertfordshire Amphibian and Reptile Trust, is probably the largest in London. However, it appears that various official surveys for newts and other wildlife in the area have not taken place, as is statutorily required.</p> <p>It's disappointing to hear the Chancellor say things like "stop worrying about the bats and the newts" to developers at a national level but the people of Barnet care deeply about the wildlife we share our community with, and we appreciate the huge benefits they bring to our fantastic place to live.</p> <p>Experts from national charities have highlighted potential statutory breaches if this development is approved in its current form and so I am writing to ask that you please stop any decision on this application until the developers have gathered the necessary data to allow for a fair and legal assessment of the plans.</p> <p>Let us, and more importantly your administration, not be responsible for destroying any more of Barnet's precious and protected biodiversity.</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEr95	<p>1500 new homes!!!</p> <p>Where are the facilities to keep up with that? Where are the new GP surgeries, and the hospitals and schools.</p> <p>That's ridiculous that you want to overcrowd an area with buildings higher than Grenfell.</p> <p>The hospitals can't cope as it is, and you want to make these flats?! And for who?! More people coming into the country and yet we can't cope within the NHS.</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JErwg	<p>I object to this application due to its excessive scale, height, and density, which would result in overdevelopment of the site and cause significant harm to the character and amenity of the surrounding area. The proposal for up to 1,485 dwellings across 20 buildings reaching heights of up to 25 storeys is wholly out of keeping with the existing urban form and would lead to visual intrusion, overshadowing, loss of daylight, and reduced privacy for nearby residents.</p> <p>The development would place unacceptable pressure on already stretched local infrastructure, including transport, schools, health services, parking, and open spaces. The scale of population increase has not been convincingly matched with adequate, deliverable mitigation. Construction impacts over a phased, long-term period would also severely disrupt residents through noise, traffic, dust, and reduced accessibility.</p> <p>While the inclusion of a leisure centre and commercial space is noted, these benefits do not outweigh the cumulative negative impacts of the scheme. The application fails to demonstrate sustainable, community-led development and does not adequately respond to local context, need, or capacity. For these reasons, the proposal should be refused.</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEs3X	Families have used the cinema, pool, leisure facilities and restaurants for years - it would be a real loss to the community to lose these things we use so often.	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:32 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JEs9y	<p>STRONG OBJECTION. Height and massing are completely inappropriate for this area. Such a tall building would dwarf the local 2-3 storey properties, cast a massive shadow and be a worse eyesore than other awful tall buildings in Barnet, e.g. Finchley Central and North Finchley.</p> <p>Too many residential units for such a small space. It is ridiculous to remove the few leisure facilities that exist in the area for young people, replace them with smaller facilities in N Finchley, and increase the local population. Clearly a greater population needs more things for young people to do to avoid social problems. The parking will generate too much traffic at peak hours at a busy junction which already lacks capacity and is a bottleneck.</p> <p>The PTAL indicates this is a suburban area with poor links. Everyone here drives cars. Unless the Mayor builds an underground line under the north circular this sort of development will create traffic problems. The new leisure centre needs to retain parking. The developers clearly don't care about young families. We live very close but could only ever get our kids to the swimming pool by car. You cannot safely cross the High Road as a pedestrian. There is NO GREEN MAN. It is like living in LA. We currently drive miles to Cophall to use the learner pool etc, and no doubt other locals with young children will have to do the same instead of risking their lives crossing the high road. Any new development needs to have full green credentials, solar panels, heat pumps for all heating and cooling.</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEsGS	It removes a community social and leisure centre	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEsmn	I use the gym facilities regularly even from a young age where I used to take swimming lessons with Better. I value it a lot.	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEsOZ	environment impact on density of people in a area.	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEt1B	Object on grounds of genuinely affordable housing and overcrowding with lack of amenities like schools, GP surgeries	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEt7d	This proposal wiping out all restaurants and entertainments and putting up almost entirely unaffordable single bedroom flats.	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEtAr	I use the gym facilities regularly even from a young age where I used to take swimming lessons with Better. I value it a lot.	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEttd	<p>The local area doesn't have the infrastructure to handle this many new homes and residents. More homes but yet the removal of leisure centres? It doesn't add up. Where are the new GPs? Where's the extension to the hospital? [REDACTED] which they were using as an overflow, but even this is closing soon.</p> <p>The crime rate in the area is on the rise as well - this will only increase if you keep cramming people into small places. Sort it out - we can't keep going on like this.</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEtE5	I use the gym facilities regularly even from a young age where I used to take swimming lessons with Better. I value it a lot.	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEtH7	We need this leisure center for community, the only place to relax with family & friends..	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEtJ4	I use the gym facilities regularly even from a young age where I used to take swimming lessons with Better. I value it a lot.	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEtKl	<p>The leisure park is a wonderful local amenity that we value highly. We frequently enjoy the cinema, dine at the restaurants, and use the lido for swimming and exercise, all while benefiting from the ease of local access without parking concerns.</p> <p>We are concerned about the potential loss of this space to a multi-story building and would prefer to see this area preserved for the community.</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEtKlM	I am totally against the planning application. I have lived in the area all my life and remember the outdoor swimming pool which was used for the Olympic Games in the early years. It has always been an area for entertainment and sport and so nice for all ages to go to which would be sorely missed.	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEtKX	There is inadequate infrastructure in this area to sustain a huge influx of residents - small apartments will also not accommodate families. This is also a very important leisure complex providing multiple services and accessibility to the public far beyond the immediate area. Flooding London with cheaply made but expensive, small flats, while demolishing leisure services is a shortsighted strategy that will have an impact on people's wellbeing and health.	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEtNZ	The plans involve substantial damage to the greenbelands open space which is a precious habitat for amphibians (including newts) and a wide range of woodland and pond wildlife. The high density housing proposed will place a great strain on local infrastructure which is already stretched due to much other building and housing expansion in the area. There will also be loss of a valued cinema and leisure park, and the plans to compensate for this by adding a new cinema in the centre of north finchley are a huge reduction on what is presently there. Put together this leads to a densely packed, less well catered for population with less community space and worsened habitat and biodiversity loss.	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEtPN	This is not in keeping with the local area, the buildings are too high, it will lead to increased traffic and congestion, increased pressure on already strapped services such as schools, GPs etc, likely environmental impact. Will also lead to more road accidents, including those involving pedestrians and cyclists	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:30 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JEtqn	<p>This is a wildly overdeveloped scheme that would dominate and damage the local area.</p> <p>The site has poor transport links (low PTAL) and can't sustain such high density.</p> <p>It offers no new leisure centre, only reduced facilities compared to what's there now.</p> <p>The application fails to protect biodiversity, with significant risks to the Glebelands Nature Reserve, including protected species like great crested newts.</p> <p>Barnet Council's reasons for refusal are sound and based on planning law. The Mayor should respect local democracy.</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEtSb	<p>I visit the area with my friends often. I visit the area with my family to see movies, to play bowling. I see other young people from the area spending time here. It's a recreational space. I think families and young people would lose a lot by getting rid of this space. Further to that, I have concerns about alternative spaces or activities that would be available for young people in the area if this is removed.</p> <p>Please don't remove this space!!!!</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEtvd	<p>A community needs a safe place for all ages, this proposed development would take away our current sports, food, cinema and leisure facilities. The people's alternative in North Finchley lodge lane is too small and inadequate</p> <p>The development proposed for here will cover too many residents for local transport, poor accommodation, too dense and too high.</p> <p>And what about the newts and the glebelands?</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEtxF	<p>My family and friends still live in Finchley. Having grown up in Finchley and now seeing the destruction of a communal area is horrific. The structural heritage that Finchley is known for is gone. Roads are always busy which will get worse. Natural light will decrease due to high buildings. Over populated in the suburb. Totally disagree and disappointed with this proposition</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEtXR	<p>How many jobs will be lost, I understand the need for more housing but who is going to be able to afford the housing- this is a place kids in the area grow up in, it's the closest local place they gain semi independence which is affordable.</p> <p>Cinema , arcade , bowling - the restaurants.</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEtXS	<p>A gross over development. What about investing in great facilities for existing residents. The area is dense enough.</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEtyr	<p>I object to the plan including Glebelands indoor bowling and access. This is a rare local amenity providing all-weather facility for 400 members and guest teams. There are precious few other sporting clubs encouraging activity for vulnerable and under-served elderly members of this community. The loss of this vibrant space will also deprive the area of an affordable entertainment venue and meeting place.</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEtZ3	<p>Leave the centre alone</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEU25	<p>I do not think that this building will be of any benefit to the local area. It is too tall and it means getting rid of a green space which we really love</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JEU3h	<p>The impact on roads, services, parking and existing services will outweigh any benefit that this development might bring. I am part of [REDACTED] The development will clog up roads nearby and also result in a further loss of amenity. It is also a long way from tube stations so will result in bus services being further crowded.</p>	Object	1/15/2026 6:04:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JfudF	<p>Loss of places for eg swimming, bowling, snooker etc that children enjoy.</p> <p>Housing is a long way from shops and other facilities</p>	Object	1/20/2026 6:04:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JgV6d	<p>The negative impact on young people will be significant. There are no other leisure sites in the locality.</p>	Object	1/20/2026 6:04:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jha9f	<p>We as a community of Finchley categorically object this project.</p>	Object	1/20/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JhaPm	<p>I strongly object to the loss of our Vue cinemas and bowling and restaurants to be replaced by this monstrous proposed redevelopment! We already have a number of proposals for over-development in the close proximity, Granville Road, with high rise blocks being proposed! How many large housing projects are needed in the suburbs of Finchley? It's going to be so densely populated, without the necessary infrastructures in place. This will feel more like inner London.</p>	Object	1/20/2026 6:04:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JhbYk	<p>The bowling / cinema and food eateries on this complex is the only good thing the whole borough has to offer families.</p> <p>For this to be replaced with more flats no-one in the area can afford, not to add the traffic is terrible and not been designed with locals and families in mind.</p>	Object	1/20/2026 6:04:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JhbYl	<p>Don't take it granted and we needs some peaceful time , already road is busy.</p>	Object	1/20/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JhMXA	<p>I visit the area frequently and despite being strongly objected at local council level, it's still not gone away. This is really disappointing when the local objections have been ignored.</p>	Object	1/20/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JhOfJ	<p>[REDACTED] but I still go to Finchley Lido every week and from time to time enjoy a movie night in the Vue cinema. I'm highly disturbed with the plans to replace these nice amenities with residential space.</p> <p>Please, stop destroying places current residents need! Stop removing parking spaces! Stop trying to squeeze people in, this won't make housing more affordable, just more miserable for everyone!</p>	Object	1/20/2026 6:04:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JhQX8	<p>This is a frequently visited leisure park and should not be taken away</p>	Object	1/20/2026 6:04:29 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JhUVh	Environmental impact loss of green space. High density of population and not enough infrastructure to absorb demands for .hospitals / GP surgeries/schools/ parking.	Object	1/20/2026 6:04:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JhVtC	Dear Mayor of London, I would like to introduce myself as a local resident who was born in East Finchley, [REDACTED] As a local child, my weekends consisted of going to the local wave machine (the Finchley lido) as it was frankly one of the most mesmerising fleets of engineering my tiny child brain could possibly comprehend. If it wasn't cold and raining, we'd have the option to use to outdoor swimming pool. Floating on my back I remember looking up at the bright blue sky and thinking how lucky I was to live in London and still have access to such a tranquil, open space. As an adult I now appreciate that adults are very passionate about their outdoor swimming pool and all the benefits cold water can bring. If we didn't fancy getting wet our favourite place to go was the arcades. I cannot express it enough how central this arcades centre was to my childhood. It was the common ground for everybody in school, everybody had something they enjoyed there. We would usually combine this with a trip to the bowling alley or Vue. Food could be injected to create endless combination of days out. Maccies first or after the arcades? Do we sneak our maccies into the cinema? We had maccies last week, shall we be classy and sit down in Nandos? The leisure centre is the soul of Finchley and, frankly, north London. At University I met Londoners from Enfield, Haringey and many other boroughs. Did every single one of them know about the North Finchley leisure centre? Absolutely. Families and young teens travel across London to visit the site because it holds one of the last pieces of childish joy this city has. Without it, children would have no other entertainment options in the area. Shopping and getting food alone are not sufficient. Children need stimulating environments that can be a home away from home so they do not turn to drink and drugs. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] to the leisure centre and I show them the exact type of day I used to have (except sneaking our happy meals into the Vue, of course). Full of sweets from the arcade and food from the restaurants, we burn off all our energy on Glebelands. We'd be there for hours. It may be a relatively 'boring' piece of grass, but big open spaces is frankly all children need to entertain themselves. What we need to remember is Glebelands is not just for our community's children. It's also for our local wildlife. Any disruption to their habitat would be a violation of Finchley's values, as seen with the conservation of Coppetts Wood. Those trees have been there for well over 20 years, and have a right to keep their access to light. Any tall blocks of flats would rob those plants and animals of the vitamins they have a right to access. The trees and hedgerows also protect Finchley from the noise and pollution of the A406. Any reduction to the greenery during construction or planning would leave Finchley polluted and noisy. With that being said, the introduction of ~1,500 homes would also leave Finchley polluted and noisy. Finally, I would like to make a logistical comment on the development. Since 15, I have been moved to three different GPs because they have all closed. My local NHS Dentist is no longer taking new patients, as with many others in the area. As a nanny, I have been shocked at how many local children haven't been able to get places at The Compton, The Wren or Friern Barnet School because they're at capacity.	Object	1/20/2026 6:04:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JhWqp	Having grown up in the area my whole life, I have been visiting this site since a child and also bring my children here to eat and play at the bowling alley or watch films in the cinema. The area is already over populated and doesn't need any additional new comers. There traffic build up in this area is already at an all time high and residents can't afford to sit in traffic any longer than we already do. You are driving people out the community, out of London and out of the country. LEAVE OUR NEIGHBOURHOOD ALONE	Object	1/20/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JhWUF	Great loss of long- standing, easily accessible local amenities for families, youth and retirees, with good parking facilities for easy and safe access. Suggested replacement is totally out of keeping with the area and would be totally overbearing and a blight on the landscape.	Object	1/20/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JhXjj	It's a disgrace you are even thinking about it, local communities will suffer no infrastructure	Object	1/20/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JhXTa	Entertain and leisure facilities are needed for every age group in this area.	Object	1/20/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JhYuT	This has been a highly important social area for decades. It is an absolute shock to see such plans for a valuable community space. I have been visiting this site since I was a child and recently again too with my children, extended family and friends. Its value to the wider area and community cannot be underestimated	Object	1/20/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JhZTn	Absolutely disappointing to loose the only one thing families and young children have to go to around here!	Object	1/20/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JKaXv	You cannot seriously be considering something so huge in this site. OUTRAGEOUS!!!	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JKeGp	I object to the proposal. The loss of the current amenities - pool, gym, 5 or 6 restaurants, bowling alley, multi screen cinema - will be a detriment to the area. This site is very poorly served by public transport. It is miles from any station. Not a suitable place for a high density housing development.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:10 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JKfDy	There is already insufficient infrastructure (schools, medical centres) to support the current population density. This will exacerbate the issue and increase parking issues.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JKfE0	I wish to raise my objection to the proposal, that would remove amenities that are well-used and loved. There are so few local amenities for young and old in this area. Please reconsider and find spaces to build flats elsewhere.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JKfE4	I strongly object to this grossly over-ambitious and poorly thought through project, which would have a profound negative impact on the local area.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JKgAf	Everyone who lives here hates this proposal! Our families love the cinema/bowling/leisure. Our youths have little else to do around here. What we don't need is yet more flats without the associated extra roads, hospitals and GPs etc!	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JKj7T	Too much traffic will be there as it is always busy . We will loose out on the facility cinema. Fast food outlet etc. Parking there is none	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JKjRu	This is only residential amenity in this area. Due to this development, we will loose that. Also already heavy traffic in that road, this development makes it nightmare to cross that road	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JKIOx	This is a community area that is trying to be taken away from us. A cinema, bowling alley, leisure centre, restaurants. The transportation is not good enough to service the number of flats wanting to be built. The proposal is to build as many 1/2 bedroom flats as possible, but what is needed is houses for families. A large proportion of the flats will be bought by overseas developers to rent out.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:21 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JKIYa	The impact to local infrastructure (schools, GPs, dentists, traffic) does not appear to be addressed.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JKIYc	Can't just keep putting more people into an area without giving them many facilities. What would they be for? Children and teenagers to do in the area if you take away the cinema? What about the sports Centre it's so important for young people to get involved with sports.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JKNG3	These facilities are very well used by families and young people and have been part of the community for so many years. It will be such ashame to lose this!	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:22 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JKogE	Local amenities in this area have been steadily eroded over many years, and there are now very few remaining spaces that serve the wider community. The site in question was once home to a much-valued pair of swimming pools set within a park-like environment. These facilities were well used and provided an important recreational and social resource for residents of all ages. Their removal was deeply disappointing to many in the community. While the replacement that followed did not meet with universal approval, it at least preserved the site's function as a local amenity. The current proposal would remove even this, further diminishing what little communal infrastructure remains in North Finchley. Housing is, of course, an important issue, but it should not be addressed at the expense of established community assets. Developments of this nature risk hollowing out neighbourhoods by prioritising short-term housing targets over long-term quality of life, wellbeing, and social cohesion. I urge the Mayor's Office to reconsider this proposal and to give proper weight to the value of retaining and protecting local amenities for current and future residents. Once such spaces are lost, they are rarely, if ever, replaced.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JKoOa	This is a crucial social hub, losing it would be heartbreaking!	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:11 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JKoOW	<p>The GLNP is somewhere where we as a youngish family go and have experiences and make memories of our "home" together. Whether it be having meals out together, exercise and have fun in the wave pool and in the outdoor lido during hot summer months, or having experiences together in either the bowling alley or cinema.</p> <p>As my children grow older, I had expected this to be a place they continue to use independently with their friends and give them an opportunity to use the extensive facilities, especially when there is nothing else locally available for adolescents and young adults. If this is taken away from them and their friends, I fear the lack of alternative safe places for them to socialise, and they end up just hanging around the streets either creating antisocial behaviour or being exposed to unsafe environments.</p> <p>There are also several other residential developments already being built or proposed in a small area locally to this proposal, and not sure this redevelopment to create new housing in combination with those are needed. Plus, most of the proposed new builds are small flats not suitable for family life, that ultimately take up and erode community and green spaces, which is not in line with the mayor's commitment to healthy children, communities, places, and environment.</p> <p>I'm also not convinced other policies, such as compulsory purchase orders of empty properties, have been explored enough and their feasibility tested as alternatives to solve the housing crisis, which is what this development purports is trying to solve, although I don't believe the developers have this at the forefront of their minds or is the ultimately reason why decision makers grant things.</p> <p>I strongly encourage *** Personal details have been removed *** and his team before making any assessment on this not just to read these comments but to actually come out to North Finchley to see what is happening in the local area and to run in person consultation sessions and talk about what it means to local people and what impact these proposals will have on the local community.</p> <p>This isn't NIMBYism, we appreciate that things need to change to support the changing population and demand but it is a combination of the proposed scale of change across multiple developments, the appropriateness of change and whether it actually delivers for what's needed locally and whether the prioritisation of need is correct, combined with whether the other infrastructure can cope (such as schools, healthcare and social care services, transport) that it is worrying.</p>	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JKoOZ	I strongly object to this project for the reasons stated above! As it is the local roads are often gridlocked. No significant expansion of local infrastructure would bring schools, GP's etc under tremendous strain!	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JKtb7	This is a dreadful plan and will ruin the area. There are very few leisure amenities in this area and removing this leisure park will be an awful decision.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JKuIA	I strongly object to this proposal. We use the amenities weekly - my children go to the swimming pool, we come by car, and they are happy to go to the cinema and bowling there. If removed, it will be a real loss for the neighbourhood. Don't do it!	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JKuuW	This is the only leisure facility for many miles. To develop would be a huge loss to the people living and working in the surrounding area.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JKwFD	This would drastically reduce the value of our area, and instigate much more traffic and crime	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JKywl	Not enough to do in the area and too much housing and people all bunched together	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JKzjE	<p>I don't object to the redesign, but I do think Regal is showing itself to not really care enough about the future residents of these buildings. They do not meet the minimum light requirements, which Regal says is fine as they as 'urban' areas. This is insane as it implies that people living in cities don't deserve to live in a minimally acceptable flat, and this area is not urban, it's a suburb with mainly 2-to 4-story buildings.</p> <p>Also this area is not near a tube station, whether you walk or get the bus, it's at least 20 minutes, which makes it very hard to get into the city. They are likely to get applicants who are moving out to look for more space or who work hybrid or remotely. Because of this I think the fact that the majority of the flats are 1 bedroom is a mistake. 1 bed's are struggling to sell all over the city as more people work from home and need a space to work and people are even less like to want to move all the way out here, to a place where getting into the city is difficult if they don't have space to work from home.</p>	Not set	1/16/2026 6:05:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL05s	<p>I strongly object to this proposed development. It would do away with a wonderful place for this community and is the only facility which provides restaurant choices; large, many- screen cinema plus a great bowling alley, which is extremely popular with all ages. The swimming pool is in the perfect position and extremely popular.</p> <p>The site is very far from any station and is poorly served by bus routes.</p> <p>It's overbearing and density, heights of buildings, lack of parking</p>	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL0dE	<p>The loss of the cinema and bowling alley would be a hugely significant loss to the area. Both serve a very wide area and are a key local amenity which contributes greatly to the local economy.</p> <p>I feel the site could be improved with maybe some of the area redeveloped but not at the scale proposed or with high tower blocks. Any development must ensure the nature reserve and woodland nearby are not impacted.</p>	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:31 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JL0dI	I use the local gym and cinema every day. I do not want people to lose jobs I do not want housing with no better infrastructure as the amenities are stretched already	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL0dJ	This is a space where local people come together to enjoy leisure and eating Why do you think it's a good idea to take this away from us? Stop it please	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL0FB	Over density, and not enough infrastructure like doctors, hospital, parking, etc	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL1yL	The proposed height, density and mass are out of proportion for this site. Being close to the North Circular and busy road between North and East Finchley will cause high pollution levels for a dense residential site. Provision of parking space is an affordable housing is far too low for so many units proposed. I asked that you refuse this application.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL1zX	All of the above, but mostly taking away local amenities	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL2CU	I am against the demolition of the bowling alley and the cinema.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL2Vp	Please don't destroy the one place left for families to swim, bowl, watch movies, go to restaurants. This is an integral part of Finchley.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL2YW	Local amenities in this area have been steadily eroded over many years, and there are now very few remaining spaces that serve the wider community. The site in question was once home to a much-valued pair of swimming pools set within a park-like environment. These facilities were well used and provided an important recreational and social resource for residents of all ages. Their removal was deeply disappointing to many in the community. While the replacement that followed did not meet with universal approval, it at least preserved the site's function as a local amenity. The current proposal would remove even this, further diminishing what little communal infrastructure remains in North Finchley. Housing is, of course, an important issue, but it should not be addressed at the expense of established community assets. Developments of this nature risk hollowing out neighbourhoods by prioritising short-term housing targets over long-term quality of life, wellbeing, and social cohesion. I urge the Mayor's Office to reconsider this proposal and to give proper weight to the value of retaining and protecting local amenities for current and future residents. Once such spaces are lost, they are rarely, if ever, replaced.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL38R	In short, this proposal is out of scale, out of character, and unsustainable in its impact on our community. This has been a very useful place for families & friends to meet, watch a film, eat, go for a swim or play bowling.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL38S	As a local resident of over 30 yrs, I object for the following reasons 1. Loss of cinema, Hollywood Bowl, Lido & restaurants which are well used by all ages. Plenty of photos highlighting this. People come from a wide radius to use these leisure facilities. No logic to getting rid of cinema & bowling, decreasing the size of the leisure centre and increasing the population in the area - what are people to do? No vision of creating facilities for outdoor sports eg all weather pitches or facilities for future Olympians Signed local petition 6,000, reflects the above 2. Over developed scheme, high rise and high density would dominate the suburban area & create a mini city with no facilities 3. Poor transport links 4. Fails to reflect biodiversity, risks to Glebelands Nature reserve and protected great crested newts 5. This development cannot be considered in isolation, it needs to be considered with other developments in the local area & borough of Barnet & the impact all will have on local infrastructure 5. Mayor should consider Barnet Council's reasons for rejecting the proposal	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL3k9	Too Dense, too High Rise, Lack of Amenities, not in keeping with surrounding height and design of other buildings; Loss of existing multiplex cinema, swimming pools, restaurants and general community hub. Replacement in tiny carpark in North Finchley is ludicrous!! Leave it alone and keep something for the residents of Finchley that is well loved and well used - and not an insulting trade off!!	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL3Lq	I'm concerned at the erosion of public amenities	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL42P	Me and my family use this desire park frequently and it would be a real shame to see it turned into housing blocks	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL6h6	There is already a shortage of community space, especially important for the younger population. Removing these facilities will worsen this situation	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL6PS	The current space is the only space for anyone living around these areas to go to for films, activities, fitness and dining. An amazing social space - where will all the youngsters go when this has been replaced with flats and housing which will over crowd the area, cause so much more parking and traffic issues. If certain things will move to North Finchley the traffic and parking here already is not enough and will just cause more problems. Not to move this leisure area of ours which we visit so often with kids and without kids for so many reasons. It's so congested more housing will make it more congested - just like all the new flats and houses being built and taking over all area.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL7FO	This site is used for cinema, bowling, restaurant, swimming, outdoor swimming, fitness etc by many people. The redevelopment is not suitable and any replacement to amenities would take years and maybe never happen. Area would be much poorer for it.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:58 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JL7GX	The development of this very well used site for yet more housing is too much. I understand that the builder/ development team have declined to give funds for additional health services/ GP, policing . This aretis already over subscribed for health services and cannot accommodate hundreds more. Ther needs to be far more affordable homes for Londoners to access, enabling them to remain in their local area and contribute to the economy. When mental wellbeing and physical health are considered to be a priority, leading to less calls on the NHS, it is detrimental to close the leisure centre based on finances gained from the huge development. The access to the site is dreadful and unsafe as it is, with this proposal it will be even worse..I would urge that this is turned down, N12 & N20; are already over developed, with massive new builds in the last few years. This proposal is a step too far.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL7oh	We're losing the soul of our communities by destroying community sites to build lifeless housing which will ultimately be unaffordable for most.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL7oi	The proposal will have a harmful impact on the local offering of the facilities	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL8Sv	Objection.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL8Sw	I support the building of affordable homes as long as there is infrastructure to provide affordable transport, education and exercise facilities. I haven't seen enough detail to determine whether this plan addresses inequalities in health, education, transport and housing.	Not set	1/16/2026 6:05:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL8Vp	There's enough new build housing and too much traffic already and has been a very well used and loved leisure site for many years and should continue to be so. The council voted unanimously against it and that should be the end of it	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL9De	I object for the following reasons: Overcrowding: This will be one of the densest complexes in the country, with 4,300 people squeezed into an area the size of five football pitches. There are too few public spaces, amenities, and children's play areas. Unaffordable housing: The London Plan says that 50% of new homes should be "affordable", with a minimum of 35%, yet Regal proposes a meagre 22% and no social housing. Loss of entertainment venues: The Hollywood Bowl and the Vue cinema, the only such venues in this part of London, would be demolished with no comparable replacements. Young people and families will have no safe space to socialise, exacerbating rising mental health problems and antisocial behaviour. Poor transport services: TfL rates GNLP as having poor public transport access. There's only one major bus route and the Tube is 30 minutes walk away. Discrimination against car users: Only 18% of the flats have parking spaces, which is a third of what is required by Barnet's Local Plan. GNLP is remote and there are no major shops nearby. Many people need to use a car because they can't walk or cycle long distances. Damage to nature: Many trees will be removed. The plans threaten local wildlife, including the protected great crested newts at Glebelands Nature Reserve. Regal's application risks causing serious damage to a rare habitat. Bad design: The cluster of blocks up to 25 storeys' tall represents shoddy architecture. Barnet Council's own Urban Design Team has described the scheme as "unfit for purpose and in conflict with planning policy." Ignoring local people and visitors: Barnet Council failed to consult the community before allowing Regal to remove the entertainment venues.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL9K6	We need a leisure park for the local public. The gym and swimming pool must stay or be re built with a bigger swimming pool in the local area. It's a bad idea	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JL9TN	North Finchley does not have the infrastructure to support so many more people. Schools, doctors, dentists. How does this not factor in? On top of that the leisure park is always busy, serving people from all around Barnet and London as a whole. Moving it to the high street is as ludicrous an idea as building the flats	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLAIs	The infrastructure does not exist to support this proposed development	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLAUj	I am opposed to the scale of this development because of its likely impact on nearby woodland. The UK is one of the most nature-depleted countries in the world, and any loss of natural habitat should be avoided if at all possible. Has there been an assessment of what creatures live, or what plants grow, in the Glebelands? Creatures including bats and newts are protected by law; this alone should be reason for rejecting the proposal in its present form.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:28 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JLBel	There are very few places locally that young people can visit independently and safely, and this will remove a much loved and used local leisure location for young people and families.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLBem	Please reconsider this development we do not need. The infrastructure cannot deal with such a development. Hospital, Schools , doctors, public transport system. Surely a public space with facilities that families can enjoy is more important.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLBIT	The loss of a valuable restaurant cinema and bowling alley for socialising with friends and family is a significant blow. Furthermore, the text doesn't mention that the new leisure centre will have both indoor and outdoor swimming pools like the current one.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLBTg	Over development of this site with no plans for further infrastructure to support those who will live in this area is untenable.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLBTX	We need more green, less Concrete	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLBTY	There is no provision for leisure facilities other than a sports centre, No cinema etc in this new development	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLCZa	This proposal destroys vital community facilities — the swimming pool, gym, bowling and cinema - and replaces them with housing without providing any GP, schools or community spaces. These places keep children, teenagers and older residents healthy, active and safe. They are popular, affordable and easy to access. Removing them will seriously harm mental and physical health and strip the area of its community life. Building houses without infrastructure is irresponsible and will ruin the area. This development should not be approved	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLCZb	The GNLP is a really crucial part of the local community for all ages, loss on such a scale would be hugely detrimental to the area and its residents. The density of the additional housing seems excessive and the strain it would put on transport etc. Would be very intense. I object to the project, I agree affordable housing needs to be built but not to this scale on that site and at such a detriment to the local community.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLCZc	Taking away much needed leisure facilities for vast concrete structures which will over populate the area is ridiculous.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:11 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLDn3	I totally disagree with these plans!	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLDzq	As a family, we make constant use of the Great North Leisure Park and while I appreciate that there are noises about moving the bowling lane and cinema up the high street, I have too often seen such promises come to nothing. Talking to local friends and other parents at my child's school, we all find Great North Leisure Park to be extremely valuable to ourselves and to our families given the range of activities and entertainments it offers, something I fear would be lost were it all to become housing. I would argue it has been and still remains a vital part of the community, and is in many ways a draw to the area that would be lost should the plans go ahead. Furthermore, if the suggestion is that the cinema and bowling lane could go to Lodge Lane car park, why not simply have the Lodge Lane site be (sympathetically designed) housing and leave the amenities that Great North Leisure Park offers stay as they are? I'm sure that more of the community values the restaurants, swimming pool, cinema and bowling lanes than they do some parking spaces.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLDzu	Don't get rid of this place please	Support	1/16/2026 6:05:22 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLEKY	I appreciate Barnet needs housing, but losing the bowling alley and the cinema would mean losing one of the few activities in the area that young people can afford. Alternatives built in North Finchley would inevitably offer more expensive tickets and amenities than current exist at the leisure park, as outlets can make more money from 'premium' experiences like Everyman. This would leave young people with even less to do in the area, damaging childhoods and potentially increasing anti-social behaviour	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLEW2	As someone who has now seen first hand the impact of overdevelopment with the impact and damage to my own personal home in the area with the overdevelopment of the Sainsbury Homebase space - I would not wish this on anyone. This development removes the leisure facility we have used for decades and its impact will significantly be felt on the poor infrastructure.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLEW8	Total over use of the site, and way too densely built for the size of plot. Removal of a well loved and used community asset. Massive environmental impact and huge disruption.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLEz6	The buildings will be out of keeping with the area and the swimming pool will be lost. There will no parking and no bowling alley in the area. The current area is heavily used by residents of Finchley and this will be a massive loss of amenity for the borough.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLFS2S	This proposal leads to the loss of restaurants, swimming and leisure centre, cinema and bowling alley. The loss of so many facilities is very distressing for local residents. In addition the enormous number of new flats in an area supported by so few public transport routes seems impossible.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLFAb	As a local resident for 10 years and live in north London for 40 years, this is the only facilities like this for miles. This development will also put strain on the local amenities, roads and loss of a much needed resource for the community	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLFFL	We love this complex and use all of the amenities including the affordable pool and the cinema and visit the restaurants regularly. We have been going for over 20 years. It also has the food bank for local people. It would be terrible to replace it with housing. We need community facilities like this! It works well and is very valued. Please deny this planning application.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLFIH	The site as it is, provides useful leisure and health facilities and I would like them to remain.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLFK3	This is a great amenity and it should not be lost. We have already lost the lido, I am sure many regret that.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:09 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JLFOy	<p>Overcrowding: This will be one of the densest complexes in the country, with 4,300 people squeezed into an area the size of five football pitches. There are too few public spaces, amenities, and children's play areas.</p> <p>Unaffordable housing: The London Plan says that 50% of new homes should be "affordable", with a minimum of 35%, yet Regal proposes a meagre 22% and no social housing.</p> <p>Loss of entertainment venues: The Hollywood Bowl and the Vue cinema, the only such venues in this part of London, would be demolished with no comparable replacements. Young people and families will have no safe space to socialise, exacerbating rising mental health problems and antisocial behaviour.</p> <p>Poor transport services: TfL rates GNLP as having poor public transport access. There's only one major bus route and the Tube is 30 minutes walk away.</p> <p>Discrimination against car users: Only 18% of the flats have parking spaces, which is a third of what is required by Barnet's Local Plan. GNLP is remote and there are no major shops nearby. Many people need to use a car because they can't walk or cycle long distances.</p> <p>Damage to nature: Many trees will be removed. The plans threaten local wildlife, including the protected great crested newts at Glebelands Nature Reserve. Regal's application risks causing serious damage to a rare habitat.</p> <p>Bad design: The cluster of blocks up to 25 storeys' tall represents shoddy architecture. Barnet Council's own Urban Design Team has described the scheme as "unfit for purpose and in conflict with planning policy."</p> <p>Ignoring local people and visitors: Barnet Council failed to consult the community before allowing Regal to remove the entertainment venues.</p>	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLFLV	This site is used for cinema , bowling , restaurant , swimming , outdoor swimming , fitness etc by many people . The redevelopment is not suitable and any replacement to amenities would take years and maybe never happen . Area would be much poorer for it .	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLG39	another bonkers development from the Mayor of London's office with zero consideration for the local community - wished as much care for locals shown	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLG6P	Families in the surrounding community rely on the leisure services for our children DONT TAKE THE LITTLE ENJOYMENT THEY HAVE AWAY!!!!	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLG80	Traffic is bad enough already, and the local doctors, schools, hospital are already at full capacity. We will be loosing an area which serves families for entertainment and fun.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLG81	I strongly object to the planned development of the great north leisure park. I have lived locally for 25 years and have seen the decline already due to too many flats and houses being imposed on our area. There is too much traffic and building squeezing in too many people to the area. It is too much. The planned development will increase the population and the problem. Please listen to the local people and do NOT allow this to go ahead.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLGCr	The facilities being removed are extremely well used by the local community including families. The new development will overpower local green spaces used by community sports clubs including children, ruining the green environment they need and thrive in.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLGEs	<p>While I support the need to improve local housing, there has been exceptionally strong local objection to the scheme and it is very wrong for the mayor to ride roughshod over those views.</p> <p>Any scheme should realise that the Mayor's promise that "every journey matters" should prioritise private car transport as that is the most used for of transport in London. This means building more road space, more parking spaces - at least two perresidential property - and increased parking around shops, schools and the places Londoners live and work. Central london has become a miserable place under the Mayor's transport police and he cannot be allowed to do the same to Barnet.</p>	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLGPk	<p>I strongly object on grounds of loss of much-valued amenities for local residents.</p> <p>Also existing facilities such as GP practices and schools are already overloaded - how can they possibly cope with such a sudden population increase.</p> <p>Plus increase in sewage highly likely to overload the system.</p>	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:52 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JLGsm	<p>It would be a great loss, for many families to lose the current amenities, the place holds many memories and also offers somewhere safe for families and youth to socialise rather than roam the streets. There are also a lot of SEN children and adults who really rely on the area for some sensory needs, and bowling the cinema it can be very helpful for some who might not be able to access these facilities somewhere to go and socialise in an environment and area that's familiar.</p> <p>We have lost and are still losing so many opportunities for our young people to have fun and socialise in a safe place close to home for housing and greed. Housing that is not fit for purpose most of the time, and that causes more loss and increased financial strain than any benefit.</p> <p>Currently you have an area with parking that everyone can afford (free) and somewhere for families of all financial abilities to go to. Take this away and you will have less business trade financial loss and more kids on the streets.</p>	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLGT2	<p>This development fails on ALL areas whatever measurements you use - loss of local amenities are immeasurable, whatever the height of buildings is it is over development, the protected crested newts will be decimated, traffic management poor, transport links appalling, Mayor Khan may want less cars but the economy depends on people buying cars! Little parking equals traffic chaos! Try getting a bus with kids, buggies, shopping or in the evening - impossible.... In fact nothing in Regal's plans meet our local needs. There is little if no "affordable" housing. There was little transparency in the decision making & Barnet Council are serving the electorate badly if it finally goes ahead... there is little contribution to the local community - quickly it would become a crime ghetto. So Please STOP</p>	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLGGWK	<p>Overcrowding: This will be one of the densest complexes in the country, with 4,300 people squeezed into an area the size of five football pitches. There are too few public spaces, amenities, and children's play areas.</p> <p>Unaffordable housing: The London Plan says that 50% of new homes should be "affordable", with a minimum of 35%, yet Regal proposes a meagre 22% and no social housing.</p> <p>Loss of entertainment venues: The Hollywood Bowl and the Vue cinema, the only such venues in this part of London, would be demolished with no comparable replacements. Young people and families will have no safe space to socialise, exacerbating rising mental health problems and antisocial behaviour.</p> <p>Poor transport services: TfL rates GNLP as having poor public transport access. There's only one major bus route and the Tube is 30 minutes walk away.</p> <p>Discrimination against car users: Only 18% of the flats have parking spaces, which is a third of what is required by Barnet's Local Plan. GNLP is remote and there are no major shops nearby. Many people need to use a car because they can't walk or cycle long distances.</p> <p>Damage to nature: Many trees will be removed. The plans threaten local wildlife, including the protected great crested newts at Glebelands Nature Reserve. Regal's application risks causing serious damage to a rare habitat.</p> <p>Bad design: The cluster of blocks up to 25 storeys' tall represents shoddy architecture. Barnet Council's own Urban Design Team has described the scheme as "unfit for purpose and in conflict with planning policy."</p> <p>Ignoring local people and visitors: Barnet Council failed to consult the community before allowing Regal to remove the entertainment venues.</p>	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLGxh	Great place for kids to hang out safely and have fun. Great family venue too	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLH0q	The site is a public space where kids and grown ups come together for social interaction. It is a key venue to create a sense of connection and belonging. Many birthday parties and social interactions happen here and taking this away will impact the social integration of the broader community	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLH2S	Terrible plan to have 25-storey buildings in the local area. Massive overdevelopment which will destroy local heritage, cause traffic and parking problems in the area.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLH49	The existing complex with cinema, bowling, and restaurants is a popular local amenity, and should not be demolished.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLH5k	This will remove vital leisure amenities for local residents. Poor infrastructure and loss of green space. Not appropriate.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:52 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JLH5n	<p>Dear Sir / Madam,</p> <p>I wish to formally object to the proposed redevelopment of Great North Leisure Park, Chaplin Square, London N12 0GL.</p> <p>While I recognise the need for housing and regeneration, this proposal is excessive in scale, density, and height and would result in significant harm to the local area for the following reasons:</p> <p>1. Overdevelopment and Excessive Height The proposal for up to 1,485 residential dwellings across 20 buildings reaching heights of up to 25 storeys is wholly out of character with the surrounding area. Such scale represents a dramatic and inappropriate increase in massing that would dominate the skyline, harm local character, and erode the suburban nature of North Finchley.</p> <p>2. Unsustainable Density The level of residential density proposed for a 4.93-hectare site is excessive and raises serious concerns regarding quality of life for future residents and existing neighbours. The intensity of development risks overcrowding, reduced privacy, loss of daylight, and poor living conditions.</p> <p>3. Pressure on Local Infrastructure and Services An additional population of this magnitude will place unsustainable pressure on already stretched local infrastructure, including GP surgeries, schools, public transport, utilities, and emergency services. There is insufficient evidence that local infrastructure can adequately support this scale of development.</p> <p>4. Traffic, Parking, and Highway Safety The development will inevitably lead to a substantial increase in traffic in an area already suffering from congestion. The impact on local roads, junctions, parking availability, pedestrian safety, and air quality has not been convincingly mitigated.</p> <p>5. Environmental and Amenity Impact The proposal risks loss of open space, increased noise, overshadowing, and wind tunnel effects. The cumulative environmental impact of buildings of this height and density has not been adequately addressed, particularly in relation to neighbouring residential amenity.</p> <p>6. Inadequate Justification for Scale While the inclusion of a leisure centre and commercial space is welcome in principle, these benefits do not justify the extreme scale and height of the residential component. A more balanced, lower-rise, and context-sensitive scheme would better serve the community.</p> <p>Conclusion For the reasons outlined above, I believe the proposal constitutes overdevelopment and fails to respect the character, capacity, and infrastructure of the local area. I therefore urge the Council to refuse this application or require a substantially revised scheme with significantly reduced height, density, and overall impact.</p> <p>Yours faithfully, [REDACTED]</p>	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLHAW	Mental to have this development when the current local infrastructure cant even cope!! Madness, the town will be broken.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLHf8	<p>This is a very much valued leisure park.</p> <p>It is enjoyed by all ages and gives the public somewhere to enjoy leisure time.</p> <p>My community recently organised a bowling evening and members came from a wide area,</p> <p>After the bowling fun, we went to dine at Nandos.</p> <p>It was a great time for the community to get together.</p> <p>I hope it is decided to remain as it is for future events.</p>	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLHIQ	A real loss for the whole area that is already lacking entertaining Spaces even more for teenagers.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLHqU	This is a poorly thought out proposal. Replacing a very popular and locally unique set of leisure facilities with high density and poor quality housing in an area with very poor transport links is incomprehensible and unjustifiable. I strongly object to this proposal and the negative impact it would have on the local area.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLHqV	<p>A well used community site to be replaced by a load more flats and no communal</p> <p>Area for the very large population living nearby . Very busy area and at present great community site for families with pool cinema bowling and good range of restaurants and car parking . The new development will</p> <p>Be a disaster reducing amenities and more residential with no benefits and increase in crime totally object.</p>	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLHSH	There is no infrastructure to substantiate a new block of flats with hundreds of people. GPs in the area are full, schools are oversubscribed, transport overcrowded. Before building another block, think about infrastructure. It always puzzles me, why the developers are only responsible for building new blocks and care about their own profit, but they are not obliged by law to think of the surrounding infrastructure: a new green area, new playground, new school or nursery. And how are they allowed to get away with this for years! Unbelievable!!	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLHTs	object	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:54 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JLHvH	I strongly object to this redevelopment, which ignores local council rejection and would cause lasting harm to the character, community value, and liveability of the area.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLHVt	My principle objection to this over-development is the loss of the swimming pool which me and my family I have used many many times over the years and has provided important health benefits to me (redacted) and is a vital local amenity. The additional pressure on traffic and parking in the area with so many new flats also fills me with horror.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLHVW	Over population of the area without a thought for the environment and no amenities near by	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLHwx	These are essential services for our growing area, widely used by local people and provide affordable recreation which so important for families and young people. Any development should not involve the loss of the swimming pool, cinema and bowling alley.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLHX5	Enough is enough	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLHX8	I will miss the restaurants and cinema. Existing residents in the whole area need to have an outlet where they can be entertained and fed.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLHyV	This would add considerable time to my route to work and greatly limit the facilities in the area for my family.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLl6c	Loss of cinema, bowling alley, restaurants etc. Too high, too dense. Poor public transport links.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLl8F	I strongly object to this development as the cinema, bowling , pool and restaurants are an essential part of our neighbourhood . Especially the pool is much needed in our Borough . Lots if new homes have already been built and it's essential to also keep infrastructure alive for those who live here!	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLlEd	There is too many housing units in this development - there are no houses with gardens, which would be appropriate for this suburban setting, not enough affordable homes (probably flats) and so there will not be a heterogenous community wanting to live here. I couldn't work out how much residents parking is being envisaged but new schemes in outer london underestimate the need families and others have for the car. And finally there is a loss of the amenities- cinema, bowling, swimming which I have used for many years living in the area.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLlg4	This area is currently a leisure centre and its use has and still is enjoyed by many local residents. The area itself is becoming more congested, overcrowded, polluted with a negative knock on effect on the local health, social and educational facilities. Don't ruin yet another local area and turn a once decent place into a concrete jungle.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLlGE	There is nowhere else in the area for anyone to go to experience these fun places. They are affordable and loved by the the whole community. Where else can our teenagers go thats safe and local? The roads are busy enough the local doctors and schools are over populated enough. This is a terrible idea by people who dont take the local communities point of view and life style into consideration. You can see by the amount of objections how strongly we as community feel about this project going ahead. And to be over ruled at this point is just another nail in the coffin of democracy. Rip great britain	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLlkt	Its a great swimming pool we regularly visit with kids	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLlku	This retail and leisure park is a foundation of our community. It would be a loss that can not be replaced.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLlpi	We do not have enough schools, Drs surgeries or amenities in the local area to cope with the construction of these buildings and by the way who will be housed in these, ie who will have priority???????	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLlPu	Greatly impacts the community	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLlIt2	Loss of cinema, bowling alley very bad for local community and jobs. Building of 25 storeys is monstrous and far too high for the local area. This is a huge development for the site. A total disaster in so many ways for finchley.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLluc	What about jobs and young people's fun	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLlVG	I have no objection to this proposal. However, I would wish to see a significant proportion of affordable housing. I have already had one of my adult children, a trained healthcare professional, leave London due to lack of affordable housing. I also have concerns about build quality in these new developments. There are frequent news stories about this and my current office is in a similar mixed development and build quality issues are obvious even to my untrained eye.	Not set	1/16/2026 6:04:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLlWB	I object to this proposal due to the fact that there is no other leisure facilities for children in the area. The cinema/arcade and swimming pools are vital services for our children in the local area. I think it's disgusting that the mayor thinks this is appropriate In an already overly residential area!	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLlWC	I object as this is an affordable place to take my kids to the cinema, swimming in the summer and perhaps a meal all in one place including bowling and the arcades. I use this and its been here for a number of years as I use to go hear 20 years ago and still today.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLlXo	The area has few amenities as it is! Losing the cinema will be detrimental to the community's wellbeing as it provides an opportunity for entertainment that is affordable and accessible to many in and around the area. The cinema has also been a focus for minority and ethnic communities as it has shown films (in addition to mainstream) that are particularly relevant to them. The bowling venue is also another valuable amenity for the community and it should remain available for use.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLlY0	Please don't do this! It would completely change the neighborhood to the disadvantage of Finchley residents. Yes, Housing is needed nationally - find a much smaller scheme in this area!	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLlJ2k	We use the leisure park a lot, it's a great alternative for locals to have everything local and an excellent entertainment area. I think this will disrupt the local community and also will add hundreds of people to the area which won't have access to the entertainment facilities anymore.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:12 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JLJ98	The very high rise buildings proposed for this site will have a huge impact on local amenities and transport in particular lack of Doctors available, car parking and more. In addition the current site is well used by local people for cheap but pleasant restaurants and in particular the local cinema with a number of screens plus a very well used 10 pin bowling club. I know that the idea is to replace the 10 pin bowling with a new site in North Finchley but this proposal is only providing 3 lanes when the current place has many more lanes and even so is most of the time fully booked up and difficult to get to play there because it is so popular. A 3 lane 10 pin bowling facility will clearly not suffice as a replacement as it is nowhere near large enough. In addition the current site has plenty of parking for the said restaurants, cinema, bowling club etc. and the proposed high rises are providing little or no parking. This has in no way been clearly thought out.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:11 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLJab	I am in support of this redevelopment and it should definitely go forward. Before the redevelopment Grahame Park was a mess. Now Grahame park is so much better and full of community, more house and diverse communities.	Support	1/16/2026 6:05:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLJlm	I strongly object to this development. The leisure park provides vital amenities for local residents, as well as social opportunities for teens, and treasured wildlife reserves.. the local community has made their feelings known, NO ONE WANTS THIS DEVELOPMENT TO GO AHEAD. If it is approved by the mayor after so much opposition, it's clear residents voices mean nothing.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLJM0	We use cinema and bowling and love it as a family	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLJp1	The current proposal is a monstrous development. The housing, which is not in an affordable range, is densely packed, with huge building that are not conducive to wellbeing. The density of new inhabitants in the plan would not be supported by adequate local provision of schools, healthcare and public transport: all three would crash under the pressure of this development. Closing most amenities would have a strongly detrimental impact on local communities, especially families and youth, prop mot ing social disgregation and degrade. The impact on local environment, in particular the ecological niche in the Glebelands would be devastating. Poorly though plan, bad design, profit-oriented with no consideration of people wellbeing and environmental issues.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLJp2	This development is not needed here and will totally ruin the current landscape, there are already developments in the area and this is unwarranted. The Glebelands Bowls club had been a mainstay of the local area for decades along with the gym and pool. To lose these along with the rest of well utilised facilities will be travesty and sad for the area. I strongly object to this development and it will ruin the area.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLJSS	We believe that 1485 homes with over 3500 bedrooms is an over development of our residential area. There are no plans to increase doctor surgeries or schools. The height is totally out of keeping with local houses. Traffic and parking will be greatly increased. Underground stations are over 15 minutes walk and during rush hour can take 30 minutes to access via bus. Local roads currently have a high density of parked vehicles. Access to the leisure centre will be narrow and only via Summers Lane. We therefore oppose this development.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLJvX	Will reduce the quality of life for local people due to congestion, lack of adequate parking, leisure facilities and pressure on services like GPs.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLJx9	High rise buildings so densely populated are not conducive to building successful communities. Green space would be very limited for such a dense population. It would be detrimental to nature and biodiversity to have such huge expanses of densely packed buildings. The area should be considered as a village with enough true social housing and access to green space and nature. Traffic would be greatly increased.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLJYw	I don't approve	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLJYx	This will completely overwhelm the location in terms of size, appearance and infrastructure. A community also needs an area for leisure and social interaction and the Great North Leisure Park has increasingly performed that function over the years, with very few other options available.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLK0K	Unsuitable due to density of proposal. Terrible traffic congestion. Buildings should not be just constructed regardless of impact on local communities. A terrible totally thoughtless idea with no real benefit apart from commercial gain	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLK8U	Too many residents for too little public transport & other amenities in the area	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLKA1	This is a well-used amenity in the local area, with cinema, bowling, restaurants, ample parking and importantly the Finchley Lido leisure centre & swimming pools where I attend regular well-priced classes.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:02 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JLKbC	<p>I would like to voice my objection to this proposed redevelopment, for various reasons. Although more housing in London is needed, it should not be at any cost.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Finchley Lido is a cherished local amenity, with a long history dating back to 1931. Countless generations have enjoyed the use of these pools. My mum swam there as a child, I learnt to swim there, and now all three of my children have regularly attended. The loss of this amenity, as well as the loss of Hollywood Bowl and the cinema, would be yet another example of demolishing third spaces and reducing much needed areas to socialise with family and friends. People from all ages use this area - families, children, teenagers etc. I don't know of anyone who hasn't attended a birthday party at Hollywood Bowl, or watched a film with their friends at the cinema. The Leisure Park is such a part of growing up in this area. 2. The area has poor transport links. The bus routes that supply the area are already over burdened and there is no nearby tube station. 1485 extra dwellings = 3000-5000 extra residents. This redevelopment location has been poorly thought out. Once again profit over common sense. 3. Relating to this, is the pressure on local NHS services. As an NHS GP myself, how will local GP services/pharmacies/district nursing/health visitors etc. manage this huge extra workload. These services are already stretched to their absolute limits - this proposal will hugely impact the delivery of healthcare for the entire local community. 4. The proposed buildings themselves are bulky, tall and imposing, and not in keeping with the local area. 5. There is likely to be a large increase in traffic and parking in this area as a result of this redevelopment. 6. There is huge local opposition to this project. I have three children in a local primary school, and I have strong links in the local community - every parent group and neighbourhood What's App Group has multiple postings from parents/residents voicing objections. <p>I hope these objections and local opinions will be taken into consideration.</p>	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLKbP	what's the point in taking away all local social areas and replacing them with building blocks for no-one. people in north west London have a ridiculous amount of vacant flats already available to them - no one wants to live in a box. people move to the area because of the leisure park and want a house to live in.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLKEv	This is the only leisure facility in the near vicinity.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLKEz	Will have an impact on the area and services,will effect green spaces and local allotments and people's quality of life. Will change the leafy area to a more congested environment and will put pressure on services that are already in resourced	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLKLH	There is no need for additional housing. We need the cinemas, bowling, entertainment to go to as closeby to home.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLKLl	I live five minutes from the site. My daughter has had swimming lessons at the Lido. The bowling and the cinema is where young teenagers in this area go. Please don't turn North Finchley into a high-rise area.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLKOW	I object most strongly to this development. It is too big, too high with no consideration paid to the impact it will have on current local amenities (roads, traffic, schools, GP surgeries..) and to the loss of the current recreational amenities that as Londoners we've enjoyed for many years.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLKTO	object	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLKWZ	<p>Dear Mayor,</p> <p>I live in North London, Finchley area for the past 13 years. We regularly go to this Great North Leisure Park, during weekend to spend quality family time in Bowling, Vue cinemas, Lido Better swimming and Gym classes and for restaurant visits.</p> <p>There are no other alternatives for us , other than this place. We will have to travel more to get a similar experience for the family and friends.</p> <p>So I object the demolition of this place , to replace with residential buildings. These new residential buildings will create more traffic, air pollution, congestion and leaves less space for social activities and gathering.</p> <p>Thanks</p> <p>██████</p>	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLKZn	Please don't take away such a fantastic resource for people who live locally. This is a fantastic amenity, without it families, especially the most disadvantaged/low income households without cars will not be able to access a cinema, swimming pool, gym or bowling alley. With so many housing developments locally it is important the local population also have recreational spaces	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:31 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JLKZo	<p>I object to the proposed development of 1,485 residential dwellings across 20 buildings.</p> <p>It is important to note that 1,485 dwellings does not equate to 1,485 people. Depending on household size, this development could realistically introduce several thousand new residents into the area. There has been no clear or adequate provision for the necessary infrastructure to support such a significant population increase, including GP surgeries, dental practices, and school places. Local services are already overstretched, with long waiting lists and limited capacity, and there is no evidence that sufficient expansion or funding is in place to meet this additional demand.</p> <p>The proposal also involves the loss of a vital community hub currently used by residents of all ages. Facilities such as the leisure centre, cinema, bowling, and academic and recreational spaces play a crucial role in community wellbeing, particularly for children and teenagers. Their removal would significantly reduce opportunities for social, physical, and positive leisure activities, resulting in a clear loss of community value and quality of life for existing residents.</p> <p>Furthermore, the scale, height, and density of the proposed buildings would be visually intrusive and out of keeping with the character of the surrounding area. Rather than enhancing the locality, the development risks becoming an eyesore that detracts from the existing environment.</p> <p>Traffic and transport impacts are another major concern. Construction traffic would cause prolonged disruption, congestion, and road safety issues for local residents. Once occupied, the development would generate a substantial increase in daily vehicle movements, worsening existing traffic problems, noise, and air pollution, with no adequate mitigation measures clearly demonstrated.</p> <p>I grew up in the local area and now have children who attend school locally and socialise within the community. As a result, I have first-hand experience of how important the existing facilities are to families. The proposal would remove a main social and community hub, including leisure and recreational facilities used by children, teenagers, and families. These facilities provide safe, positive spaces for young people to spend their time, and their loss would have a significant negative impact on community wellbeing. There is also no guarantee that suitable replacement facilities would be delivered within an acceptable timeframe, meaning the area could be left without adequate community provision for many years.</p> <p>Traffic and road safety concerns are particularly serious. The Summers Road / Granville Road junction is already hazardous, with no pedestrian crossing, despite its close proximity to a school. This junction is regularly used by children and families and already poses a safety risk. Increasing traffic flow through this area, both during the construction phase and once the development is occupied, would significantly increase the likelihood of a serious accident occurring. The absence of clear, effective measures to address pedestrian safety at this junction makes the proposal especially concerning.</p> <p>For these reasons the failure to account for the true population increase, insufficient infrastructure, loss of essential community facilities, negative visual impact, and unacceptable traffic implications I strongly object to this planning application and urge the planning authority to refuse permission.</p>	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLL4Q	It will lead to environmental issues	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLL4R	This development is robbing local children and teens from a well used and well loved amenity.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLam	<p>I strongly oppose this development and I trust that the democratic decision of Barnet Council to refuse it will be duly upheld.</p> <p>This proposed development would be monstrously overbearing on this scale and it is far too extensive , and much too high and too dominant for this site. It would damage local heritage and townscape and also irreparably damage the existing mostly low rise suburban character of the wider local area. Such over densification is proven to be highly damaging to mental health. This development would be a carbuncle and hideous depressing blot on the wider area.</p> <p>It would also adversely impact highway safety and traffic flows and create increased noise and nuisance for surrounding residents as well as taking away existing parking provision and several other existing amenities without provision of adequate replacements. This site currently provides amenities which are much valued, especially by families with children and teenagers - there is already nowhere else for them to go and it's appalling to take away from them what pathetically small amount of accessible amenity (with parking) there is currently remaining for them in this local area.</p> <p>Public transport and infrastructure provision is already inadequate to serve this particular location and the vastly increased pressures that would be created by a development on this absolutely overwhelming scale are simply not addressed.</p>	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:25 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLAt	<p>My objections are based on the following serious concerns:</p> <p>Overcrowding and overdensity - The proposal includes 1,502 residential units - four times the originally allocated number and a tower up to a staggering 25 storeys, totally out of keeping and scale with the surrounding area. This will be one of the densest complexes in the country, with 4,300 people squeezed into an area the size of five football pitches. There are too few public spaces, amenities, and children's play areas.</p> <p>The current Leisure space would be slashed by over 70%, from 9,937 sqm to only 2,590 sqm, with a scaled back pool and gym and no wave pool.</p> <p>The permanent closure of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all the existing restaurants would result in the total destruction of this important and popular social hub for our community and the loss of many successful, revenue generating businesses and result in significant job losses. My family have been regular users of all these facilities for over 20 years from children's bowling parties to cinema, swimming in the wave pool and restaurant visits and we continue to be regular users with my teenage and grown-up children using the facilities 3-4 times a week. The bowling alley also has pool tables and games which are great for young people. Where are young people going to go for entertainment if all of this is destroyed? This is the only facility of its kind in this part of north London. People won't be able to go for a local evening out to the cinema and a restaurant where they can park easily any more. Young people and families will have no safe space to socialise, exacerbating rising mental health problems and antisocial behaviour.</p> <p>It would be crazy, counterproductive and mean spirited to take away all these sources of entertainment and leisure for existing residents for the sake of densely packed housing which is excessive for the area, when new housing could be put on more suitable brown field sites that don't require the demolition of existing successful leisure facilities. I understand that many of these facilities are used by social groups and disabled people and their carers. I spoke to some of the carers when I was last at GNLP and they were horrified at the loss of a facility so essential to them. The idea that a replacement tiny cinema and bowling alley with no parking in North Finchley (if it were ever built) would be any kind of substitute for the existing facilities would be laughable if it weren't so sad.</p> <p>Such a massive increase in the density of residential property would lead to an excessive and unsustainable pressure on all local services, including a massive increase in congestion. The High Road (A1000) here is already grid-locked during rush hour in the morning and afternoon and at weekends, especially when there is problem on the A406 (North Circular) and this will put even more pressure on this road and as a knock on effect on the entire surrounding area of Finchley and North Finchley. How can this be considered a development that is sympathetic to the area? With the recent cases of air pollution deaths near busy roads like the South Circular, I would have thought that the Mayor and the London Assembly would be wary of building residential properties beside traffic congested roads.</p> <p>The density of the dwellings proposed in this suburban area is preposterous since it is significantly greater than the density that would be considered acceptable in a central London location with excellent public transport. According to the PTAL matrix, which rates public transport provision on a scale of 1-6, the Great North Leisure Park has a PTAL of 2, which is very poor on this scale. TFL rates GNLP as having poor public transport access with only one major bus route and the Tube being 30 minutes walk away.</p>	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:55 PM
-----------	-----------------	--	--------	----------------------

2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLAw	<p>Overcrowding: This will be one of the densest complexes in the country, with 4,300 people squeezed into an area the size of five football pitches. There are too few public spaces, amenities, and children's play areas.</p> <p>Unaffordable housing: The London Plan says that 50% of new homes should be "affordable", with a minimum of 35%, yet Regal proposes a meagre 22% and no social housing.</p> <p>Loss of entertainment venues: The Hollywood Bowl and the Vue cinema, the only such venues in this part of London, would be demolished with no comparable replacements. Young people and families will have no safe space to socialise, exacerbating rising mental health problems and antisocial behaviour.</p> <p>Poor transport services: TfL rates GNLP as having poor public transport access. There's only one major bus route and the Tube is 30 minutes walk away.</p> <p>Discrimination against car users: Only 18% of the flats have parking spaces, which is a third of what is required by Barnet's Local Plan. GNLP is remote and there are no major shops nearby. Many people need to use a car because they can't walk or cycle long distances.</p> <p>Damage to nature: Many trees will be removed. The plans threaten local wildlife, including the protected great crested newts at Glebelands Nature Reserve. Regal's application risks causing serious damage to a rare habitat.</p> <p>Bad design: The cluster of blocks up to 25 storeys' tall represents shoddy architecture. Barnet Council's own Urban Design Team has described the scheme as "unfit for purpose and in conflict with planning policy."</p> <p>Ignoring local people and visitors: Barnet Council failed to consult the community before allowing Regal to remove the entertainment venues.</p>	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLcK	Local complex for kids and family to enjoy which is used by many neighbouring people and outside of North London. Impact on Parking, noise, traffic and only 263 bus route accessible as its already terrible to travel on at present, as its always full.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLCT	This busy leisure park is used by families and teenagers, the swimming pool is affordable and bowling offers a great social activity for young people, keeping them off the streets and away from screens. It's an essential part of the Finchley and surrounding areas communities. Don't build over it with poor quality unaffordable housing for a target audience that doesn't exist	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLdt	Although I appreciate there is a need for more housing in London, this will take away valuable resources from the local community. The majority of the flats will be poorly constructed and be bought by wealthy people who will let them to the people who actually need the housing. If these amenities weren't being used I totally get regenerating them but the businesses are always busy which shows the demand is there. This is no more than a money grab by greedy developers/property owners with little thought of the impact on local residents.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLE5	This development is too dense. The buildings are too close together. Single aspect, overlooking, lack of privacy, lack of light at lower levels, not conducive to long term living and creating a stable community. Units are too small for families. Buildings too high for young families with too little play space. No parking where PTAL is 2. No stopping areas for deliveries, now part of day-to-day life. No bike charging. Not a nice place to live. Overlooks and overshadows recreation ground and nature reserve with great crested newts and ancient woodland. Destroys a very successful leisure area with cinema, ten pin bowling, lido/leisure centre and restaurants. All these facilities are used daily by severley disabled people.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLFh	<p>It would be such a shame to lose the entertainment (like Hollywood Bowl, cinema etc) options at the Great North Leisure Park. It's popular with families, teenagers, young adults and all ages. I have fond memories of the kids birthday parties there and am a big cinema goer.</p> <p>There are plenty of residential homes and developments nearby but there are no bowling/cinema alternatives in the close vicinity.</p> <p>This huge residential development looks overbearing in its current design and will probably have an impact on the local transport infrastructure.</p>	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLFi	This is a crazy proposal that will undermine the community in general. It's seems completely illogical to replace the existing leisure facilities which are used by the local and wider community and replace them with more housing. The replacement facilities proposed are not anywhere near the level or standard as those currently in situ and expected to cater for an increased local population. Removing this site and set up will erode community and have a negative impact on wider communities mental and physical health, well being and ability to integrate. This also does not take into account the environmental impact of such a development on the local area which is a green oasis and home to wildlife which thrives alongside the current set up. The short term gains need to be considered against the longer term losses. Please reconsider for the benefit of everyone.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:32 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLNW	I totally object to the redevelopment. This area is an integral part of the local community offering different activities for all ages from bowling to cinema to restaurants. It's also a place of employment for many people. This is something that encourages people to move to the area and enhances the lives of people living in the area. It's a community hub where people and families can meet, take part in sport and socialise. This enhances people's lives and makes activities like bowling and the cinema accessible to local residents. These things will not be so accessible if removed. This would be a great loss for the community.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLFY	These facilities are accessed by lots of young people to keep themselves entertained (including my children and their friends who attend the local school) and out of trouble. It is safer for young people to socialise in safe places in their local communities and not having to travel for miles into unknown areas and potential danger. Young Peoples safety should be paramount in this current climate...it's nearly every day we hear of another stabbing or murder of a teenager in London and it's scary.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLik	I object as you plan to remove leisure facilities for an existing over populated area, knock buildings down and replace with high rises which will add thousands of more people in an overpopulated area adding to more noise, traffic, lack of doctor and dentist spaces, and removing well used leisure facilities. Today's youth are having amenities and facilities constantly taken away from them attending to idleness and that does often lead to crime and anti social behaviour because they've hit nothing else to do. The pollution will be massively increased whilst demolishing, while building and then permanently ongoing with such numbers of residents planned. I object to high rises as they become like prisons to some residents and are dangerous. Totally against your plan.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLiw	Facilities such as pool are required for children living locally. The proposed developments will cause overcrowding in the area.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLKX	I object to this development as I feel the area is already becoming overdeveloped. This will also mean demolition of VUE and Hollywood Bowl which are important for the youth of the area - there is little else for teenagers to do. Also the swimming pool which may be lost. We don't need more flats we need more services, schools, and amenities.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLKY	This will take away the leisure park from the local community and will clog up one of the main ways to/from North & West Finchley.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLm2	The leisure facilities at this location are widely used and appreciated. The car park is often full or very busy at weekends demonstrating the popularity of the facilities. There are no similar facilities in the vicinity. Replacing these facilities with flats will only increase demand for facilities like this, but with no replacement. There will not be enough parking for all the new residents.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLm3	It's overdeveloped. The scale is out of character for the area. It doesn't have sufficient transport links for that number of residents.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLM9	Without schools and doctors, the area can't support all this extra housing. In addition, it's madness to remove all the local leisure facilities when there's already very limited facilities for the young people.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLpC	This is yet another development which benefits primarily the property developers. 25 storeys high removes the human scale of buildings, ruins the skyline, together with other tall developments leads to a feeling of enclosure and a completely unnatural and anti human pressure.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLpD	The removal of Neighbourhood Amenities such as Swimming Pools, Cinemas, Bowling Alley, Restaurants, and replace with high rise, high density flats is unwelcome. The current site is on a bus route, provides parking, and is not intrusive. The suggested use of North Finchley's car park to house the swimming pools and cinema is ludicrous! Too small with no parking, and would cause great disruption to the adjoining residences and congestion on the High Road. Leave it alone!!	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:21 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLpE	The great north London leisure park is heavily used by the local community, particularly children and young people. The loss of an easily accessible cinema, bowling alley and restaurants would be detrimental to the whole area. It would be replaced by yet more high rise flats. The local community do not need more flats with little thought given to quality of life, minimal landscaping and poor amenities.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLPT	Roads and infrastructures can't support additional housing. Huge loss of amenities.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLPW	I strongly object to such a monstrous development where there is already huge population in North Finchley. It will impact the schools. The doctor surgeries, the shops and the highways also the amazing amenities of the bowling alley the restaurants and Finchley Lido leisure Centre will be sadly lost and not replaced for the local community who rely on these for recreation and enhancement of life	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLqo	We would lose a unique entertainment area in the zone, if this is gone, there will be NOTHING around it. Yes, surely the plan is to build something new, but will it happen? Most likely it won't be as good or as big as the current one, and we'd lose a lot of green space even if it was. Those huge buildings do not belong to this area	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:21 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLR0	I really hope that the 10 pin bowling, the cinema, the leisure centre, restaurants and parking will not be got rid of. My family have enjoyed many happy occasions there, nights out, birthday parties, getting fit and having fun at the leisure centre. The thought of it going is so sad and will mean there is so much less amenities to use locally. The parking is great and there is such a variety of restaurants and activities families and all people to enjoy. Dear *** Personal details have been removed ***, please stop this lovely area being closed down and converted to flats because then you will keep the children happy, the mums happy, the dads happy and all of the people happy!	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLsQ	Barnet is becoming overdeveloped with lack of local structures to support it, such as schools, doctor surgeries etc. Also, a leisure complex is being removed and the new site on Lodge Lane isn't big enough.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:05 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLUE	I object to this development I use the fitness centre as its the nearest and less expensive than the others ,I like the bowling a day restaurants there	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLXS	This is another example of the rampant over development of Finchley. Not only is it of poor design but will necessitate the demolition of amenities, such as the lido, cinema and bowling alley which are well supported and used. There is insufficient infrastructure in the area to support such a high density development. The planning committee should consider that once an area has been ruined by poorly designed overdevelopment, it cannot be undone. I object to this development.	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLLZ3	I object this	Object	1/16/2026 6:04:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLM25	This place is dear to our heart as we come here to meet our friends and spend time with kids. There's lots to do for families including swimming pool, bowling, cinema and sports activities. We love our city landscape with low buildings where we can see far and wide and aren't closed in a "stone box". This monster which is about to be built is going to have a huge negative impact on the quality of our lives.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLMBI	Not a great concept. Cinema bowling used by all	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLMDN	If you have kids or not, you know how this space is good for all the community, it would be a shame to lose it.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLMLU	This is a great social space for eating and entertainment. Why is it being removed to enable more housing? Where are the children/adults going to have to travel to visit the cinema, go bowling or meet up to eat?	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLMV7	Please don't take away one of the few remaining amenities for families and young people in the area. It's so far from the nearest station of any kind it's really not a suitable site for such a large development.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLMWj	This development is too large and overbearing on the local area. The traffic and parking implications would be serious. The local infrastructure would be put under strain	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLMWk	Please do not go ahead with this development. The area is already far too busy. The cinema, restaurants, etc are great for the local community, and should not be demolished.	Object	1/16/2026 6:05:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JLMZx	I am strongly in favour. We need more housing in Finchley and this looks like an excellent design to achieve that. I would like my children to be able to afford to live in Finchley too!	Support	1/16/2026 6:05:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JnuGj	Its a already very busy road as its connected to A406. The leisure centre is a very relaxing place and a very popular space to go out with the family.	Object	1/21/2026 6:04:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JoaM4	Please don't do it, there are no other facilities for young people to go to. All my 3 children go all the time	Object	1/21/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Job9e	This is only place where we can visit with children	Object	1/21/2026 6:04:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Joh1n	We need to keep these places open especially as a safe place for our children to go and families to spend time together.	Object	1/21/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JoKEK	Object for all the above reasons	Object	1/21/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jom4R	Me and my family use the pool, the cinema and the bowling alley and restaurants all the time. There's nothing like it anywhere nearby where you can go as a family like this. Please rethink these plans.	Object	1/21/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jon27	This is an awful idea. The hollywood bowl complex is one of the only things that brings the locals and people from other areas of London or even outside of London to the area. Removing and replacing it with housing is nothing more than a cash grab at the expense and disregard of the locals and their general concerns	Object	1/21/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Joneq	Local amenity for families and young people in particular	Object	1/21/2026 6:04:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Joo7v	Definitely requires amenities such as a GP surgery, police presence and more parking. Affordable houses are what our families need, our children will never have the chance to buy.	Object	1/21/2026 6:04:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jop2l	I object to this proposal. It will take away the local amenities of entertainment, restaurant that is frequently used by all residents in the area, including being safe for children, teenagers and old age people.	Object	1/21/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JopNJ	Loss of livelihood for locals.	Object	1/21/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JoqmM	This place is where my children have and I have come for many years. Only in the area. I don't want this building to go ahead. Definitely not!	Object	1/21/2026 6:04:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jorle	I object strongly to this proposed development. We do not need more unaffordable housing which many local residents will not be able to rent or buy. We do need a centre combining leisure, food and entertainment, as well as safe places for young people of all ages to meet up, play, keep fit etc. Which is what we have at the moment. I could be further developed for more of those kinds of facilities.	Object	1/21/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JoRMc	Your taking away the only real place our kids can meet up and families can also meet and enjoy the local area, their is no other place that close to what we have Building new flats will take away our much needed outdoor complex where the only people who really benefit are the developers Approving this would destroy our local area and misplace many kids with taking away out door opportunities and you will end up kids spending more time becoming keyboard warriors	Object	1/21/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jos0A	Object for all the above reasons	Object	1/21/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JoSN7	Terrible idea. We use this place this weekly	Object	1/21/2026 6:04:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JosZd	This development has a huge impact on the local residential area and business providing services to the already over populated area.	Object	1/21/2026 6:04:26 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JoTpA	The area is fine as it is	Object	1/21/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JP16F	Such valuable place for local community has to be protected from commercial housing interests! Whoever is behind this "housing development " project has to be prosecuted- no question! It is a criminal act to close this centre! [REDACTED]	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JP16H	Taking away from our community and children.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JP16I	Strongly object to this monstrosity.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JP2kL	We do not need mod housing!! We need amenities and services where the people (adults and children) can socialise, whilst helping the local economy This leisure complex has been around for 30+ years and always well utilised by everyone!! The youth especially need places to go and hang out away from their screens and to be able to interact with other people - but with something to do, not just sitting in a park! Please please - we don't not need more housing in the borough and we do not want to lose this vital part of the area!!	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JP3bt	The area is already hugely populated and does not need more cars and people added to the area. It also provides lots of well loved facilities for people who live in the area. Not everyone wants to travel into London. It's nice to have restaurants, cinema, bowling left local.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JP4lv	The Great North Leisure Park is exactly as the name says 'great!'. I have lived in the local area for decades and every family entertainment event has taken place there. It is the beating heart of north london where family and friends can meet up, park their car easily and safely and enjoy something well deserved such as a meal, movie, or swim. The swimming pool facility needs renovating but it's a cosmetic fix round the indoor pool. This would make swimming there fun again and draw in all families. I have booked many of my children's birthday parties there in the past because of the fun wave pool. It would be a huge boost to the community to renovate it. High rise buildings would ruin the area, pollute the air around, displace the wildlife, make the entire area around it gridlocked with traffic as the car access from the leisure park to the main road is not easy to navigate. To conclude, the local community would lose its treasured, historical, entertainment site and locals lives would be adversely affected by this for generations to come. Please don't do it.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JP5lh	This is our leisure centre for the whole of the area north of the North Circular. It would be a cruelty to hand it over to developers. Think of us please. I'm a life long Labour voter [REDACTED] and getting disillusioned very swiftly	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JP5Ov	The local need a space for leisure and entertainment, there are many other places you can choose to build housing , this is an established place for entertainment easy to access and once again the government is out to ruin this so they can make some quick money ! Find another site to develop which is barren and not being used for anything also what about the impact on all the people working there where will they go most likely out of a job and then start claiming benefits	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JP9ff	This is extremely poorly thought out. There is a lack of infrastructure in place already. Insufficient doctor/ dentist resource. Traffic is appalling without adding hundreds of new homes. The lack of parking in this proposed development will make parking in and traversing nearby roads impossible. The proposed buildings are too tall and will be an eyesore. Removing this leisure facility will be a huge issue for families and young people locally. There are very few places for young people to go out and spend an evening in good, wholesome fun locally and the Lodge Lane development does not sufficiently address this. Also, the Lodge Lane development removes vital local parking for the High Street and does nor sufficiently replace the leisure options currently available at the Great North Leisure Park. The community is vehemently opposed to this development because it is a bad idea. It is poorly planned and there has not been sufficient consideration of the impact on the local area.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JP9fi	Overdevelopment of the site	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPa2g	This site provides excellent facilities for kids and families to enjoy themselves. There's not enough in the area without this site.	Object	1/17/2026 6:08:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPa2h	We have been using this space as a family for years. Our kids bowl, use the cinema and the restaurants. I have been a member of the gym and we all use the pools	Object	1/17/2026 6:08:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPaCM	It's an import part of our community and lossing it will be a shame . It wil impact generations to come . It's the only local pace where we can take kids for entertainment . There is no other bowling clos up and so many birthday parties happen there with food at restaurants place . Taking away means - we lose revenue and families have to go to central each time to have fun as local places don't offer anything . Vue is great cinema	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:29 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPaDa	I object to this development as it will mean that one of the few accessible places offering facilities to eat, watch a film, ho bowling and enjoy the company of friends and family will close. The combination of accessible parking, with easy to navigate routes to ea h facility mean that it is an ideal place for school birthday parties, friends meeting together and families to meet together easily, with a range of dining and entertainment options. I travel from Enfield with my daughter to use the Vue cinema, the Lido and the bowling frequently and the range of restaurants give us and our friends a range of easy to access choices. Please don't close this wonderful facility. It will hugely disproportionately and detrimentally impact upon those with the fewest financial freedom to use other options, such as families in the nearby housing estates such as Strawberry Vale.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPaDW	I oppose this proposal because the leisure centre is a crucial community asset that supports physical health, mental wellbeing, and social inclusion, and its loss cannot be justified. It's one of the only places I bring my children in East Finchley and we don't want to loose it!	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPaDX	I object this.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPaG4	Although I understand and welcome new development in the area, this is a real loss to public amenity and going to be a mass over development with thousands in terms of population increase with no real consideration for the amenities to be replaced (the new "town Centre" north finchley Developent in my opinion is not enough - and no thought to how the impact will affect local schools, gp surgeries, transport links etc in an already very congested area. The impact on the local nature reserve is also of concern.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPalt	We call on the Mayor of London and the Government to reject this disastrous scheme and demand a new plan that protects family leisure facilities with easy access , a popular Lido with Fitness facilities for all ages abilities and ethnicities , preserves nature and delivers truly affordable housing.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPalu	The loss of the cinema and bowling alley will affect jobs locally and is another loss of an important amenity for families and young people, particularly the bowling alley as there are no other opportunities for this locally.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPalw	I object to housing being built where the leisure centre is. There are no new GP surgeries or schools. It will put even further strain on services. Not to mention there will be nowhere for young people and families in the area. This will cause more gangs and antisocial behaviour	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPaIS	Tall buildings out of character of surroundings.The loss of parking will have a devastating impact on Glebelands Bowling Club of which I am a member.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPanH	There are no comparable amenities nearby for children, such as bowling, cinema and restaurants	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPawk	Over 1400 new residential dwellings but no mention of supporting infrastructure such as GP surgeries or schools? This is will cause even more strain on an already overburdened system. And where do young people go once the bowling alley/cinema/food outlets are gone?? This must not be permitted to proceed.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPawl	This is a hugely popular retail and entertainment area used and enjoyed regularly by so many. Leave it alone and listen to what tle local residents actually want.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPb1n	There are insufficient school places and doctors available locally for this development. The area is heavily used for leisure purposes and losing them would be detrimental to the area.	Object	1/17/2026 6:08:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPbfJ	This is unacceptable when you see how many families visiting this place everyday. The traffic arrangement is also big risk. Local congestion will be worsen due to new flats.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPbIA	I object to the removal of leisure facilities where local communities can meet	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPbIB	Our local infrastructure cannot support more housing in an already high traffic area. Whoever is planning to build this or approve it, should be forced to come and live there	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPbj9	This will be scandalous if Khan waves this through, another eyesore of flats as if they're isn't enough being built around here. Need less people not more flats.....	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPbjA	I think the loss of the cinema, bowling alley and various restaurants will be a big loss for north London. It is somewhere for children to go, families can enjoy the activities and go for food as well. There are times when the weather is bad that people need somewhere to go with car parking. More houses are needed but we also need to keep businesses and jobs.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPbJG	totally disagree since the traffic is already very busy on that road; and also the too high building destroying the landscape	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPbJH	Not enough infra structure to support housing, waiting times at hospital, Dentist and Doctors. You are killing the pleasant places to live , squeezing so many people into Barnet.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPbJM	Strongly object to this monstrous development.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPBnd	I OBJECT	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPbO9	We will loose only local entertainment place for children.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPbOA	This is only go to place for us and our children to enjoy in north London and demolishing that is not good	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPbOB	Youre taking everything away to build. Our children need these spaces.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:20 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPbpb	<p>We strongly oppose the demolition of Finchley Leisure Park as there will be little facilities available for families to frequent in Finchley if this happens. Even if it is re built at Lodge Lane, it is an awful and costly use of resources demolishing the site which is also very close to our sons primary school Our Lady of Lourdes RC Primary School on Bow Lane.</p> <p>At present it is an easy walk to the Leisure Park for our children at the school for outings to the cinema or swimming lessons for the children.</p> <p>It is busy every single weekend and families come from all over Barnet to use these facilities.</p> <p>The impact on the environment and right behind the park is the Glebelands which is an open green space and animals and ecosystems will be disrupted and even die from these plans to build a housing development.</p> <p>Surely there must be other areas in Barnet where this development can be built which will not cause this much disruption?</p> <p>In our opinion this is the worst idea/proposition to a community in London.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPbpq	Absolutely dreadful decision. The facilities are a massive addition to the local area and are always busy. To get rid would be a devastating loss and a selfish decision to get someone rich and at the cost of the people's social lives.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPbRZ	Thus will cause horrendous congestion. Additionally the site needs to have the bowling alley and Vue. It offers enough parking fir these.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPc94	Losing the amenities that this current area offers, notably the cinema and restaurants, would be disappointing and in my view unnecessary. Whilst there is a need for additional housing removing important amenities like this to build housing is not a sensible way forward for the local community. Our children also use the facilities within this space and finding an alternative would be very challenging.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPc96	Please please don't do this. We have enjoyed the use of the leisure park for almost 30 years. It is a lifesaver for people with children and without these facilities our children will have very little to do locally. In addition the surrounding roads are already unbelievably heavily congested - they cannot withstand the additional traffic and loss of parking facility this development will bring. Finally there are not sufficient local amenity to cope with all the additional residents and it will be a major eye sore to the local community.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPcdW	I strongly oppose to the development because it will have a detrimental effect on the local environment and loss of amenities for the local community	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPcE8	This is an essential leisure facility for the neighbourhood and must not be destroyed	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPcE9	The area is already overcrowded, overbuilt and there are not enough GPs surgeries, parking space, schools . Why build in an overbuilt area . It will be detrimental to people health as they will breath polluted air	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPcgl	The proposed development is not community centric, is overbearing to the area and will strain already stretched existing facilities such as schools, doctors/health centres etc	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPcgm	<p>The facility is used daily by hundreds/ thousands of people from diverse backgrounds & cultures for leisure. I use the leisure centre for the gym, swimming & pilates. The place is amazing & we also go to the cinema every month. Being close to the north circular makes it ideal for a accessibility from all local areas. In spite of very heavy traffic I can get there in a reasonable time. With the large development, traffic will be at a standstill causing major problems and hazards. It will not cope with additional volume of commuters.</p> <p>Why should you disrupt a social pleasure that helps people to socialise and have positive experiences. Just for the greed of a few individuals.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPcgn	My family regularly use the facilities at North Finchley and think it's tragic that our kids won't have anywhere to go and see movies and go bowling.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPcgp	It is totally a unacceptable project to be in this area!	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPcgq	Don't do it. It's been a landmark in north London for decades	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:11 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPcIU	<p>To replace a well loved and much used facility of Cinemas, Swimming Pools, Gyms, Shops and Restaurants, including parking available on site, with high rise blocks of flats is wrong on various counts:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It removes the valuable Leisure Facilities from Finchley and neighbouring areas, and wishes to cram them into a totally unsuitable and too small space (North Finchley car park where the weekly market takes place!) 2. It will add to traffic congestion, place stress on bus services, demand for school places. 3. The proposals are too dense, too high rise and out of proportion to the existing buildings in the neighbourhood. 	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPCMv	Barnet is being progressively overdeveloped. All amenities are being converted to blocks of flats. This leaves increasing population with no amenities. Currently this area is the only bowling alley and the only large cinema for miles around.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:56 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPcTU	<p>There are so many reasons that this is a horrendous idea. Not to mention taking away much needed and loved social spaces for people.</p> <p>It's almost as if the mayor wants young people to have no where to go so that they have no choice but to hand out on the street. Or stay locked in at home, which is not healthy at all.</p> <p>Disgusting plan to renovate a social, busy area.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPcTW	I live locally and my family use these amenities regularly. There is nothing else in the area for families to visit for entertainment.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPcTX	<p>We do not need more houses built</p> <p>We need more activity sites for family's and friends instead of crime on the streets</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:21 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPcTY	Complete over development and would be a great loss to lose the site with the cinema and restaurants it currently has. It is well placed there and moving it would be just a money grabbing venture by the developers. Too many developments in the area and not enough schools/doctors	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:22 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPcXd	<p>Would add in too many houses causing significant strain on a limited public transport system. Local amenities are vital for the infrastructure of the community.</p> <p>There is not significant enough parking planned for the volume of flats and does not cater to families</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPcXP	<p>I formally object to the GNLP planning application on the following grounds:</p> <p>Inappropriate density: The scale and intensity of development are suitable for Central London, not a suburban location. Housing 4,300 people on a site equivalent to five football pitches constitutes severe overdevelopment.</p> <p>Failure to meet housing need: The scheme does not provide suitable family housing and will not reduce local housing pressure or prices. The proposed "affordable" housing is only 22%, well below London Plan requirements, includes no social housing, and remains unaffordable in practice given local market rates.</p> <p>Poor transport accessibility: TfL classifies the site as having poor public transport access, with limited bus provision and the nearest Tube station approximately 30 minutes' walk away. The transport infrastructure cannot support the proposed density.</p> <p>Inadequate parking provision: Only 18% of homes have parking, far below Barnet Local Plan standards. This disproportionately impacts disabled residents and those reliant on cars due to poor transport links and lack of nearby services.</p> <p>Environmental harm: The proposal threatens Glebelands Nature Reserve, including habitat for protected great crested newts, and involves significant tree loss, risking breach of environmental protections.</p> <p>Poor design and living conditions: Blocks of up to 25 storeys create oppressive massing. At least 500 homes are expected to fail daylight standards. Barnet Council's Urban Design Team has deemed the scheme unfit for purpose and contrary to policy.</p> <p>Loss of community facilities: The demolition of the Hollywood Bowl and Vue cinema removes the only entertainment venues in the area, with no adequate replacement, reducing safe social space for young people and families.</p> <p>Insufficient public space: The development lacks adequate public realm, play space, and recreational facilities, particularly for teenagers.</p> <p>For these reasons, the application is contrary to the London Plan, Barnet's Local Plan, and principles of sustainable development. I urge the Mayor to intervene and refuse the proposal.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPcXQ	One of the few places to go bowling locally and a place that keeps the youngsters off the streets.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPd9o	Loss of community services and space for cinema or swimming pool etc., increased housing and population without increased infrastructure. This will create huge added pressure on public services, traffic, healthcare, waiting times, schools parking and the list goes on. Its a terrible idea that has already been rejected by the council! Don't override that decision!	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPdLb	I have lived here for 20 years, the Vue cinema complex is one of the only remaining places for children to enjoy different activities, parties etc. The area as a whole struggles already with amenities such as Doctor surgeries, schools etc. Why don't we focus on fixing things for the people that live in the area currently, instead of adding more people into the mix, more traffic, overpopulating an already overpopulated area?	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPdLX	Losing these facilities is a terrible idea	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPdLY	I object to this development as slowly all our leisure buildings are being knocked down and replaced by more buildings. No where left to have fun and food. It's a sorry state of affairs. No extra hospitals or GPs being planned for. No room for all the new houses parking. We are just going to be one big concrete city.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:47 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPdLZ	This is the only leisure park for miles where families can eat, go bowling, swimming etc. Without this there will be nowhere local for our community to hang out and enjoy themselves. I strongly object to this being knocked down to build yet more housing	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPdMj	This should be rejected and not bought to light again. Finchley is already an overcrowded mess, traffic build up has got to its highest in years and this will only make matters worse. Leave the lido and cinema where they are in the retail park, we already have enough build up with new flats constantly been built.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPdmm	The area is already quite densely populated. There are more residential buildings than commercial, which means there is hardly anything to do in the neighbourhood. If the current space is demolished our only entertainment venue in the area will be the Arts depot which I think is unfair for such a vibrant and young neighbourhood.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPdmm	It is currently used by families spanning Finchley (north, east, central), Friern Barnet, Muswell Hill and beyond. It is busy on weekends and school holidays packed with families. It is a shame that many of the current features will be lost. I am for building new houses, can this not happen with plenty of leisure facilities and restaurants available too.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPdPq	This entertainment centre is one of the few left in the local area. We visit frequently and it is always busy, and is one of the few places that young people can meet and spend time together. It would be a travesty to lose such a vital local amenity for more high priced housing that don't serve the local community.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPdPr	This is a great place for families to spend time and the area will loose a lot. No any other affordable cinemas and centres nearby.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPdt3	We regularly use the cinema and bowling	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPdtA	Please don't close the recreational offering for Barnet / Finchley. This is the only local place where there are activities for our kids together. We regularly go to the cinema, bowling alley and arcade here, as well as the family friendly restaurants, as it is only a short bus ride away. Without these facilities, we will have to consider driving as everything is further away. Also the cinema is much cheaper, has more family offerings than the everyman.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPdtB	The idea that the area needs another block of flats when this has already been turned down by the local authority is very depressing and it will mean the nearest cinema will be up in Barnet. It would be a terrible decision.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPdtD	The sheer number of flats proposed and lack of parking or proximity to a tube station do not stack up. This is already a hugely busy junction off the north circular with regular queues along the road up to the entry exit of the current leisure complex.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPdzP	Absolutely abhorrent decision. Do not knock it down. It is a massive staple of the community.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPdzQ	I object!!!!	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPe2e	This development will have a detrimental effect on the local area with over population. It will cause traffic congestion as well as pollution. It will have a devastating effect on already stretched local services and change the whole landscape of the area. I am totally opposed to this project.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPe7b	It is a great resource especially for young people and is not being adequately replaced	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPe7P	There are insufficient amenities in the area, particularly doctors' surgeries, to support 1400 more dwellings on top of all the extra dwellings proposed for nearby North Finchley. Also the high-rise buildings are out of keeping in a residential area of predominantly houses or low-rise flats	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPeaw	This is not wanted by the community there not enough amenities for so many residents. It is removing one of the few places young people can go together in the area.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPeAX	This area is great for families with the cinema, bowling alley and restaurants. We need to build more housing but there's no point building places for people to live with no local amenities.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPec6	If we keep up with closing all possible places of local entertainment and leisure then what will be left just a boring place with no atmosphere or community. Throughout my life the area has been a major meet up point for friends and family to do activities and entertainment. If this goes ahead there isn't anything really left and will make one consider leaving. There isn't a shortage of homes plenty on the market all this will do will over populate the area increase strains on everyday life and no one will actually benefit from this other than the developers and the mayor with a piece of paper stating he built more home while destroying any reason to actually live in the area.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPeCi	This development is simply too large for the location and with so many new homes would place extra burden on already stretched local services. It would negatively impact local traffic and amenities. In addition the existing cinema, leisure centre and lido are affordable and well-used and should be retained. It would be a significant loss to the community for many years and I've no doubt the replacement will be more expensive to use, pricing out those on lower incomes from access to leisure facilities.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:20 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPeCj	<p>I strongly object to this proposed development.</p> <p>The scale and height of the development (up to 25 storeys and 1,485 homes) is completely out of keeping with the existing character of the area. It will place significant pressure on local infrastructure, including schools, GP services, roads, parking, and public transport, which are already under strain.</p> <p>The loss of existing leisure facilities and green/open space will have a negative impact on the wellbeing of local residents and families who rely on this area for recreation and community use.</p> <p>There are also serious concerns regarding increased traffic, noise, air pollution, and the long-term impact on the quality of life for people living nearby.</p> <p>For these reasons, I believe this application should be refused.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPeEZ	Popular with children. Used regularly and would be a shame if it goes	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:22 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPegs	There will no where for the children to socialise	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPegv	Yes affordable and more housing needs to be found in the borough but b this project and site has never been the solution.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPeiY	Overdevelopment of a suburban area and out of proportion with the area.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPeiZ	We need leisure facilities desperately in a world where mental health issues is growing by the minute kesire facilities are more important than ever.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPeKO	This leisure complex has been an integral part of the community for decades. Taking this away from residents (& especially young people) is a mistake. Despite being in favour of more affordable housing, AFFORDABLE is the key word. Consideration also needs to be given to the availability of schools, doctors etc to serve the needs of the local community. Increasing the local population is going to put too much pressure on already-stretched services.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPeKP	It will destroy a valuable resource	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:21 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPeKS	The leisure park has been a fixture for local residents, as well as nearby students from local university campuses, for many decades. Such facilities should be kept in order to have a safe space for the youth to congregate and remain active, as well as providing recreational facilities for for all in the local area. Developing this site into high-rise flats will have a detrimental effect to the area. The high rises will be out of character for the area, and an increase of new residents at such a level will put unnecessary strain to local infrastructure and public services.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPenP	There are many residential schemes underway in the North Finchley/ Whetstone area, with no improvement in service's such as GP's, dentists etc. (Barnet House, the old Homebase site) The density of this development is too high, not that long ago high rise/ dense developments were vilified for the social problems that were caused. This is a much used amenity particularly by young people. The proposed site in Lodge Lane is much too small , and furthermore it makes no sense to divorce the cinema and bowling alley from the swimming pool and leisure centre. The obsession with creating more housing at any cost to the environment, aesthetics and infrastructure will no doubt be viewed in the future as disastrous.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPex6	I believe the new development will badly impact the area as it will add significant amount of traffic to an already busy area, and parking problems. In addition, the development is to remove the current affordable entertainment options (cinema + restaurants+ bowling) for families of local and neighbouring areas, leaving no options for the local community.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPEyl	So much residential over development in borough of Barnet already and no other development to support it. No new schools, no new Drs etc...the areas is over populated as it is.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:10 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPEym	<p>Planning Objection – London Borough of Barnet</p> <p>I object to this application on the grounds that the proposal, as currently submitted, fails to demonstrate compliance with the London Plan and Barnet Local Plan and does not provide sufficient information for the impacts of a development of this scale to be properly assessed.</p> <p>The proposal involves demolition of existing buildings and phased redevelopment to deliver up to 1,485 residential units across 20 buildings up to 25 storeys, together with leisure, commercial and sports facilities. This constitutes a major development of strategic scale, yet key matters are either inadequately assessed or deferred to later stages.</p> <p>In particular:</p> <p>1. Height, massing and character Buildings of up to 25 storeys are wholly out of keeping with the surrounding townscape. The application does not robustly demonstrate compliance with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •London Plan Policies D3 and D9 •Barnet Local Plan policies on tall buildings, townscape character and contextual height <p>There is insufficient justification for the scale, massing and cumulative visual impact of 20 linked buildings of this height.</p> <p>2. Phasing and deliverability No binding phasing strategy has been provided to demonstrate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •How demolition and construction will be sequenced •How long each phase will last •What protections exist if later phases are delayed or not delivered <p>This creates a serious risk of long-term construction blight and land banking, contrary to good planning practice.</p> <p>3. Construction and demolition impacts Given the scale and likely multi-year construction period, the absence of a fully detailed and enforceable Construction Management Plan is unacceptable.</p> <p>There is inadequate assessment of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •HGV routing and traffic disruption •Noise, vibration and dust impacts •Construction hours and weekend working •Impacts on vulnerable residents, schools and local businesses <p>These impacts cannot be left to condition at a later stage.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPeyV	<p>Myself and my teenage children use the leisure facilities here - cinema, bowling, restaurants. If this is lost to build more housing there will be less activities for teenagers locally which are accessible by bus. It will also lead to more car journeys.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:21 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPeyW	<p>This area has very few amenities, with the GNL P being of immense importance to the local community, especially for its cinema, bowling alley, gym facility and lido, not to mention the restaurants. To remove this against the wishes of the vast majority of the residents of the area is unacceptable and undemocratic considering that the plans have already been overwhelmingly rejected by the council. This move to bypass their ruling puts the needs of meeting political objectives and quotas above the rights of people in the area who consider the place to be a social hub. It is usually very busy most evenings and weekends and is very convenient for many people. Such a move is unnecessary and smacks of government interference, meddling and contempt which ignores and has no concern for local needs.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPF08	<p>Great North Leisure Park is one of the last accessible, well-used community leisure hubs in the area. Barnet Labour Council pledged to make Barnet a "borough of fun," yet removing a thriving leisure destination that is clearly valued by residents does exactly the opposite.</p> <p>This is not an underused site. On any given day — whether visiting or simply driving past — the car park is full of families and individuals enjoying themselves. The park serves not only Barnet but the wider London community, providing affordable recreation, social space, and local jobs.</p> <p>London does need homes, but it also needs places where people can live well. Replacing a successful community asset with tower blocks may help meet housing targets on paper, but it undermines wellbeing, mental health, and community cohesion. Once facilities like this are gone, they are rarely replaced.</p> <p>Development should be balanced and genuinely reflect the needs of existing communities. I strongly urge the Mayor of London to oppose demolition.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:47 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPf3O	We need more places for community to enjoy together. Do not take away what so many people enjoy. It is an infringement of people's freedom of living.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPf5O	This leisure centre is a very important facility in this area. I personally am disabled and access to The Vue cinema is excellent for me and my fellow senior colleagues	Not set	1/17/2026 6:06:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPf5P	I object to the proposed comprehensive redevelopment due to its excessive scale, density, and height, which is out of keeping with the surrounding area. The demolition of existing buildings and construction of up to 20 blocks reaching 25 storeys will result in overdevelopment, causing significant harm to local character, skyline, and residential amenity. The scheme's scale raises serious concerns regarding increased pressure on already stretched local infrastructure, including transport, healthcare, schools, and public open spaces. The proposed increase of up to 1,485 dwellings will also exacerbate traffic congestion, parking stress, and air and noise pollution during both construction and occupation. Furthermore, the loss of existing buildings and community spaces, combined with the long phased construction period, will negatively impact the quality of life for existing residents, with insufficient clarity on mitigation measures and phasing impacts. For these reasons, the proposal should be refused or significantly reduced in height, density, and scale.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPf5Q	I object this redevelopment! Our children will not have any green spaces soon, no places to go to spend time with their friends. Please, save our Leisure Park and all green area around.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPf8P	I strongly object to the plans to redevelop this area. The restaurants and amenities here are constantly used and busy. We use the cinema and bowling frequently and we love going there as a family. Losing this will impact the locals and families will have to travel far to go to the cinema and bowling, especially with Finsbury Park and Southbury leisure both with planning applications too.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPf9B	Stop destroying what little affordable enjoyment people have.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPf9C	This is an area where there is already a lot of traffic with congestion backing up into East Finchley on a one lane main road. Also the local cinema, bowling alley and leisure centres are local amenities - a staple for kids parties for families for miles around. This is over development and loss of entertainment and fitness places for families.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfez	The site gives people the opportunity to socialise have somewhere to go enjoy life get of the streets and avoid crime! I have had many happy times there as it stands it's an asset to the area.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPff1	I am hugely concerned about this proposed application. Losing such a valuable local hub will have a huge impact on my neighbourhood and my family's activities. We swim at the Lido every week, visit the cinema and bowling alley, and eat out at the many restaurants on a regular basis. To make matters worse we would be no doubt impacted by yet more high density housing, increasing the pressure on local services, further decreasing school catchment areas, increasing GP and dental waiting lists and bringing more cars and traffic to the area with no matching investment in parking. In fact the associated move to replace lodge lane car park with some of the businesses we would lose will only exacerbate the problem, no doubt bringing more cars to park and drive on the already traffic clogged road I live on. North Finchley attracted me 20 years ago because it was such a green space, with such wonderful local businesses, but I feel this is being lost to too many high rise new build developments (Homebase being another giant example!) please stop this now before you lose everything that made Finchley great!	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPff3	This is a valuable amenity for the local residents. It's development will be of great detriment to the public, who have already lost countless facilities and spaces in the local area.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfgb	This massive scheme, along with others by the same developer, seems to have been rushed through without sufficient consideration. We are very pro-development and welcome more housing of all densities but feel that in its current form, this development simply replaces one poor form of development with another. In particular the loss of so many youth and family facilities will significantly damage an already frayed social fabric.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfgd	Many families use this space locally in area of north finchley with bowling cinema and food amenities. This would have huge impact on the community that uses this space.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfge	I object to this development as we don't want to loose family recreation place to go together and have some family fun time	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfGO	We don't have enough open space and already very crowded. We don't have enough police and I am very worry on crime rate even worse when more strangers stay nearby.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfGP	horrible plans that haven't taken the consideration of locals in mind. Mayor Khan is an ego maniac who couldn't care less about the opinions of local residents.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfiW	This is taking childhood from many children. Us adults also come here and dine and go cinema. This is a community that you'll be crushing down. We don't need anymore flats but community that is already strong.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfMx	This would have a huge impact on our children of all ages. They'll be forced to go to places on streets as young adults and small children rather than a safe specific place.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfoP	The gnp is an extremely well used resource for locals. Loss of the leisure facilities will be sorely felt and I would strongly object to this	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfOS	There is limited activities & social amenities that permit the ability to travel affordably & to engage in entertainment & eat without travelling between venues. The set up as a former employee is fantastic especially for parents during school holidays & weekends. To redevelop the area will not just impact the local community but the surrounding areas in a significantly negative manor.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:00 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfOW	Loss of a great leisure park, mother to teenagers, and younger child, we use facilities as a family, and the teens hang out with their friends and go bowling or see a film together. There soon will not be anything to do in this Area! There are already tons of flats in Friern Barnet, whetstone. North Finchley, millbrooke! More concrete villages, making the area more unsafe. Where can we go to the cinema locally, and go out to eat, have kids parties, meet up with friends? The traffic is already bad! This is not fair on local residents!	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfQD	We do not need more housing as the infrastructure cannot cope.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfQJ	Loss of vital community health and leisure facilities which is well located and supported with travel options and parking	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfQK	Object to new planning as use the pool bowling alley and cinema frequently. It is a great complex with parking for a family day/evening. The local community do not want a new development. The council rejected this proposal.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfT5	Loss of a resource / area where people can socialise - there is very little in the area for teenagers to go and losing this will impact on what there is to do	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfUd	Barnet is being progressively overdeveloped. All amenities are being converted to blocks of flats. This leaves increasing population with no amenities. Currently this area is the only bowling alley and the only large cinema for miles around.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfW7	We have very few amenities for families in this area and losing all the bowling/ cinema and leisure centre for those of us with children will be a huge loss and detrimental to our community. Squires lane, manor view and east end road already get very busy with traffic and having even more housing with minimal public transport options will make this worse which is a public health issue with congestion, pollution and danger to children going to school (there are several schools on this route)	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfW8	Such a useful and important local amenity. Removing it would be utterly detrimental to the existing residents of Finchley, and the surrounding areas	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:11 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfW9	There is already a serious dearth of things for local youth in the area. This is one of the last areas youth can congregate and develop actual social skills. Losing this will impact mental health. Mr Mayor, please don't go against everyone in the area and approve this, it's a mistake. Even if the developers promise to include a public pool and bowling alley, you know that they'll be lying, just like they will be about the proportion of affordable units that will be included.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfWB	I am a resident of East Finchley and I strongly object to the demolition of the complex housing the vue cinema and other shops such as McDonald's etc. This ridiculous proposed development will increase traffic down the high road which is already almost gridlocked at many times during the day. In addition, it will remove a crucial local amenity and Gem from this community which is used by many people including myself. The Vue complex, the car park and other amenities are used by a wide age group and its closure would be a serious loss to all communities across finchley.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfWB	North finchley leisure center is a massive community hub for a lot of families in a surrounding area. Destroying this hub is a massive blow for everyone and will definitely decrease the attractiveness of surrounding are uncluding Muswell hill As more and more neighbourhood in london is losing its soul Im affraid this city will soon look like a ghost city	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfWD	i strongly object to this	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfWD	Not suitable for this part of London.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfWE	It would be ridiculous to add so many dwellings in such an area. The dwellings would need the amenities that are being destroyed to make way for them. Finchley Lido is an important amenity, with excellent parking as have the restaurants. Just down the NCR we have Pinkham Way, dead land where a modest development could enhance the area and provide housing. We must stop building high-rise dwellings in suburban spaces - these neither benefit the community or the people who will come to live in them.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPfZb	I strongly object to the proposed demolition of our local leisure area, which includes the swimming pool, fitness facilities, bowling alley, cinema, and restaurants. This leisure centre is the only place in our community where families, young people, and especially children can safely spend their free time, stay active, socialise, and enjoy affordable entertainment. It plays a vital role in supporting both physical and mental wellbeing. Removing these facilities will have a significant negative impact on the current and future generations. Children will lose a safe and positive environment to swim, play sports, watch movies, and build friendships. Many families rely on this centre because there are no nearby alternatives within easy reach. Without it, young people may have fewer healthy and constructive activities available to them. The leisure area also strengthens our community by bringing people together and supporting local businesses and employment. Its closure would reduce community engagement and make the area less attractive for families. For these reasons, I strongly urge the council to reconsider this proposal and protect this essential community facility for our children and future generations.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPg12	We like the cinema, bowling. Where are we supposed to take the kids if you get rid of it?	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:33 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPg5v	This development would increase the population of East Finchley by about 20%, but makes no provision for infrastructure and support facilities for the up to 5000 residents it is planned to house. There are few shops in the immediate area and, apart from the nearby hospital (which has no A&E dept) very sparse medical facilities. The junction with High Road is already quite hazardous just for users of the existing leisure centre; this development will likely change that into something dangerous. The very tall buildings are completely out of character with the local area and point towards over density with a lack of affordable housing.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgAa	Great North Leisure Park has been a huge part of our life and still is. My family, for the last 35 years have been a constant there. My children now aged [REDACTED] basically grew up there and now take their own children there. I cannot believe how anyone can think it beneficial to have it knocked down and re-built for housing. Where will families go for such leisure? Finchley will hugely over populated, bringing its own problems. It's an absolute disgrace!	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgc6	It's just another overcrowded building development to meet so called 'targets' that the Mayor of London thinks London needs. Developers just 'chuck up' these huge high storied developments with no concern whatsoever for local infrastructure. Doctors surgeries crowded already. Hospitals already with crowded A & E depts, schools anyway needing more teachers even before this development begins. Nowhere for the youth to go of an evening if this scheme goes ahead.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgCb	The area does not need more flats being built. There are so many hiding developments going up and no new amenities for locals. Schools are massively oversubscribed, doctors surgeries have too many patients and dentists can't take NHS patients. Don't take away a much used entertainment area where families can spend time together	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgcE	I object to the proposed comprehensive redevelopment due to its excessive scale, density, and height, which is out of keeping with the surrounding area. The demolition of existing buildings and construction of up to 20 blocks reaching 25 storeys will result in overdevelopment, causing significant harm to local character, skyline, and residential amenity. The scheme's scale raises serious concerns regarding increased pressure on already stretched local infrastructure, including transport, healthcare, schools, and public open spaces. The proposed increase of up to 1,485 dwellings will also exacerbate traffic congestion, parking stress, and air and noise pollution during both construction and occupation. Furthermore, the loss of existing buildings and community spaces, combined with the long phased construction period, will negatively impact the quality of life for existing residents, with insufficient clarity on mitigation measures and phasing impacts. For these reasons, the proposal should be refused.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgDg	Loss of all leisure activities including cinema , sport center and local restaurants	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgdp	The facilities here are so well used, by all generations, but particularly by the younger generation. I think it will be such a shame to lose these facilities. I strongly object to the proposed plans.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgdt	So much over development proposed here! 25 storeys high?? There's no precedent for this! Even the tower at the centre of North Finchley town centre is only 16 storeys! The one in central Whetstone is 11 storeys, and is universally seen as too high. This site down by the North Circular Road has nothing nearby that's any taller than 4 or 5 storeys. Any housing here would need to have masses of parking - the site is miles away from any Tube stations, and a long way from East & North Finchley centre shops. There'd be lots of car ownership, it's foolish to think not. Finally, the road junction at that site onto the main road just doesn't work. People queue for ages to get out of the site, and frequently make chancey decisions to pull out into very fast moving traffic at that point and accidents are only narrowly avoided by careful drivers on the main road who knows how dangerous it is. Adding masses of flats would need traffic lights at the junction.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgF7	This is a community hub and has been since I was a child and now that I have my own children. To lose this and replace with further private flats when we have an abundance of new build flats in this area already would be a travesty. Let alone the insufficient local Infrastructure	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgF8	This is only available amenity in the area and serve to many residents of different interest and age groups	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgF9	I object. We use this facility and more people in Barnet impacts the quality of life of the people in the local area as we have no facilities and infrastructure to support the extra people.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgFA	I object to this development. This is a well loved amenity which I often use.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgFF	The cinema and swimming pool are such a big attraction to the area and are always busy. By taking this away it will leave a big void in the area for family recreation at a time when this is needed more than ever. A big mistake if it goes ahead .	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:21 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgFH	This leisure complex provides local families to the borough with affordable amenities including sporting, cinema, bowling, eating out. It provides rare family time for all ages and generations. To loose for more housing would be completely counter intuitive.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgFJ	We use and enjoy the facilities	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgh6	I use this place a lot and it will be a real loss to the community , as a meeting place for friends and family. The destruction of local life increases poor mental health and disconnection.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgh7	it's always busy and would be a loss of amenities to the local area, forcing people to other areas and overstretching resources	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:36 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgh8	I can not see how this will benefit the local area. Removing a community hub, where families can enjoy the local amenities, easy parking and affordable eateries on the door step. To be replaced by more residential flats, when there is not enough GP practices to support the potential influx of more people, more cars. And also historically taking away the Finchley lido swimming pool; the site has been a swimming facility since the 1930s and was used for the 1948 Olympics; there is no viable alternative location for the facilities in the local area. This development must be stopped. Thank you	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgIK	This is an unnecessary development to replace a useful leisure area enjoyed by millions over the years it has been opened. Leave our area alone.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgIL	Such a huge waste of a space used by so many families. Our children love the centre. There are no other options for this type of entertainment anywhere close to our area	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgIP	This is a poorly designed scheme. It neither serves the needs of the areas current local residents or provides a sustainable development going forwards. The areas utilities and services are already stretched and will struggle to cope with the additional population. In addition it is poorly served by public transport with the nearest underground stations out of walking distance for most commuters. However there is insufficient parking provided for those that will therefore need to drive. The current leisure park is a hub for the community with all facilities regularly full with families. My family has often spent the day there: swimming in the outdoor pool (a heritage feature of the site for almost a century); bowling; playing in the arcade and going to the cinema. A relatively affordable total experience enjoyed by many low income families in the area which will be lost should this development go ahead. I urge the mayor to respect the unanimous vote of the council, who are experts in their area, and reject this development.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgJJ	I object. We use this facility and more people in Barnet impacts the quality of life of the people in the local area as we have no facilities and infrastructure to support the extra people.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgJy	loss of leisure facility where is the cinema/bowling/arcades being relocated?	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgnV	Insufficient amenities in area e.g. GP surgeries, schools	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgQd	High density living with no thought for how our local hospitals can cope with huge influx of people.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgQf	The local area has a dense population already and adding to this will put a lot of strain on the area. Parking, amenities and schooling are already at capacity. It is unacceptable to just keep adding homes with no long term plan to address these other issues.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgSg	Very bad idea	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgtn	This will be a huge loss to the area which was so conveniently located. We have been coming here for years, ever since childhood and if it goes I feel there will be no place like it for the younger generation. Too high buildings which are not affordable. This will drive people out of the area. Careless and a lack of thought for the community.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgyU	The site is very important for the locals as it provides the rare space and amenities.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgyV	Please leave our beloved cinema complex, bowling alley and restaurants alone. This is the only place you can park easily, see a film and eat in a restaurant without worrying about the car. Don't waste this great space.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPgyW	This development is right next to the North Circular Road, so any new residents will be breathing polluted air. The leisure services proposed to be moved are to a smaller area, in the middle of town, so will only put more traffic pressure on an already crowded and congested high street. There is no provision for extra facilities for all these intended residents, doctors, schools, etc. The traffic system near there is chaotic at most times of the day, this will only be exacerbated by such a huge influx of people. The green space behind is attached to a nature reserve. adding so many new residents, crammed into more tower blocks will only have an adverse effect on this area.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPPh0A	This planned expansion of adding residencies should only be allowed to go ahead if alternative entertainment amenities are created for the greater population.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPPh0C	This area is vital to the community & should not be demolished	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPPh1f	The development will cause chaos in the area with many more people living there. The young people will not have use of cinema, bowling alley and cheap restaurants. 25 storeys high is far too high and will be an eyesore. Please think again before you ruin a leisure centre and facilities for local people.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPPh1g	We need more places for community to enjoy together. Do not take away what so many people enjoy. It is an infringement of people's freedom of living.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:52 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPh9j	<p>The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPh9m	<p>My family love this space and the amenities there, especially the swimming pool. It would be a massive loss to be replaced with flats. We regularly dine there and also go to the cinema. If anything the loss of jobs would be devastating to the local economy and drive people away from the area to seek alternative activities and work elsewhere.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:22 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPhBi	<p>The existing amenities have been a great benefit to locals. Easy to reach and park for the cinema, bowling alley, gym and a great selection of restaurants. Its a low rise development with minimal things to see above the external hedges. It will be a sad disaster to see the amenities, which are so good for families to go.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPhBj	<p>Leave it has it is !</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPhBk	<p>Object as we use the leisure park all the time and it's a great family space. We don't need any more flats in that area - there is already a large development next door. This development will create pollution, additional traffic in the area, affect wildlife and take away a community space of value.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPhBk	<p>The complex is our local leisure activity site which we use frequently for cinema bowling alley swimming eating out Please do not take that away from us</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPhg9	<p>I have reservations about this proposal</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPhgA	<p>Why take away local amenities for more unaffordable flats?!?! The leisure centre is well used, as are the restaurants, cinema and bowling alley within the complex. It's just pure greed.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPhgB	<p>As a family resident of Barnet we often visit the area for food, swimming, bowling and cinema. I also used to visit when I was a child. It seems a shame to lose such a great community hub - which so many people of all ages use</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPhJh	<p>Object: Until we have infrastructure that can cope with more housing / people. Traffic is busier than ever - roads cannot cope. My family cannot get a doctors appointment - can this be addressed first. The leisure park is always used, with great foot fall and the lido has been there for years (new and old) Need to address the above first before going ahead in my opinion.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPhQJ	<p>This is a dreadful plan. The existing leisure park is valuable and well used by local residents. It will be ugly and far too large and will overwhelm local services and already too busy roads. The loss of bowling, cinema etc are unacceptable. It will leave young people with even less positive leisure activities.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPhQP	<p>The plan is for too many flats. The traffic is bad enough during rush hour - what are the considerations for pressure on other services? The public transport here is under pressure already and this many additional people will drive it to breaking point. There needs to be more open green space for people and much more thought about it being a real community with mixed types of housing.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPhUr	<p>VUE, lido, bowling alley and restaurants are very important to local families. There is no where else for kids to go to enjoy time out with friends and families</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPhuW	<p>This local leisure centre is used within the community, and further afield. To lose it would have a huge impact on local families and the local youth population who use the bowling alley, arcade and cinema.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPhuX	<p>The whole community uses this venue for birthdays and celebrations. This is disgraceful</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPhxy	<p>Taking away more activities for our young people in the community will truly be a loss</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPi36	<p>This is a terrible plan and it will be catastrophic for the residents of North Finchley and surrounding areas. The Finchley Lido and Hollywood Bowl and Vue cinema are a place where most of the area's population attend, from local people to far and wide. Please do not allow the demolition of these important amenities.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:57 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPI3F	As I go to the Vue and the bowling club in Summers lane and use the North Circular and and travel often through Friern Barnet I have noticed that now it takes twice as long and my petrol costs continue to rise Any new developments will make things worse and it is time to restrict further developments until a proper transport program is initiated We waste so much extra time sitting in traffic wasting our lives and once the immigration problem is solved then no extra housing should be needed At 1.3 births by 2036 the rental system will be able to cope	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPI3H	Its an eyesore. We frequently went to the cinema and ate in the restaurants. Previously we used the open air pool too.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPI5n	We need to keep leisure centers and amenities (cinema, bowling, gym, restaurants...)	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPI5o	I strongly object to this proposal. It has been subject to a thorough local consultation and rejected by Barnet Council. Please honour that and refuse the appeal. This proposal removes popular and well used local leisure and fitness amenities. There is no infrastructure for the housing being developed. It is not served by public transport. It is yet another private development in our borough- what we need is social housing not yet more private housing purchased by investors who either leave empty or rent privately at very high and unaffordable rents. The developer has no concern at all for our community and has disregarded us. This does not help us to build community. Please reject it.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPI5p	I have to agree with the objections of the local council. The height of the buildings is excessive and will overlook the Glebeland playing fields and nature reserve, creating a negative environmental impact. There is no provision for parking in the plans and the idea that the people who will live there will rely on public transport & not have cars is ludicrous.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPI9K	The leisure centre represents a very important social value, crucial in the health and wellbeing not only of local residents but also of the wider community (me and my family have travelled for years the distance from Enfield to Finchley to use the lido, Vue and retail outlets.) The short sightedness and greed associated with this development is a sad indictment of the urbanisation of London at the moment. Leisure centres and park amenities are absolutely necessary and should not be used for this gross mistake.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPI9L	This is the only entertainment we have. The only area where young, old, families can go locally. Finchley is over populated already and with no entertainment or essential amenities put in place to address this. You need to invest in making this the 1 place that is accessible and increase the entertainment value for all of the Finchley areas to be able to enjoy. We have nothing here only this and you want to take it away Crime will increase and our already reduced services and schools will be affected. People will avoid Finchley altogether.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPI9N	I strongly object to this proposal due to extreme over-development and excessive scale. The proposed height of up to 25 storeys is completely out of character with the suburban nature of Finchley and will have a detrimental visual impact. Furthermore, the site has poor transport accessibility, and adding nearly 1,500 homes will overwhelm local infrastructure and services that are already at capacity. Critically, this development causes a significant loss of local jobs and removes a vital recreational hub for our youth. Replacing established leisure facilities like the cinema and bowling alley with high-density housing deprives the community of safe, accessible social spaces for young people.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:11 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPI9O	I think the high rise is ugly and too much Pact on the area ie facilities surgery and traffic	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPIB2	The businesses here are well used and a vital hub for the community. These developments are adding to the isolation of the local community as this area brings people together and it will impact us if we lose this site.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPIB3	Our area has already substantially increased our housing stock with Millbrook and the various tower blocks being built so we are over populated now and the local services will not manage.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPICM	This well loved centre offers families a place to have meaningful connection together well when weather is bad. A huge building will be unsightly and create infrastructure shortages and problems and we really don't want that.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPIdf	Loss of local amenities for pensioners	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPIdq	Total over saturation of housing for the area. Complete lack of infrastructure in local area to support so many households, No where for a Homeowners to park vehicles, will cause complete mayhem for extensive period of time. Need to provide and fund additional GP surgeries, NHS dentists and hospital availability at Barnet as it is already struggling with the number of residents- this week there was a 10-18 wait in a&e. More buses and school places also urgently needed locally. It is not wanted by locals at all and extremely widely unpopular	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:39 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPiDx	<p>I understand the need for more housing in London however i am strongly opposed to the Regal Housing development. It seems to me that it has been poorly thought out and there has been a distinct lack of collaboration with locals and councils. I feel this is being treated as a quick way to improve London house-building numbers without taking the effort to plan this thoughtfully and considerately to existing residents.</p> <p>Overcrowding is a major concern for me. It seems a very large population of people will be occupying a small area. I question if there are sufficient dentists and doctors to deal with the sudden increase in population to the local area. There are also no shops within the immediate area.</p> <p>Blocks of up to 25 storeys' would look garish in that location.</p> <p>Public transport connections in this location are poor as things stand and bus routes down the High Rd are often full at peak times.</p> <p>Local residents are losing restaurants, bowling and a cinema complex which offer excellent entertainment.</p> <p>The plan was to offer "affordable housing but the percentages have become smaller and smaller.</p> <p>I reiterate, I am all for affordable housing in this location but more care needs to be taken to ensure the complex is tastefully constructed and as many considerations have been accounted for. It feels like the plan is to build ugly high rise building to improve London house building numbers.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPiDy	<p>It's a wonderful area in Finchley for families to have a day out and go swimming, watch a movie and even go for dinner. Without this it will deprive kids of having nearby activities to do. Also lots of bday parties are held in this locations as it's easily accessible and affordable.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPiDz	<p>This proposal will result in the loss of well-used amenities for the people of East and North Finchley and the surrounding area. The Better leisure centre and lido provide affordable facilities for families, and the rest of the businesses on the park provide entertainment for residents of all ages - it seems highly unlikely that the planned replacements would be equally affordable, and the destruction of the lido would be a significant loss to the local area. I understand the area behind the leisure centre is also a habitat for an endangered species of newt, and that would obviously not be replaced in this application. As was stated in the council's objection, not enough of the housing will be affordable, meaning it will not contribute to solving the housing crisis; there is not a shortage of expensive housing in London. Additionally, an estimated 4300 people living there, means a huge influx of cars which will significantly worsen the traffic in an area that already frequently comes to a standstill. And with only 18% of the proposed apartments having parking, the burden on existing parking infrastructure will be substantial, with apparently no contingency built in for this. Finally, adding so many new apartments with no additional social infrastructure such as dentist/GP surgeries, primary schools etc. will put undue pressure on these overburdened vital services.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPiD	<p>This is one of the few local places of entertainment left for those of us who don't appreciate all the betting and vape shops as well as the intimidating men only 'cafes". Where will the local children go to enjoy themselves? Even more people being cammed in and no new facilities such as hospitals being provided. Barnet is already grim with awful facilities, and this will make it worse.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPiE	<p>I like the current set up</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPiFH	<p>One of the biggest impacts on community is the loss of community and leisure spaces in favour of large homogenous housing estates. The plans contain insufficient provisions for replacing the cinema, leisure centre and bowling alley lost by the development, as well as necessary amenities such as schools, doctors, green spaces, traffic impacts, emergency services etc. Given current and proposed developments in the area for additional housing (Mill Hill East, Tally Ho Corner) the cumulative impact on the area and communities is creating an overcrowded, insufficiently provisioned area, and an undesirable, sterile and monocultural sense of place.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPiH0	<p>It would be a great shame to lose the entertainment availability for local families that visit here for both bowling and cinema, not to forget a chance for a nice meal out too! I visit here at least twice a month with my children. We love having a Vue cinema so close to home, especially as there's always convenient parking options too so it is safe to visit with children.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPiH3	<p>This is one of the only places that children in the surrounding areas can go to enjoy social activities other than soft play. There is only one other cinema in the New Barnet area and that is not aimed at kids / teenagers. There is no where and nothing for teenagers to do other than this leisure park. It would be an absolute disgusting move by Barnet and the Major to approve these plans and take away the one main facility for teenagers in the area. Even for children age 10+ it is somewhere they can hold birthday parties etc. so many of us are living in tiny flats in Barnet with no space to entertain friends and now you are planning to take away the one place kids can have birthday parties and teenagers can hang out and enjoy themselves safely and productively.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:34 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPIH5	<p>Subject: Objection - Great North Leisure Park (25/0213/FUL)</p> <p>Dear Planning Committee, Sarah Sackman MP, and Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:21 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPIH6	<p>This is outrageous!!!! The space in question is used by many people and families for recreation! We DO NO NEED OR WANT housing here. Who is this housing for? I think our Mayor needs to stop pandering to one demographic and start thinking about All demographics! Also, how will this affect the local community? Not enough schools or doctors in the area to make it viable. On top of already congested part of the road. This will become a health and safety issue! Lwe already have a huge problem with anti social behaviour in this part of London with muggings and robbery through the roof! Think again Mr Kahn!! You have ruined London to date and are continuing to do so!</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPIIg	<p>This area is one of the few places left locally for families to meet and and enjoy some entertainment. All of Finchley & Barnet High streets have nothing to offer and losing the Lido, the Cinema, Bowling alley will mean children and especially teenage children will have even less recreational activities they can do. the Mayor seems hell bent on destroying all the positive things in North London Boroughs for building projects that will over populate the area and doesn't have the supporting infrastructure to cope with what is being proposed. Really bad idea!</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPIkJ	<p>Finchley is already severely overloaded with new developments, and local infrastructure is struggling to cope. Traffic congestion has increased significantly and now affects residential streets daily, including weekends. Public transport is also under strain, with main Tube stations overcrowded and TfL clearly unable to support further growth in the area.</p> <p>This development would bring thousands more residents and cars, further overwhelming already congested roads and transport links and worsening air quality, noise, and overall quality of life.</p> <p>In addition, the proposal would destroy an important community and social space that currently provides cinemas, gyms, and restaurants. These facilities are vital for community wellbeing, mental health, and children's activities. Their loss would cause lasting harm to the local community and should not be underestimated.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:21 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPIkV	<p>The leisure centre is a crucial part of our community where people of all ages gather and a place of memories for many and it can continue to do that if it will not be taken down.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPIkW	<p>This area has good facilities enabling social interaction/enjoyment for all residents of the borough. The area is busy most times when we visit. It will be a huge loss to the area to loose the Leisure Centre, restaurants, cinema and bowling alley.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPIkY	<p>This amenity is beneficial to young and old alike providing recreational facility's for the area. The proposal will be detrimental to the area and totall out of place and out of keeping with the area.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPIlh	<p>These buildings are far too dense/high for the area. Please don't turn this lovely town centre into a faceless block to meet a housing quota. London has a rich heritage of change and development but there comes a point you lose the connection with the essence culture and character. This is that point. Yes, redevelop. Yes, build housing but be sympathetic to the character of a neighbourhood built up over centuries. Without our rich, diverse cultural past we all blend into one. With no one caring, no ties, no feel for the fabric of where we live. That way lies crime, disengagement and lack of community. This is ill thought out and against what the community feels, wants and needs.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:59 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPiK	The re-provision of the public leisure facilities that are described, results in a fragmentation of the current facilities which does not sufficiently consider people using public transport who would benefit from having all facilities in close proximity. Also, the description of the re-provision is not sufficiently detailed to provide confidence that the leisure facilities are going to be accessible and affordable to the public and not be an amenity primarily for the residents.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPiP7	Leisure activities vital to the area. The loss of these, I believe will have a detrimental impact to the residents of the area.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPisE	I object to this plan as an impediment to the culture of North Finchley.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPito	It is so convenient for me to take my [REDACTED] husband for a meal or to the cinema as there's so much parking and everything is on one level..	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPitp	Object to this development which will have huge negative impact on the health and wellbeing and amenity of current residents	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPix4	This huge development will mean more traffic in an already busy area. There is not enough health provision ie doctors etc in this area. Affordable housing is necessary but the loss of services/social outlets now provided by the leisure centre, restaurants etc would be a great loss to local people.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPiXE	The area needs such amenities for the community. I frequent here very often with friends, my kids and partner. We do not need any more flats	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPiXJ	Objection	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPiyb	The current leisure area is well used and well loved by locals and is vital for the local community. By continually destroying local amenities London is becoming increasingly unsociable and miserable to live in. The road by the leisure centre is already busy and experiences long traffic jams, which will be seriously made worse by the sheer volume of units going into this area. This will further erode the local area	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPiyc	We don't have the schools or doctors to accommodate all the new residents also parking is a nightmare already. Also the activities available on the site is used by many local residents. And to lose this site will be a huge lose to us.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPj3R	Over development and lack of infrastructure and resources for new occupants and local area.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPj3S	This is a well loved leisure park for families and adults alike. Don't destroy a valuable community amenity that caters for all ages.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPj3T	This is so sad to see, hardly anywhere to visit in the area, we are regular customers with our children, not to mention the amount of jobs to be lost...there are flats and houses literally everywhere you turn!!!! It's so greedy, this needs to be reconsidered!!!!	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPj6l	I object to the proposed comprehensive redevelopment due to its excessive scale, density, and height, which is out of keeping with the surrounding area. The demolition of existing buildings and construction of up to 20 blocks reaching 25 storeys will result in overdevelopment, causing significant harm to local character, skyline, and residential amenity. The scheme's scale raises serious concerns regarding increased pressure on already stretched local infrastructure, including transport, healthcare, schools, and public open spaces. The proposed increase of up to 1,485 dwellings will also exacerbate traffic congestion, parking stress, and air and noise pollution during both construction and occupation. Furthermore, the loss of existing buildings and community spaces, combined with the long phased construction period, will negatively impact the quality of life for existing residents, with insufficient clarity on mitigation measures and phasing impacts. For these reasons, the proposal should be refused or significantly reduced in height, density, and scale.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPj8F	It will be a shame to lose all of the restaurants, cinema and bowling facilities that are local to many people and it's a neutral place to meet with friends who live further away and somewhere where children can enjoy without us parents worrying about parking etc	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPj8G	More and more housing is being built in the area without major updates to infrastructure and amenities. The Bowling and Cinema are part of the meagre local facilities and the replacement in Lodge Lane is frankly laughable. More people and less facilities is not a good situation. Please seriously reconsider the impact on the existing population.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjbD	Ghastly plan	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjct	The North London leisure park provides reasonably priced leisure activities for residents in North and East Finchley and wider area, and especially for young people and older people. The amenities (bowling, cinema, restaurants) are always packed especially at weekends and provides safe and affordable activities, with parking on site which makes it so much easier for older people to use. The Vue is the only cheap cinema available and is well used by young people, families and older people. It will be a terrible loss for the community as the alternative proposals will be much harder to access. This development should not go ahead as the local community is against it.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:37 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjcu	<p>The proposed multi-storey development will undoubtedly devastate our local community and eliminate the only leisure facility available to our youths & families. Without accessible amenities, what are they expected to do?</p> <p>The Mayor often speaks about building communities and fostering cohesion, yet projects like this contradict those very principles. I sincerely hope the Mayor will demonstrate integrity and uphold Barnet Council's decision to refuse this development.</p> <p>I urge Barnet Council and all those in power to fully oppose this massive development, it threatens to transform North Finchley into a tower blocks town.</p> <p>North Finchley has already lost much of its character due to numerous large housing projects, and adding this development will be another detrimental blow.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjcw	<p>Cinema and bowling alley and the surrounding restaurants are vital to the local area me and my son visit often [REDACTED] I do find it to be fairly accessible and easy to use.</p> <p>I've been going to a view cinema since I was eight years old and [REDACTED] It is vital for the local community. Easily accessible via transport and provide a place for teenagers to go and hang out something which they are so lacking more and more these days. We don't need more flats in the local community we need more amenities.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjcy	<p>On top of the above points, I object on the grounds of safety, it will inevitable bring crime rates up.</p> <p>I object on the basis that there is not enough infrastructure to support this unnecessary development- lack and strain on local gp and dental practices, education places.</p> <p>The scale of this development is frightening and will bring so much traffic and problem with parking places, which at present are not enough and local residents are suffering with parking near their homes.</p> <p>It will bring a lot of disruption and will not improve the quality of life in our neighbourhood.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjD5	<p>This is the only local facility where we can take our son and his friends to socialise. If this is abolished, where are these children supposed to go? A neighbourhood should not be made up solely of flats, with no facilities for children. I strongly object to this.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjEI	<p>Shouldn't go ahead</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjEm	<p>There's so many other places to build housing, why destroy some of the few facilities locals have in order to do so. This seems cruel.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjEn	<p>The North Finchley leisure park is used by thousands of local residents per week and taking it away would mean travelling even further for something similar. It is busy, well utilised and provides local families with a focal point and activities to do. There are lots of patches of land that appear completely empty and unused, for example, along Pinkham way which could be used for housing.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjEp	<p>This space is crucial for the community and children especially the lido better</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjEq	<p>This seems like a desperate attempt at meeting new housing targets by destroying established popular entertainment facilities and replacing them with another cheap, poor quality, quick built, high profit development which London is plagued with.</p> <p>This will grossly over populate the area and cause a strain on limited infrastructure - transport, utilities, schools, medical.</p> <p>It will no doubt attract retail development and increase pressure on established local businesses.</p> <p>This is a bad profit driven proposal which I fully object to.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjGK	<p>This would be devastating for the local community. The establishment is vital for our community and families. I object</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjGL	<p>This will greatly increase the number of vehicles and people in the area. There are not enough school spaces, doctors or hospitals to cope with this increase. This complex of leisure centre, bowling, restaurants, and cinema is the only one in the area. It is a place for people to meet up, keep the children and teenagers off the street. Where families go to be together and enjoy. There is no other place like this. It would be a great loss to those that work there, that enjoy leisurely time there, and especially for the families.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:36 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjGO	<p>This site is an important cultural, community, and sporting heritage site; the original 1930s pool was used in the 1948 Olympics</p> <p>I doubt the legal validity of removing the swimming pool from this site. I think there is a covenant on the land requiring a swimming pool to be located here.</p> <p>Additionally, this a thriving local economy with a busy cinema, bowling centre, restaurants.</p> <p>There is no where in the local area that these services can viably be relocated to;</p> <p>A residential development here would only be served by 1 bus route - there are no tube stations within easy reach, the additional car traffic this this development would create would be catastrophic to the environment and to the already gridlocked traffic in the local area.</p> <p>The Finchley Open Air Pool was designed by P T Harrison, from Finchley Borough Council. The main heated pool opened on 17 September 1931 and stayed open until 11 November. The following year it was officially opened on 26 March, and on 22 April 1932 the Duke of York (to become King George VI) unveiled a ceremonial wall tablet made of Staffordshire marble, which can be seen to this date. This tablet can now be seen on display behind the counter of Nando's restaurant, which was built around the original site.</p> <p>.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjJl	.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjJl	We spend a lot of happy hours in the cinema / bowling / pool and it would be a massive loss for the residents in the area not to be able to this anymore, especially the children.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjJm	A well used facility that adds to the sense of local community and a great place to meet up and socialise. There are very few places today that you can meet up with people easily, to be able to park and use diverse entertainment without having to go into the centre of London.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjJo	I think there is fraudulent activity here,as I'm not being allowed to input my east Finchley address I assume so the mayor can say there where minimal local objections?	Object	1/17/2026 6:08:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjJY	My children often visit the retail park and the restaurants/cinema/bowling alley. Would be a real hit to lose the well loved amenities by local families.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjku	The vue and all the restaurants serves a lot of people and children in the area! Many children had their birthday parties there and we all have fond memories of the place .	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjKx	I strongly object to demolishing the Hollywood Bowl. Having grown up just minutes away, I've cherished this place for cinema, bowling, swimming, and family time. Now, my child is growing up with these same experiences. This venue is a vital community hub. Overcrowding from new flats will strain already pressured schools, traffic, and transport. We still use and value this space daily. Please protect this beloved community asset.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjky	<p>I strongly object to this application.</p> <p>This leisure park has served the local area for many years and is well used by residents. The loss removes an important local amenity and replaces it with further high-density residential development in an area that is already heavily built up.</p> <p>Additional flats will increase pressure on local infrastructure and contribute to overcrowding, while also increasing the environmental impact associated with intensified development. This proposal represents a continued erosion of local facilities in favour of housing, with no meaningful replacement for what is being lost.</p> <p>I urge the planning authority to refuse this application.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjMi	While there is need for more housing, especially affordable housing, this should not be built on a site which is used by thousands if not tens of thousands of local residents every week. There are very few leisure facilities available locally as it is. It is outrageous that this plan is even being considered. The apparent plan to relocate some facilities to North Finchley car park is frankly pathetic. What kind of clueless mentality can think that is a good idea? Setting aside the limited size if the car park, there are very few parking facilities in North Finchley as it is. What do the council want, to further drive consumers away from North Finchley high street and inflict more damage on the shop owners? I have also heard that the developers are trying to weasel out of building the required number of affordable homes. This alone should be enough to throw their self-serving proposal out.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:39 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPJMj	<p>Dear Mayor Khan . I urge you to uphold the decision made by Barnet Council Planning Dept to refuse the planning application for the demolition and development by Regal London (Arada of GNLP .</p> <p>I wholeheartedly support the rejection of this travesty of a development plan as submitted by Arada on multiple criteria :</p> <p>Inappropriate scale and density of buildings</p> <p>Poor design of units combined with such a large number of high rise towers crammed onto a small site.</p> <p>No three bedroom units as required by families .</p> <p>Unsuitable location , isolated between North Circular and High Road ,and North and East Finchley with no consideration of integration of new residents with the existing communities of East and North Finchley .</p> <p>Very poor transport links for 4000 plus new potential residents with the already crowded 263 bus route the only public transport access to East Finchley Underground Station. (30 minutes walk away .)</p> <p>No consideration of impact of development on local infrastructure: doctors, hospitals ,schools , nurseries and others local amenities .</p> <p>No consideration by Arada as to how new residents would live , shop , travel .</p> <p>No provision or willingness by Arada of community spaces the plans -their only aim to build a development not to create a community .</p> <p>Unacceptably and extremely low percentage of affordable housing and no social housing .</p> <p>Loss of leisure facilities on GNLP of Vue Cinema , Hollywood Bowl as well as nearby restaurants on site which provide much used and unique, accessible , safe and affordable facilities and space for all generations : families ,young people , disabled support organisations and pensioner groups with offer a community atmosphere which is so vital with loss of local youth clubs and inexpensive activity opportunities.</p> <p>Poor and reduced facilities (including loss of the most popular childrens' wave pool) in the plans for the design and rebuild of Finchley Lido Pool.</p> <p>Loss of green and open space and environmental implications for habitats of the great crested newts on site .</p> <p>This development is completely unsuitable in every aspect .Please reject it .</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPJMk	<p>I am writing to formally object to the proposed demolition of the local leisure complex in order to construct new residential flats.</p> <p>The leisure complex is a vital community asset that serves families, young people, and residents across the area. It provides a safe space for recreation and social interaction for many local families and it is one of the few accessible facilities available.</p> <p>Removing this facility would have a significant negative impact on the local community. While there is a recognised need for housing in London, this should not come at the expense of essential infrastructure that supports the local community. This local community spot has been a place that the local community has used for many years. I remember going to see my first movie at this place and learning to play bowling for the first time and now it is the same place for my sons.</p> <p>Once lost, such facilities are rarely replaced, and their absence is felt for generations. I respectfully urge you to reconsider this proposal and explore alternatives.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPJMm	<p>To lose the bowling alley and other amenities would have a huge impact on children in the area. Where else are youth going to go? Crime will probably increase</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:25 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPJMo	<p>I am writing to OBJECT to the above planning application on the following material planning grounds:</p> <p>1) Overcrowding / Over-development</p> <p>The proposed development would represent an unacceptable increase in the population density and intensity of land use in this area, incompatible with the existing pattern and character of development. The scale/density of the proposed buildings (and/or unit numbers) would lead to an overcrowded environment inconsistent with neighbouring uses and local planning policies on sustainable density. This constitutes over-development of the site and would harm living conditions locally.</p> <p>2) Infrastructure Strain — Traffic and Transport</p> <p>The development will result in a significant increase in traffic entering and exiting the site (both private vehicles and service/delivery traffic), exacerbating congestion on surrounding roads. The existing highway infrastructure cannot safely or comfortably accommodate this level of additional traffic without harming highway safety and resident amenity. There are already traffic delays at peak times, and this proposal will worsen them. Highways authorities should assess traffic impact before approval.</p> <p>3) Loss of Community Leisure Facility</p> <p>This development would result in the loss of the only leisure centre in the area, which is a key community asset providing recreation, health and wellbeing benefits to local residents of all ages. The planning system recognises the importance of community infrastructure and the need to protect facilities that serve local needs. The loss of this facility would harm social sustainability and well-being in the community.</p> <p>4) Impact on Local Services and Amenities</p> <p>Additional population from this development will place extra pressure on local services, including schools, healthcare, public transport and open space. There has been no evidence submitted to show how these impacts will be mitigated or whether existing provision can cope with the increased demand.</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>For the reasons set out above, I believe the proposed development would have a material and detrimental impact on the local area and should be REFUSED planning permission. If permission is granted, I request the Council impose strict conditions to ensure any adverse effects are mitigated.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:02 PM
-----------	-----------------	---	--------	----------------------

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjMp	<p>The leisure park currently provides vital recreational facilities, including a bowling alley, cinema, and restaurants. These facilities serve as one of the few accessible, affordable social spaces for children, teenagers, and families in the local area.</p> <p>Their removal would result in a significant loss of community infrastructure, contrary to the principle of creating balanced, sustainable communities.</p> <p>Children and teenagers already have very limited safe, structured places to socialise locally. This leisure park provides:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •A safe, supervised environment •Positive activities that keep young people engaged •Opportunities for social development and confidence-building <p>Removing these facilities will leave children with nowhere appropriate to go, increasing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Social isolation •Screen dependency •Anti-social behaviour due to lack of alternatives <p>This directly undermines the council's duty to support children's wellbeing and development.</p> <p>Leisure facilities play a crucial role in supporting mental health, particularly for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Young people •Families •Individuals on lower incomes •Neurodivergent children and adults <p>The bowling alley and cinema offer inclusive, low-pressure environments that promote connection and enjoyment. Replacing these with flats removes vital spaces that help combat loneliness and stress in the community.</p> <p>The area is already under pressure from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Increased traffic •Limited parking •Strain on GP surgeries, schools, and public transport <p>Adding more residential flats without corresponding investment in infrastructure will exacerbate these problems and reduce overall quality of life for existing residents.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjpk	I have been living close to Finchley Lido for 10 years and don't want this to be destroyed by developers who only think about money and not people who care about environment, nature resort close to Glebelands! It's a shame that we have to fight with the Mayor of London now who supposed to help and support the people who live in this local area and who were voting for mr Khan to be a mayor:(((Object	1/17/2026 6:05:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjpn	This would be a huge loss to the community, currently serving as an important leisure hub for locals	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjpo	This is a place for kids and families! Don't take it away	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjpp	This development has created a lot of local opposition. The local amenities currently on the site are very popular and used by many for children's birthday parties and would be sorely missed.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjQ0	The current retail park has been a feature of the local community for decades. It is very popular with residents from the local area as well as the wider area as it provides restaurants and entertainment facilities in a centralised place in this part of the borough. The local residents are not in favour of its demolition or relocation due to the value added by the retail park on the local residents' lives. Having a large retail complex which caters to the diverse needs of the local residents is unique to this part of Barnet, hence the vociferous opposition to its demolition.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjQ4	Youre taking everything away to build. Our children need these spaces.	Object	1/17/2026 6:08:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjrk	I strongly opose this atrocious planning.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjrO	This will be a huge shame if it goes ahead, this area provides wellbeing, entertainment and social places for people of all ages.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjrQ	I strongly object to Khan's plans to rid the locals of a well-attended and above all else a highly valued local amenity	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjRX	<p>The Finchley leisure complex has for decades provided a fun and safe space for families, children and teenagers to part take in leisure activities, not just the cinema but screen free hobbies such as swimming, exercise and bowling, which promote physical and mental health. It is the hub of Finchley with no other such facilities available for miles around. It is buzzing with families and also provides much needed entertainment for teens and youths, where they may otherwise be involved in damaging activities such as social media, drinking/smoking and spending time on the streets. Not only is there absolutely no benefit to the community in the complex being replaced by housing, but it will have a significantly negative impact. Local health services are already overwhelmed - for example Finchley Memorial hospital on Granville Road is a stones throw away from the site, and many local families rely on this facility for out of hours medical treatment. [REDACTED] seen. This will only get significantly worse if a large housing development is built here. The pressure that 1,485 further residential dwellings would put on already over stretched local services is huge. Crime will increase with more youths being on the streets and drawn into negative influences, a vital collection point of the local food bank, a lifeline for many individuals and families, will cease [REDACTED] will become even more oversubscribed, and the commute from Finchley Central station will become more dangerous and chaotic, with trains and platforms becoming more crowded from the impact that thousands more residents would inevitably create. Please put the needs of local community above financial gain, and stop this ludicrous plan.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjsv	Shame to lose a local cinema, bowling alley and restaurants. Used a lot by local residents.and it's easy to park there.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:34 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjt1	I object to this development as the buildings are too tall for the area- twice the height of any other local building. This will set precedent for further high rise development in the area. These are not appropriate family homes and that is what we do need. Noise from NCR for families living on site will be very high and limited play areas within walking distance for families. Limited access to underground / tube network so car traffic will increase but limited parking on the site. This area is already highly congested with traffic on the High Road with frequent daily traffic queues. There is no capacity for more traffic especially as site borders on NCR. No planning for increased pressure on local services which are already under severe pressure due to housing developments in Barnet eg there is now a 2 year wait to be seen by a paediatrician in the area. Local GP services and therapy services have long delays. We do not want to lose our cinema as it is a great resource. Local families do need affordable, quality homes but this development does not provide that. It is greedy over development	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjt2	This development will negatively impact the area. There is not enough supporting infrastructure to accommodate it, such as doctors, nurseries etc.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjTC	You are building housing but getting rid of local amenities for people. The traffic is horrendous as it is, which will be added to and there will be nowhere to go. A concrete jungle is being created.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjUI	This is a local hot spot for families to enjoy time together at the various eateries and entertainment facilities. There is nowhere like it for miles. As a family it really upsets us to think we could loose North Finchley Leisure Park :(Object	1/17/2026 6:05:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjUm	Please don't pack people and property there - the proposal is too many residential homes in an already populated area. I'm up for affordable homes but why do the flats need to be high storeys, it wrecks of profit over practicality. Also, why can't some of the existing restaurants & amenities be redeveloped there? Where do you want kids & teens to hang out? (the proposal to build a new tiny bowling alley & cinema further up on an already tight high street just doesn't cut it.) Am up for affordable housing but the scale here is inconsiderate of the surrounding community -please reconsider the plans to make them fairer for all, think honestly and imagine yourself living nearby - what would you want for the community and the area.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjwl	Key Valid Grounds for my Objection Overdevelopment & Character: The building is too large/high for the plot and out of character with the surrounding area. Loss of Green Space/Amenity: Removal of trees, gardens, or open spaces that contribute to the character of the area or biodiversity. Traffic & Safety: Increased traffic, parking shortages, dangerous access, or reduced visibility on roads. Amenity Impact: Overlooking, loss of privacy, or significant overshadowing (loss of light) for neighbours.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjWN	object	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:44 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPJWO	<p>To whom it may concern.</p> <p>I am writing to formally object to the proposed demolition of the leisure park and its redevelopment for additional housing. I do not believe there is a shortage of new housing in the area. Instead, this proposal risks significantly over-populating the neighbourhood without adding the vital services, facilities and space required to support existing and future residents. If anything, the council should be expanding leisure and community amenities to provide jobs, support wellbeing, and strengthen the local economy, not removing them.</p> <p>Loss of residential amenity The leisure park is a valued community asset that supports families, young people, and older residents. Its removal would reduce access to recreation and wellbeing facilities and materially diminish the quality of life of those living nearby.</p> <p>Impact on heritage The existing leisure site is closely linked with the area's cultural and social history. Erasing such an established community footprint would undermine the local identity that residents recognise and rely upon.</p> <p>Impact on local townscape Replacing a well-used public facility with a dense housing scheme risks harming the balance and character of the townscape. The current layout offers space, openness and a clear visual break, all of which contribute positively to the appearance and function of the neighbourhood.</p> <p>Loss of open space Removing this space would meaningfully reduce public access to open areas, which are already limited.</p> <p>Over density The scale of the proposed development appears disproportionate to the surrounding area. Introducing a significantly higher number of homes could place strain on services, increase pressure on roads and public transport, and disrupt the existing community balance.</p> <p>Poor design Concerns remain that the development, as proposed, may not integrate sympathetically with the existing architecture or reflect the character of the community.</p> <p>Lack of affordable housing Current trends indicate that such schemes often fail to deliver homes that local people can actually access, further weakening the justification for removing much-loved community infrastructure.</p> <p>Building(s) height The scale and height of the proposed buildings appear out of keeping with the surrounding residential area. Excessive height could overshadow existing homes, reduce natural light and create an oppressive sense of enclosure. For these reasons, I strongly oppose the proposal and urge the council to reject the application. The leisure park should be protected and</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjYA	My comments above	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPjYB	The impact on local communities and amenities like GP, schools etc will be massive and the affect the local residents	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPk15	This is a great area for local kids and adults to utilise and we have done for years. The cinema, pool and restaurants are a great asset to our area.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPk2f	Worst place over to over develop, no way the local area can support this without new schools and GPs and public transport. Shame on Khan for yet again ignoring the locals for his own benefit.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPk4H	You cannot do this, the amenities here are crucial to the area, my children go bowling swimming and cinema here with their friends. There is nowhere like this around, please please do not build another block of flats on such an important community area	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPk5p	we have to have places to go for entertainment and socialising, especially for children, there is a lack of places for them to go to already, we can't take everything away in the Insatiable quest for housing.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPk5q	This proposal would result in the loss of a significant outlet for local children, particularly teenagers, eg the bowling alley and cinema, meaning more kids potentially just hanging out on the streets. The resources in the area are insufficient for the number of people this would bring to the area, eg doctors.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPk5t	I object as losing ALL the amenities will have a devastating impact on youth especially. Where will youngsters go to spend free time? There is NOTHING else in the area! Thank you.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPk5u	No, no, no!!!! LB Barnet was once a leafy suburb of north London. It has now become a very undesirable place to live or visit. It's over crowded, dirty, you can't park, transport is very hit and miss, drug dealers and pick pockets thrive, GP surgeries are full and the doctors are over stretched as it is. The hospitals can't cope either. Shops are failing too as they can't afford the rates. Wouldn't the money it would cost to build here be better spent on enriching the area and services?? I think it would and it would be appreciated by those who are already living here and those who have for many years too!	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:34 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPk93	The leisure park is so important to the residents of Barnet and beyond. Every single person I know uses either the restaurants, gym, pool for our kids swim lessons, cinema and bowling. To take this away and have nothing like this is the area would be devastating! It brings so much revenue too. The traffic on the high road outside of the leisure park can be insane at the best of times, it would be an absolute disaster if residential buildings were to go up. There is so much open space in Barnet to build, why take away what WE the residents of Finchley need in our day to day lives.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPk9C	This leisure park provides a vital third space, especially for children and teens due to the cinema and bowling alley and many restaurants it has. If these are removed, young people will have less places to safely go out to.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPk9D	Will disrupt the locally community	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPkAi	We need to keep the swimming pool for everyone in the area.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPkAj	Why can't you build somewhere where you have some ugly buildings or somewhere where you don't have any buildings . You think we don't know that all these buildings are just a corruption .	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPkCH	Housing leaves no consideration for amenities and parking	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPkCI	This space offer local families opportunities for affordable leisure activities for weekends and half terms. Without the cinema / bowling complex, families are incredibly restricted.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPkCL	This would have a devastating impact on the local residents who regularly visit the leisure center- from the young children using the leisure facilities, to the elderly. The cinema restaurant and bowling are beloved by residents and literally NO ONE other than greedy developers, want this project to go ahead.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPKer	This is the only leisure park for miles where families can eat, go bowling, swimming etc. Without this there will be nowhere local for our community to hang out and enjoy themselves. I strongly object to this being knocked down to build yet more housing	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPkH7	I OBJECT	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPkIj	Loosing too much of open space. Will impact on the local trafic.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPkqg	Overdeveloped, not enough focus on family homes	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPMmD	This development will have a detrimental impact on the local area. It will increase air pollution and fragment a central location for the youth to congregate safely. Furthermore, the proposed number of residential properties will overwhelm local services such as schools, GPs, hospitals and the already inadequate road system. The proposal is also visually unappealing and will dominate the skyline with its 25-storey buildings. There is no comparable structure in the area and this development will significantly degrade the quality of life for all residents.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPN2m	Loss of local amenities and far too dense housing with no linked increase in local infrastructure or support services	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPNp2	There will be no facilities in the local area for cinemas, bowling etc. where will adults and children go? Elsewhere will be too far to travel. We are over densely built up with flas in Barnet!!	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPNQP	I live in Southgate [REDACTED] we use the amenities at the leisure park regularly. This is the only place we have to offer these facilities for many areas surrounding the nearest other complex is Picketts Lock in Enfield which is way too far for our surrounding areas. I and my family object not only about losing this lovely local social place and its amenities but also because the proposed development is too much, it will not only ruin the local community of the area but putting in skyscrapers is way out of line with local architecture. It will be a massive loss to not only North Finchley but to all local surrounding areas as we all use this leisure park for over 35 years. Areas surrounding North Finchley such as East Finchley, central Finchley, Whetstone, Friern Barnet, New Southgate, Southgate, Arnos Grove, Bounds Green, Muswell Hill, Highgate, Barnet, East Barnet, New Barnet, Cockfosters and many more. What should be done is to upgrade it and its local community facilities not demolish it and put skyscrapers there!	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:43 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPNQQ	<p>I object to this application on the following material planning grounds:</p> <p>Overdevelopment and scale The proposed height and density (up to 25 storeys and around 1,500 homes) is excessive and out of character with the surrounding area, resulting in overdevelopment of the site.</p> <p>Loss of community and leisure facilities The demolition of the existing cinema and leisure uses represents the permanent loss of valued community facilities, with no clear or guaranteed like-for-like replacement.</p> <p>Insufficient affordable housing The scheme fails to meet London Plan and local policy targets for affordable housing, undermining the delivery of a balanced and inclusive community.</p> <p>Transport and parking impacts The site has limited public transport accessibility, and the proposal risks increased congestion, parking pressure, and highway safety issues in surrounding streets.</p> <p>Pressure on local infrastructure The scale of the development would place additional strain on local schools, healthcare, and other services, with insufficient mitigation.</p> <p>Impact on residential amenity The height and massing of the buildings are likely to cause unacceptable overshadowing, loss of daylight, and loss of privacy to nearby homes.</p> <p>For these reasons, I believe the proposal is unsustainable and should be refused or substantially revised.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPNwn	<p>This is an awful idea. Why take away so many amenities that service the local area.</p> <p>There is nothing for youngsters today as all the youth clubs have been closed.</p> <p>So many young people gather at Hollywood Bowl, lido and restaurants.</p> <p>There are flats going up everywhere. Enough!</p> <p>The build up of traffic will be horrendous.</p> <p>Please listen to the local community.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPNWy	We don't need anymore flats	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPNWz	This is of great value to the community and offers families somewhere to go.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPNX0	Absolutely object to this. You are taking away a space for families to come together and a place for young people to have activities away from staring at their phones. There are very few places young people can go and have fun with their friends, no youth clubs etc so diminishing these spaces leaves them nowhere but the streets to hang out!!!	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPOmC	I don't support this idea of turning the only leisure activity centre in this whole area into a residential development just for the sake of profit. There is already a lot of other residential development projects going on in this area and they are not even remotely populated. A lot of these developments are still empty.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPOrH	<p>This is such an awesome place for kids and family. It would be a huge loss.</p> <p>Bowling, swimming, cinema, all will become less accessible and more expensive.</p> <p>Like parks, accessible family entertainment should be something prized and protected.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPOrJ	I object to this development as it's the only cinema and bowling facility in this area and it's well loved by local families. We visit on a regular basis and would be sad to lose this place.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPOrL	This is the only place for kids to go for the day across Finchley and Barnet. It's the only affordable cinema for families, only place to go for a day out w bowling and restaurants. North Finchley has over subscribed schools and GPs. There is no infrastructure to support all the people that will live there. This will put unnecessary pressure on the community services as well as take away all local kids and family activities.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPOrM	What a huge loss to the local community. This venue has multigenerational entertainment for the local community. It allows you to groups to meet families to eat, we visit the cinema regular and go to eat there. It's a safe space to everyone. Building housing will bring more traffic, we do not have capacity in gp surgeries, dentists, local schools to accommodate this level of housing. It will be a great loss to us all and bring more people to the high stress especially youth that want to hang out and simply don't have the facilities to meet friends locally . Money motivation of our Mayor is very disappointing.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPOTJ	It will bring more kids on the streets, no perspective for a better life	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPPIS	I visit site regularly for the David Lloyd fitness club and also for the Vue cinemas . The traffic is already dreadful as vehicles leave the North Circular Road junction and will be worse should this scheme proceed. Also there will be a loss of amenities during the construction phase. There will also be a loss of green space and is too building intensive .	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPPIT	This would be horrendous over development and involve the loss of valuable leisure facilities with no adequate replacement.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPPIU	Strongly object to this monstrous development.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:13 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPQ2o	Would be great loss if this place is demolished. Future plan of construction would be havoc in every field.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPQ2q	The leisure centre is a core part of this community. The loss of it, creating a poorer, smaller centre in North Finchley while increasing the amount of housing in the area will make the centre overcrowded and unusable	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPQeY	I object this plan as an impediment to the culture of North Finchley	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPQeZ	Whilst I agree with the rebuilding of Finchley Lido Leisure Centre, (I teach group exercise classes there), I object strongly to the building of 1,485 homes due to parking and traffic issues, height of the proposed buildings, and the infrastructure, e.g. schools, doctors, etc., not being able to support this many additional people moving into the area, too many people living within a small area.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:11 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPQg2	I object to this proposal:- Loss of local, well used amenities that local population would rather see improved in this location - convenient, safe resource for all Volume of properties, height disproportionate to local area, dense residential in an already highly populated area of London Lack of additional consideration for public services such as transport, parking, traffic Impact on public resources - no additional provision for NHS doctor, NHS dental, schools Local small and A-roads are already busy and full of traffic - unsustainable to local roads and public transport Disruption to area for duration of build project Disruption to area and population for proposed relocation of current social provision / cinema, pool, restaurants which has a further impact on lack of green space and biodiversity due to the proposed relocation area Low benefit to local community Impact on Barnet council resources for local service provision and funding / council tax	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPQpl	This is a nice place to go with my son. [REDACTED] this Vue cinema has special sessions for him. He also loves to play game on the bowling area. If you remove this there will be no leisure things to do around east Finchley	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPQpq	The leisure centre is a place my family and I go to. This development is going to destroy this space for the community	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPQpr	Loss of local facilities for young people and families. Something that cannot be replaced or replicated anywhere nearby	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPQTX	Far too big, considering the impact on all local amenities, schools health care traffic and transport	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPQv8	I live and work locally and my family use the leisure complex regularly for dining, keep fit & entertainment purposes. It would be a huge loss to the area and for local families if it were demolished. The complex is always busy and well used and to remove it in favour of adding more homes in an already built up area would take away vital entertainment & recreation facilities resulting in a lack of quality of life for existing residents. Plus with the addition of more housing, inevitably this will produce more cars & with a huge lack of parking & with no plan to build any further schools or doctors surgeries in an area where these facilities are already overwhelmed it just doesn't make logical sense. For these reasons, I strongly object to this application.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPQvC	I lived in the area many years and visited too. It will be very sad if it goes! Hope there is enough votes to save this amazing place	Support	1/17/2026 6:05:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPQvD	I use this place a lot and it will be a real loss to the community, as a meeting place for friends and family. The destruction of local life increases poor mental health and disconnection.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPR0u	This is an area currently used and loved by so many local families and will a huge loss for the community and a safe place for our children to visit.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPR6M	I OBJECT	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPR6N	Stop the overdevelopment on our public space. We do not want or need massive housing complexes it breeds crime, social disconnect,	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPRM8	This is not a like for like switch. How can you get rid of important areas for children, young people and families to go.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPRRj	This amenity serves the community. You cannot abuse your power to play monopoly with other people's neighbourhoods	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPRsH	I OBJECT	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPRuN	Regardless of the impact of accessibility regarding egress and ingress to the site, there will be huge implications for highway safety, lack of infrastructure and loss of amenities. I strongly object to this proposal.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPRvR	It is awful that yet another residential amenity is being demolished for more unaffordable housing. My residential area has been redeveloped to create so called "affordable" housing and when you look at the prices no tax paying hardworking UK citizen can afford it. The crime rate went through the roof since the redevelopment and majority of the flats are standing empty. How is this fixing the housing crisis?!?!	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPRvV	it will have a terrible impact on traffic. no proper tube station nearby (Finchley Central is too small)	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPRys	My family and I wish to continue to enjoy using the wonderful amenities of the leisure park	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPRyw	This area should be kept for the community and improve the services already on offer instead of deciding to demolish to make more unaffordable housing.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:41 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPRyz	<p>This is a well utilised Leisure Park for surrounding communities which our family have regularly used over many years. The housing proposed is yet again high density blocks which are not conducive to wellbeing and mental health and will have a huge environmental impact on the area.</p> <p>This combined with the loss of the current public amenities which are well placed and used, will fundamentally impact local residents and families.</p> <p>The proposed Lodge Lane siting of a bowling alley and cinema will not replace the existing site, as it will not be the same scale/size as the current well used facilities and will have insufficient parking.</p> <p>I would not travel to North Finchley on public transport to go bowling or to the Cinema as there are already many reported crime and safety issues in that area, however being able to safely utilise the existing facilities and park alongside them is a much better and safer option.</p> <p>This development should not go ahead at the expense of destroying facilities for existing local communities and resulting impacts on local wildlife and the environment.</p> <p>This is a place where young people also visit, where else are they supposed to go as the facilities for them have become less already over recent decades.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPShn	<p>Myself and the children go here bowling and use the restaurants. There is nothing local like this for our children to frequent by themselves as a meeting point, either as the children become teenagers. You take this away, you take away a big thing that our children enjoy. What a shame this is even thinking of happening.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPSho	<p>Although I don't think the Mayor gives a sh*t about these comments and the planning will likely go ahead anyway I think it's an absolute disgrace that the mayor will consider demolishing this place of leisure and community. This is a meeting point for friends, families, communities to meet and enjoy a bit of bowling, have a swim, watch a film and have a bite to eat. There is no where like this locally and I know people from all over north London that visit the leisure park. All the hundreds of people employed here will lose their jobs all because the Mayor has housing quota targets that he's desperate to fill at the sacrifice of so many people. It will be a desperately sad sad day for North London if this development goes ahead.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPSIN	<p>So sad because it is a lovely place and there arent very many anymore in London, to go out with the family to the cinema and for a meal. Please dont get rid of it for yet more housing.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPSJw	Loss of leisure facilities	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JP SLB	Loss of an important amenity for the community and is used by the surrounding areas.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPSmU	<p>It is beyond comprehension that this or any form of residential development is being considered on this site.</p> <p>Young people and families in the East Finchley/North Finchley/Finchley Central already have very few local amenities to allow them to socialise and enjoy recreational time together.</p> <p>This site in its current setting, brings people together to dine out, watch movies in the cinema, exercise, play in an arcade and go bowling. It is always busy, popular and vibrant and is the ultimate local hub. There is nothing like this in the local vicinity.</p> <p>To deprive such a large community of a vital recreational zone, and then replace it with yet more flats in an area already swarming with flats and depleting leisure areas is a shameful, illogical idea, that is not considering the needs of the current community.</p> <p>I'm implore you to reconsider any residential development on this area that is a vital for amenities and social interaction, especially in this post-Covid world. We need this to remain as it is, it's not preferred but essential.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPSmV	<p>Genuinely if this goes ahead, where is there to have leisure on days off? If this becomes flats there is a far limited selection for kids or adults birthdays, days out, dates for adults, or simply trying to enjoy life outside of work. The only option will become needing to travel into central London, where travel alone, never mind the extortionate prices for dining and activities, is a major deterrent. The more supply of leisure you reduce, the greater demand and pressure you put on leisure places in central London, who will obviously raise their prices tremendously knowing people have no choice. In the current political state where the government and mayor are already heavily criticised for pushing the public to need to work more and stay at work longer, actions like these exacerbate the loss of community but also the loss of social life for a lot of people. The decline in mental health, the reduction in social interactions in children outside of school, the loss of jobs and business availability in the area, the heightened noise and air pollution etc. will prove incredibly damaging for the community and the people you are meant to serve, that I am sure.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPSog	<p>This is a well-known leisure area in our community. Our hospitals, schools and facilities are stretch generally and this will only add to a worsening situation.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPStT	Too many proposed buildings & apartments will have detrimental impact on the area	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPStW	Will disrupt the locally community	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPStZ	<p>This is a well-known leisure area in our community. Our hospitals, schools and facilities are stretch generally and this will only add to a worsening situation.</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPStZi	<p>I am not a NIMBY and believe in building more affordable housing of varied sizes for singles couples and families but this proposed development is not going to be that - too dense, without sufficient local transport and not done sympathetically for pleasant affordable housing</p>	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:33 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPSZm	I believe this planning application is not to the optimum it could be to provide equal if not more amenities than it is taking away from the community. Plus it is too high a density which would put pressure on the local area. This planning application can be much improved and we should not accept the first iteration as it stands.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPSZn	This is too large a development for the area, not enough schools, doctors, buses access roads and will result in the loss of amenitie for locals. It is close to a wild life area and will result in loss of wildlife. High rise is not in keeping with the area and the properties planned are mostly 2 bed. There's an estate being built half a mile away also with 2 bed flats - also mostly not affordable - does Finchley need another 1200 flats!	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPT01	This will be a huge loss to our community we regularly go here to swim, eat and play. You are removing a safe place for our community to come together and enjoy quality time together. This is nothing more than selling out to big developers for money creating more over priced housing and will take away many jobs that our community need. Massively object	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPT02	Well used community area by all ages with good disabled access. Not enough schooling or health facilities spaces available locally to accommodate further housing Access to A1000 would cause too much congestion with the current cycle lane already causing unsafe exit	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPT03	The area has been trashed, we do not need more housing we need less people coming to this area. You can't put people on top of people, Think of people who already living in this area, there is a huge shortage of Doctors practices, Dentists, police are non-existent, but green spaces don't keep taking our grass and trees down, children, youth need facilities to play and enjoy life not be like a sardine in a can surrounded by high rise flats. This development needs to be stopped, scraped the Vue is full every day with families able to park and enjoy the facilities not crammed in at The Lodge Lane development absolutely ridiculous and developers just lining their pockets they don't live in the area, so trash it just like our Council are doing	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPT04	High-density housing is creating too much pressure on the area's limited infrastructure. Creation of an urban jungle. Concrete walls are harmful to biodiversity, the environment, and mental health. Loss of a space primary designated for community and togetherness.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPT05	I am deeply concerned about the continued overdevelopment in the Barnet area and the lack of basic infrastructure to support new families. Barnet already has extremely limited options for family activities such as cinemas, restaurants, bowling, and other child-friendly spaces. Schools are oversubscribed, GP surgeries and hospitals are overstretched, and access to healthcare is increasingly difficult. Despite this, large-scale housing developments continue to be approved without corresponding investment in essential services. There is also a severe shortage of green spaces, safe play areas for children, and adequate sunlight between buildings. Families need open areas to live healthy lives, not dense blocks of housing with no room to breathe. Parking is another major issue, making daily life unnecessarily stressful for residents. If this continues, Barnet risks becoming overcrowded and unliveable, similar to some of the most overdeveloped parts of East London. The key question remains unanswered: where are new families supposed to go, and how are they expected to live well? Why is there such resistance to developing new townships outside already overcrowded London boroughs? Spreading development more evenly would reduce pressure on infrastructure and improve quality of life for everyone. If politicians truly believe this level of density is acceptable, they should be willing to accommodate such development next to their own homes. Local communities should not be the only ones bearing the consequences of poor planning decisions. I urge you to reconsider current planning priorities and ensure that infrastructure, green space, healthcare, education, and quality of life are treated as essentials, not afterthoughts.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPT81	I object to this planning application. I went there twice last weekend (Jan 2026) with my family, once during my the day and once in the evening and at both times it was very busy, the car park was full, the restaurants were full and the bowling and the cinema appeared to be very busy. There is no where else like this within a few miles and removing it from our community will remove the income it generates for the local area.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPT9I	I have objection on it	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPTck	This is the closest leisure centre for a lot of locals. The loss of swimming poolwill affect a lot of families	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPTcn	This will be a massive loss for the area of the only cinema and bowling complex for miles. It is used by young and old alike as are the restaurants around it. There is a bough development in Barnet that we do not need to remove a well used amenity to build tower blocks. This housing estate will not be in keeping with the area and should not be built here!	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPTHj	It's important for the community we keep it as it is!	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:17 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPThK	Against new plans. We often visit area as a family.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPThI	Facilities are much loved by local families and those who travel far to visit. Substituting this for a much smaller one within the town centre will be insufficient for this population size. Housing proposed is overbearing in size, significant in additional population density and local services would not be able to sustain this. It is already difficult to get a gp appointment and impossible to find a NHS dentist. Nursery waiting lists are enormous. There are no additional schools or medical or dental facilities proposed. Glebelands is home to the crested newt which is endangered and this will significantly impact its habitat and the biodiversity in the area which already suffers from a lack of usable green space. Great north leisure park should be refurbished with high quality leisure facilities to attract investment into North Finchley. It does not need more flats.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPThm	This is the nearest place for families in their community to spend valuable family time. Children especially teenagers need somewhere to meet friend helping them gain independence which is close to home in their own community which is safer.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPTJ4	Barnet is being progressively overdeveloped. All amenities are being converted to blocks of flats. This leaves increasing population with no amenities. Currently this area is the only bowling alley and the only large cinema for miles around.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPTJ6	Please don't destroy this area which is used by so many local families and young people. Finchley is already too overdeveloped.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPTNT	Loss of my favourite leisure site for cinema and restaurants which provides social atmosphere and a great meeting place. Not many like this left in this area in North London.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPTRM	This is one of the few local places of entertainment left for those of us who don't appreciate all the betting and vape shops as well as the intimidating men only 'cafes". Where will the local children go to enjoy themselves? Even more people being cammed in and no new facilities such as hospitals being provided. Barnet is already grim with awful facilities, and this will make it worse.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPTR0	I object strongly to this proposal. The site has good local amenities - a cinema, bowling, lido, restaurants all of which benefit the community. Young people have very few activities which take them off the streets and here the Council are proposing to remove a few more. We here all the time about sedentary lifestyle and trying to get children off phones and yet the Council and Mayor are happy to sacrifice this space with community facilities and activities all of which are non phone based. Double standards or what. It is also a space for intergenerational get togethers and all of these benefits would be lost if this space was built on. To say nothing of the current infrastructure which supports the existing population but has no capacity for additional.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPU59	I visit on a weekly basis to visit the restaurants and cinema as there is not much else in the area for entertainment	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPUgP	the facilities here are an asset to the local community. There are no other affordable cinemas for local families and the fitness facilities there are of huge important to those on lower incomes.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPUK4	Over devolpment Too high Insufficient public transport	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPULu	I strongly object to the proposed redevelopment of Great North Leisure Park. This site currently provides well-used leisure facilities that serve a wide cross-section of the community, including families with disabled children who depend on accessible, predictable and safely designed environments. The bowling alley, cinema and lido offer a rare combination of level access, parking provision and proximity of amenities that make participation possible for disabled users. The loss of these facilities would create a clear accessibility gap that cannot be mitigated elsewhere locally. The proposal raises serious concerns regarding overdevelopment, building height and its impact on the surrounding townscape. The scale of the scheme appears excessive in relation to neighbouring areas and risks creating an overbearing environment that diminishes residential amenity. In addition, increased density will inevitably place further pressure on transport, parking and local infrastructure, while also reducing available open and community-focused space. Under the Public Sector Equality Duty, planning decisions must actively consider the disproportionate impact on disabled residents. Removing established, accessible leisure provision undermines equality of opportunity and social inclusion. This development prioritises intensive land use over community need. Once these facilities are lost, the social and accessibility value they provide will be permanently removed. For these reasons, I urge the Mayor to refuse this application and to protect Great North Leisure Park as an important community and inclusive leisure asset.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:06 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPVHG	This is such a loss to our local amenity and entertainment for our children. Another less activity or place to go with our children. Where they supposed to play and meet their friends. There is nothing such locally. Please stop the build .	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPVt6	Object to the size and lack of amenities. There will be a great loss to local people of such a great facilities available. Used by many.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPVt8	We regularly use the cinema here. Where are the schools,medical centres, and other infrastructure? They don't exist!	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPVtS	Stop replacing our local lives!	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPVtI	This is the only leisure park for miles where families can eat, go bowling, swimming etc. Without this there will be nowhere local for our community to hang out and enjoy themselves. I strongly object to this being knocked down to build yet more housing	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPVtU	It will be a huge demand on local services such as schools and GPs. The lost of the cinema, bowling and restaurants will affect the local community.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPVVS	You only need to visit the area for five minutes to see how crazy it would be to ram in all these tower blocks into an area with no services, one poor bus and have this ugly development loom over the last green space near that part of the north circular. Ramming Glasgow with tower blocks didn't work and they were the envy of everyone in their design and utilities - they were not built as the eyesores they became but the undoing was the total Lack of local facilities (our sewage btw is a nightmare here) and anything for a community to coalesce around was the undoing and you will be repeating this here with something that will fast become an antisocial slum.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPVxN	Travel on tubes and buses in the area is already crowded, the transport system wouldn't cope	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPVxO	Where are all these people supposed to go in their leisure time. Build on the b & Q site you have already ruined. We don't want this development	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPWaS	The leisure park is an integral part of London serving a wide community for decades for a range of activities. It needs to stay	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPWaZ	This retail park is popular and used all year round. The loss of jobs and employment in this economic climate is unacceptable as well as the loss of public services and amenities is pointless. This is an over development for this site and is not required.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPWgY	This is a family hub. I come here with my family to use the facilities. The cinema, the bowling and arcade. The swimming pool. The restaurants. It's also a safe place for teenagers to hang out and enjoy the amenities. It is not fair that you are taking this away to create more flats. We do not need more people crowded. We need more venues where children and young people can hang out safely. It's is just so selfish to not listen to local people.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPWi8	Objection	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPWi9	I strongly object to this application and recommend that the plans be rejected. The proposed scale is completely out of character with the wider area. Most concerning is the loss of thriving, well-used facilities without adequate reprovision. These spaces are vital for quality of life, social cohesion and providing youth with a necessary outlet to meet face-to-face in a largely digital/social media era. The borough is already bursting at the seams, yet this massive development lacks commensurate improvements to transport and social infrastructure. Furthermore, the provision of affordable housing is inadequate and a missed opportunity. Finally, I have serious concerns regarding the environmental impact and the threat to protected species.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPWiB	Losing one of the few Cinemas and bowling alleys which provide entertainment for so many teenagers and young adults will only lead to more of these age groups wandering the streets and frustrated, bored antisocial behaviour. Any redevelopment should still provide attractive entertainment facilities for these groups.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPWNS	Please don't demolish this landmark site, it provides so much positivity for the local community, especially kids and those with families. I have been visiting the complex since I was a child and now I take my baby there too. There is so much to do, and it provides many jobs and revenue. If would be a terrible loss to demolish the Hollywood bowl Finchley leisure complex.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPWPE	Where do people go to enjoy themselves locally. Thos is fundamental place of enjoyment for local families.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPWPF	Taking away the food and leisure facilities is awful, there is nothing else nearby.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPWsQ	We call on the Mayor of London and the Government to reject this disastrous scheme and demand a new plan that protects family leisure facilities with easy access , a popular Lido with Fitness facilities for all ages abilities and ethnicities , preserves nature and delivers truly affordable housing." and ticked the appropriate boxes also, because the site is closed for objections .	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPWsR	Object to getting rid of a key part of Finchley that has been there years/generations. Local people are able to take their kids to somewhere they grew up going vue or bowling and going somewhere local to eat. Also getting rid of hundreds of jobs unnecessarily	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPWv6	21st century high rises are not the solution to anything!	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPWv7	I wish to object to the proposed housing development on the leisure centre site. This facility provides essential recreational and health benefits to the local community, and its loss would significantly reduce access to much-needed leisure space. I to refuse this application in the interests of community wellbeing and sustainable development.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPWx6	Not happy	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:32 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPWx7	Loss of these amenities would be a loss to the community and the borough as a whole. In comparison to neighbouring London boroughs (Haringey, Islington, Hackney), Barnet is massively lacking in social assets and to remove the last commercial, affordable cinema for example for another block of flats which will be unlikely to have much of an impact on the social housing crisis is an awful decision.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPWxB	Wholeheartedly object. The community in general is heavily against this for a slew of very valid reasons.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPXCI	This is the only leisure area in this part of London and is very busy. This will have a huge impact on local people, especially young people, leaving them with no where to go and increase other issues locally as a result.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPXCm	I object as it will impact on local leisure choices	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPXCn	Given that there are going to be almost 1500 residential dwellings, with possibly up to 2500 extra people living there, has any thought been given to the increased pressure on the local healthcare, transport, retail and education facilities?	Not set	1/17/2026 6:05:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPXdw	This is a great area hosting a swimming pool, indoor bowling, cinema and restaurants, all in one place. It is well used and needed for recreational use. Please don't shut it down.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPXdx	An utterly appalling idea - why take away one of the very few places in the area with social interaction and replace it with a ridiculous number of flats in an area where it's barely possible to drive or park anyway? Is this a weird dystopian experiment to see how much denser populated we can make it without providing any social space (cinema, restaurants etc)? I'm sure there's a famous experiment with rats that tried this. The results were not encouraging.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:22 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPXe3	Such a large development will massively impact the area. The sheer amount of increase of people in the area will put stress on the local infrastructure, including the tube which is already packed with people at Finchley Road. Bus stops already have long queues of people waiting for busses. So many people in a relatively small area will be noisy and be over crowded as a result.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPXe7	The buildings are far too high!	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPXe8	I live locally to this proposed development and can only see it as detrimental to the area. The north circular road and other main routes in this area are already heavily congested. What about schools, GP surgeries and hospitals? I strongly opposed this plan.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPXEL	Strongly object to what is a lovely place for the community	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPXih	Tower blocks are proven to be detrimental to people's mental health. Studies suggest tower blocks can negatively affect mental health due to factors like social isolation, fear of crime, stigma, and lack of connection to nature, leading to higher rates of stress, anxiety, depression, and poorer child development, though location, building quality, and residents' socioeconomic status play significant roles.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:11 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPXMq	GNLP is a vital community asset, providing accessible leisure, social space, and employment for local residents through its cinema, bowling, and restaurants. Crucially, it offers a safe, affordable place for children and young people to spend time, socialise, and simply be kids. In an area with limited alternatives, spaces like GNLP play an important role in keeping young people engaged, active, and out of trouble. The loss of this site would significantly reduce inclusive recreational facilities for families and young people, particularly those who cannot easily travel elsewhere. Once removed, this kind of community infrastructure is rarely replaced. The scale and nature of the proposed redevelopment is disproportionate to its surroundings and would fundamentally alter the character of the area. Local councillors, who best understand the needs and priorities of the community, have already considered these impacts and rejected the application. Overriding this decision would undermine local democratic planning processes and public trust. At a time when London is facing a shortage of community spaces and increasing concerns about youth disengagement and overdevelopment, this proposal prioritises density and profit over wellbeing, social value, and long-term sustainability. I respectfully ask that you uphold the council's refusal, listen to the strength of local opposition, and protect GNLP as a valued leisure and community destination for current and future generations.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPXMs	This area has been a community centre for many years. There are precious few places for young people to hang out and it would be a travesty for this one to go. High rise buildings bring more of a 'concrete jungle' vibe to this very used space. We have the bowling alley, the swimming pool, Nandos and McDonalds. Much needed Social spaces for young people. A very short sighted project, possibly storing up social discontent with its inevitable consequences from the young.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPXol	We cannot claim to care about young people's wellbeing if we replace the only decent facility for them to socially spend time in (without resorting to anti social behaviour) with bland and unnecessary for-profit housing.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPXOJ	Loss of important local amenities - cinema, bowling etc	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:33 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPXPd	There are too many high rise buildings going up in the local area. We want to keep Greater London the way it is. Children have the right to a safe environment- building more and more only causes major negative impact on the infrastructure. We do not have enough local services such as hospitals, dentists GPs etc. to cater for all the people. It's not only about money, people's welfare should be taken into consideration. Hospitals in the area are already at a breaking point, getting more and more people into areas will only have a negative impact on the environment and local services	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPXPT	Please do not remove the only area for a five mile radius for our children to have somewhere to go for entertainment. In this country especially in winter we need area where children can go by bus or walking or cycling for entertainment rather than sit at home on social media. We need this to prevent serious depression and maintain social activity. Exercise in the swimming pool entertainment in the cinema somewhere to go and eat. Area to take our children for parties. [REDACTED] and I use this area for entertainment often and as they grow older they can use this area independently. If you build housing here then they have nowhere to go!!!!!! I object vehemently. Stop it mayor khan!!!!	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPXPU	As mentioned by so many others, this scheme is totally out of scale to the available supporting infrastructure, which hardly copes with the current volume of traffic and population at the best of times. It has been conceived without any regard to the existing community and Barnet council has scandalously allowed a much loved, valued and highly successful amenity, to be designated as a brown field site, in order for this development proposal to proceed. GNLP is NOT a brown field site. It is a thriving success, not a derelict, unused space. It's clear to see that Barnet council is purely driven by greed, and the desire to maximise future Council Tax revenues. I appreciate the continued need for housing, but it should not be at the expense of cherished community amenities.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:11 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPXrV	There are way better places for this development. GNP is fine as it is. This will cause chaos on that junction and bring delays to East Finchley. This was rejected at council level. A vote lost for the major.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPXrW	I strongly object to the proposed redevelopment of Great North Leisure Park. This development would result in the permanent loss of vital community amenities including the bowling alley, cinema and lido — facilities that are heavily relied upon by families, particularly those with disabled children. [REDACTED] accessibility is not optional. This site currently provides level access, adequate parking, nearby food facilities and a familiar environment that allows disabled children to participate safely and meaningfully in leisure activities. The loss of these amenities would significantly reduce equality of access and disproportionately impact disabled residents. Under the Equality Act 2010 and the Public Sector Equality Duty, decision-makers must have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination and advance equality of opportunity for disabled people. The removal of one of the area's most accessible leisure sites directly conflicts with these obligations. The scale and height of the proposed buildings would fundamentally alter the local townscape, creating an over-dominant development that is out of keeping with the surrounding area. Increased density, traffic and environmental pressure would further reduce residential amenity for existing communities. This proposal prioritises intensive redevelopment at the expense of community wellbeing, accessibility and inclusive public space. Once these facilities are lost, they cannot be replaced elsewhere in any meaningful or equivalent way. I urge the Mayor to give significant weight to the cumulative impacts of this development — particularly on disabled children and families — and to reject the proposal in its current form.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPXwQ	Pleased for children to go	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPXwR	This area provides a safe, friendly, social hub for the community, for adults of all ages and families. There is no where similar in the area.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPXwS	The Great North leisure park adds far more value to the community than an apartment block. It is a space for all age ranges to socialise, to maintain their health & wellbeing.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPY11	The current site is at the heart of the community. A place for people of all ages to socialise. It's full to brim especially on weekends and will be a significant loss to the fabric of the local society. Huge objections to this proposition.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPY3h	Myself and my family have been visiting this retail park for many years. It is the ONLY local space we have for our youth to visit [REDACTED] and it's so lovely to go there for bowling, cinema a bite to eat or use the pool . We can park there without worry and can literally spend hours there. It would be a great shame to lose this place as where else can local families go? We literally have nothing else like this around us. This is a great place to keep , keeps our kids and youths entertained and off the streets . Surely that in itself with all the crime in London must account for something? Please do not knock it down !	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPY5h	I strongly oppose this overdevelopment and loss of amenities that exist on this site. This will overwhelm an already busy and congested part of London/ Enfield and the A10 causing increased pollution	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPY5j	I dint agree with proposal. Sounds like someone somewhere has been bribed! No other logical explanation!!	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:31 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPY5k	This is a terrible development- there is no social /affordable housing; the local infrastructure will be overloaded(public transport) and things like doctor provisions. We also lose major local amenities- cinema, bowling, restaurants and really important public leisure centre. This is not providing the type of housing we need desperately (affordable to local people/families who cannot afford to buy 1 bed flats at a £600000 and £1m apartments). These will be snapped up by wealthy investors for letting at rents local people cannot afford. It is wrong on so many levels!	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPYaA	I totally object to this!!!!	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPYaB	This proposed development is completely out of character with the surrounding area. It reduces current community facilities, reduces biodiversity of the site and its surroundings, is far too densely developed in relation to it surrounding, will significantly increase traffic in a currently congested area, and not contribute sufficiently to affordable housing needs.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPYf0	This is the ideally appropriate and suited for families with children seniors and has easy to access generally place where everyone come meet up socially great place	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPYf1	That's the only space for families living in the area to have a great day out with plenty of options. I object to demolition.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPYf4	I object to this.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPYkH	over the years always come to the leisure centre . It's the only local place to come to see films and have a meal . We , the locals need this centre so that we can park easily and socialise . Please don't deny us this space and pleasure . and it's so easy for me with the kids and grandchildren whom also value this place . PLEASE , PLEASE , leave us to still enjoy the amenities here ,	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPYkI	Dear Sir or Madam, I am writing to formally object to the GNLP Finchley planning application which proposes the demolition of the existing cinema, restaurants, swimming pool, arcade, bowling facilities and associated local amenities. This development would result in the permanent loss of vital community infrastructure that serves residents of all ages, particularly families and children. Facilities such as the cinema, swimming pool, arcade and bowling alley provide accessible, safe, and affordable leisure activities that are increasingly scarce in London suburbs. Their removal would significantly reduce opportunities for social interaction, physical activity, and local recreation. The proposal appears to prioritise redevelopment at the expense of community wellbeing. Once these amenities are lost, they are highly unlikely to be replaced, and the area risks becoming a dormitory neighbourhood with limited social or cultural life. This runs counter to the principles of sustainable development, which require planning decisions to balance housing needs with social infrastructure and quality of life. The swimming pool and bowling facilities are particularly important for children and young people, offering healthy alternatives to screen-based entertainment and supporting physical and mental wellbeing. Their loss would disproportionately affect families and those who cannot easily travel elsewhere for such facilities. In addition, the existing cinema and restaurants contribute to the local economy, support employment, and help maintain a vibrant town centre. Removing them risks reducing footfall, weakening nearby businesses, and undermining the character of Finchley as a community hub. I respectfully urge the planning authority to reject this application or, at a minimum, require the retention or meaningful replacement of these facilities within any future development. Protecting established community amenities should be a priority in planning decisions, especially in areas with growing populations and young families. Thank you for considering my objection. Yours faithfully, █	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPYkJ	I use the Sainsbury's. No supermarket remotely similar in Camden. Are Public toilets to be replaced? Use the area frequently and use Finchley Road often where WCs no longer open. Also go to the Vue. Will be used even more frequently now that Camden Odeon is closing. Not a car owner so easy access to supermarket/cinema using overground. Not in principle adverse to more housing if all affordable and amenities included.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPYkK	Over development. Buildings too high. Close to busy road (air pollution). Loss of community amenities. Danger to new population. Minimal public transport.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPYkN	█ this is one of the only places I can drive to to secure parking and get out the house. please do not go ahead with this it is going to cause so much congestion and lose a lot of local amenities for people like me.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPYks	We need leisure facilities as there are not many options available. The cinema is great as are the surrounding restaurants. There is a sense of community which you are taking away.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:38 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPYq9	There are plenty of houses/residential spaces in the London borough of Barnet. Can we retain some of it's nice amenities? A cinema/restaurant are assets for the local area. I know it is the political desire to cram as many people into this city as possible and pave over every possible brownfield site to achieve this, but actively taking away the local areas leisure activities is a terrible use of this space. If they are going to repurpose this land, can it just be for anything but housing? Even a park would be a better use of this space? We need *some* nice things in this area.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPYqA	Will disrupt the locally community	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPYqC	All the facilities here are constantly used by the whole if Barnet and provide a very sociable place for uoj g and old. Shame on Barnet and the Mayor if they agree to this plan	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPYtF	This is the only leisure park for those who live in this area, every family I know uses its amenities, cinema, bowling, restaurants and it adds enormously to availability for family activities in North London. Why you would want to demolish these for flats leaving the neighbourhood without enough amenities I cannot fathom. Build flat in places that are much less frequented or unused. Not in the communities favourites areas.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPYtG	Over density is my main concern. 1. An additional 1485 dwellings with up to 25 storeys is madness! That's probably c. 3000 more residents. 3,000 more people crammed in, using the existing bus stop, doctors, schools, etc. it's just too many people. 2. A height of 25 storeys is simply too high for the area. 3. The cinema and bowling and restaurants are always busy. If they close where will people go for their leisure activities? There are already too many people/yourhs hanging around with nothing to do. Removing the facilities will make it worse. And it will take loved amenities away from families. 4. The A1000 and A406 and other Finchley roads nearby are already often gridlocked. Circa 3000 more residents will make it worse. Especially with those stupid severely underused cycle lanes on the A1000 taking up half the road, causing unnecessary traffic jams.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPYtH	THERES NO OTHER LEISURE CENTRE'S, DON'T BUILD MORE APARTMENTS, JUST MAKE HOUSING CHEAPER	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:22 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPYy0	There are too many flats being built in harrow the local amenities cannot cope with the number of people that currently live here. We don't need more unsightly building.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPYy1	It has valuable leisure facilities for local families and children to use.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPYy4	More and more areas for leisure are being sold for redevelopment and communities are losing so much infrastructure. We need more facilities not less. Life is stressful enough for most and to have yet another entertainment area being taken away from the local community is just heartbreaking.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPZEW	Not enough infrastructure (schools, doctors) to handle this and loss of recreational facilities for families.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPZEX	The council and local residents have already rejected this scheme. Rowans bowling alley is a much loved feature of Finsbury Park, and an important part of my children's childhood. The scheme will impinge on the park itself. We need more green spaces and protected natural landscapes, not one that is overlooked by a massive development.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPZG8	Strongly object to this monstrous development.	Object	1/17/2026 6:07:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPZHq	Please do not demolish the site as it has a very popular sports centre and is easy to get to by lots of elderly people and there is good parking.	Support	1/17/2026 6:04:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPZHh	This site is a valuable and much loved place for everyone who uses it	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPZHw	I am very against this as there is supporting infrastructure in place ie schools and gp surgeries. Also all the above stated reasons	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPZMb	We use this space as a family at weekend a lot and would be a great loss to lose if	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPZMc	How are the new facilities going to cope with the current number people and the extra 1000+, it's already busy on the site which shows it is well used. This is the only local cinema left in the area. All the others from my childhood have been redeveloped. My children can get a bus to this cinema and gym and meet their friends in a relatively safe place. If this is turned into mainly flats, where will my children go? I'm am completely opposed to this development.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPZMY	I am concerned that this development will rob the young people of Finchley with one of its few leisure amenities and give them no where to go. There is currently a swimming pool, a bowling alley, a cinema and affordable restaurants on this site. This development looks identical to one that is cross the road on Leisure Way that is currently unsold.	Object	1/17/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPZN0	We need to keep the entertainment that it provides. Cinema, bowling, arcade, pool tables etc. it's frequented by many families.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPZN2	Hi, we use this centre all the time. There are so few amenities in the area, this provides something for teens to do. Removing all of these amenities leaves a great gaping hole for what people can do for entertainment.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPZSj	This is the only leisure centre in the vicinity. If the planning permission is for creating an even better and bigger leisure centre that is fine. But this ongoing new development in the area is already taking toil on the residents. Imagine the pressure on schools and health centres with this monstrous 1485 new flats. Simply unacceptable.	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:16 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JPZSk	Why I object - The Great North Leisure park is well loved and used by the local community, particularly the youth, who let's be honest, seem to be an afterthought! As a parent I value this space because it's the only public space I feel safe to drop my kids off, where they can meet friends, go to the council leisure centre, bowling or cinema and have some food. London children have so few opportunities to experience independence in a safe way. We would really miss this if it were taken a way. That said, of course it can be improved but the proposed development does not represent this	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPZuf	This is such a popular and heavily used facility in the area especially as entertainment and dining options in the area. We cannot lose the retail park	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:11 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPZVH	We use this facility regularly [REDACTED] There's nowhere else nearby (anything else would be ~30 minute drive away). If this goes, my family and so many others will lose out on access to facilities like these which are commonplace outside of London. If it goes it'll be harder to get to bowling and the cinema than my friends outside of London. It's mind boggling. Also if you go here regularly, you'll see it's packed on the weekends and the car park is full. It's well used and shouldn't be gotten rid of. It's one of the only places you can reliably park at in the vicinity (we don't have other entertainment parks or retail parks with restaurants nearby).	Object	1/17/2026 6:05:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JPZVI	Absolutely ridiculous proposition. Increase air pollution, increased noise pollution and vast amounts of congestion. The Lido and the Leisure Park should not be removed, updated/modernised yes, but no to replacing it with new build flats. The Lido and Leisure park an essential part of the local community and many many many local residents use both regularly and also add a great deal to the local economy - do not want more soulless, empty and expensive new build flats. A strong no, this proposition should NOT be approved a STRONG NO.	Object	1/17/2026 6:06:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JStxC	The height of the proposed buildings is too high, and will change the character of the area. Loss of local leisure amenities. Potential issues such as traffic due to over density.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JSzJb	I was born in [REDACTED]	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JSzJc	High rises are out of touch with local area and local area already has lack of amenities such as buses and doctor surgeries. Plans are too ambitious for the area and size of land. Developers should look to re-design and work with local groups.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JSzJX	Strongly object to this proposal.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JT6f8	Removal of amenities for high rise over crowded cheap housing ,increasing traffic and not supported by enough schools doctors or public transport	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTazg	The VUE cinema and surrounding restaurants are favourite places to visit fir local families. A new resi development won't benefit the local community in any way but cause the liss of facilities, extra traffic and change the feel of Finchley.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTbtH	Don't support to build	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTbtI	Why replace the units that work and so many people visit and enjoy. Cinema, bowling, eating, swimming... isn't that what everyone needs. Time out in a safe environment.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTc49	Social and community space is much needed. The site is very ideal. Hardly anybody sees this proposed development as a good idea. Please do not go ahead with it.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTc4A	The leisure park is an important community resource, one that I have used for over 25 years since I was a child and that I still use now with my young family. We use the cinema and bowling alley and pool for leisure/family time and also parties, as do many of my children's friends. The swimming pool is an important local resource that also needs to be kept. There are far too few of these available. The local roads and infrastructure (schools/doctors/public transport etc)cannot cope with demands more housing here would put on them.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTERa	Our young people need this Leisure area for safe socialising with their peers. It's local and easy to travel to and from, without it they will have no where to go, which can lead to antisocial behaviour and as a parent I will worry what will happen if they're stuck inside all evening on screens to keep them safe from just wandering the steets, especially in the winter months when the parksare shut early. There are no youth clubs anymore and they're too young to join gyms and afford expensive places like David Lloyd. The bowling/ arcades and cinema's are all very affordable as is the swimming pool and restaurants. I can't imagine where they could possibly meet up with friends and maintain a healthy active life without these amenities.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTERi	The development of flats in the GNLP would be a big mistake. I grew up attending this area and still regularly visit. The space is not big enough to support so many residents living in such close proximity. Not only that but the area does not have any major transport links for potential residents aside from one bus route and a tube station quite far away. The removal of the entertainment venues, including Hollywood Bowl and Vue Cinema, would be a tremendous loss to the local area as no other such area exists in this part of London. It would also result in a great loss of local jobs and would adversely affect the local environment, including local wildlife in the Glebelands Nature Reserve. Please do not go through with this proposed development	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTERI	More people moving into the area, but they is no more schools, dr or dentist being built. We don't need this to be built on this land.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTeSw	Another overdeveloped property for Barnet adding more flats and high rises when not needed which will create a lot more traffic around the area especially when you take into account the new development in N12 (former Homebase site) The Great North Leisure park is always busy so will be a big loss to the area as North Finchley keeps getting new housing built replacing all the existing amenities. Crazy.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:00 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JTeSx	Local infrastructure is already overstretched. To have this many additional homes without increasing amenities such as GP surgeries/dentists isn't practical. The 263 bus isn't frequent enough to add so many additional homes to the route to East Finchley station. Not to mention the loss of the leisure centre & cinema which will be a big blow to the community.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTeSy	The area is already overcrowded and poor in social care, extremely limited parking and traffic movement is already very slow and congested.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTeT4	It would be a great shame to lose such a brilliant hub for the families and local people in the area.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTeT5	I know myself, my children, and many other people that use these facilities. It's nice to have so much choice in one space and it will be terrible to see this all close and turned into more housing.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTeT6	<p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4times more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants with no guaranteed replacement 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land (Glebelands) 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>My now grown up children spent many happy hours here with their friends at the cinema, swimming or bowling. It was always a brilliant place for them to have birthday parties in safe surroundings with easy access for parents to pick up and drop off. It is always super-busy proving the point that it is a necessary asset to the community.</p> <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:09:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTEVQ	Loss of a great activity/leisure center for families and people living in the area	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTf4E	<p>We are a family with 3 children and losing the leisure park would significantly impact our lives and those of so many residents. It provides (relatively low-cost) activities for families, adults, children and teenagers alike. When online activities are becoming more and more pervasive, we need places to go to connect with others in person.</p> <p>I worry about the impact on young people in the area and where they will be able to go. Whilst I support housing projects in general I cannot see the reasoning behind placing this here where it would take away existing well used amenities.</p> <p>I also am concerned at the introducing so much housing with little concern for parking and traffic which is already a nightmare at certain times of the day.</p> <p>I strongly object to this development.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTfp2	I object, rather than build flats, keep this wonderful place for people to visit and meet and enjoy	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTfp5	It's very important that we have affordable swimming pool and gym and cinema, the other are far away and the David Loyds is so expensive We need to keep this space for common people. It's not right to be taking it away from general public use .	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTg0C	You would be taking away an important means of entertainment in the area & complexes like these are closing down everywhere.... Where are kids meant to go ?	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTg0D	<p>The amenities at Great North Leisure Park serve a large area from N2 to the South to N12/N20 to the North and N3 to the West. This land was formerly public property but was sold by a previous Tory council. Losing the cinema, bowling alley, swimming pool and various eateries would severely restrict leisure opportunities for families and children. This location is easy to access on door and by bus so is ideal for young adults.</p> <p>The housing development adds nothing of value to the people of Barnet. Our council have rejected this proposal after many consultations and the feedback from residents has consistently been against this development. Please respect our environment and our wishes. Thank you</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:21 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTgmc	Anyone who has ever tried to use the roads who know instantly that this development will be a disaster. So many of us use the leisure facilities such as swimming, bowling, cinema and great restaurants regularly and their loss would have a severe impact on mental health.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTgmd	Not enough infrastructure in place to support this development which will impact on the local area.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:32 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JTifr	I worried parking situation will be getting worse with new developments. As a resident in North Finchley, we need this leisure park for entertainment purposes.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTj1U	Hello Mayor of London. I fully accept we need house (council/ affordable) however what is being proposed here is awful on the environment, the height and the density will affect our already stressed NHS /LA .. do we believe that this type of housing will be good for people's mental health and physical health in the long run? North Finchley has lost its youth services are you going to take the cinema and bowling Ally as well reduce the size of the swimming pool .. please think again	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTjB3	This is a very much used area by all members of my family. We regularly visit for the cinema and meals as well as visits to the swimming pool and bowling. My teenage daughter often gets the bus there to have time out with friends. There are no such areas locally that can be used in the same way instead.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTjB5	The current setup is vital to my family, especially for the kids as they frequent it with their friends or as part of school groups. Removing this amenity will be a great disservice to the community.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTjB9	This entertainment park would be a great loss to Barnet residents.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTICM	This is one of the very last areas where young people and families can meet and have fun. Children and teens have birthday parties and meet outside school at the bowling and/or to watch a movie. Taking this area away from them, will put even more people on the street without any safe place to meet.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTICN	.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTICO	If this goes ahead then we lose a place where our children can find entertainment keeping them off streets	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTICP	Totally object to this monstrous development..ruining the area...	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTjB	Has disaster written all over it	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTjD	The high rising, high density buildings will bring in a huge amount of traffic, pollution and noise. There is no plan for adding and improving public transport or cycling links. There is no plan for adding health (GP) and education facilities. The plan to move the leisure centre to lodge lane was abandoned so overall this project will have a negative impact on local residents, on wildlife at the nearby Glebe lands open space, on traffic congestion, road safety and general quality of life for people in the area. An alternative plan must be proposed. They can take some of the parking space at the back and turn it into a small estate with 2-3 low rising blocks and likewise, build a low rising block on top of the line of restaurants. The existing access junction must be replaced by either a roundabout or a 3-way lights system.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTjQt	This is an overdevelopment and my family prefers to keep the leisure centre.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTnai	I use this facility bowling with age UK and it is extremely busy. There are also groups of teenagers and young people who are downs syndrome that use regularly, surely this shouldn't be taken away from local use. The cinema is also well used.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTnak	Much used commercial space, rich history and contributes immensely to the area.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTnlm	We need to keep this as a leisure facility as it's well loved and used . We don't need more unaffordable flats . There won't be enough infrastructure to support such a massive development schools, doctors etc . The impact on traffic too. It's a terrible and will be devastating for the community!!!	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTnlp	What we don't need is more homes and less amenities. Totally against this plan.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTnls	Poor quality housing which will never be considered nor treated as long-term homes. Will result in temporary residence - mainly tenants - with constant movement of people moving in and out. This will mean flytipping and anti social behaviour due to little feeling as a community, connection and respect to the area. A good lively leisure and sports estate offering variety of facilities will bring in more community including from neighbouring areas to enjoy together. Its location off the North Circular will make this possible.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTtoct	This park has been a well used place for local and other social meeting places for over 30 years and its loss would be a very big loss to a large amount of people locally and in North London	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTocu	No more road infrastructure. Area is already heavily congested and needs parking facilities.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTod1	My children use the lido for swimming lessons. We use the cinema, arcades and restaurants regularly.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTq62	High road is already at a chokehold most days, this will increase traffic with no modifications to assist that	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:22 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTqdS	The leisure park has provided a family friendly range of activities for generations- my own children (now grown) included. Alongside the pool and family friendly restaurants with accessible parking, the food bank provides assistance for those in need and a community focus for those wishing to contribute.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:39 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JTqdV	<p>I strongly object to the proposed redevelopment of Great Leisure Park, North Finchley.</p> <p>This development would result in the permanent loss of a well-used, accessible community leisure destination that currently provides cinema, bowling, restaurants, local employment, and a safe social space for families, young people, and older residents alike. The existing complex plays a vital role in North Finchley's evening economy and community life, particularly in an area already under pressure from congestion, overdevelopment, and strain on infrastructure.</p> <p>The proposal represents an excessive and inappropriate scale of development that is entirely out of keeping with the surrounding area. It would significantly increase traffic, parking stress, noise, and pressure on local services without delivering equivalent or meaningful public benefit. The design and density prioritise developer profit over community wellbeing and local character.</p> <p>Crucially, this scheme has already been roundly rejected at council level, reflecting the clearly expressed will of local residents and elected representatives who understand the area best. An executive override by the Mayor would undermine local democracy and public trust in the planning system.</p> <p>There has been no convincing justification for the loss of established leisure facilities, nor any credible replacement that meets the same social, cultural, and economic needs. Once demolished, this community asset will be lost forever.</p> <p>I therefore urge the Mayor to respect the council's decision, listen to local residents, and reject this proposal in full.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTQFX	Objection	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTqNb	No obvious benefits for the local community	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTqNY	I object to this planning. We love the local amenities currently on offer and don't want to see them go. Also building more housing so far from local transport links doesn't make sense.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTQtb	Terrible and worst idea .. Finchley needs Leisure space and less housing ..	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTrec	This is the only family and friends space left in this area and surrounding areas. It's a community place for everyone to enjoy. Leisure community is as important as housing. We can't just keep building houses with no quality of life enjoyment of places for people to come together. This will drive people out of the area socially. I am highly against this proposal.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTred	The bowling and cinema complex is a hub for local family entertainment. Removing it will significantly reduce options for young teens and families especially during the winter and colder months. Building more housing and increasing the dense population will put even more pressure on local schools, healthcare services etc. more traffic on the roads and a removal of parking.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTrQN	This is such a valuable and loved resource for us local people. It is so popular and well used - please don't take this away from us. I have only come across this by accident and I'm sure many people are not aware of the plan to demolish - and are going to be completely horrified.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTrrH	The current facility works as it is. Anything bigger I won't use, as would find overwhelming.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTTrUq	This proposal represents over-density, loss of residential amenity, and loss of an important local leisure asset. Replacing a cinema, restaurants, and bowling alley with 1,500 flats will increase traffic, parking pressure, noise, and harm the local townscape without adequate infrastructure.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTTruv	I don't think this is a good plan due to the large density of housing proposed. 25 storeys is out of character for the area which is next to a nature reserve. Parking is extremely limited and requests for more local support for cycling (e.g. to east Finchley tube) have been ignored, so I do not understand how inhabitants will get out. The leisure centre rebuild seems of limited benefit as the existing centre has just been given a new roof and refit. Plus the old leisure centre offers more for young families.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTTrux	the current facilities are one of the best used in the area. we have used the facilities for [REDACTED] birthday parties with us in the cinema and affordable meals. Traffic along the main road is already incredibly severe during rush hour.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTTrwP	<p>Our cinema, bowling alley and restaurants, which are always busy, are to be replaced by several 20-storey blocks of tiny flats. We have hundreds of flats sitting empty in Barnet already. What we need is affordable family housing. These units are tiny and cramped and the towers will be more than double the height of the existing surrounding blocks.</p> <p>Demolishing the retail park will mean that this area is a no man's land with no facilities. Public transport to North and East Finchley town centres is poor, with only one infrequent bus serving the latter, and the area is already very congested. How will the inhabitants of the new flats get to work? There's no tube station for miles and there's no parking provision.</p> <p>In addition, where will all the young people who regularly use the facilities in the retail park now go? The proposal is to move some facilities to North Finchley town centre, on a tiny site, but there is not room to replicate the current facilities, or adequate public transport to get there from neighbouring N2. Plus North Finchley is so badly congested that it is somewhere to avoid.</p> <p>The development also encroaches on the Glebelands nature reserve, which is home to newts and bats, but there has been no ecological survey published.</p> <p>This is overdevelopment, which does not offer significant affordable housing or the type of housing we need. The already inadequate public transport and congested roads will not cope with more residents, especially with the removal of the only leisure and retail facilities in this area, which will mean that existing residents now need to travel too.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:07 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JTrwS	<p>This proposal will have a huge impact on the traffic, i live very close to this facility and take my children to swimming and bowling and the traffic is already very heavy and takes 15 mins in peak times to to travel just 1 mile. This proposal makes it worse and it will cause traffic chaos.</p> <p>This is one of the major road which links Finchley and surrounding areas to A406.</p> <p>Loss of leisure facilities are another impact here .. loss of bowling, Swimming and cinema will have a large impact on families wiyh children.</p> <p>I oppose this proposal.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTrwW	Leisure activities are an important part of life. Man does not live by bread alone. Housing needs amenities.	Object	1/18/2026 6:09:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTrxX	<p>I am writing to formally object to the proposed comprehensive redevelopment plan involving the demolition of existing buildings and the phased redevelopment to provide up to 1,485 residential dwellings across 20 buildings, along with a new leisure centre, flexible commercial spaces, a sports changing pavilion, and related infrastructure.</p> <p>Key Concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Environmental Impact: The demolition of existing buildings will result in significant waste generation and environmental degradation. The ecological footprint of this large-scale development poses serious threats to local wildlife and contributes to increased carbon emissions during both demolition and construction phases. 2. Infrastructure Strain: The introduction of 1,485 residential units will impose substantial pressure on already strained infrastructure, including roads, public transport, sewage systems, and other essential services. The current infrastructure is inadequate to accommodate such an influx, leading to overcrowding, traffic congestion, and disruptions to local services. 3. Community Character and Heritage: The proposed redevelopment directly threatens the historical and architectural character of the area. Demolishing existing structures undermines the community's heritage and distinct identity, resulting in the displacement of long-standing residents and the alteration of the cultural landscape. 4. Housing Affordability: While the proposal claims to provide new residential units, it will predominantly cater to high-income residents, exacerbating housing inequalities and displacing existing members of the community who cannot afford higher rents or property prices. 5. Inadequate Green Space: The inclusion of a leisure centre and commercial spaces does not compensate for the significant loss of green space and recreational areas. Access to green spaces is essential for community well-being, and the project must prioritize the preservation and enhancement of these vital areas. 6. Noise and Air Pollution: Construction and ongoing operations associated with this development will increase noise and air pollution, adversely affecting the quality of life for existing residents. The long-term health implications of rising pollutants demand immediate attention from the planning committee. 7. Phased Development Risks: The phased approach to redevelopment inevitably leads to prolonged disturbances for existing residents and businesses. Incomplete phases can result in a chaotic environment and a lasting disruption to the community. <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>The outlined concerns clearly demonstrate that this redevelopment proposal, as currently defined, constitutes a detrimental impact on the community and the environment. The planning committee must take a firm stand against this proposal and require a comprehensive reevaluation that prioritizes environmental sustainability, infrastructural resilience, community integrity, and affordable housing.</p> <p>I strongly urge the committee to reject this proposal and to re-engage with the community for a redevelopment plan that genuinely reflects the needs and interests of its residents.</p> <p>Thank you for your attention to this critical matter.</p> <p>Sincerely, </p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTs9D	This is so sad for our young people. They use this space a lot. It will be so densely populated if this goes ahead and traffic and parking will be horrendous.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTsaW	The leisure park is an important part of the local community.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTsaX	It's our favourite place to come and spend time with family for entertainment and food .	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTsaZ	<p>I object to this development.</p> <p>My family and I use all the facilities at Great North Leisure Park regularly as do so many of our friends. We get great joy from family times and times with friends at the pool, bowling, cinema and restaurants. All the facilities are always well used by the community and to take them away would have a detrimental affect on the community and all the hundreds of people who work there.</p> <p>It is a place where people can meet and safely enjoy time together and to take that away will be very sad. Where else is there that is like Great North Leisure Park.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTsCj	Do not go ahead with this redevelopment. I use the leisure facilities often and it feels safe to bring my grandchildren. The plans were rejected by the council on the grounds of poor design and over density. I feel this decision is quite correct.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:57 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JTsE0	This is a treasured place for families to come to. There are very few places to go to with children for them to enjoy an activity. The bowling and cinema are assets to the area and provide valuable leisure activities. There is nowhere else nearby to go to with families for these activities. The outskirts of London do not need more flats. Families move to this area. Where are the local leisure amenities. Where are the young people meant to be able to safely meet their friends.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTsFk	The only local place for good family time with ample parking will be gone if this project comes up. This is a disaster for the local community.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTsFI	This is the only local place in North London that offers a leisure complex with bowling where families and youngsters can spend time for birthdays and events. The place is always packed and is well used so seems a ridiculous idea to close this down.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTsFm	This application is overbearing on the local area, far too densely populated it will cause massive traffic disruption and increase pollution in the area as there isn't any local transport infrastructure in place so most people will be using vehicles to travel anywhere as the nearest tube station isn't in walking distance in fact it's close to a mile away. It was rejected for these reasons by the local authorities and it should be respected as there is no the area	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTsQ	This is a thriving leisure facility and a vital part of the local infrastructure. Losing it would have a hugely negative impact on all age groups in the community. Furthermore there are inadequate essential support services for new residents from such a large scale expansion project in an already densely populated area.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTsLe	This area is very busy and removing the townspace gets rid of the community life.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTsLU	Hi, I strongly object to the proposed redevelopment of Great Leisure Park, North Finchley. This development would result in the permanent loss of a well-used, accessible community leisure destination that currently provides cinema, bowling, restaurants, local employment, and a safe social space for families, young people, and older residents alike. The existing complex plays a vital role in North Finchley's evening economy and community life, particularly in an area already under pressure from congestion, overdevelopment, and strain on infrastructure. The proposal represents an excessive and inappropriate scale of development that is entirely out of keeping with the surrounding area. It would significantly increase traffic, parking stress, noise, and pressure on local services without delivering equivalent or meaningful public benefit. The design and density prioritise developer profit over community wellbeing and local character. Crucially, this scheme has already been roundly rejected at council level, reflecting the clearly expressed will of local residents and elected representatives who understand the area best. An executive override by the Mayor would undermine local democracy and public trust in the planning system. There has been no convincing justification for the loss of established leisure facilities, nor any credible replacement that meets the same social, cultural, and economic needs. Once demolished, this community asset will be lost forever. I therefore urge the Mayor to respect the council's decision, listen to local residents, and reject this proposal in full.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTsMf	Should not be built	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTsXm	Not a great plan, far too dense and high rise. Will also lead to a significant increase of dog walking users on adjacent rugby pitches which are already covered in dog muck.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTsXn	Taking away amenities that are used weekly to put up a huge tower block in an area where already too much traffic. Totally unacceptable to local residents	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:42 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JT10e	<p>This site is a place loved by locals of all ages and is regularly used. Once again, Barnet's character is being eroded as essential amenities—like our cinema, bowling alley, and restaurants—are being cleared away for the sake of profit.</p> <p>We do not need more housing. Our current infrastructure is already stretched to its limit and cannot support the massive influx of residents these developments bring. Instead of further densification, we need to invest in the people already living here by supporting our schools and surgeries.</p> <p>Our community's concerns are centered on two critical issues:</p> <p>A Vacuum for our Youth: By removing the cinema and bowling alley, the council is stripping away the few remaining safe, constructive spaces for our teenagers. Without these outlets, young people are left with nowhere to go except the numerous chicken shops and vape shops that now dominate our High Street. We need to offer our youth entertainment and community, not just more pavement.</p> <p>Infrastructure Overload: Adding more residential units without first expanding our medical and educational capacity is irresponsible. We are losing the "soul" of Barnet—the places where families bond and friends meet—only to replace them with a burden that our services cannot handle.</p> <p>Conclusion: We urge the council to protect this leisure site. A community is defined by its shared spaces and its quality of life, not just its square footage of housing. Let's keep Barnet a place where people can actually live, play, and grow—not just a place where they are housed.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JT10f	Use the lido for swim lessons for the whole of the local school.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JT10g	<p>I strongly object to the scheme as it has been poorly considered. Local transport links are already woefully inadequate and this scheme will absolutely compound this problem. The current sports and leisure facilities will be closed for a considerable period of time and whilst the scheme looks to replace this will at best literally serve the new population. The scheme does not appear to be compliant with the London Plan (a mayoral initiative) in regard to affordable housing. There are many more objections however these are key headline points and were what constituted the reason why the original planning application was rejected by Barnet Council. I would like to state I have no objection to the building of new homes I object to it being done with such a lack of understanding of the local area alongside existing problems and any care for the needs of the existing community.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JT10j	<p>Subject: Objection to Planning Application 25/0213/FUL – Great North Leisure Park Redevelopment</p> <p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the redevelopment of Great North Leisure Park.</p> <p>While I appreciate the need for new homes, the scale and density proposed here are completely out of keeping with the surrounding area. The height and massing of the scheme would overwhelm the local neighbourhood and fundamentally change the character of this part of Finchley.</p> <p>My concerns go beyond appearance. The infrastructure simply isn't there to support an additional 1,500 homes. Local schools are already full, GP surgeries are struggling to take on new patients, and public transport is under pressure as it is. The application does not convincingly show how these issues will be addressed, and it feels as though the impact on existing residents has been underestimated.</p> <p>Parking and traffic are also major worries. This development will inevitably increase congestion on already busy roads, and the limited parking provision risks pushing overspill parking into nearby residential streets.</p> <p>Finally, the loss of the existing leisure facilities is a real blow. The current site provides amenities that people actually use—cinema, restaurants, family activities. What's proposed as a replacement feels far more limited and does not offer the same breadth of community value.</p> <p>In its current form, this application represents overdevelopment without the necessary infrastructure, services, or amenities to support it. I urge the council to reject the proposal and require a more balanced, appropriately scaled scheme that genuinely benefits both new and existing residents.</p> <p>Yours faithfully, </p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:17 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JT10o	Hi there, it's absolutely disgusting that after receiving an overwhelming number of objections to this planning permission, that this planning is being appealed and now being presented for a decision with the Mayor's office. The overwhelming number of objections sent to Barnet Council is a testament of local residents view of the planning, i.e. that it destroys a beloved existing local sports facility and with the number of buildings proposed is likely to impact on the green space behind. The site is already located near high storey flats and will completely destroy the skyline in that area, likely to block essential sunlight for the Coppers Wood trees and vegetation, not to mention cause other issues that come with additional high storey housing, such as rodents, with the number of bins that would require for residents. Additionally, there is nothing to indicate that the housing is affordable. With the number of private equity related housing developments, much of this housing has been of poor quality and benefitted landlords who are purchasing 2nd, 3rd and multiple properties for rental rather than benefitting first time buyers and/ or those in need of social housing. This planning should be wholly rejected.	Object	1/18/2026 6:09:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JT10p	I object to this.	Object	1/18/2026 6:09:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JT18X	We strongly object to this development and use the facilities there frequently. We do not want this going ahead.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JT18Y	I am totally against the idea.	Object	1/18/2026 6:09:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JT1tir	This is a vital family / youth amenity for our community.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTtoK	Leave it as it is!	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTtoL	I strongly object to the demolition of the facilities at the Great North Leisure Park. This site is not just a leisure venue, it is a vital community asset. The Lido is regularly used by local schools to provide swimming lessons for children, which are essential for water safety, physical health, and confidence. The loss of the Lido would significantly disrupt school swimming programmes and place additional pressure on already overstretched alternative facilities in the area. Many schools do not have the resources or time to travel further distances, meaning children could miss out on learning a critical life skill. The traffic would be unbearable for residents that have lived here in the community for years. It is completely unfair!	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTtoM	This leisure park transformation to residential will be a huge loss to the local community. Especially the youth. Please reconsider.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTtoN	This is currently a hugely important amenity in the local area and its loss will have a huge impact on families and teenagers.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTtun	Well used and loved by kids. There are not a lot of safe social places they can go	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTtuo	Greedy developers taking the only leisure facilities we have for miles around absolutely not how is this ethical	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTtu1h	There are too many flats built in this area, and without considering the impacts on transports, hospitals, education.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTunE	Too much residential development on our door step with no increased infrastructure - this development - Bruswick Business Park - Everready building - Cockfosters Station - Arnos Grove Ststion	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTupC	This is the only place Barnet has for families and young people! Don't get rid of it	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTusQ	I frequently use these facilities with my children. There is nowhere else locally that have these facilities. Being disabled these facilities have been a lifeline for me.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTuwM	The Finchley lido & surrounding entertainment venues are key to local residents. The Government cannot keep pushing their obesity taxes on food while closing every swimming pool in London! I take my son here for family swimming as do many others - the sessions are always booked up.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:13 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JTUWw	<p>Full Objection (Recommended)</p> <p>I strongly object to the proposed redevelopment of Great Leisure Park, North Finchley.</p> <p>This development would result in the permanent loss of a well-used, accessible community leisure destination that currently provides cinema, bowling, restaurants, local employment, and a safe social space for families, young people, and older residents alike. The existing complex plays a vital role in North Finchley's evening economy and community life, particularly in an area already under pressure from congestion, overdevelopment, and strain on infrastructure.</p> <p>The proposal represents an excessive and inappropriate scale of development that is entirely out of keeping with the surrounding area. It would significantly increase traffic, parking stress, noise, and pressure on local services without delivering equivalent or meaningful public benefit. The design and density prioritise developer profit over community wellbeing and local character.</p> <p>Crucially, this scheme has already been roundly rejected at council level, reflecting the clearly expressed will of local residents and elected representatives who understand the area best. An executive override by the Mayor would undermine local democracy and public trust in the planning system.</p> <p>There has been no convincing justification for the loss of established leisure facilities, nor any credible replacement that meets the same social, cultural, and economic needs. Once demolished, this community asset will be lost forever.</p> <p>I therefore urge the Mayor to respect the council's decision, listen to local residents, and reject this proposal in full.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTUX1	I object to the redevelopment.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTV5O	The Finchley area is sought after and expensive, yet no funding has been put into regeneration for the young. Without a bowling, cinema, food area where will families and kids go? You are destroying our local facilities- what next Brent Cross??! Enough already. Listen to the community- we do not want any more blocks of flats! We want facilities. Places us families can go to that doesn't cost the earth. We can't all go to Westfield and spend a fortune! Keep our local Vue/Hollywood with free parking.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTV5P	I appreciate the necessity to build homes for people and it's a difficult conundrum ton resolve as those self same people also need leisure outlets such as cinemas	Not set	1/18/2026 6:06:21 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTVhw	Too many homes in a densely populated area is not beneficial for local services like hospitals, GPs and schools unless more of these services are built. These are just more high rise 'homes' that are being built to meet an arbitrary target on London with no thought on the impact and pressure on local services and creating too much traffic, congestion and pollution. This will also impact a much needed leisure space for kids and families around Barnet and neighbouring boroughs.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTVi3	This is an unwanted and poorly thought out change that will not benefit residents and will result in the loss of important local amenities.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTVi4	Please do not take away the very few places we have for socialising. Children are being driven online.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTViD	I object.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTVox	<p>I strongly object to the proposed redevelopment of the Great North Leisure Centre.</p> <p>This area already suffers from limited infrastructure and poor accessibility. The loss of local, free parking would have a serious impact on residents, families, and small businesses who rely on being able to access the area without additional cost or inconvenience. Removing free parking effectively excludes many people, particularly older residents and those with limited mobility.</p> <p>The proposal also fails to recognise the lack of accessible local amenities. What this community needs is somewhere affordable and inclusive to go—such as a cinema or leisure facility—not yet another development that prioritises density over quality of life. Local people should not have to travel elsewhere to access basic leisure and cultural facilities.</p> <p>Most concerning is the strain this redevelopment would place on already overstretched local infrastructure. Roads, public transport, GP surgeries, schools, and utilities are struggling as it is. Introducing thousands more people into the area without guaranteed, funded upgrades to infrastructure is irresponsible and short-sighted. Increased congestion, pollution, and pressure on public services will directly reduce residents' quality of life.</p> <p>Development should work with the community, not against it. This proposal does not reflect local needs, does not protect accessibility, and does not adequately address infrastructure capacity. Until these fundamental issues are properly resolved, this redevelopment should not go ahead.</p> <p>If this redevelopment goes ahead as proposed, I'll be chaining myself to the doors of Nando's before a single brick is laid.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTVRr	This is a much loved local amenity which has been enjoyed by generations. I absolutely object to its demolition.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:39 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JTvRv	MP's are continually talking about the need for young people to have access to social activities - youth centres have disappeared and we wonder why they hang around street corners getting into trouble. It would be a crime to remove this leisure park , it is somewhere that mine & most families frequently use - the cinema, bowling, swimming and family meals. Why aren't empty office blocks being used instead of tearing down a successful community space ?	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTvRz	There will be a loss of amenities, in the form of the cinema, restaurants, bowling and leisure facilities for families and particularly teenagers. The proposed flats are an eye sore, overpopulating an already dense area. The landscape will be ruined. Putting strain on already stretched schools, GPs, and hospitals. negatively impacting in local transport and additional heavy traffic.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTvW3	North Finchley cannot sustain this huge increase in population. Schools are full. GP and dentist waiting lists full. Traffic already painful and getting worse. Plus a great loss to the area to lose the cinema and restaurants. The proposed replacement in Lodge Lane is not equivalent - no cinema operator will take on a single screen venue, it's just not profitable. The High Street has deteriorated significantly over the last few years, money could be better spent regenerating and properly planning to create quality social and third spaces.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTwCq	I object to the development as this is one of our local entertainment venues	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTwCr	Finchley is already over developed, by taking away this area which is used by the community and a place for young people to use, and building more housing it will affect the infrastructure of the area. We already struggle to get a drs appointment, struggle to get on the tube. The traffic in that part of the road tends to be gridlocked throughout the day, it just doesn't make sense to add to an area that's already struggling with being overcrowded.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTwCs	I strongly object to the proposed redevelopment of Great Leisure Park, North Finchley. This development would result in the permanent loss of a well-used, accessible community leisure destination that currently provides cinema, bowling, restaurants, local employment, and a safe social space for families, young people, and older residents alike. The existing complex plays a vital role in North Finchley's evening economy and community life, particularly in an area already under pressure from congestion, overdevelopment, and strain on infrastructure. The proposal represents an excessive and inappropriate scale of development that is entirely out of keeping with the surrounding area. It would significantly increase traffic, parking stress, noise, and pressure on local services without delivering equivalent or meaningful public benefit. The design and density prioritise developer profit over community wellbeing and local character. Crucially, this scheme has already been roundly rejected at council level, reflecting the clearly expressed will of local residents and elected representatives who understand the area best. An executive override by the Mayor would undermine local democracy and public trust in the planning system. There has been no convincing justification for the loss of established leisure facilities, nor any credible replacement that meets the same social, cultural, and economic needs. Once demolished, this community asset will be lost forever. I therefore urge the Mayor to respect the council's decision, listen to local residents, and reject this proposal in full.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTwCt	A great lose to North Finchley if the Super Bowl, Vue, leisure centre goes. It attracts everyone from far away with simple parking to visit the attractions and to eat. It's also a safe space for young children and families to go, unlike north Finchley high street which is in desperate need of gentrification.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTwCu	This is the only local place here for good family timeout including health (Lido), entertainment (Hollywood bowling, Vue cinema) and good food options with ample parking. Replacing them with sky high apartments should be objected.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTwgt	Cinema and other amenities are vital for the wellbeing of the local people	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTwHu	I visit the complex regularly to go to the cinema. It's accessible [REDACTED] Currently you can park easily. If it changes there will not be the same parking spaces. The cinema always appears well used. Also it is reasonably priced, and not expensive as other cinemas.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTwNE	The retail park is quite literally the only place youth (teens) can hangout with any sort of affordability. With Vue cinema having low price tickets, a reasonable arcade and bowling alley, the cheap McDonald's and Nando's, the concern I have is that removing these for more expensive alternatives will cause a knock-on effect with the youth unable to actually do anything, leading to boredom and unable to hang out as friends. This path always leads to more unsocial behaviours. Furthermore, the traffic is already horrendous around the area (especially over the bridge) and we all know adding 1500 homes will be disastrous. The transport links aren't currently sufficient to support such an undertaking. Moreover, the percentage of affordable housing is quite low to begin with, but if it lowered more (as typically happens later in the process) it will lack any real benefit to the local community for such a large space.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTwNF	This development does not align with the locale. And numbers involved mean there will be capacity constraints in the local area. Strongly object	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:52 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JTwnG	I live in the area frequently visiting this part of Finchley. I make use of the Leisure Centre for exercise, Cinemas and restaurants. It would be a great loss to the community to develop the site for residential and lose these facilities which enhance the lives of local and other UK residents. The environmental impact is huge, over developing an already very busy area. Buildings should also be kept low in keeping with the area. The land was always meant for the entire community's enjoyment. I hope this development will not go ahead.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTwt6	This leisure centre has been well used for many years and provides local people with leisure facilities, cinema, bowling, restaurants, swimming and gym etc. it would be greatly missed.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTwtC	The loss of amenities will be considerable, the current retail park is always full, this is the only destination for miles that provides cinema or bowling. Many young people use this area, which is critical in the absence of community spaces. Also I see no provision to the increased demand on the NHS or local schools that this development will need, these local services are already under funded and overstretched.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTwx3	I've lived in Finchley and surrounding area since 1970, It used to be a great place to live with a thriving high street and places to eat and venues like The Gaumont Cinema and Finchley open air swimming pool amongst popular places to visit We have seen over the years that high street decline and both The cinema and swimming pool become a distant memory as both have since been demolished. To now think once again that the area of where the Hollywood bowl and Vue cinema is situated it would be a travesty for family and friends and the many disability groups that frequently visit on a daily basis to lose this once again I strongly object to the new developments that are being proposed	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTwx4	Please, we use the current amenities all the time and its demolition would be a great loss to the community. We go to the cinema and bowling complex very often as well as to the swimming pool. It has already been rejected at council level. Please do not go ahead with this.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTwx5	I object to this redevelopment. The Great North Leisure Park as it currently is, is essential to the well being of the local (and broader) community.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTwxB	I strongly object to the proposed redevelopment of Great Leisure Park, North Finchley. This development would result in the permanent loss of a well-used, accessible community leisure destination that currently provides cinema, bowling, restaurants, local employment, and a safe social space for families, young people, and older residents alike. The existing complex plays a vital role in North Finchley's evening economy and community life, particularly in an area already under pressure from congestion, overdevelopment, and strain on infrastructure. The proposal represents an excessive and inappropriate scale of development that is entirely out of keeping with the surrounding area. It would significantly increase traffic, parking stress, noise, and pressure on local services without delivering equivalent or meaningful public benefit. The design and density prioritise developer profit over community wellbeing and local character. Crucially, this scheme has already been roundly rejected at council level, reflecting the clearly expressed will of local residents and elected representatives who understand the area best. An executive override by the Mayor would undermine local democracy and public trust in the planning system. There has been no convincing justification for the loss of established leisure facilities, nor any credible replacement that meets the same social, cultural, and economic needs. Once demolished, this community asset will be lost forever. I therefore urge the Mayor to respect the council's decision, listen to local residents, and reject this proposal in full.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTwxE	Not keeping with the rest of the area that is already stretched infrastructure wise.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTx2N	Imperative for health, fitness, leisure and pleasure for my whole family. Stop taking away all our leisure areas!	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTx2Q	My family and I regularly visit the leisure park. There are not many family venues accessible for all ages and by easy public transport. This will have a very negative impact on our family life.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTxKA	The facilities are used by people, families and teenagers, removing this will be utterly detrimental to the community !!!!!	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTxB	Too much traffic and loss of a community area	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTxn0	Young kids growing in the area will be affected if building apartments in this leisure park. Crime rate can be higher if there is no entertainment locally. We are fortunate to have a leisure park locally and it's been used by all age group. I strongly off jet building flat in this precious local area.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTxn1	This proposed development is totally out of scale to the surrounding area. Development will mean the loss of amenity and increase traffic congestion and pollution in the surrounding area both in construction and in the long term.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTxn3	I object to this development for reasons of density overcrowding and traffic	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:45 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JTxn4	I strongly object to this planning application as the development would take away a vital community hub which allows people to meet up and socialise. If this is taken away, there is no decent size local cinema with restaurants nearby. This will then push people into their cars - at a time when we're supposed to be trying to protect the environment - as the cinema, bowling etc facilities will be that much further away. People who would previously have been able to walk or get the bus to the cinema/bowling/restaurant will no longer be able to do so. And in some cases, people will lose all access to these facilities. This cannot be good for the local economy and defiantly is not in the interest of the local community.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTxot	The proposed residential building complex represents an over-scaled and overpowering form of development that is out of keeping with the surrounding area. Its excessive mass and density would dominate the local townscape, resulting in the loss of the existing site without adequate replacement of local amenities to support the increased population. The scheme fails to demonstrate that essential infrastructure can accommodate the development, with insufficient parking provision likely to exacerbate existing pressures on the local road network. Furthermore, there is no clear strategy to address the increased demand for schools and other community facilities, placing an unreasonable burden on already stretched services. As such, the proposal does not achieve a balanced or sustainable form of development for the area.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTxQT	We don't want this	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:11 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTxTI	This is very important site for Barnet, North Finchley residents to have some recreational space.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTxTm	Firstly, this form is seriously flawed: however I try to type in my address, it will not accept it. The above is the closest I can get. It should [REDACTED] This development will change the character of the area completely. It will involve the loss of a key amenity too. The bowling alley, Rowans, is known across London but is also a key local amenity: it is where local people of all ages and backgrounds go to enjoy themselves. My children had their birthday parties there and as adults still go to celebrate all sorts of occasions. It will also block all view of the park and reduce environmental amenities for all but the wealthiest. There is far too much high-rise development in blocks of flats with inordinately high service charges, pricing out local residents. I have lived here for 35 years and could not afford to rent or buy flats in any of the recent developments. This will add to the housing crisis in London and end up an investment for corporate capital or for overseas investors. Please refuse this development.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTxTo	There is nowhere for young people to go in the area and if you build all these new homes, where are the new doctors schools etc etc!	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTxVF	This is an area that we frequent for pleasure.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTxX6	Terrible idea. Please don't do this.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTxYc	This is not a good development, 25 story towers are completely out of character for the area, the density is too high for an area served only by bus and so will lead to additional traffic congestion. There is well below affordable homes provision.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTy3J	I object to the planning application because it will have a negative impact on the existing local area and amenities. The planned high-rise buildings are too high and not in keeping with the location. The additional residents will put place extra strain on an already inadequate local infrastructure.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTy3K	Getting rid of the Leisure park will have a detrimental impact on the community. Replacing with housing will just create a ghetto with nowhere locally for people to go. The fact that the car park is full most evenings is testament to its use and popularity.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTy3L	This is one of the main leisure facilities for children and young people in the area, we use the leisure pool, bowling, restaurants often as do many other families. It would be a shame for the area to lose this and replace with high density housing, with few transport links nearby. The proposed replacement pool has less leisure provision than the current one no fun pool for kids.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:13 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JTy5D	<p>Dear Mayor,</p> <p>I am writing to formally object to the proposed closure of the Finchley Lido leisure centre.</p> <p>I have lived in this area since [REDACTED] and this centre has been a constant and important part of my life and the lives of many young people in Barnet. We learned to swim here through our secondary schools. We came here for birthday parties, bowling, the cinema, and simply to spend time together in a safe and welcoming environment. For many of us, Finchley Lido was the only place we could go when we had little or no money and nowhere else to be.</p> <p>This leisure centre was more than just a building. It was a safe space. It kept young people occupied, active, and out of trouble. It gave us warmth, security, and something positive to do. Closing it removes one of the very few accessible communal spaces left in Barnet, particularly for young people in areas such as Friern Barnet [REDACTED], just minutes away.</p> <p>Finchley Lido is the only place in Barnet where people from all backgrounds could come together and do something affordable and social. Removing it will not improve the area. On the contrary, it risks increasing antisocial behaviour and crime by taking away one of the only positive outlets for young people. When safe spaces disappear, the consequences are felt across the entire community.</p> <p>We do not need more housing in this location. Barnet is already well known for ongoing housing developments. What we lack—and what Finchley Lido provides—is community infrastructure, youth spaces, and affordable leisure facilities that genuinely improve quality of life.</p> <p>I urge you to reconsider this decision and to recognise the long-term social impact of closing Finchley Lido. Once a community space like this is gone, it cannot easily be replaced. Preserving it would show a genuine commitment to the wellbeing, safety, and future of young people and families in Barnet.</p> <p>Thank you for taking the time to consider the voices of residents who have grown up with and relied upon this vital community space.</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTy5E	<p>cannot understand why everything has to be destroyed just to build more apartment blocks.</p> <p>In this place there are swimming pools, a cinema, games, restaurants like Pizza Hut and McDonald's — places where children laugh, play, and spend their free time.</p> <p>If all of this is replaced only by buildings and concrete, where will our children go?</p> <p>Why should an entire space that people created with life, joy, and memories be wiped out?</p> <p>It is not right for our environment and our cities to turn into nothing but concrete and buildings.</p> <p>Children need space, joy, and freedom — not walls.</p> <p>Where will our children go</p>	Not set	1/18/2026 6:05:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTy5F	<p>I respectfully oppose this development plan and ask that you consider the long-term environmental impact on our community.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTy5G	<p>The proposal would result in the loss of longstanding, highly valued, vital and heavily used community space. The existing leisure complex provides affordable access to sport, fitness, swimming, and social interaction for all ages.</p> <p>There is very little available for young people locally in terms of entertainment. The leisure complex as it stands offers safe, structured activities for people of all ages and income brackets but in particular for our youth, helping reduce antisocial behaviour.</p> <p>In addition, the proposed new housing will increase pressure on schools, GP surgeries, transport, and utilities that are already overstretched.</p> <p>The proposed development will create traffic and parking issues. The plans do not include sufficient space for parking given the number of proposed dwellings. This will have a negative impact on the surrounding roads.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:12 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JTy6j	<p>1. Building(s) Height The proposed height of up to 25 storeys is entirely inappropriate for this suburban location. The site is not situated within a designated "Tall Building Zone" as defined by the Barnet Local Plan, nor does it align with London Plan Policy D9, which requires tall buildings to be "proportionate to the local context." A 25-storey tower would represent an unprecedented and overbearing vertical mass that dwarfs the surrounding low-rise residential streets and the nearby Glebelands area.</p> <p>2. Impact on Local Townscape The development represents a "visual wall" that would fundamentally degrade the character of the A1000 corridor. The bulk and massing of 20 high-density blocks are "out of character" with the established grain of North Finchley. Furthermore, the user-submitted imagery appears to downplay the true visual impact of the 25-storey heights, which will dominate the skyline and cause significant overshadowing of the local townscape.</p> <p>3. Impact on Heritage The scale of this development causes significant harm to the setting of the surrounding area, including its relationship with the Glebelands Local Nature Reserve. The "urbanising" effect of such a dense development threatens the heritage of this suburban green corridor. Additionally, the sheer scale of the proposal would negatively impact the character and setting of [Mention any specific nearby historic buildings if known], contrary to London Plan Policy HC1.</p> <p>4. Over-density The proposal of 1,485 residential dwellings is a extreme example of over-development. This is more than four times the original allocation of 352 units for this site in the draft Local Plan. With a PTAL (Public Transport Accessibility Level) rating of 2, the site lacks the infrastructure to support over 4,000 new residents. This excessive density will lead to unacceptable pressure on local GP surgeries and schools, and represents "site-cramming" that prioritises unit numbers over the quality of the residential environment.</p> <p>Conclusion For the reasons stated - specifically the overbearing height, the loss of character within the townscape, and the extreme over-density on a site with poor transport links - I urge the Mayor of London to uphold Barnet Council's refusal of this application.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTy6k	The plans have too many homes in a restricted amount of space - high rise buildings are completely out of character with the local area - there is insufficient local infrastructure by way of schools, doctors, traffic management etc for a development this large - and the loss of the amenities currently there is wrong.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTyCa	Loss of entertainment facilities to the locality would be huge, overbuilding with no matching amenities in the area for the residents, would attract too much traffic to an already congested area. It's ugly.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTyCb	This is totally unnecessary	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTyCd	As a family we use this complex often. To have such facilities close by is a huge benefit that we would be loathe to lose.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTyCe	<p>I don't believe there is any justification for removing this community leisure area. It's a fantastic space for families, with a cinema, bowling, swimming and restaurants. Used for the last twenty years by my own family. It's also loved and used by teenagers and young people from far afield - Barnet, Finchley and Southgate for example. It provides a very safe space for them to meet and do something enjoyable and harmless to them. It's a great facility for the winter and during times of poor weather.</p> <p>When you look at the existing traffic congestion outside the proposed development and concomitant road pollution it is impossible to justify a high density residential development plan on this site.</p> <p>The proposed development would also transform a fairly quiet neighbourhood, put a strain on nearby Finchley Memorial Hospital, GP surgeries, schools and bus services.</p> <p>Most importantly people need areas where they can go to socialise and take their families. They can't just sit indoors watching television. The existing area provides a sense of community and coming together which is much needed.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTyea	That's the only place for the neighbors to leisure. I watch movies there. Birthday party in the pizza place, bowling place. Don't take our neighborhood leisure place please.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTyeW	This proposal is a disaster. Please, please theown it out!	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTyHp	We go to cinema often with kids, and there is a swimming pool that I love to go too, this is the only leisure place in North Finchley, please don't build new apartments!	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTyJ8	There is no infrastructure e.g. schools , GPs , transport to support the number of residential units proposed. There's a loss of recreational facilities for locals. The number of tower blocks will be an eye sore. There is a lack of parking spaces.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTyJl	It would be a shame if this goes ahead; there are not many affordable places to go in the area, and this movie theatre is the only commercial one in the area. Is so well attended by families, specially during half terms. It would really be problematic for Finchley to loose one of the only spaces where teenagers gather and families can access entertainment.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTyls	Our children would loose valuable recreation facilities impacting their social lives and mental health as already there area not enough facilities in the area	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTylt	Everything about this proposal is inappropriate. The transport options available cannot support the proposed number of residents. Local GP services are already stretched. The existing restaurants and leisure centre are always busy and popular.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTyof	I object to this site being changed as it is the Only place where people of all ages especially teenagers, have opportunity for a range of activities. Affordable venues for celebrations are beneficial for all communities.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTyol	This area is hugely valuable to the local community and removing it to accommodate more housing is incredibly short-sighted and detrimental to the local community. I want my children to grow up with fun things to do in Finchley, not just houses and flats.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:39 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JTyrH	We massively rely on this leisure park and it is the only one in the area that covers the neighbouring councils. By demolishing it and creating flats, it takes away one of the key source of entertainment particularly in today's busy life of people. I strongly object to this proposal.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTyrI	This is one of the few places that are children can go locally and has a number of activities for them to do. Putting more houses here and losing these facilities impacts the community very negatively and leaves children and teenagers with less and less places they can go.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTyrJ	I strongly object to the proposed redevelopment of Great Leisure Park, North Finchley. This development would result in the permanent loss of a well-used, accessible community leisure destination that currently provides cinema, bowling, restaurants, local employment, and a safe social space for families, young people, and older residents alike. The existing complex plays a vital role in North Finchley's evening economy and community life, particularly in an area already under pressure from congestion, overdevelopment, and strain on infrastructure. The proposal represents an excessive and inappropriate scale of development that is entirely out of keeping with the surrounding area. It would significantly increase traffic, parking stress, noise, and pressure on local services without delivering equivalent or meaningful public benefit. The design and density prioritise developer profit over community wellbeing and local character. Crucially, this scheme has already been roundly rejected at council level, reflecting the clearly expressed will of local residents and elected representatives who understand the area best. An executive override by the Mayor would undermine local democracy and public trust in the planning system. There has been no convincing justification for the loss of established leisure facilities, nor any credible replacement that meets the same social, cultural, and economic needs. Once demolished, this community asset will be lost forever. I therefore urge the Mayor to respect the council's decision, listen to local residents, and reject this proposal in full.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTysW	It's the only area with a cinema and restaurants where young and old people can enjoy. Not having yet another housing development, putting profit first. It would be a shame if this goes ahead.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTysZ	This plan seems purely thought out, with a very dense development planned for an area already struggling with limited NHS services and parking. The location is served by a single bus route and is 20 mins from the nearest tube station.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTysV	I object this proposal.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTysX	Our municipality is very crowded, which causes these schools to be overcrowded and affects the children's education. The traffic is very heavy, and it's very sad that they're destroying an area where we can socialize	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTysY	The current leisure facilities on this site are vital to local communities. The high density housing being built doesn't provide the much needed affordable homes for first-time buyers in the area.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTysZ	This is a community hub where families spend time with the kids. The cinema is one of the most affordable in London where you can still get tickets for £6-7. The swimming pool as absolutely amazing and our kids love the wave pool much.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTysA	This is an entertainment venue that particularly is used by younger and families and in my situation teenagers. There are less and less places for teens to hangout with their friends. Here they can bowl, cinema and have a meal safely with friends. Removing these venues will cause more teenagers hanging out on the streets.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTysB	I object to this proposed development	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTysC	Throughout the Borough there has been a huge increase in dwellings in the past 5 years. New homes are needed but excessive overdeveloped is not acceptable. How can so many new homes be built if we don't have the infrastructure and all the extra facilities needed? Are there enough GPs, school places, Nursery provision, transport links and leisure spaces. Great North Leisure Park has provided lots of leisure facilities for many years and the Mayor should listen to the people of Barnet and reject such an unwanted change to this area	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTysD	Just next to this site is the largest colony of Great Crested newts in London. The Mayor is committed to protecting Priority Habitats and Species, and the proposed mitigation for this development is wholly inadequate. Barnet rejected the proposal knowing that the Mayor would nod it through and they could turn to residents and claim to have done their best	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTysE	This proposed development will impact negatively on the local area, including the loss of current facilities used by people who live both locally and further afield (e.g. myself in Muswell Hill)	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTysF	Too big a development without any services for the influx, no extra schools, GPs etc, loss of important leisure facilities for local community. It is unsustainable with the current infrastructure and will create more pollution. Not enough affordable housing. The housing is too dense creating new problems.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTysG	The vue cinema, bowling lane and surrounding restaurants is a popular place for kids to socialise. Taking away these resources, particularly for teenagers impacts their socialisation which has already been dramatically affected by Covid.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTysH	This is well used amenity for families and residents, that will be lost, along with the jobs for the people who work there.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:46 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JTz91	It's one of the few places in the area where we can go for leisure. Local affordable restaurants, the cinema, the bowling where lots of birthday parties are taking place. It's easy to get to and has parking. Plus the gym and swimming pool which serves all of us. Building masses of flats with no proper public transport, no schools, surgeries, dentists, etc will only put extra pressure on the collapsing current infrastructure. And just behind us is an open space with plenty of wildlife which will be affected. Is really should not be happening.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTzah	This is a community space.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTzal	All the above: over development, lack of family housing, too tall and out of context, more traffic, lack of social infra-structure	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTzBv	I am against this redevelopment because I have used the leisure centre for 30 or more years during all my children's lives	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTzGv	This area is already too congested Your making areas worse rather than better Go and put your blocks of flats up in your own area on your doorstep We don't need this in ours thanks	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTzH4	This plan is going against the will of thousands of people who use Vue, Hollywood bowl and the other amenities every year. I have been using that Vue for decades, there are many developments being built around me and only one great North leasure park. Please listen to the people who live here.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTzHL	See comments above	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTzIM	This area has been used regularly by my family and that of all ocal friends for decades My children's school teaches it's swim lessons at the lido It's the only cinema locally. The only bowling alley locally. We do not need more flats , more built up tower blocks ruining our restisdental roads. This is a devastating planned take over of a much live community venue	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTzIO	The current road design in this area is not capable of supporting such a large-scale development. This site is located next to a major exit of the A406, and it is difficult to imagine how traffic would cope if hundreds more apartments were built here. The queues to enter and exit North Finchley would be endless. [REDACTED], I am on these roads every day, and this junction is already extremely congested. This proposal is simply not workable. This development would cause severe congestion and significant disruption to local residents, and it should not be approved. Furthermore, it would place additional strain on already overstretched local NHS services. At present, it is already very diffcult to secure GP appointments in this area. If this development is allowed to proceed, it will create serious problems for traffic, healthcare, education, and local infrastructure as a whole. This proposal should be stopped before it causes irreversible damage to the community.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTzIP	The area is an important leisure space for all generations. High rise flats will put a huge amount of of pressure on ammenities; doctors, schooling etc. there is poor public transport so roads will become Even more congested.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTzQt	Would be a loss of a very much loved and used amenity for the area	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTzQu	This development will rip the heart out of the local areas leisure offering. There are no discussions of how, where and when a replacement leisure site would be built and this ugly development will be yet another stain on the dwindling image of the area.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTzQz	I strongly object to this development. It will result in the loss of a large and much used local resource, leisure facility for children (including gym), social space, and restaurants. There is so much evidence that child and adult mental health is on the decline, where are young people in London supposed to spend their time in their local area??? It's madness.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTzR0	This is not sustainable for the local Community and this space is used by families and local residents	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTzTm	The cinema and bowling alley and the Lido are such valuable entertainment resources for the local community. We need community resources more than ever, these flats can be built somewhere else	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTzTn	The loss of the bowling alley and cinema would be a huge loss to local people. There very few things available for the younger generation and to remove to social locations like this would be very damaging to the younger community	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:48 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JTz1P	The space which is being considered for development is used by the local community for its amenities. Children and young people use the bowling, cinema etc facilities for recreation and i think it would be a shame for the local community to lose them.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JTz1S	The area is already overcrowded and poor in social care, extremely limited parking and traffic movement is already very slow and congested.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU03q	We need the social space of a cinema and restaurants!!	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU09o	It is critical to main social amenities. The current facilities provide social opportunities that do not exist in the surrounding areas. The impact on children and teens will be significant.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU09p	Loss of entertainment amenities. The bowling and cinema are our weekend place to go. Both of my kids go to Lido for their swimming lessons.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU09y	This adds nothing to the area except more unaffordable housing which will mostly remain empty. Transport links are poor. There will be nowhere to go in the local area	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU09z	Im anti due to Vue Cinema and Hollywood bowl being destroyed by this planning. Both amenities are well used by local community of all ages and backgrounds. They are safe places for young to hang around in. Otherwise they will hang around in the street which isnt safe - knife crime, shifty characters. The proposed buildings are much too high and will house far too many people for such a small area. They will destroy natural light, and too many people on already overstretched community services eg schools, drs surgeries. Makes no sense to build these high rise flats there anyway as not close to transport links. Tiny flats in high rise buidings won't be suitable for housing families. Its such a vibrant area for families who enjoy the leisure spaces: the cinema, bowling and restaurants. Its wonderful to see people of all generations having fun in a safe environment and so easy to use with so much parking. These should not be destroyed to be replaced by soulless buildings. It's the wrong area to house huge numbers of people when the infrastructure is not there.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU0bA	Impact on infrastructure - school places, doctor and hospital appointments, traffic & loss of leisure activities for young people which will cause more street crime & delinquencies	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU0bE	I object. The area has poor transport links and the development has limited provision for parking	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU0EA	My family and I regularly use the great north leisure park, and have down for years it's great for socialising with friends and family whether that's eating out or bowling or going to the cinema. My daughter uses the North Finchley Lido swimming pool for her swimming lessons. It's a great spot we have locally. There is no where else we could do all those things in the area if it is gone. The removal of this site would be a huge loss for Finchley and its residents.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU0EB	Overall the site needs huge improvements, but the cinema and bowling are used by many, especially families and teenagers, in the area, and would be greatly missed. We need amenities like these to remain in any plans.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU0ED	We use this as it is and so does the community	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU0HR	Lido centre or Vue cinema has become a landmark in the local area people's mindset. This development will result in loss of only leisure place where people can get together enjoy a movie have a bite or play some games and the lido leisure centre is very useful for the kids and adults alike in maintaining a healthy lifestyle.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU0HV	Losing a local community space for families will negatively impact the local area and young families. The loss of family friendly restaurants, cinema and bowling alley will be missed by the community and the space will be overpopulated to be able to visit.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU0Jj	This is the only safe place for our children to socialise with friends and family.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU0Jk	Use frequently Ideal place to take kids for cinema and food. A sad loss of homes are built on the site	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU0Kz	To build what is there now the Lido was taken away, which was used and enjoyed for generations by thousands of family's. Whole new generations have now used and enjoyed what is there now. To replace this with that many dwellings is foolish at best. Where will the people who will live there go for there leisure! Where will they park. No new road infrastructure no new shops hardly any parking in the local high streets. So this is one development not needed. I could show you smaller volume sites which should be developed which would probably add the same number of dwellings in total with less impact.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU0o2	This is the only local place in Barnet where we can have good family time out, which includes movie time, bowling and good food options altogether. In today's busy life this kind of amenities are really essential for better mental peace, which takes you away from all the work stress. I will strongly object demolishing these amenities replaced by big apartments which will cause more chaos on already congested area.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU0Pt	The cinema, bowling and restaurants are a much loved and great local resource for the community	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU0Pv	No thank you. Too many dwellings in a small space without parking!	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU0Pw	Don't even bother!!!! No one wants this.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:12 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU0sk	This development will cause so many issues to surrounding roads with people making noise and loitering around, traffic and congestion and will cause more problems with already a problem high street with regards to public safety and gangs ... the area is becoming unsafe having had quite a few stabbings over the past few years and more people in such a small area again will increase the safety of people. It's too small a space to have so many people coming to use the facilities in too much of a residential area.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU0sq	I object as I use a number of the restaurants and facilities regularly and the traffic in the area is already bad enough	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU0Sr	I grew up in North Finchley and have been visiting the Leisure Park since I was a young child. It has been an integral part of the local area for many years and holds significant social and emotional value for those that live nearby. For many people, including myself, it formed an important part of our childhoods and continues to provide a shared space accessible to a full range of age groups. As a new mum I look forward to taking my daughter there to create new memories, and value having the amenities so close by. The Leisure Park contributes strongly to local identity and community cohesion, something that cannot easily be replaced once lost. Beyond its personal significance, the Leisure Park serves an important community function. It provides an accessible recreational space that promotes physical activity, mental wellbeing, and social interaction. At a time when pressures on mental and physical health are increasing, the loss of a well-used Leisure Park would be detrimental to the community. The proposed development prioritises housing over the preservation of vital community infrastructure. While the need for housing is acknowledged, this should not come at the expense of existing amenities that serve the wider public interest. If the development goes ahead it is highly unlikely that an equivalent space will be provided.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU0Sr	I object to this as my family is always using this amenity park, cinema, leisure centre, bowling plus the restaurants.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU0Ss	Why does it have to be so BIG? Presumably to make the maximum profit for the developer, but if this comes at the cost of overdevelopment of a suburb, this can only negatively impact the existing local community, which already struggles with inadequate infrastructure,	Object	1/18/2026 6:09:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU0w9	No, we need local amenities not more flats	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU0YR	Despite being a local business owner [REDACTED] I feel this is an overdevelopment of the site in question. I have seen many changes to East Finchley over the last 50 years but none that will have a greater negative impact than this. There simply aren't enough primary & secondary schools, doctors and other essential services in the area to sustain such a huge influx of families. Another major concern is the lack of parking spaces which would force people to try to use local transport links which are currently totally inadequate with one bus & a station 20 mins walk away. I personally feel that there needs to be a serious reconsideration of this development as the logistics just aren't there to support it at present. Local people regularly report problems with finding good schools, doctors with space on their books & issues with the northern line. Please also consider the impact that the loss of amenities would cause. There are so few places for young people to socialise these days & the cinema & bowling complex are very popular places to go.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU10y	I strongly object to the proposal to demolish the cinema, bowling lanes, lido and surrounding restaurants. Great north Leisure complex is used and thoroughly enjoyed by local residents and should remain.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU110	I don't understand where the children and teenagers of Finchley and the surrounding areas are supposed to hang out. There is already a dearth of places that they can go. This site contains restaurants, a cinema, a pool and a bowling alley. It meets so many needs all at once. If it is demolished, where are they expected to go? I accept housing may be an issue but there has to be another option that doesn't involve reducing the quality of life of for these kids.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU12q	We need the cinema.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU12s	The Finchley Lido and cinema complex is one of the only nearby accessible places that we can use as a place of entertainment. [REDACTED] and we often use the bowling facilities which are affordable. Losing this means that the children will not have any nearby venue where they can get similar activities.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:08 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU12t	<p>Following is my objection. Feel free to copy and paste:</p> <p>I strongly object to the proposed redevelopment of Great Leisure Park, North Finchley.</p> <p>This development would result in the permanent loss of a well-used, accessible community leisure destination that currently provides cinema, bowling, restaurants, local employment, and a safe social space for families, young people, and older residents alike. The existing complex plays a vital role in North Finchley's evening economy and community life, particularly in an area already under pressure from congestion, overdevelopment, and strain on infrastructure.</p> <p>The proposal represents an excessive and inappropriate scale of development that is entirely out of keeping with the surrounding area. It would significantly increase traffic, parking stress, noise, and pressure on local services without delivering equivalent or meaningful public benefit. The design and density prioritise developer profit over community wellbeing and local character.</p> <p>Crucially, this scheme has already been roundly rejected at council level, reflecting the clearly expressed will of local residents and elected representatives who understand the area best. An executive override by the Mayor would undermine local democracy and public trust in the planning system.</p> <p>There has been no convincing justification for the loss of established leisure facilities, nor any credible replacement that meets the same social, cultural, and economic needs. Once demolished, this community asset will be lost forever.</p> <p>I therefore urge the Mayor to respect the council's decision, listen to local residents, and reject this proposal in full.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU12u	Whilst the existing building could be given a makeover, the retail and leisure facilities are unique to the area (cinema, bowling, restaurants, etc.) and appreciated by the local community.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU141	I'm deeply disappointed in this plan. The retail park is a great place for families to come out together.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU16P	This is the only place in the area that is an enjoyable entertainment space for many people including our children who love to come to the cinema, bowling and restaurants. Highly used by us during the school holidays but also at other times plus it's a safe area with parking available when we visit the facilities including the leisure centre. It would be really sad to lose this place for our community.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU16S	Hi, The loss of the facilities and the large parking area would be a big loss for the community. At peak times the car park is full hence is required for the people to be able to access these facilities. If the Cinema and Bowling is moved to North Finchley this would impact the ability of families getting to the facilities.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU16w	This is the only place where the family can enjoy several activities and food places without worrying about parking. This place is very important to us. The newly built leisure centre offers so much activity.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU18m	It's going to affect a lot of business and the Finchley Lido which has been used for so many people on affordable leisure.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU18n	I really object to these plans. It is always so busy at this leisure centre and the car park can be full on weekends. Traffic is already heavy in the area. Many flats already built in Finchley and Whetstone. The community are strongly against this from people I speak to. Please stop. A leisure centre and bowling cinema etc needs a dedicated large car park like this. It should remain a leisure park.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU18o	This area is well needed in the community and one of the highlights of Finchley. There are other high density residential developments built in the area.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:22 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU1C8	It will decimate the local area and there have been no plans for parking in line with percentage of residents living there. The bus routes are not good enough already. Local wildlife will suffer and so will the air quality.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU1Dd	So few areas left for families to go. Renovate rather than redevelop.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU1Df	We need an open space for leisure facilities and a parking area rather than residential housing here. We are also concerned about the traffic impact if there has been congested frequently on weekdays.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU1Eo	I OBJECT as it's our only family time place in the area.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU1Eq	This is an important leisure facility, and would also impact on the traffic with so many new homes.	Object	1/18/2026 6:09:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU1IV	We use these facilities and there's not many places we can go that's near by for families like us. Enough ruining amenities and overpopulating more housing.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU1Js	The current amenities are popular and well used by many. Children will lose leisure opportunities.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU1Ia	This is a local social hub, with so many social amenities for people of all ages. The swimming pool, cinema and restaurants are a great meeting point and a community hub. It's so disheartening that this could be lost even though it was voted down at the first stage. Losing this will be a real loss to the area.	Object	1/18/2026 6:09:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU1IQ	Negative impact on traffic and residential amenities.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:08 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU1IZ	The proposed plans are an overdevelopment of the site. The proposed buildings of up to 25 storeys would have a detrimental visual impact, overwhelming the local area. This development would deprive the local population of community facilities.eg. an affordable cinema, a swimming pool local residents can easily reach by foot or public transport and a bowling alley where many local children have parties. There are insufficient doctors and dentists to cope with the current population. There are empty properties nearby due to price. All new properties should be affordable.	Object	1/18/2026 6:09:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU1Nh	Strongly oppose this development will put strain on already limited resources and local Transport this development shouldn't go ahead!	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU1NI	strongly object to the proposed redevelopment of this leisure park into housing. This site provides valuable recreational space that supports community wellbeing, physical activity, and local social life. The loss of such facilities would negatively impact residents, particularly families, young people and those with mobility issues, by reducing access to much-needed open and leisure spaces. Housing development should not come at the expense of established community assets, especially where alternative sites may be available.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU1Nm	Moving some of these facilities to a much smaller space in N Finchley will impact negatively on N Finchley eg loss of car park on high street business, noise	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU1Oe	Quite concern about the traffic, parking, pollution and what sort of amenities will be available.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU1Rm	This is the only family-oriented leisure park that local residents enjoy a lot! It will have huge negative impact to many families which loves the entertainment within a short distance and affordable activities.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU1vG	This is in my opinion an over developed scheme that will put a drain on the existing local services and resources.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU1vH	So much goes on here from swimming lessons, entertainment, eating out etc etc and it's the only affordable place in the area! It will be a great loss! It works so well as it is!	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU1vI	I oppose to the GNLP redevelopment. [REDACTED] and use the open space every day. At least once a week, me and my kids enjoy the amenities on the site. The quiet of the area is muchly enjoyed in the warmer months, the the view over the trees with the sun setting and the wildlife, is important to us. Summers Lane itself is busy enough over weekends with sports games creating a single laned road with vehicles parking on the road. We already have some high-rise buildings/ flats that have already overpopulated north finchley and unfortunately, it hasn't not has a positive response on the high street shops, in fact, quite the opposite. Many main stores have closed. The more cafés and coffee shops we are opening, the more it brings other concerning opportunities for businesses of illegal activities and drugs. Please don't overpopulate our area, our air, our roads, and our GP's and Hospitals which all are already under strain. Thank you	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU1vJ	object	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU20I	I object to this development and its effect on local environment and nature, negative impact of increased traffic and loss of public leisure and sport amenities.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU21T	Much as more affordable housing is required this proposal is in my view a gross overdevelopment, which will stress local infrastructure, roads, schools health facilities	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU252	We (family of 4) frequent the leisure park and use it's amenities many times a year. Building here will be a massive loss to us, especially as there is no other bowling alley or arcade nearby. It was also convenient for me [REDACTED] to have bowling, a cinema and restaurants all within the same vicinity.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2ce	The Leisure park and its amenities are important to all the surrounding areas. I also object the over development of the area with skyscrapers.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2G5	I greatly object to this application. The leisure park is a hugely important local amenity. My family have used and continue to use it: cinema, bowling, swimming and restaurants. Many a birthday party has been spent there. There is no equivalent locally. Please reject this application.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2G6	I lived here until recently and my daughter lives and goes to school in the area so i am here mutiple times a week. We go to the cinema, bowling and swimming here regularly. This is awful plan. It's already an overly congested area. It brings nothing to the area apart from lining greedy developers pocket whilst taking away important local amenities. The plans i have seen to move cinema etc to Lodge Lane car park is an equally grostetque idea for an already overly congested area.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2Hg	Will have a significant impact on surrounding areas. Is a vital	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2Hh	I live and work locally to the leisure park. It is a popular attraction for both locals and people from the wider area. There can be no justification for the destruction of these well-loved amenities. Please scrap these ridiculous, unethical plans.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:11 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2Hk	We don't need high rise buildings that will fall into disrepair and lead to antisocial behaviour.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2HI	Do not allow this!!	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2Hn	You will be taking away the heart of North Finchley! This place is our childhoods and the youth of today need somewhere to go. We don't need housing that is completely unaffordable!	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:36 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2JA	This site is the place we go for entertainment . It is essential to provide for all ages for relaxation. There is no other place young people can gather with their friends in a safe environment. There is enough building in North Finchley already. We are sick of every space, every office being turned into flats. We are gridlocked with traffic. No extra GP surgeries, schools,	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2JF	I have lived in this area for 37 years and have used and enjoyed the amenities at the Great North Leisure Park, first with my wife, then with our children, since then. It would be a very sad day if the centre was lost. It's a very important place of leisure for the local and wider communities. Please don't go ahead with the development. Thank you [REDACTED]	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2JM	it is the only swimming pool I can reach.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2JN	It is a basic leisure building in the area. The proposed development will also have a strong impact on higher traffic levels, overdensity, resources and other effects.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2JO	I completely object to this proposed redevelopment on a number of grounds. I am a member of the Better leisure centre at the site, and have visited at least 3 times a week for many years as it is the closest affordable swimming pool / leisure centre. The leisure centre provides the community with an affordable, well run health centre that is well utilised. There are no guarantees the replacement leisure centre would even have a pool, let alone be as affordable or well run. 25 stories is also way too high for the area. It will appear as a monstrous eye-sore and I doubt the 1485 proposed properties would be easily sold as there is already an abundance of 1-2 bedroom flats and town houses in the area, with many sitting unoccupied. I believe this development is in no way inspired by the betterment of the community and is simply being used as a vehicle for making as much money as possible for a number of investors, hence the drive to build as high as possible (25 stories?!) and cram as many properties as possible onto the site.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2JP	if you build this we will have no entertainment around us. the cinema and bowling is the only thing we really have and if you take it away we are left with nothing	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2MH	It would be a great loss of the facilities for families and children. We use these on a regular basis	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2n5	This has been the place of countless children's parties, group days out, place for young people to have fun safely, place for families to spend quality time together. The list is endless. We need more communal areas where both young and old can enjoy leisure activities and quality time communicating face to face. Please don't get rid of yet another such space in London which is so rare to find.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2o3	This is a great loss of a well used leisure facility. It is a very built up area already and a very busy route into London. The height of the buildings are completely out of character with the surrounding areas.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2o7	This is a well used facility for local adults and children.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2Pq	It is well used leisure center not only used by Barnet residence but all over the the borough. One can park easily. if you have a family or disabled. surely the mayor wants us to exercise and enjoy leisure and not sit at home in front of a screen	Support	1/18/2026 6:06:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2RS	I strongly object	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2Sv	I OBJECT	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2Sy	Loosing the great north leisure park will make a huge impact on local families and the wider community. This is where we bring our children to learn to swim, where their parents go to the gym, where a food bank resides. This is where we come tommake memories with our families and friends, where we come for parties and celebrations. Surely there doesn't need to me more flats here. What the area is more desperate for than cramming in more cramped housing is providing a range of facilities for those who already live there! Loosing a public swimming pool/ gym is really impactive on the health of those living in the area. This just seems so shortsighted and sadly, less about the local community and more about private companies making money.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:28 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2T0	<p>I object to this proposal because:</p> <p>Overcrowding: This will be one of the densest complexes in the country, with 4,300 people squeezed into an area the size of five football pitches. There are too few public spaces, amenities, and children's play areas.</p> <p>Unaffordable housing: The London Plan says that 50% of new homes should be "affordable", with a minimum of 35%, yet Regal proposes a meagre 22% and no social housing.</p> <p>Loss of entertainment venues: The Hollywood Bowl and the Vue cinema, the only such venues in this part of London, would be demolished with no comparable replacements. Young people and families will have no safe space to socialise, exacerbating rising mental health problems and antisocial behaviour.</p> <p>Poor transport services: TfL rates GNLP as having poor public transport access. There's only one major bus route and the Tube is 30 minutes walk away.</p> <p>Discrimination against car users: Only 18% of the flats have parking spaces, which is a third of what is required by Barnet's Local Plan. GNLP is remote and there are no major shops nearby. Many people need to use a car because they can't walk or cycle long distances.</p> <p>Damage to nature: Many trees will be removed. The plans threaten local wildlife, including the protected great crested newts at Glebelands Nature Reserve. Regal's application risks causing serious damage to a rare habitat.</p> <p>Bad design: The cluster of blocks up to 25 storeys' tall represents shoddy architecture. Barnet Council's own Urban Design Team has described the scheme as "unfit for purpose and in conflict with planning policy.</p> <p>Ignoring local people and visitors: Barnet Council failed to consult the community before allowing Regal to remove the entertainment venues.</p> <p>Regal's plan for GNLP totally ignores:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The additional provisions needed to support 4,300 new residents i.e. NHS, Dental & Educational services 2. The knock-on effect is that all these existing institutions will come under extreme pressure, and that, in turn, will affect everyone 3. The said cluster of tower blocks, so close to the 24/7 air, light & noise polluting North Circular, will only develop and/or exacerbate people with respiratory conditions. 	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2xk	This would be a disgraceful destruction of local and valued resources.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU2xw	Th	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU30k	<p>This is a popular area young people visiting the cinema, leisure centre and restaurants</p> <p>There'll be no where for them to socialise leading to boredom, no where to go and subsequently trouble.</p> <p>A ill thought out plan. How dare Khan sacrifice a social lifeline to meet his targets. The</p> <p>A shocking mayor who doesn't listen to the local community.</p> <p>The sooner the better he's gone or he can start to try to redeem himself by listening to the locals. Unbelievable</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU30l	What a disgraceful decision - people have frequented this place for years and it has been a great social place for many people, old and young alike. I urge you not to sell your souls and listen to the people not the rich corporations. You should be ashamed of yourselves.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU30m	There are less and less places for young people's social life. In a time where the pressure to achieve at school is high whilst their mental health is bad, getting rid of bowling, cinema and leisure centre is a very bad idea! Getting them to socialize and get busy instead of isolating on their computer, hanging on the streets and get bored! We should look after the new generations who will be looking after us when we grow old and make the future of our country!	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:37 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU30u	<p>I object to the proposed redevelopment of Great North Leisure Park. I am a local resident living in [your area/street] and I will be affected by the impacts of this scheme.</p> <p>My main reasons for objection are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Overdevelopment / scale: The height, bulk and density are excessive for the surrounding area and would harm the character of the neighbourhood. •Traffic, parking and public transport pressure: The development will add significant pressure to already busy local roads and will likely cause overspill parking in nearby residential streets, worsening safety and congestion. In addition, the Northern line is already overcrowded, and this scheme would increase demand on a transport network that is already stretched. •Loss of valued local facilities: The site currently provides an affordable leisure centre, a bowling alley that families use for children's birthday parties, and popular restaurants used by local residents. Replacing or reducing these would harm the community and reduce accessible local leisure options. <p>For these reasons, I ask the council to refuse the application or require a major redesign with reduced height/density, a robust transport plan (including measures to prevent overspill parking and address public transport capacity), and clear protection or genuine re-provision of the existing leisure/community uses.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:09:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU330	<p>The area is so over-populated we need to keep the Vue with all its amenities and facilities there not another tower housing development, the infrastructure, the over-population in Finchley, And Barnet as a whole is being over developed by developers and being sanctioned by our local councils, if the people on the council live in our area then they MUST see how over populated it is, our children need space, leisure facilities and plenty of parking for parents to allow their children to attend the great facilities by this beautiful are called The Vue Leisure Centre with a lovely bowling alley, cinema, swimming pool, restaurants ideal for children's birthday parties. Why why why demolish these fantastic facilities to allow more rubbish housing in an area already so so overpopulated by people who should not be in the area but outside London where there is space</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU35m	<p>Huge loss to local area (losing cinema, bowling, restaurants etc). Plans are hideous. Totally over developed. Local infrastructure already stretched and wouldn't be able to support new homes. Far too few affordable houses.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU35v	<p>Damage to the environment and habitat of different species, huge loss of local amenities, overcrowded housing proposal having potential impact on every aspect of living for local residents far and wide.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU38l	<p>It is not practical, it will be adding more traffic, less places for young people to go to. There is currently only one bus that goes to the local station.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3Aa	<p>I object due to the loss of important community amenities in an area that has already seen significant development.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3bs	<p>Not only do I think this is a sad situation that such a much-loved and popular area with amenities, such as a leisure centre, cinema, and bowling alley that I have used since I was a child is being remove. I am also shocked that housing is being built in an already busy area that is sometimes at a standstill due to traffic. It doesn't seem appropriate to add to this amount of traffic, and as a result add to pollution in the area, which will ultimately cause ill health.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:44 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3CX	<p>Unnecessary increased traffic and pollution on small narrow and already limited road infrastructure in a densely populated area</p> <p>There are a number of large secondary schools in the area, which already have challenging traffic drop off and parking issues, which are at breaking point.</p> <p>Local residents would struggle to access their homes, get to work/school/college or to local amenities when there's an influx of people which would increase journey times and cause gridlock in the area.</p> <p>There'll be public order offences for alcohol and drug related crime, causing a detrimental impact to the local residents. The Met police are struggling to meet the call demand, and respond to the 999 and 101 calls and keep up with investigations, so having an influx of people would increase the crime rate.</p> <p>Public transport is inadequate to cope with current demands, so introducing more people to the area will add extra stress to the infrastructure.</p> <p>Dog walkers, families and individuals would be unable to access green facilities because car parks will be taken up and increasing their costs to conduct their every day activities and leisure.</p> <p>Week nights and weekends would result in very chaotic time for local people, we will not be able to safely travel through the area because the pavements and roads would be congested, and more likely to have a collision.</p> <p>Local hospitals and the police may struggle to pass through the local area due to the increased congestion and roads therefore putting patients and the public at risk because the emergency services cannot attend or pass through safely and quickly.</p> <p>Huge loss of natural drainage and natural wildlife losing its natural habitat affecting the ecosystem and the overall flood issue for Barnet will be exacerbated. Barnet Council are spending millions of pounds on setting up flood defences to combat the problem across the borough, but this large patch of Greenbelt land in Barnet is proposed to be lost, which will have a devastating impact on the whole area.</p> <p>The noise from Traffic, congestion and inconsiderate driving will increase between 1300 and 1900 hours, and from 1800 and midnight on a weeknight, which will be very disturbing to local peace and sleep. This is not in keeping with the area of a local community who need the natural green space which has already over the last 10 years had losses with the building of other developments. The green space is heavily used by local people across the borough who appreciate the flat, safe, comfortable and welcoming environment and a new leisure centre placed in the middle of that will take that away overnight.</p> <p>The plans do not have any meaningful sustainable travel initiatives such as cycling facilities, public showers.</p> <p>Lastly these plans have no regard for the local community in Barnet and favour profit to the developers over the base needs, quality of life and extreme negative impact on local people. The leisure centre is a community hub and the plans to replace it are not as extensive.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3DP	I don't want to lose a great local place to bring my teen for cinema, bowls, swimming and food	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3Gt	<p>I don't want to lose a great local place to bring my teen for cinema, bowls, swimming and food</p> <p>the proposed development appalls me in terms of massing, height and development density. In traffic terms the current development causes enough problems, let alone with the proposed residential. We seem to have learned nothing from the huge high rise residential developments in 1960/80.</p> <p>Apart from the density issues the transport links are poor and incapable of coping with the significant increase in use that would result from this crazy scheme</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3gw	This build will ruin one of the only indoor leisure spaces in the local area. It is utilised by 1000s of families in the area each week. There are enough empty residences in the area all ready, and notwithstanding this it will generate too much traffic on an already busy and dangerous road junction causing risk.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3j2	This redevelopment is a clear money grab. The currently Finchley lido provides a much needed entertainment complex and gym for the local area with nothing of a similar note near by.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3j5	No need for high rise buildings either denser population and more anti social behaviour. We do need the social benefits provided by the cinema and other amenities x	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3j8	This is a massive over development. This location does not have the necessary transport infrastructure or enough local amenities eg not walking distance to shops, no spaces at local GPs and schools. I am particularly worried about the extra pressure this will put on the packed Northern Line trains and 263 bus route. And there is a total lack of parking both for residents and those who would like to visit the proposed new leisure centre. The current site has a very popular cinema, bowling alley, swimming pool and restaurants. It is used by lots of young families. It is only place of its type in the area and would be a real loss. There are lots of other flats and houses being built in the area including the site of the old Homebase and plans afoot for the old Pentland site on Squires Lane where there is already a high rise building and therefore is likely to be replaced with a high rise block of flats. The area can't cope with any more people or cars!	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3j9	In addition to the above.. where will the inhabitants go for GPs, schools, Dentists, Public Transport in an area already overcrowded - with more to come as large housing developments are in the area already. Has the mayor actually been to the site in a car and tried to leave in safety given the traffic in the area?	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3Jg	<p>By taking away this leisure centre from young people and families it will have a knock on effect on everyone else in the wider community. We need to ensure that young people and families have spaces for themselves to visit entertainment and keep themselves busy rather than roaming the streets for something better to do.</p> <p>This site should be expanding with more activities for the families and young people within the area and why the community to have. if need be put a better transport system in and take away the car park.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3jm	I want a Leisure Centre	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:25 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3jn	Huge amenity value for local residents which is very well used. We frequently use for swimming lessons and cinema with birthday parties at the Hollywood bowl. Would be a huge loss to the community.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3Jw	Detrimental to Barnet. We are already an over populated borough. Please do not erode further green areas and damage this area of Barnet	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3lc	I strongly object to the proposed demolition of the Great North Leisure Park to make way for a large-scale housing development. This leisure park has been a valued part of the community for decades, providing essential recreational facilities, restaurants, and a social hub that benefits residents of all ages. Replacing it with a massive housing scheme would place unsustainable pressure on already stretched local resources, including busy bus services, limited public transport, GP surgeries, and nearby hospitals. The area is simply not equipped to absorb such a significant increase in population. This proposal is impractical and disregards the long-term needs and wellbeing of the community. Rather than destroying a much-loved local asset, the site should be regenerated and invested in to enhance and support the existing leisure facilities that serve the area so well.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3Lf	This area is already extremely busy with thousands of new flats. We can't cope with more and more flats. Doctors and dentists in the area are already oversubscribed. This would take away leisure amenities for those for several miles around by taking away restaurants, bowling and cinema. The roads around there are already busy.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3Lg	The current leisure park is a great amenity for the local community and local families. The proposed plans would represent a material loss for the local area.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3lk	Hugely disappointing to see a plan to remove such an important amenity for young people of the area. Reducing local amenities for young people is a terrible idea. There are plenty of other brown field sites could be used for housing.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3IX	I object to this development as it takes away social amenities which this and the surrounding area greatly lack. It also creates a greater density of population which is already greater. There is also a lack of social amenities like doctors/ dentists/school places.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3nK	Enough is enough! Leave our recreation to be enjoyed	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3Ou	A massive over development of this site	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3Oz	This is a hub of the local community for the bowling, cinema and lido which cannot be lost.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3P0	This project is unsuitably dense for the area and will remove important amenities for the local population	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3P1	I strongly object to this development for the following reasons:- Impact on diminishing much used leisure amenity Lack of adequate parking Environmental impact during the work, and impact on local green space Poor design of housing-unsuitable for families, lacking green space, over density, effect of multi-storey housing which is rarely conducive to social cohesion, wind-tunnel effect	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3P2	This is overdevelopment and loss of an excellent leisure facility.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3Qd	The infrastructure is already broken. Where are the new schools coming from? More doctors more NHS dentists etc	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3Qf	This will lead to a dense complex, however with few amenities and public spaces. Besides we would be using the only entertainment venues (Hollywood Bowl, Vue cinema) in this part of London. There won't be similar replacement where young people and families could relax and socialise.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:22 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3Qg	This area is one of the few hubs in the local area for wellbeing. Where will we swim/sauna/gym? The outside pool is small and the picnic area a real asset. Well used. There are fewer pupils and schools need the money...	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3Qh	This is an aberration of a project that has been poorly thought through. It does not account for the infrastructure required to support the additional population: doctors, public transport (currently only served by buses), a reduction in parking facilities, access from the High Road. It should be rejected without chance for appeal until the matters above have been addressed.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3Qi	Thus is far too bigwe do not have the GPs , schools , or public transport to support a housing project of this size . The loss of all the leisure facilities would be devastating when we should be encouraging, more public swimming and gym spaces for health reasons .	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3Qj	We object to this over development and demolition of the leisure area.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3uw	I visit here frequently with my two boys. Would be so disappointing if this closes	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3Wm	A local area that is always in use. It offers a wealth of different choices. Sport cinema restaurants. There are no other alternatives around.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU3zv	The planned closure of the cinema, bowling alley and restaurants would be a huge loss to the local community at a time when we are encouraging less screen time and isolation and more social interaction. A hard no from me	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU44v	I strongly object to the proposed demolition of Great North Leisure Park. The site is an important community asset that provides accessible leisure, social, and recreational facilities for local residents of all ages. Its loss would significantly reduce opportunities for physical activity, social interaction, and wellbeing in the area.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:28 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU456	As established the park offers a much loved leisure facility for children and adults alike which is both affordable and readily accessible to a large catchment area. With all the much publicised concern about anti social behaviour why take away something that offers families harmless fun and chances to let off steam? It makes no sense.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU457	This leisure park is used by thousands of local families, we frequently use the cinema, swimming pool and bowling alley for enjoyment with our children and friends. Often eating in the restaurants nearby which provide employment to the local community. We've hosted numerous birthday parties at the swimming pool, bowling and cinema. There will be nowhere locally for the children to enjoy these activities. Yet more flats being built to congest the area and nothing for the kids to do! Please don't allow this to go ahead.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU473	At a time where there is SO little for young people to do in the area, it would be a terrible idea to take away a facility that enables them to gather safely, have fun and be entertained. The leisure park is incredibly popular in the local community and well used. My family and I are there on a weekly basis and have been since it opened in the 90s. Spaces like this are needed more than ever. If this turned into 1000+ flats, the impact on traffic, local services, the environment and the quality of living for the community would be negatively impacted. I strongly disagree with this proposal.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU476	I strongly object to the proposed redevelopment of Great Leisure Park, North Finchley. This development would result in the permanent loss of a well-used, accessible community leisure destination that currently provides cinema, bowling, restaurants, local employment, and a safe social space for families, young people, and older residents alike. The existing complex plays a vital role in North Finchley's evening economy and community life, particularly in an area already under pressure from congestion, overdevelopment, and strain on infrastructure. The proposal represents an excessive and inappropriate scale of development that is entirely out of keeping with the surrounding area. It would significantly increase traffic, parking stress, noise, and pressure on local services without delivering equivalent or meaningful public benefit. The design and density prioritise developer profit over community wellbeing and local character. Crucially, this scheme has already been roundly rejected at council level, reflecting the clearly expressed will of local residents and elected representatives who understand the area best. An executive override by the Mayor would undermine local democracy and public trust in the planning system. There has been no convincing justification for the loss of established leisure facilities, nor any credible replacement that meets the same social, cultural, and economic needs. Once demolished, this community asset will be lost forever. I therefore urge the Mayor to respect the council's decision, listen to local residents, and reject this proposal in full.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU477	Again Council /Mayor not listening to overwhelming objections to this development. This area with cinema restaurants etcetera is widely used by the community and very popular, its loss will be immense. The impact of this development will be huge and there is no infrastructure in place to support the increase in local population. I strongly object to this proposal.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU49g	Monstrous development. Out of keeping with local needs.	Object	1/18/2026 6:09:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4B9	The neighbourhood would miss a great leisure centre that secured jobs and made sure the young ppl had smth to do during their free time and kept them off the streets	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4BA	This is a well used and loved complex with lots of amenities for the local neighbourhood.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4BC	This is a major community hub and would be horrible to lose as well as have a massive influx of residential buildings creating more congestion and increase the traffic issues already present.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4BI	We have kids and we use the Cinema, restaurants and pool on a weekly basis. This will have impact on our lives.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4BJ	The development is over sized and inappropriate for the area. No further investment in local infrastructure will put pressure on an area that is already struggling. The volume of people growing in North Finchley very close by means the area just cannot cope. Improve and invest in the northern line, more school spaces, another doctor surgery and social places for children and then the area can cope with more housing.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4cE	Atrocious plan that will put a further strain on transport and local services.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4cG	Cinema, bowling, arcade, lido, restaurants. We use them all regularly!	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4cl	The foodbank hub is based there	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4cJ	This gives communities including teenagers a safe place to go and spend time together bowling, playing or watching movies rather than hanging outside using drugs and drinking. It strengthens the community. Giving people a chance to spend time together. It improves people's health. At the moment, government is spending a lot of money on preventative measures. Closing down a swimming pool, gym and classes goes against this.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:35 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4EJ	<p>I am writing as a nearby resident and parent of two children who frequently use Great North Leisure Park to register my objection to the proposed redevelopment plans.</p> <p>While I recognise the need for additional housing, the current proposal removes vital leisure facilities without guaranteeing accessible replacements. The cinema, restaurants, and open spaces form one of the few family-friendly hubs in this part of North London. For families like mine, these amenities provide safe, affordable, and convenient opportunities for recreation and community interaction. Their loss would significantly reduce local quality of life.</p> <p>The scale of the proposed residential development would also place unsustainable pressure on already overstretched infrastructure. Local schools are at capacity, GP practices have long waiting times, and traffic congestion is already a daily challenge around the A1000. There is no clear plan showing how these essential services will expand to meet increased demand.</p> <p>In addition, the development is likely to increase traffic, pollution, and safety risks for pedestrians—particularly children walking through the area. The proposal offers limited green space and lacks a genuinely community-centred design, replacing an established leisure destination with high-density housing that does not meet the needs of existing residents.</p> <p>Finally, many in the community feel the consultation process has been inadequate, with insufficient transparency around long-term impacts and timelines.</p> <p>I urge you to reconsider the proposal and ensure any redevelopment protects key leisure facilities, improves infrastructure, and meaningfully involves local residents.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4EK	<p>Having previously lived in North Finchley, redeveloping this site is poorly thought through. Traffic would be horrendous the area does not have the infrastructure to support a development of this size. Schooling, local amenities, transport, health services would all be negatively impacted.</p> <p>Parts of the development could be improved, for example the swimming facilities. Making the site mass residential would put too much strain on the local community.</p> <p>The borough is large enough to look at other sites for development. Over development is not the answer, we should be protecting communities</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4EN	Objection	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4eQ	This area is an integral part of the local community. People take their families there . Young people hang out there . Older people can get there and park with ease as everything is in one place . It is at the heart of the community. Where else are they supposed to go ??	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4eR	The area is over populated with minimal consideration for school, traffic, hospital and etc. We dont want to loose pool, bowling and resturants which is only area for young people to visit.	Object	1/18/2026 6:09:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4fk	Stop ruining our local area	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4hh	I use the facilities there with mu kids and grand children , it would be a travesty to the are including traffic congestion and loss of facilities used by thousand of people	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4hm	<p>The development will negatively affect the wildlife and nature in the Glebelands nature reservation as a construction work of this magnitude will inevitably produce a lot of noise, dust and rubbish.</p> <p>The building wouldn't fit into the surrounding townscape of medium sized buildings and would decrease the visual appeal of the area.</p> <p>The demolition of the existing leisure centre would deprive the local families of a place to go with friends and family as other similar leisure places are far away.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4ho	The leisure centre has been there since me [REDACTED] were born. We are now adults with our own children who we also take there now for birthdays, family days out and making memories. It would be a real shame to lose this and not bring our kids up with all the amazing amenities available. There is nowhere else is east finchley/muswell hill like it.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4i2	As usual, no thought to parking or loss of residential amenities. We don't need any more redevelopments here.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4i3	This is an area that many family spend time over weekend and a leisure centre. Removing such a compound has significant negative effect on family especially children's mental health.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4km	<p>To do away with the existing facilities that many people especially young people use to socialise and spend their free time would have a detrimental impact.</p> <p>It would also cause increased pressure on facilities including schools, gp's and without adequate parking also be an issue for surrounding areas.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4L7	<p>I will not be able to use the bowling alley and the cinema.</p> <p>Traffic will be so bad as it is already very busy</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:34 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4ma	Too much congestion. Access to East Finchley & beyond & Finchley Memorial Hospital on the opposite street would have too much traffic. Drive on that Main Street at least 3-4 times a week as it is the main access to go to places like Holloway Road & South East of London.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4mf	If this development goes ahead it's negative impact will be huge for the local youth community who are able to use the current facilities for socialising	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4mh	We need these amenities for the youth!	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:21 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4mZ	We don't want to lose the Vue, leisure centre, bowling or restaurants. It's a great, much loved amenity as it currently is.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4o0	There is already an issue regarding traffic in the local area that will be further exacerbated with this development. There are also very well served entertainment and dining options that the local community will lose. It's already so crowded in the area - let's not make the problem worse please.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4Q1	I OBJECT	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4Q4	Loss of really popular affordable entertainment hub including cinema, bowling, restaurants, swimming pools. Ugly tall blocks planned.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4QE	There is no entertainment locally for young people/ adults to go to to.Please do not demolish.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4Sn	We are a family of 5 and use the amenities offered at the Great North Centre on a regular basis. Two of our household use the Finchley Lido weekly, and we go to the bowling, cinema and/or restaurants at least monthly. Removing this facility will significantly impact our quality of life; there are no other community sport facilities nearby that are affordable and don't require a monthly membership. The NHS is fighting against a rise in obesity and the government is pushing for healthier eating and increased exercise. Destroying the Finchley Lido is a slap on the face against this policy and will result in a rise of local citizens who are less fit and as a consequence will put additional strain on the NHS, which is already bursting at the seams. This is simply ludicrous and would be comical if it weren't actually seriously being considered. I forcefully object on behalf of myself, my family, my community and the NHS.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4sT	This will remove valuable leisure facilities particularly the Vue cinema we need to balance housing with local amenities for people	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4sU	If mayor of London has got some times and travel here to take a look, or to look at the google map instant traffic if you couldn't spare any time, you'd see how bad the traffic there is. Building extra homes there is gonna be a enormous disaster to completely jam the whole place and the access to A406. Plus that's a place where our family visits regularly for swimming lesson weekly, and occasionally dinner and cinema. They would be erased if the cramped houses are built. Strongly oppose the decision	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4sV	We have used the leisure park since having small children. They have now grown up and we continue to use it to this day. This will be a loss to the local community. There are no alternative amenities if this kind locally. The parking availability also makes this a safe place to visit at night. Once this kind of leisure park goes it will not be replaced.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4T7	GNLP is a vital and central part of North London's leisure options, with little to no alternatives in the local area. The proposed block of Flats will severely over populate an already densely populated part of London, putting massive strain on infrastructure and services, and also negatively impact on traffic . Strong oppose.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU4T8	The existing bowling and cinema facilities are one of the few things for kids to do locally. Do not get rid of them	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU55u	Will rip up our community. Ive used all the facilities here since I was a child and now my children come here. The proposed relocation is also dire and will kill North Finchley High Street but taking over Lodge Lane. Ill thought out and needs to be stopped. Leave our local facilities alone! There is nothing remotely near by that resembles what Finchley lido and all the amenities!	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:01 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU55v	I use the gym in this retail park and the restaurants and bowling alley for my kids. There is not another local gym nearby with easy parking facilities which would stop me and my friends from keeping fit and healthy. I have tried a gym in Muswell hill but there is no pool nor is there the sense of community that there is here. I see people of all ages and abilities coming to use this gym and pool and we all say hi to each other. Losing this space would be a detriment to many people who use it on a daily basis. We have also attended many parties in the bowling alley and cinema and use it as family activity ideas in holidays.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU55w	There is already a lack of community amenities in this locality and this would be a huge loss and disaster if it were to proceed	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU55x	Leave out leisure centre alone	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU55y	The vie the pool and the cinema provides the services you can't get anywhere else since Barnet pool shut those services will never be restored.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU566	I think the loss of the cinema complex and other facilities would be a terrible loss for the local area.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU567	Please leave this for local use as family using this area. As no more alternative for our area. Build some thing to change but not just replace it with residential building.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU56E	Great place for entertainment for kids and place to eat. We often visit the cinema and bowling there.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU578	I love going to the vue and bowling every weekend please don't get rid of it	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU57U	It's destroying the only place that can someone have real fun over the weekend except just drinking alcohol on a pub.	Object	1/18/2026 6:09:06 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5ah	The loss on the young people in the area will be huge. Cinema is so expensive these days and the Vue offers affordable prices so kids/teens can go. Things to do, places to hang out with their friends. Yes it's ugly, maybe not the greatest use of space but to lose a pool, cinema, bowling and restaurants will be a terrible loss to the community.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5aj	Object to this development	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5aY	Why take away this from the local people? Where are the kids meant to go? It's always so busy there so literally makes no sense!!!! Selfish overdevelopment over local resources! And why does the mayor want to take even more away from the locals?	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5aZ	Will lead to a loss of leisure facilities which are needed for young people	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5c5	There is already extensive development everywhere. Removing these spaces undermines the social fabric of communities, which are essential for interaction, relaxation, and family time!	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5CC	It would be another loss to the local community.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5CD	Not good to take away leisure facility just to build more Overpowering flats	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5CH	This will remove a community hub when there are no others locally.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5dk	Although recognising the necessity for new housing, especially affordable housing, I believe the development that is proposed for high rise flats is unnecessary and such a large scale development will be detrimental to the environment. Local schools, GPs, roads, transport, services, retail, etc cannot sustain such a large increase in households.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5dl	This park serves as the only entertainment in the local area, demolishing it would be a huge loss to the surrounding area	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5Gw	There's no other amenities like this close by. Where is everybody supposed to go for bowling, swimming, cinema and some food? This is taking away things for people to do especially teenagers who we wish to encourage to go outside and do things. Where will they be able to go? Other than Watford or Finsbury Park I don't know of another bowling alley and I wouldn't feel comfortable with my child having to travel all that way. It's such a shame this is being taken away. There's not much to do in East Finchley or North Finchley. I lived in this area as a teen and loved being able to have a little independence and meet my friends and go out and do something fun.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5la	The loss of the cinema/restaurant/leisure centre will have a very negative impact on the local area for people to go to in addition to the impact on local services/ traffic	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5jx	This is a quite monstrous redevelopment of a popular leisure location. I am strongly against it.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5jz	I strongly object to the proposed redevelopment of Great Leisure Park, North Finchley. This development would result in the permanent loss of a well-used, accessible community leisure destination that currently provides cinema, bowling, restaurants, local employment, and a safe social space for families, young people, and older residents alike. The existing complex plays a vital role in North Finchley's evening economy and community life, particularly in an area already under pressure from congestion, overdevelopment, and strain on infrastructure. The proposal represents an excessive and inappropriate scale of development that is entirely out of keeping with the surrounding area. It would significantly increase traffic, parking stress, noise, and pressure on local services without delivering equivalent or meaningful public benefit. The design and density prioritise developer profit over community wellbeing and local character. Crucially, this scheme has already been roundly rejected at council level, reflecting the clearly expressed will of local residents and elected representatives who understand the area best. An executive override by the Mayor would undermine local democracy and public trust in the planning system. There has been no convincing justification for the loss of established leisure facilities, nor any credible replacement that meets the same social, cultural, and economic needs. Once demolished, this community asset will be lost forever. I therefore urge the Mayor to respect the council's decision, listen to local residents, and reject this proposal in full.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5k2	Grew up in N12. Awful decision	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5KH	Please retain the Vue cinema in any re-development plan.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5KI	Loss of community	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5KK	Unless the new development includes a swimming pool comparable to Finchley Lido, it will be a great loss of local amenities.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5ll	I like their cinema and other amenities	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5J	The youth & locals need amenities this is pretty much the only one locally. Barnet is already overcrowded.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5NS	Take away a valuable affordable leisure amenities for local people....	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:34 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5NZ	<p>I strongly object to the proposed redevelopment of Great Leisure Park, North Finchley.</p> <p>This development would result in the permanent loss of a well-used, accessible community leisure destination that currently provides cinema, bowling, restaurants, local employment, and a safe social space for families, young people, and older residents alike. The existing complex plays a vital role in North Finchley's evening economy and community life, particularly in an area already under pressure from congestion, overdevelopment, and strain on infrastructure.</p> <p>The proposal represents an excessive and inappropriate scale of development that is entirely out of keeping with the surrounding area. It would significantly increase traffic, parking stress, noise, and pressure on local services without delivering equivalent or meaningful public benefit. The design and density prioritise developer profit over community wellbeing and local character.</p> <p>Crucially, this scheme has already been roundly rejected at council level, reflecting the clearly expressed will of local residents and elected representatives who understand the area best. An executive override by the Mayor would undermine local democracy and public trust in the planning system.</p> <p>There has been no convincing justification for the loss of established leisure facilities, nor any credible replacement that meets the same social, cultural, and economic needs. Once demolished, this community asset will be lost forever.</p> <p>I therefore urge the Mayor to respect the council's decision, listen to local residents, and reject this proposal in full.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5qR	This is a terrible plan, this is a much loved leisure area which we highly depend on. The traffic is already terrible in this area.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5S8	I strongly object to this development	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5tM	The loss of this public amenity would be a huge loss to the community. It's a busy, well used cinema so why demolish this? Go elsewhere.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5Tn	<p>The A1000 is already heavily congested and struggles to cope with existing traffic levels. This development would significantly add to the chaos, making driving through the area increasingly unbearable and raising serious concerns about traffic gridlock and road safety. The High Road is already congested, and relocating the cinema further into this area would only worsen the situation.</p> <p>The current cinema is well located, benefits from free parking, and is supported by nearby places to eat, allowing visitors to access the site without placing additional strain on the High Road. Moving it would increase traffic pressure in an area that cannot accommodate it.</p> <p>In addition, there is already insufficient local healthcare and education infrastructure to cope with existing demand. This development would place further strain on GP services, schools, and other essential public services, without clear evidence that adequate provision will be made to support the increased population and visitor numbers.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5Tn	This leisure/retail park is an integral part of local life in North London, especially for children/families who make up a bulk of the residents here. It was a massive part of my childhood (I grew up in Muswell Hill), and I know it continues to be so for all the children in the local area. Every time I go there now it is still busy, and the loss of it would have a huge negative impact on the community.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:55 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5VG	<p>Done</p> <p>Planning Objection – London Borough of Barnet</p> <p>I object to this application on the grounds that the proposal, as currently submitted, fails to demonstrate compliance with the London Plan and Barnet Local Plan and does not provide sufficient information for the impacts of a development of this scale to be properly assessed.</p> <p>The proposal involves demolition of existing buildings and phased redevelopment to deliver up to 1,485 residential units across 20 buildings up to 25 storeys, together with leisure, commercial and sports facilities. This constitutes a major development of strategic scale, yet key matters are either inadequately assessed or deferred to later stages.</p> <p>In particular:</p> <p>1. Height, massing and character Buildings of up to 25 storeys are wholly out of keeping with the surrounding townscape. The application does not robustly demonstrate compliance with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • London Plan Policies D3 and D9 • Barnet Local Plan policies on tall buildings, townscape character and contextual height <p>There is insufficient justification for the scale, massing and cumulative visual impact of 20 linked buildings of this height.</p> <p>2. Phasing and deliverability No binding phasing strategy has been provided to demonstrate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How demolition and construction will be sequenced • How long each phase will last • What protections exist if later phases are delayed or not delivered <p>This creates a serious risk of long-term construction blight and land banking, contrary to good planning practice.</p> <p>3. Construction and demolition impacts Given the scale and likely multi-year construction period, the absence of a fully detailed and enforceable Construction Management Plan is unacceptable.</p> <p>There is inadequate assessment of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HGV routing and traffic disruption • Noise, vibration and dust impacts • Construction hours and weekend working • Impacts on vulnerable residents, schools and local businesses 	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5Wz	Finchley has already increased in population putting pressure on local services. Many of the existing houses have been divided into flats and evidence of construction of new residences is found all over the borough. This space is one of the few green spaces remaining in the area and it would be detrimental to the area to develop it with such density and height of buildings.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:08 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5xG	I object strongly against this housing plan! That area already has extreme problems with traffic which I sit in every night sometimes up to half an hour. This will only increase considerably with hundreds of new housing being proposed. The leisure park is heavily used by everyone in the immediate and surrounding areas. It's always very busy and one of the only places in the area for youngsters and teenagers to hang out safely. The pollution levels from the surrounding roads will be through the roof and the noise levels will increase. Please do not let this happen. It will be a travesty for all.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5yL	GNLP is a vital community resource for entertainment and dining. There is nothing else like it near by and it would be a real shame to replace this with over dense housing when the infrastructure in the area already stressed.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5Yy	I disagree with this re development of this site.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU5Z0	Strongly oppose any new development.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU61X	Loss of current shops and entertainment area. Proposed housing too high levels and density and too close to large road (A 406) with high levels of pollution unsuitable for housing. [The increase in traffic will also affect the surrounding roads.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU63c	This is a key leisure centre and space that is widely used. The loss of an affordable local cinema would be a disgrace. The swimming pool and gym services should be preserved for the local community. It is all very well and good to create living spaces, but those people need places to eat, things to do and shops to purchase from.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU63j	Not something I would want in the neighbourhood. Will have impact to nature and loss of open space.	Object	1/18/2026 6:09:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU66G	As more and more businesses are closing on the essential High Street, many of us use the North Finchley leisure centre for exercise, for eating and of course the cinema and bowling alley. [REDACTED] Getting rid of the cinema, will make trips to the cinema more difficult, especially for those of us who are disabled and already struggling to plan things for young children to do locally.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU69c	Leave the ONLY place to have a good motive alone please and thank you	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:20 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU69d	I strongly object to this redevelopment project and urge that it MUST be rejected due to severe over-density and the significant negative impacts it would impose on the area. The scale of the project would dramatically increase noise levels, traffic congestion and parking pressure, while also raising serious concerns about community safety and crime. Local infrastructure is already overstretched; there are insufficient school places, GP services and dental practices to meet current demand, let alone accommodate thousands of additional residents. Without adequate provision for essential services and infrastructure, this development would place an unsustainable burden on the community and significantly harm residents' quality of life.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6BB	Overdevelopment and negative impact on existing infrastructure. Loss of considerable suitable amenities already serving us in the local community. Local community has not been heard.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6bJ	This would be such a loss to the local community and should not happen! There are many people who would be terribly affected and so many would lose their jobs.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:16 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6bK	I want restaurants, cinema etc and not thousands of people. The area is very busy already and peak time traffic is horrible.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6bO	After Grenfell and the loss of life where fire engines were not equipped to reach people trapped in the upper floors building a higher structure not accessible to the fire brigade risked lives. Availability of medical is difficult to access at the moment with this additional building what provision is being made. Recent buildings in North Finchley has not resulted in additional medical provision of schools. It will be profitable for the developers but not the existing and new residents. The removal of a leisure facility for the surrounding community is a great loss.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6bP	I object to this planning application because: Overcrowding: This will be one of the densest complexes in the country, with 4,300 people squeezed into an area the size of five football pitches. There are too few public spaces, amenities, and children's play areas. Unaffordable housing: The London Plan says that 50% of new homes should be "affordable", with a minimum of 35%, yet Regal proposes a meagre 22% and no social housing. Loss of entertainment venues: The Hollywood Bowl and the Vue cinema, the only such venues in this part of London, would be demolished with no comparable replacements. Young people and families will have no safe space to socialise, exacerbating rising mental health problems and antisocial behaviour. Poor transport services: TfL rates GNLP as having poor public transport access. There's only one major bus route and the Tube is 30 minutes walk away. Discrimination against car users: Only 18% of the flats have parking spaces, which is a third of what is required by Barnet's Local Plan. GNLP is remote and there are no major shops nearby. Many people need to use a car because they can't walk or cycle long distances. Damage to nature: Many trees will be removed. The plans threaten local wildlife, including the protected great crested newts at Glebelands Nature Reserve. Regal's application risks causing serious damage to a rare habitat. Bad design: The cluster of blocks up to 25 storeys' tall represents shoddy architecture. Barnet Council's own Urban Design Team has described the scheme as "unfit for purpose and in conflict with planning policy." Ignoring local people and visitors: Barnet Council failed to consult the community before allowing Regal to remove the entertainment venues. Regal's plan for GNLP totally ignores: 1. The additional provisions needed to support 4,300 new residents i.e. NHS, Dental & Educational services 2. The knock-on effect is that all these existing institutions will come under extreme pressure, and that, in turn, will affect everyone 3. The said cluster of tower blocks, so close to the 24/7 air, light & noise polluting North Circular, will only develop and/or exacerbate people with respiratory conditions.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:48 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6bY	<p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment.</p> <p>My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space - Permanent removal of Vue Cinema, Hollywood Bowl and all restaurants - Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) - Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport - No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries - No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has 2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) <p>Please reject this application.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:09:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6Cq	We need to keep the swimming pool for the children that live locally . Not more houses	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:21 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6EQ	This is a loss to the community. The swimming pool where children have lessons will be lost. Furthermore, traffic will increase when it's already very busy	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:15 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6GH	<p>Dear Mayor Khan,</p> <p>I am writing as a local resident and regular visitor to Barnet to formally object to the proposed redevelopment of the Great Northern Leisure Park (GNLP) by Arada-Regal.</p> <p>GNLP is currently home to valued public amenities, including the Hollywood Bowl bowling alley, Vue cinema, restaurants, and the Finchley Lido leisure centre. The proposal would demolish these facilities and replace them with 1,485 small, predominantly unaffordable flats in tightly packed, high-rise blocks, in an area with poor transport accessibility and already overstretched public services.</p> <p>Barnet Council rejected this application on 4 December 2025, and it was also opposed by the local MP, Sarah Sackman. I respectfully urge you to uphold the council's decision and refuse this application.</p> <p>Parking and transport represent a fundamental failure of this scheme and a clear conflict with adopted planning policy. GNLP has a low Public Transport Accessibility Level (PTAL), with only one major bus route and the nearest Underground station approximately a 30-minute walk away. Despite this, only 18% of the proposed flats would have parking spaces, which is around one-third of the requirement set out in Barnet's Local Plan.</p> <p>This approach conflicts with London Plan Policy T6.1, which requires parking provision to reflect local PTAL levels, and London Plan Policy T6.5, which requires developments to avoid unacceptable impacts on surrounding streets. It also conflicts with paragraph 116 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), which states that development should only proceed where transport impacts are not severe. Given the site's immediate proximity to the North Circular Road, a Strategic Road Network corridor, the lack of realistic parking provision is entirely counter-intuitive and will inevitably lead to overspill parking, increased congestion, and worsening air quality.</p> <p>In locations with poor public transport accessibility, underground parking for residents should be considered essential. This scheme instead prioritises density over liveability, contrary to policy intent.</p> <p>The proposal represents clear overdevelopment of the site, a conclusion reached by Barnet Council's Strategic Planning Committee. Approximately 4,300 residents would be accommodated on a site equivalent to five football pitches, with insufficient public space, amenities, or children's play areas.</p> <p>This conflicts with London Plan Policy D3, which requires developments to optimise capacity without compromising quality or liveability, and Policy D4, which requires development to respect local character, massing and scale. It also conflicts with NPPF paragraph 135, which requires development to be sympathetic to local character and landscape. The cluster of buildings reaching up to 25 storeys creates an oppressive environment and represents poor urban design.</p> <p>The proposal also fails to deliver policy-compliant affordable housing. Only 25% of homes are proposed as affordable, with no social housing at all. This conflicts with London Plan Policy H4, which sets a strategic target of 50% affordable housing, and Policy H5, which establishes a minimum threshold of 35%. It also conflicts with NPPF paragraph 62, which requires housing to meet identified local needs, including family and social housing.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6Ha	<p>This is a very bad idea. It involves removing an excellent and much used and loved public facility with no feasible or sensible plans for its replacement.</p> <p>In addition, building high rise and cheap housing has been proved in the past to be a disaster. These tower blocks eventually turn into high rise slums as they did in the 60s and 70s. You should learn from past experience.</p> <p>Please cancel this very ill thought out development.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6hM	I am against this	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6HY	Definitely object.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:49 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6JH	The current leisure centre is a thriving busy place. Ample parking, which is now so rare in London.. We need activities and places for families to visit, a place families can drive to and have fun. The current set up is busy during the day, evenings and weekends. LEAVE IT ALONE.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6JO	Too many flats in a small area and decreases the amenities for the local area	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:51 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6L2	My friend's and I often go to these restaurants and the bowling alley. Also the cinema, it is a good place to go out to enjoy and everything is nearby to each other. It would be sad to see it go. Many families enjoy these places and especially the kids at the bowling during the holidays - [REDACTED] but can use the stand to push the bowls down and she loved the money machines.If this went she would be very sad. [REDACTED]	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6L4	We love the amenities here. Please stop taking away good things from Londoners	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6L5	The community spaces should be enhanced and not destroyed	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6L6	While I recognise the urgent need for housing in London and welcome investment into our town centres, I object to this application on the grounds that it represents a missed opportunity for thoughtful, long-term regeneration, and risks delivering a short-term volume gain at the expense of long-term community benefit. The current proposal appears to be housing-led in name and intent, but fails to integrate meaningfully with the needs and potential of North Finchley as a suburban centre.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6L7	This is a busy well used amenity and the replacement is not sufficient	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6L8	I strongly object to the proposed redevelopment of Great Leisure Park, North Finchley. This development would result in the permanent loss of a well-used, accessible community leisure destination that currently provides cinema, bowling, restaurants, local employment, and a safe social space for families, young people, and older residents alike. The existing complex plays a vital role in North Finchley's evening economy and community life, particularly in an area already under pressure from congestion, overdevelopment, and strain on infrastructure. The proposal represents an excessive and inappropriate scale of development that is entirely out of keeping with the surrounding area. It would significantly increase traffic, parking stress, noise, and pressure on local services without delivering equivalent or meaningful public benefit. The design and density prioritise developer profit over community wellbeing and local character. Crucially, this scheme has already been roundly rejected at council level, reflecting the clearly expressed will of local residents and elected representatives who understand the area best. An executive override by the Mayor would undermine local democracy and public trust in the planning system. There has been no convincing justification for the loss of established leisure facilities, nor any credible replacement that meets the same social, cultural, and economic needs. Once demolished, this community asset will be lost forever. I therefore urge the Mayor to respect the council's decision, listen to local residents, and reject this proposal in full.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6LD	I'm deeply concerned about the effect this development and the resultant addition of people will have on our local amenities, transport routes, and particularly our very stretched health services. This plot should be redeveloped into something which will really benefit and bring joy to the locals as the previous leisure centre has done for many decades. This can be a fantastic space for fitness facilities (better pools, Astro pitches etc). Further clinics / GP practices / services could be hosted here or something which expands on the glebelands green spaces. We can't pack more people into this area without thought to the quality of services and life outside home in our community.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6MO	We often visit the leisure center for its many facilities (cinema, bowling, restaurants and the pool). Will be a real loss to the families living close by.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:44 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6MP	<p>I wish to formally object to the above planning application.</p> <p>This proposal represents a permanent and damaging loss to the local community. The Great North Leisure Park is not a redundant or disposable site. It is a long-established, well-used leisure space that has served generations of families for decades. Its removal would irreversibly change the character, balance, and liveability of the area.</p> <p>The proposed development introduces a substantial volume of new residential flats into an area already under significant pressure. This level of density is entirely out of keeping with the existing neighbourhood. It places strain on local infrastructure, transport, schools, GP services, and public amenities, while offering no meaningful replacement for the leisure facilities being removed.</p> <p>Most concerning is the complete disregard shown for community value and continuity. Many families, including my own, have lived in this area for over 40 years. We grew up using this space as children, and now bring our own children here. It is one of the few remaining places where generations overlap, where local life is visible, and where community is not abstract but lived.</p> <p>The leisure park provides social, physical, and mental health benefits that cannot be replaced by private residential development. Once lost, this type of shared space cannot be recreated. The proposal prioritises short-term development gain over long-term community wellbeing.</p> <p>It is also deeply troubling that these plans were previously rejected by the council, only to be overridden at mayoral level. This undermines local democratic decision-making and sends a clear message that the voices of residents who live here, raise families here, and contribute to this area are secondary to development targets. There appears to have been no meaningful reconsideration of the impact on established communities.</p> <p>This development does not reflect the needs, scale, or character of this part of North London. It removes a vital community asset and replaces it with a high-density scheme that offers no equivalent public benefit.</p> <p>For these reasons, I strongly object to this application and urge that it does not proceed. The long-term social cost to the area far outweighs any perceived benefit of this development.</p> <p>Once this space is gone, it is gone forever.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6mQ	The area does not need more blocks of hi rise flats. Has nothing been learned from the planning disasters of the past	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6Ph	This is a very busy development with cinema restaurants etc and would be a great loss to the community if this was redeveloped into housing.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6RU	We don't have much leisure facilities near the area. I am against changing the area to more flats.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6sn	The leisure facilities are well used & easily accessible by car or bus. The area can not do without these facilities whilst they hat moved to a new location	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6sp	Any new development here should include replacements to the leisure and entertainment facilities. This includes the large cinema and bowling alley which is used frequently particularly by the area's younger generation. There are few alternatives entertainment facilities close by.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6sq	No consideration for extra services such as doctors/schools, leisure amenities, impact of over density, impact on locals, and increased traffic pollution.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6ss	Too high. too close together fire risk on the scale of the disaster in Hong Kong andGrenfell. Limited parking spaces leading to access parking in the side streets the entrance isn't big enough as well The destruction of amenities Finchley Lido which will not be value for money spent if rebuilt	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6Sx	My family, my kids and their friends go to the Vue cinema, restaurants and swimming pool all the time, we don't want to lose the amenities and instead have yet another housing development	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6vs	Keep Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl!	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6vt	This will have an impact on many families who have somewhere safe and affordable to take their children for entertainment. It is a very popular venue and desirable as you can park somewhere well lit.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6xb	It is an overdevelopment on the site. There are no adequate transport links.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6xc	The current space provides affordable entertainment, sports and leisure indoor facilities (including gym, bowling and cinemas) where local families and teenagers can spend quality time in a safe space. The project does not mention how these community spaces will be preserved or upgraded. Also it seems that the population density will increase significantly with 25-storey buildings, with no clear plans to mitigate traffic congestion in the highroad, which is already bad.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6Xk	I wish to formally object to this proposal due to its unsustainable density and negative impact on the local area. The building heights are entirely out of scale with the existing neighborhood character, creating an overbearing presence and a loss of privacy for current residents. Furthermore, the parking provision is dangerously inadequate and will inevitably lead to hazardous overspill onto already congested streets. Finally, the destruction of Finchley Lido and surrounding businesses removes a vital community asset that cannot be replaced. This application represents significant overdevelopment and should be refused.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:42 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6XI	This is an overly dense development with loss of useful facilities. The plot could retain the pool and restaurants in a more creative way, with green area and lower rise developments whereas this looks like it will become like the Heygate Estate	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:43 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6Xm	There are very little places in Barnet that residents, young and old are able to go to, and to lose all of this will have a huge impact on everybody! It's crazy that this was even thought of in the 1st place. Who comes up with such stupid ideas??	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU6Xx	<p>This is, all round, a terrible design for the local area and London. The plans completely ignore the Local Plan and will reduce quality of life from the area, as well as economic prosperity. Here are some of the many reasons this needs rejecting:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Extremely dense relative to amenities (cf. Matthew Pennycook: "new housing... must be matched with new amenities and new infrastructure" (16/12/25). 2. Loss of incredibly important entertainments complex, for which developer has failed to accurately assess footfall and community value. Inadequate substitute provision on site for children over 10 years old, e.g. the thousands of teenagers who use the bowling alley and cinema on a regular basis. 3. Inadequate assessment of the attraction to people outside of Barnet who visit the entertainments on a regular basis. 4. Biased and incomplete assessment of existing transport infrastructure, e.g. 263 bus route. 5. Parking provision for disabled people is poorly designed against overall spaces-per-resident. 6. Quality of light to flats leaves approximately 30% in shadow for most of the day. 7. Developer greed is through the roof: affordability ratio extremely low, quantity of small single bed flats very high and developer plans to draw down further on tax payers money to reach a 25% threshold, while applying for 50% CIL discount through fast tracking, which means Barnet Council won't be able to afford to implement necessary amenities. 8. Hardly any social homes - approximately 150 overall is pathetic. Anything less than 50% of a development (excluding affordable, shared ownership, etc.) should be considered a governmental failure to address the housing crisis, but this is at 10%. 9. Developer has misrepresented level of consultation repeatedly, claiming "ongoing" discussions with partners and communities, but never entering into dialogue. E.g. when Barnet Council's Cabinet ordered a second consultation on downsized leisure centre plans, developer instead offered 2 online 'informational webinars' and failed to talk to communities. 10. National, regional and local wildlife experts have repeatedly warned of likely serious breaches of legal wildlife protections and obligations. Council officers indicate potential for "serious harm" to Great Crested Newt habitat, which is illegal. Developer has ignored responsibilities to local nature reserve. 11. Nothing in this development will bring house prices down for the borough because it will do almost nothing to absorb the high demand for family and social homes. <p>Offering a chance to make conditional adjustments to a scheme like this will require a substantial amount of public funding because the developer is absolutely wedded to maximising profits over people. That they have already brazenly stated their intention to qualify for the 50% CIL waiver, which is further evidence that they cannot be trusted to serve communities and local authorities struggling with a housing crisis and should not be dealt with.</p> <p>Repeated assessments of PFI partnerships prove a loss of value for every £1 of public funds put in. The evidence is all here to point to how little return or benefit this development will provide for communities in Finchley. What's missing is the moral backbone in Labour to reject this out-and-out profit-driven scheme providing investment opportunities to the super rich for something that tries to deliver actual homes people will want to live in.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU72M	The children in this area already have nowhere to go. They hang out in Parts and they don't have safe places to be. This is one of the only places they can go. They can go bowling they can go to the cinema they can go to McDonald's children teenagers they need this place and adults alike we don't want your over populated homes we don't want to lose this. Nobody wants this that I know please don't ruin our local amenities. this is our home. This is our children's space. We don't want it destroyed for the love of your money and greed.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU73t	<p>Excessive Scale & Height: 1,485 flats in 20 buildings up to 25 storeys is overdevelopment. The massing is out of character with the suburban area and overwhelms the local skyline and community.</p> <p>Loss of Community Leisure: Demolition removes cherished amenities (Vue cinema, Hollywood Bowl bowling alley, and restaurants). This erases key social and recreational facilities residents rely on.</p> <p>Infrastructure Overload: Adding roughly 3,000+ new residents without new local GP surgeries, schools, or community facilities will strain Barnet's already stretched public services. Existing healthcare and education resources cannot absorb this increase.</p> <p>Parking & Traffic Woes: With only ~0.17 parking spaces per home, inadequate parking will flood nearby streets. The site's poor public transport links (PTAL 2) mean many residents will drive, worsening congestion on already busy roads and junctions.</p> <p>Poor Contextual Fit: 25-storey towers and bulky blocks would loom over low-rise neighborhoods, causing visual intrusion and overshadowing. The scheme fails to integrate with Finchley's character or respect the existing community fabric.</p> <p>Support Redevelopment in Principle: The site can be improved, but it must retain key community assets (cinema, bowling alley), significantly reduce height and density, and better fit the local context and infrastructure capacity.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:46 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU73x	I feel this development will have a negative impact on the local area, especially as there will be increased pressure on local services such as GP surgeries schools etc. This is likely to already increase traffic on surrounding roads especially through roads like manor view and squires lane. Finally, I am also concerned by the loss of the leisure services that this park currently provides which will not be replaced	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:34 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7ab	Continuing to build large scale housing, without proper infrastructure will have major impact on the long term. For society to function, we need to have local spaces to socialise and appropriate infrastructure for volume of homes. Without increasing surgeries, schools, transport and social spaces in line with volume of homes and people, you will continue to just add pressures to existing residents, councils and services. We need to protect social spaces, that are convenient to get to by car.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7ac	This development would have a highly negative impact on the local area, removing a well-used group of leisure facilities, worsening traffic at a junction where jams are already common, and impinging on a space which improves the area's biodiversity.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7ae	This will take away important well being from local residents and introduce high density population with no new public health or community amenities. It would be such a bad idea.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:39 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7Ax	No one in the local area wants this development. The cinema, bowling alley and swimming pool are important local amenities for our young people. Kids need places to go and Nando's and Wagamamas are always popular. We don't need more flats, we need our bowling alley, cinema, pool and restaurants. This is just to make a few people a lot of money, not one local person I know supports it.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7Ay	Ridiculous.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7bt	This sight is used for the local community to come together and enjoy time with family and friends. It would be tragic if such an important recreational place for those who have been coming here for generations was lost	Object	1/18/2026 6:09:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7di	This will create parking and traffic chaos in and a deprivation of local amenities and jobs	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7dl	Would cause untold traffic and accidents in an area which already has a high traffic flow	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7FA	Strongly object	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7FB	Please don't take this away. I'm a pensioner, use the facilities weekly. Where will we go. Too many flats being built. Lack of parking and amenities. Leave North Finchley alone. We have enough.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7f	North Finchley does not have the infrastructure to serve the population of these high rise flats which would look a monstrosity. Why do they have to be so tall? There is vacant land along East Finchley High Road where there used to be a useful petrol station to serve the community and which has stood vacant for many many years opposite Martins primary school - why can you not use this land instead?	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:11 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7lg	This is one of the only spaces where local residents can go to relax and enjoy themselves and you plan to replace it with yet another of the soulless housing developments that are crowding the area. Decisions like these have been slowly eroding the character of this and the surrounding areas for some time now. I strongly object to the continued decimation of spaces which bring members of our community pleasure and joy when there are so few alternatives available. There will also inevitably be the negative outcomes that are frequently associated with boredom and frustration as a result of the loss of amenities, particularly in the younger demographic of the local community.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7li	Over development within an area already experiencing lack of essential services. The fact that there is no parking provision within the development will result in chaos for local homeowners.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:10 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7lj	<p>Dear Mayor Khan,</p> <p>I am writing as a local resident and regular visitor to Barnet to formally object to the proposed redevelopment of the Great Northern Leisure Park (GNLP) by Arada-Regal.</p> <p>GNLP is currently home to valued public amenities, including the Hollywood Bowl bowling alley, Vue cinema, restaurants, and the Finchley Lido leisure centre. The proposal would demolish these facilities and replace them with 1,485 small, predominantly unaffordable flats in tightly packed, high-rise blocks, in an area with poor transport accessibility and already overstretched public services.</p> <p>Barnet Council rejected this application on 4 December 2025, and it was also opposed by the local MP, Sarah Sackman. I respectfully urge you to uphold the council's decision and refuse this application.</p> <p>Parking and transport represent a fundamental failure of this scheme and a clear conflict with adopted planning policy. GNLP has a low Public Transport Accessibility Level (PTAL), with only one major bus route and the nearest Underground station approximately a 30-minute walk away. Despite this, only 18% of the proposed flats would have parking spaces, which is around one-third of the requirement set out in Barnet's Local Plan.</p> <p>This approach conflicts with London Plan Policy T6.1, which requires parking provision to reflect local PTAL levels, and London Plan Policy T6.5, which requires developments to avoid unacceptable impacts on surrounding streets. It also conflicts with paragraph 116 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), which states that development should only proceed where transport impacts are not severe. Given the site's immediate proximity to the North Circular Road, a Strategic Road Network corridor, the lack of realistic parking provision is entirely counter-intuitive and will inevitably lead to overspill parking, increased congestion, and worsening air quality.</p> <p>In locations with poor public transport accessibility, underground parking for residents should be considered essential. This scheme instead prioritises density over liveability, contrary to policy intent.</p> <p>The proposal represents clear overdevelopment of the site, a conclusion reached by Barnet Council's Strategic Planning Committee. Approximately 4,300 residents would be accommodated on a site equivalent to five football pitches, with insufficient public space, amenities, or children's play areas.</p> <p>This conflicts with London Plan Policy D3, which requires developments to optimise capacity without compromising quality or liveability, and Policy D4, which requires development to respect local character, massing and scale. It also conflicts with NPPF paragraph 135, which requires development to be sympathetic to local character and landscape. The cluster of buildings reaching up to 25 storeys creates an oppressive environment and represents poor urban design.</p> <p>The proposal also fails to deliver policy-compliant affordable housing. Only 25% of homes are proposed as affordable, with no social housing at all. This conflicts with London Plan Policy H4, which sets a strategic target of 50% affordable housing, and Policy H5, which establishes a minimum threshold of 35%. It also conflicts with NPPF paragraph 62, which requires housing to meet identified local needs, including family and social housing.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7iT	There will not be enough school spaces and Gp services to provide for the local population	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7IU	This is a disaster for the local area - the infrastructure around cannot support this and the traffic will be even worse then it is on the High Road. It will have negative impacts on the economy and desirability to live in North London.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7IV	Loss of community	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:22 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7IY	Not appropriate to have 25 storeys buildings in finchley! There is no infrastructure to host such huge number of flats, no schools, GPs, a new hospital??? Ridiculous idea. Strongly against!	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:45 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7IZ	I object to this monstrosity of a development	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7js	There aren't enough youth facilities in the area so taking this away will be detrimental to the community and youth.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:10 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7ju	Objection	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:48 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7K0	These developments destroy the amenity for existing residents with their over-density and building heights. They do not solve the housing crisis. The government cannot afford to build the infrastructure (sewage, water supply, healthcare facilities) so they look for private, often overseas investors (such as Australian pension funds) for these build-to-rent estates. The private investors need to make a profit for their shareholders and the rentals are therefore at the market price, i.e. unaffordable for Londoners. The required proportion of 'affordable housing' has been lowered to 20%, yet the housing associations which normally buy up the 'affordable housing' quota, are also short of funds. Flats are hard to sell to owner occupiers at the market prices. The result will be an estate that may never be completed and occupied, with the investors pulling out and the local environment destroyed.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7K1	I live locally with my husband [REDACTED] This is one area of recreational activities which I can take him to safely. There's parking and accessibility, and feels safe. Unlike most areas in London where it's just [REDACTED]	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7Lg	Cinema and bowling making big part in local leisure centres for family. If these will be destroyed, we won't have nothing left locally to go spend some time with family.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:17 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7Lp	It is our only leisure centre esp for young people. Removing it will damage the community and lead further to youth disenfranchisement and antisocial behaviour. Please don't do it. Thanks	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:05 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7Lt	The leisure park is an important family space for local entertainment. It provides an affordable and safe family outing and losing it would be a catastrophic loss to families living in the area.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7od	This is not necessarily we have seen a lot of new developments. This is not required. There is not the infrastructure Of schools doctors or dentists. Please listen to local people. Thank you	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:44 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7oe	We need facilities or this area will become a dormitory!!!	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7Qd	There are no other bowling places nearby and the bowling and cinema are a key place young people can have safe fun on a cold day	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:19 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7Qh	Loss of local activities for families	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:00 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7SF	Outrageous loss of local amenities with too much residential provision to the area	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7sY	This will be a great loss for the youth in North London of a safe place to enjoy leisure activities.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:58 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7TI	I object to the demolition of this site and the building of high rise flats.I enjoy using the cinema and restaurants in this location. There are other facilities I don't use however, I know others do and they will also be missed if this project goes ahead. Build this elsewhere....preferably out of London. London can't cope with provding the support services required for more people in the capital (i.e.Schools, Hospitals, Dentists etc etc).	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7tS	Objection	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7Ve	This is a well used local amenity and the proposal to build these may new houses will have a massive impact in the local infrastructure	Object	1/18/2026 6:09:02 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7VS	Losing these facilities would be disastrous as it is a fun place for all to get together locally.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:11 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7VT	We will miss the local amenities that we all use locally. We really need this facility for our generation and the future generation. I have disabilities and need the facilities for myself and my peers with disabilities.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:18 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7wp	There are no places left where we can have a family outing and its a loss of open space for families as there ate no other leisure centers like this around	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:20 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7wq	Ridiculous idea. Well visited place, leave it be.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7wr	There will be a loss of convenient local amenities if this development goes ahead in its current form.	Object	1/18/2026 6:09:04 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7yQ	I strongly object to the proposed redevelopment of Great Leisure Park, North Finchley. This development would result in the permanent loss of a well-used, accessible community leisure destination that currently provides cinema, bowling, restaurants, local employment, and a safe social space for families, young people, and older residents alike. The existing complex plays a vital role in North Finchley's evening economy and community life, particularly in an area already under pressure from congestion, overdevelopment, and strain on infrastructure. The proposal represents an excessive and inappropriate scale of development that is entirely out of keeping with the surrounding area. It would significantly increase traffic, parking stress, noise, and pressure on local services without delivering equivalent or meaningful public benefit. The design and density prioritise developer profit over community wellbeing and local character. Crucially, this scheme has already been roundly rejected at council level, reflecting the clearly expressed will of local residents and elected representatives who understand the area best. An executive override by the Mayor would undermine local democracy and public trust in the planning system. There has been no convincing justification for the loss of established leisure facilities, nor any credible replacement that meets the same social, cultural, and economic needs. Once demolished, this community asset will be lost forever. I therefore urge the Mayor to respect the council's decision, listen to local residents, and reject this proposal in full.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU7yR	The existing GNLP leisure park in North Finchley is not just a collection of buildings-it is a vital community space. Cinemas, bowling, and restaurants are places where people of all ages come together, socialise, and unwind. For many of us, this is the only well-designed leisure destination within easy reach. Relocating these facilities to an already overcrowded and significantly smaller site makes little practical sense. That area is visibly cramped and lacks the capacity to replace what we currently have. It is difficult to understand why such a location was chosen, especially when it cannot offer the same accessibility, comfort, or experience. The current leisure park gives a positive identity to the locality. It is loved by young people, families, and older residents alike. Removing it feels like taking away something precious that genuinely works, and replacing it with a poorer substitute - feels less like improvement, more like loss.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU800	I am a regular visitor to this venue to see movies, balling going to restaurants as this closest to Southgate. I don't understand why these area cannot stay in its current state. I strongly object to these developments. I am a Barnet ratepayer.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU84s	Unacceptable. This is our bowling alley, arcade, and cinema. It's the only place where we can have a little bit of fun. Don't take it away from us.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:44 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU84t	This will be building on an already over populated area. The traffic will be a lot worse. Our schools, Doctors surgeries and hospitals are already struggling. We have a lot of new homes going up in the area already. This really shouldn't go ahead.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU881	High density high rise buildings are unsuitable for this area. The development will mean the loss of valued leisure amenities in this area. There is insufficient affordable housing in the development. It also appear that there has been no thought about how the huge number of new residential properties would put additional pressure on other services such as GPs, nursery places etc.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:09 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU89b	The area is already overcrowded with no where to park. [REDACTED] and have been living in finchley my whole life. This area should be kept how it is.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU89f	We can't get there by public transport and there isn't such a good facility around with bowling and swimming with a wave pool and restaurants and parking. Please don't knock it down.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU89g	<p>This development would result in the permanent loss of vital community leisure facilities, including the existing restaurants, cinema and bowling alley. These spaces are not simply commercial units – they are important social hubs that provide safe, positive environments for families, young people and the wider community to meet, socialise and spend their time constructively.</p> <p>We already live in a country facing increasing overpopulation and pressure on housing, infrastructure and services. Continuing to prioritise high-density residential developments at the expense of community and leisure spaces creates an unbalanced environment and fails to consider the long-term wellbeing of residents.</p> <p>There is a significant lack of consideration for children, young people and families in this proposal. Removing social and recreational spaces takes away positive activities and safe places for young people to go, increasing the risk of isolation, boredom and anti-social behaviour. These facilities play an essential role in community cohesion, youth engagement and crime prevention, and their loss would be deeply detrimental to the area.</p> <p>Furthermore, while the proposal includes new residential units, there is insufficient justification for the removal of established, well-used leisure amenities that currently serve the community. Development should enhance communities, not strip them of the very spaces that support mental health, social interaction and family life.</p> <p>For these reasons, I strongly object to this planning application and urge the planning authority to reconsider this proposal, prioritising the preservation of community spaces and a balanced approach to development that supports residents of all ages.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:22 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8BC	<p>Have be using this centre for over 40 years The traffic around NW Lindon is already impossible ! Further more who is going to be able to afford all these flats! So many have been bildd and remind empty Or only the very expensive flats for the rich !! Have you thought of the Pollution !</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:13 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8bP	<p>Very much opposed to this development. There is neither the infrastructure, public transport, schools or healthcare facilities to support the potential number of residents.</p> <p>The current leisure facilities, pool, gym, cinema and bowling are a vital lifeline for the community.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:09:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8eH	Please do not allow this application to continue. It's a vital amenity for the local community. We do not want not more housing we are already overcrowded, we want affordable leisure facilities! There are no other leisure facilities for miles! No no no!!!	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:21 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8eI	It's shocking at how a 25 storey building would be considered in an area that is already over developed and the infrastructure isn't there to cope with this huge development. The main road has already been restricted to allow for all the 'huge numbers of cyclists' and on any given night traffic going into the leisure park is busy! The facilities there provide vital leisure activities for locals and residents of the neighbouring areas. I highly object to this development plan and any other that may come Along! It's destroying the area and the huge density of people will definitely cause traffic congestion and if not planned properly encourage antisocial behaviour. It should not be allowed to proceed especially as so may have signed pertains it.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8Hg	<p>North Finchley leisure centre has been a great facility serving the local communities from Barnet, Toterridge and Whetstone and Finchley areas.</p> <p>Replacing this will have a huge impact to the residents of these areas as there aren't any other recreation centres around these areas</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8Hp	Loss of local amenities is the main reason for objection	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8hT	<p>This is part of the fabric of Muswell Hill. The proposed development will add nothing fundamentally better to the area compared to what we will lose.</p> <p>It seems like a money grabbing mission. No thought to what the area will lose in character.</p>	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8hU	This is a community area.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8j5	I have been going there nearly all my life from eating out to going bowling/cinema - it's great to go somewhere local - now my teenage son goes there, I rather him go local than into Central London. Its the only decent place in our Borough. We need this place for our kids and families. If this place closes where will our kids go... there will be no where, so they will just be walking the streets - hence more.trouble.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:19 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8j8	A few hundred parking spots for several thousand people, no new train/bus services, no explicitly included medical centre (all GPs full), & no new school (they're all full nearby). This is poorly thought out and is only to going make a few rich people richer. You approve this Mayor and you'll have lost my vote	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:54 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8JK	This is a valued and popular local amenity hence to replace it with more housing is criminal. There is simply not the infrastructure needed in terms of hospitals, doctors, schools etc to support more housing in the area. The replacement amenities in lodge lane car park will swamp North Finchley high street further so the plan is poorly conceived on all fronts.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8JN	This is where our kids can safely hang out	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8ki	This park has been such an important local facility, for sports and entertainment, we need places in the real world where people meet and do real things in the real world. I object!	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8kj	I object as the a1000 is already extremely congested in this area and it's unrealistic to believe that a lot of the new housing occupants won't have cars even if they have no designated space. we regularly use all of the amenities in on the retail park is set out well for families in its current configuration.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8Ma	This is unnecessary to develop this site. The leisure existing facilities are widely used by our community. This will have a detrimental impact on our already overcrowded community, not to mention the noise pollution during construction.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:12 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8mN	This place is an essential of the community. With the lack of these resources already please do not remove any more. Children and adults spend many days enjoying this leisure. Shutting this place is essentially supporting the destruction of the youths future	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8nt	This is a place for families and children to relax. In all the many Haringey proposals I have read I see next to no mention of children - playgrounds, spaces to hang out etc. Where are children supposed to go? They need places to be and meet up in real life.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8nz	I strongly object to the proposed redevelopment of Great Leisure Park, North Finchley. This development would result in the permanent loss of a well-used, accessible community leisure destination that currently provides cinema, bowling, restaurants, local employment, and a safe social space for families, young people, and older residents alike. The existing complex plays a vital role in North Finchley's evening economy and community life, particularly in an area already under pressure from congestion, overdevelopment, and strain on infrastructure. The proposal represents an excessive and inappropriate scale of development that is entirely out of keeping with the surrounding area. It would significantly increase traffic, parking stress, noise, and pressure on local services without delivering equivalent or meaningful public benefit. The design and density prioritise developer profit over community wellbeing and local character. Crucially, this scheme has already been roundly rejected at council level, reflecting the clearly expressed will of local residents and elected representatives who understand the area best. An executive override by the Mayor would undermine local democracy and public trust in the planning system. There has been no convincing justification for the loss of established leisure facilities, nor any credible replacement that meets the same social, cultural, and economic needs. Once demolished, this community asset will be lost forever. I therefore urge the Mayor to respect the council's decision, listen to local residents, and reject this proposal in full.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:35 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8o0	This proposal will have a huge impact on the traffic, i live very close to this facility and take my children to swimming and bowling and the traffic is already very heavy and takes 15 mins in peak times to to travel just 1 mile. This proposal makes it worse and it will cause traffic chaos. This is one of the major road which links Finchley and surrounding areas to A406. Loss of leasure facilities are another impact here .. loss of bowling, Swimming and cinema will have a large impact on families wiyh children. I oppose this proposal.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8O7	Inappropriate size of buildings and lack of amenities.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8pb	This loss of our leisure centre will be catastrophic for our area. Many people use it every day young and old and you are taking this away from us	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8Pj	Dont need any more high density housing in the area. Ugly high rise blocks and where are the doctors, dentists to cope?	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8PI	Such a shame to lose an affordable cinema, bowling alley and restaurants with parking in the area	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:17 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8Po	This is a great cinema and bowling/ arcade. The existing entertainment as a place to go and eat afterwards would be a great loss. Where would teens meet up with friends at the weekend? Exactly. Nowhere nowadays. Leave it alone. If they move the cinema to a ground floor offering in North Finchley then that will be rubbish. Keep the big screens as there isn't many about.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8Pp	This is a well used complex by many families, they are becoming few and far between. I understand we need more housing, but it is just as important for our youth's and families to have recreational facilities for their mental wellbeing outside the home.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8pV	As a regular user of the lido and the cinema, as well as the restaurants in the leisure park, I object to this development. There isn't a convenient affordable multiplex close by, and this area doesn't need to lose a busy and well loved pool either. The lost of several restaurants will also require local residents to travel further afield to eat out. Beyond that, the proposed development is truly ugly and looms out of all proportion to the residential area it's planned to be built in. Has anyone thought about the pressure this many new homes will put on already stretched local transport and amenities?	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:38 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8pX	Not happy to lose amenities. Not happy to where the cinema is moving to and do not want to lose the swimming pool	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:03 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8Ra	A simply scandalous and disgraceful proposal. It's beyond belief that this is even being considered.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:11 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8Ra	As a local resident I support the regeneration of this area	Support	1/18/2026 6:06:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8Rb	What needs to happen is a new School more doctors surgeries. This is taking away look of what we more here for in first place, it's becoming way to crowd and build up. Already have prolems with getting buses to work. I do recall the Mayor making lot promises on transport. But not keeping to it. Maybe he should start building on his own door stop.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:11 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8rD	Totally absurd!	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:05 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8sj	I object to the redevelopment of the space. I am a mother of five and all my children and myself regularly use the cinema, swimming, bowling and restaurants.it is a great, safe place for teenagers to hang out and they will be in parks or on the streets without it. It will be a great loss to the community.	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8uM	We use the felicity frequently	Object	1/18/2026 6:05:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8Un	I strongly object to this application. Demolishing the existing facilities at Great North Leisure Park before any operational replacements are built and open to the public is unacceptable. The current proposal leaves the community with a significant gap in leisure provision for an extended period. The promise of facilities 'elsewhere' or at a later date does not mitigate the immediate loss of these essential local amenities. I urge the Mayor to uphold the Council's decision to reject this scheme.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:49 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8UY	I'm writing to express my strong objection to the proposed development at the Finchley Bowling and Cinema Centre. This iconic venue has been a beloved community hub for many years, providing a unique space for entertainment, socializing, and leisure activities. The loss of this centre would not only disappoint local residents but also deprive the community of a vital asset. As a family (as well as many other families know) we use the bowling and cinema frequently therefore it will be a huge loss to many.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:36 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8w1	This plan has been changed from building a new leisure facility first but without cinema and bowling very nearby...to knocking the whole site down for huge density housing without local infrastructure and then apparently building the leisure park 5 years later...this is totally unacceptable. The leisure park is very well used and cannot be done away with into a plan that will likely be distorted going fwds...	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:53 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8W1	I strongly object to the proposed redevelopment of Great Leisure Park, North Finchley. This development would result in the permanent loss of a well-used, accessible community leisure destination that currently provides cinema, bowling, restaurants, local employment, and a safe social space for families, young people, and older residents alike. The existing complex plays a vital role in North Finchley's evening economy and community life, particularly in an area already under pressure from congestion, overdevelopment, and strain on infrastructure. The proposal represents an excessive and inappropriate scale of development that is entirely out of keeping with the surrounding area. It would significantly increase traffic, parking stress, noise, and pressure on local services without delivering equivalent or meaningful public benefit. The design and density prioritise developer profit over community wellbeing and local character. Crucially, this scheme has already been roundly rejected at council level, reflecting the clearly expressed will of local residents and elected representatives who understand the area best. An executive override by the Mayor would undermine local democracy and public trust in the planning system. There has been no convincing justification for the loss of established leisure facilities, nor any credible replacement that meets the same social, cultural, and economic needs. Once demolished, this community asset will be lost forever. I therefore urge the Mayor to respect the council's decision, listen to local residents, and reject this proposal in full.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:40 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8Xn	Object. This is a place our local youth get together, there are no other facilities such as this in the nearby areas.	Object	1/18/2026 6:04:56 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU8Xs	We need more housing. We also need the leisure centre to stay open throughout.	Support	1/18/2026 6:08:50 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU941	I have been visiting here since I was a child and still frequently visit here. Losing such an important part of the area will be devastating with no other local retails like this locally in order to build unaffordable housing.	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU947	It's the only one entertainment area here	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:47 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU97F	We need to preserve our leisure centre!	Object	1/18/2026 6:06:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU97G	There is plenty of new homes in the area and this site should be left as it is. It provides much needed recreationally facilities which are pretty scarce around there.	Object	1/18/2026 6:07:07 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU98z	My family and I have been going to this leisure park for over 30 years. It is so integral for the local community of all ages. It's a safe place for young people to come and enjoy a day out with friends. Somewhere families can go and make memories together and a place where adults can spend the evening. Without this leisure park, teens will be on the streets bored, families who can't afford going further for a day out will be stuck at home and adults who feel comfortable leaving the children whilst they pop round the corner for an evening for themselves won't be able to get some much needed relaxation time.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:41 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU993	Facilities in this area are accessed by local residents. It is not fair to overlook communities wishes and take executive decision. I can't support this development.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:59 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU9bt	I strongly object to the proposed redevelopment of Great Leisure Park, North Finchley. This development would result in the permanent loss of a well-used, accessible community leisure destination that currently provides cinema, bowling, restaurants, local employment, and a safe social space for families, young people, and older residents alike. The existing complex plays a vital role in North Finchley's evening economy and community life, particularly in an area already under pressure from congestion, overdevelopment, and strain on infrastructure. The proposal represents an excessive and inappropriate scale of development that is entirely out of keeping with the surrounding area. It would significantly increase traffic, parking stress, noise, and pressure on local services without delivering equivalent or meaningful public benefit. The design and density prioritise developer profit over community wellbeing and local character. Crucially, this scheme has already been roundly rejected at council level, reflecting the clearly expressed will of local residents and elected representatives who understand the area best. An executive override by the Mayor would undermine local democracy and public trust in the planning system. There has been no convincing justification for the loss of established leisure facilities, nor any credible replacement that meets the same social, cultural, and economic needs. Once demolished, this community asset will be lost forever. I therefore urge the Mayor to respect the council's decision, listen to local residents, and reject this proposal in full.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU9bu	We're already considered one of the world's most nature depleted countries. It's also likely to affect local shopping and transportation which is already overcrowded, and the high rise buildings will harm the area's ambiance, not to mention the added fire risk.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:55 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU9Dn	This development is way out of proportion to other local buildings. The leisure park is an important part of the local and visiting community. This is just another ill thought out plan with no additional local infrastructure or amenities. This will result in additional pressure on the local community.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:57 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU9gj	There are too few places for young people to enjoy social activities like bowling, swimming cinema. Closing this facility will further diminish this vital resource.	Object	1/18/2026 6:09:06 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU9VR	Not enough parking, loss of leisure facilities (bowling, cinema), not enough local amenities to cope with demand.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU9Yf	Concerned about the density of housing. Impact on local services, particularly the demand on local GPs and healthcare which is already struggling in this area.	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:37 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JU9Yg	Not enough schools doctors or any services that are currently overwhelmed	Object	1/18/2026 6:08:42 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JuprO	Absolutely ridiculous, that retail park is constantly full and used by local residents daily. We don't have the amenities to support that many new residents, and the traffic will be crazy. Not to mention traffic implications	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JutWz	I live in north finchley in 28 years and My children grown up have used finchley lido and Holywood cinema This development is going to affect all our life and children in finchley all Barnet. In finchley this is the only intertenment that young people can socialise if you take it away then we loose our children for drugs and mental issues. Please think of our young children. Kind regards *** Personal details have been removed ***	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:32 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001Jvk6d	This area provides reasonably priced entertainment alongside food and drinks knots which are accessed by many young adults/teenagers & families. I believe removing these facilities will have a negative impact on their well-being & potentially the community.	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JvrXI	For the residents of high Barnet, this is one of the few areas where we have a family space to go out and entertain ourselves. I do not keep a car, and the next available cinema or bowling will suddenly be more than an hour of commute from my place. Please respect the council's decision.	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jvsht	Apart from the points below, I feel that there are so few amenities available for kids and families already in North London. This particular spot is used regularly by 1000s of people all over north London. The proposed plan to build a new site in lodge lane couldn't possibly work as it's a tiny spot of land on an already regularly congested high street. 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jvt4U	Absolutely ridiculous, that retail park is constantly full and used by local residents daily. We don't have the amenities to support that many new residents, and the traffic will be crazy. Not to mention traffic implications	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jvvugh	This objection is mainly because of the height of the buildings proposed which will massively impact the skyline. Also, parking is not well-conceived adding further pressure to a junction of roads already prone to regular heavy congestion. This in turn will have a knock on effect on the air quality which was something the mayor is known for wanting to improve. Finally this current leisure centre has been used as a focal point for young people and the busyness created by such a huge housing development will add a hazard that cannot be easily addressed with the project proposed.	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JvUOS	The area cannot cope with more housing. Where are the schools, hospitals, GP surgeries for all the potential new residents? What will users of the gym and swimming pool do when it's gone, when you're trying to encourage people to exercise more? Where will Food Bank Aid be based? It is a terrible plan.	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JvvvH	I strongly object to the proposed redevelopment of Great Leisure Park, North Finchley. This development would result in the permanent loss of a well-used, accessible community leisure destination that currently provides cinema, bowling, restaurants, local employment, and a safe social space for families, young people, and older residents alike. The existing complex plays a vital role in North Finchley's evening economy and community life, particularly in an area already under pressure from congestion, overdevelopment, and strain on infrastructure. The proposal represents an excessive and inappropriate scale of development that is entirely out of keeping with the surrounding area. It would significantly increase traffic, parking stress, noise, and pressure on local services without delivering equivalent or meaningful public benefit. The design and density prioritise developer profit over community wellbeing and local character. Crucially, this scheme has already been roundly rejected at council level, reflecting the clearly expressed will of local residents and elected representatives who understand the area best. An executive override by the Mayor would undermine local democracy and public trust in the planning system. There has been no convincing justification for the loss of established leisure facilities, nor any credible replacement that meets the same social, cultural, and economic needs. Once demolished, this community asset will be lost forever. I therefore urge the Mayor to respect the council's decision, listen to local residents, and reject this proposal in full.	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JvvkU	Object.	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jvvvp	As per usual no mention of any additional infrastructure as in hospitals (currently 20 hour wait at barnet general) No new gp surgeries, dentists etc. just more and more flats to get as many people as possible on a piece of land. I strongly object.	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:31 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JvvdW	This is a stupid idea and it will be very difficult to manage people for GP surgery, school. This leaves this place for people to enjoy	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:29 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JwwK7	The current leisure facilities and restaurants have been well used by residents of a large area of north London for many years. The food bank also does an amazing job supporting so many people. The proposed density of housing is inappropriate, and the impact on the local area in terms of traffic and public transport will be negative.	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JvxxH	It's the only entertainment complex left for many miles. Think of the children!	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:32 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jvxx7	There aren't many cinemas and entertainment in the area and this is accessible to loads of people from the A406! Something to do for all ages. Not something to be replaced by residential flats	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jvyws	I don't agree with this plan.	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jw0Ah	Our children love the centre with the option to go bowling, cinema or eating out locally. By removing this you are depriving them of a huge source of entertainment for young kids and teenagers who will be forced to go to West end .	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jw0PD	This will be a substantial loss of amenities and does sound like enough provision is being put in place for bolster the NHS and Schools for this additional amount of people	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jw0UA	The development is monstrous and ugly and impacts the quality of life for the entire community . The current cinema, restaurants and the amenities are life line to local community and should be preserved. The Mayor of the City and his henchmen are greedy, selfish, self serving politicians who do not give a damn about local communities and should be kicked out.	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jw1rX	I object to the over development in this beautiful town causing chaos and lots of traffic.	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jw1t7	This would be a huge loss to local community and a space for children to access. There are no local activities for children to attend in a safe environment and building more flats will not benefit anyone.	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:26 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jw21B	We do not agree at all with building more houses in this area. We already have enough housing, but very few places for family entertainment and community activities. This area is perfect as it is—it has a cinema, bowling, a gym, a swimming pool, and restaurants. Why do we need more houses here? What will happen to our children and families? Where will we go nearby to spend time together? We love the Great North Leisure Park. We strongly oppose the demolition of this wonderful leisure park that makes our families happy. It is a place where we celebrate birthdays and family events, enjoy the gym and swimming pool, and have access to nice and affordable restaurants for teenagers, young children, and families. There is no need to build houses here. East Finchley and North Finchley are already overcrowded with too many homes.	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001Jw27d	Strong objection to this development	Object	1/22/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JZcbH	This area is frequently used by families, and young adults. During times when children have lost so many open spaces/ libraries/ community centres, to lose this would be incredibly detrimental for their mental health! There is also a food bank used there — what will happen to it?	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:40 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JZqbM	The current site is a valuable hub for local communities as well as London residents from farther afield. The proposed replacement entertainment site in North Finchley comes nowhere near filling the gaps of what will be lost in terms of quality of life, the ability of families, young people and children to have safe places to socialise. The building design would have a huge impact on the population density in the area and demand on local schools, transportation and services.	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:52 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JZtHQ	This is disgusting!! Kids are losing safe places they can go and enjoy an afternoon out for more "affordable" houses that aren't affordable and aren't even built with quality! Once you start creating these kinds of houses are bought for landlords to rent and bring carelessly to the area and more issues! Shame on Barnet council!!!	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:02 PM

2025/0183	a07Q500001JZULy	<p>Subject: Objection - Great North Leisure Park</p> <p>Dear Sadiq Khan Mayor of London,</p> <p>I am writing to object to planning application 25/0213/FUL for the Great North Leisure Park redevelopment. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valued community facilities including Vue Cinema and Hollywood Bowl, while reducing overall leisure space from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm. My objections are based on the following key concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 1,502 homes (4X more than allocated) with 25-storey tower 2) Net reduction from 9,937 sqm to just 2,590 sqm of leisure space 3) Permanent removal of Hollywood Bowl, Vue Cinema, and all restaurants 4) Only 23% affordable housing (below London's 50% target) 5) Just 0.18 parking spaces per home in area with poor transport 6) Building on protected Metropolitan Open Land 7) No additional community facilities like halls or nurseries 8) No healthcare facilities despite adding 3,280 new residents (Barnet already has ~2,300 patients per GP vs NHS target of 1,800) 9) 33% of homes are single aspect (windows on only one side) 10) Major daylight impacts on neighbouring properties - up to 40% reduction 11) Reduction of playing fields by 234 sqm <p>Please reject this application..</p>	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:46 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JZUM0	The proposal is simply too many housing units. Housing density too higher. Too many people - probably 3000+ more people. Will they all use the existing bus stop outside to get to work/school/shopping. The council has made the traffic on that road crazy what with the useless cycle lane. The traffic will be even worse with another 3000 people. Also, this will take much loved and much used leisure facilities away from existing residents. There is already nothing for teens to do at the weekend...there will be even less for them to do with no cinema and no bowling. STOP OBSESSING WITH housing numbers to meet government targets and start thinking about the impact to those of us who already live here.	Object	1/19/2026 6:05:14 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001JZv6F	This area is an important area that benefits the residents of Finchley and Barnet. There are no other alternative facilities that offer this array of recreational activities for families in the area, and losing this would have a significant impact on local families quality of life. It would mean local families having to leave the borough, travelling further by car and taking business outside of the local area and job lossess for all the local people who work in the area	Object	1/19/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001K2s3X	I object to the new redevelopment because this area is a much needed space for recreation for local residents. Any redevelopment will not replace its loss.	Object	1/23/2026 6:04:23 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001K2xBI	Local infrastructure not sufficient for this many new homes loss of very popular and much used amenities	Object	1/23/2026 6:04:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001K7SbQ	I strongly oppose this development. This is the only such communal facility for many miles around, and the loss of which will adversely impact the quality of life of many local residents.	Object	1/24/2026 6:04:24 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001K7X8j	The local traffic and schools are already over busy we can't have anymore ridiculous flats. Also the leisure center site is such a lovely place for locals. It's nice to have somewhere like it locally.	Object	1/24/2026 6:04:25 PM
2025/0183	a07Q500001KXMgv	How can Labour lobby on the basis of being green and allow building after building in London to be unnecessarily knocked down, knowing the horrific environmental impact and that built environment accounts for vast majority of emissions. This is a great leisure park for local Communities.	Object	1/28/2026 6:04:27 PM

2025/0073	a07Q500000odE0h	<p>The proposal is a grossly over dense housing scheme aimed at maximising the number of dwellings at the expense of safe and healthy homes presumably to fund the new leisure centre. The proposal does not comply with the NPPF, The London Plan and their Guidance on Housing Design Standards nor the New Local Plan in requirements for healthy living. There are significant shortcomings driven by height, scale and massing negatively impacting the amenity and living conditions of the future occupants. The Inspector when twice rejecting the appeal on the Homebase site established that this area of Finchley is suburban and that amenity extends to the living conditions of future residents. What is proposed far exceeds density indicated for city centre locations, and is not appropriate for this suburban site. The Design led approach has failed to produce good housing that will support a community for years to come.</p> <p>Public Transport Level is low at between 1B and 2, and there is no community infrastructure to support the 1502 households. The Local Plan does not identify this as a site suitable for tall buildings, which in Barnet is 8 storeys and above, or over 26m. The taller the buildings the more shade they cast, so residents will have to live with below standard daylight and sunlight (28% accepted below the minimum standards), especially at lower levels, looking out of their dwellings at shaded buildings, neighbours balconies, poor privacy and in 33% at least only a single aspect, (some facing north) which is unhealthy and unacceptable. The mix of homes does not meet Borough needs as set out in the Local Plan and only 22% are 'affordable'. The mixing of open space, play space, footpaths and vehicles indicates lack of ground space to support the numbers and will lead to unsafe play and green space often in the shade. Delivery bikes and vans will inevitably run across these multiuse areas. The inclusion of the Leisure Centre in the calculations is wrong.</p> <p>The concerns of design review panel were ignored and in-depth study would reveal many more contraventions. The application should be refused.</p>	Object	3/4/2025 6:04:22 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500000pPXD6	<p>Your stage 1 report contains incorrect information regarding the bus services which serve the site. The bus services you mention do not pass near this site. The report should be withdrawn, corrected and reissued. The buses which serve this site are the 263 which takes passengers to East Finchley Station and is already crowded at most times of the day, and the 286 which is a single deck bus.</p>	Not set	3/13/2025 6:04:22 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500000tyGkO	<p>The Finchley Society has serious concerns about this application and have produced a detailed report which is attached.</p>	Object	5/6/2025 6:04:23 PM
2025/0073	a07Q5000016DQmc	<p>I live in Angel, but I drive my children up to Finchley regularly to visit this site. Its is their favourite place for playing arcade games, and to go bowling, and to have a treat at the pizza place. It's their favourite destination for "let's go for a drive".</p> <p>But, more to the point, there are no real other alternatives that I know of. I realise we need more housing, but removing actual leisure facilities for the population seems shortsighted at best.</p>	Object	9/13/2025 6:04:24 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001B4yzn	<p>These developments are against all planning considerations- it's about compliance with dictates from government who know full well they cannot/will not meet their unrealistic short term targets. Loss of habitats for wild life, far too high buildings which will quickly become crime ghettos, lack of much needed social housing, unaffordable "affordable" housing for working locals - this is a scheme which will only enrich the developers! Just STOP, be more transparent & listen to local concerns please</p>	Object	10/30/2025 6:04:23 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GeI6d	<p>I object for a number of reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Insufficient Affordable/ social housing / family housing - Overdevelopment – too dense, too high, lack of green space / children's play space - Lack of public service and infrastructure provision (NHS health, transport etc.) - Insufficient Parking, particularly for disabled people - Loss of Hollywood Bowl / Vue Cinema/ lack of entertainment venues for children and young people. This impacts mental health and can increase anti-social behaviour. - Loss of all restaurants - Damage to Glebelands Nature Reserve and threat to wildlife and protected species including the Great Crested Newts and the felling of many mature trees - Inferior Lido Leisure Centre – loss of leisure wave pool, which the children love and is a key reason for it's use. - Lack of community consultation. Public comments by residents and experts on the application have not been properly acknowledged or responded to. 	Object	12/15/2025 6:04:23 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GeLcy	<p>This is not a Brownfield site - it's a valuable and much loved local leisure park, people travel from far afield because they can park their cars for free and enjoy the bowling, cinema, leisure centre and restaurants.</p> <p>The proposed development takes away most of this in favour of a massively over dense, tall and incongruous residential complex, there is also great risk of killing off the local wildlife in the Glebelands reserve which would be illegal.</p> <p>I'd like to see a proposal which includes replacement bowling, cinema and leisure centre with the fantastic wave pool. There should also be a decent amount of parking because we are in the suburbs and local transport links are pretty poor.</p> <p>Building a high density development may tick boxes for house building, however unless family homes are included and affordable council housing then it does nothing to solve the housing crisis.</p> <p>The proposal is so dense that it has left little in the way of green and open space for children and adults to enjoy. Not a nice place to live IMHO</p>	Object	12/15/2025 6:04:24 PM

2025/0073	a07Q500001GeUCM	<p>As a long-standing resident of Finchley, I am appalled that such an ill-thought-out and inappropriate planning application could ever be seriously considered for this neighbourhood.</p> <p>The proposal to construct 1,500 flats on an area of 4.40 hectares would create an unprecedented and unacceptable level of density. The high-rise blocks would not only offer poor quality of life for potential residents, in terms of light and space, but would deprive the surrounding community of much-needed green space.</p> <p>The associated massive increase in local population would place a serious strain on already stretched local services, including GP surgeries, schools, transport facilities, and other amenities, and would add to already congested local traffic routes.</p> <p>The proposals do not offer a sufficient proportion of affordable housing, or of family dwellings, all of which are sorely needed in this area.</p> <p>The refurbishment of the Lido and leisure centre, which is part of this development, is to be welcomed. However, the existing plans not only reduce the current facilities available at the Lido (e.g. the wave pool), but will destroy the adjoining much-loved and well-used entertainment and leisure complex, comprising the Hollywood Bowl, Vue cinema, and restaurants. This complex, with its plentiful, accessible parking and variety of offerings, has for many years provided a safe, affordable, accessible site for leisure and social activities for children and adults of all ages, families, and carers. Young people come from all around the borough to use these facilities. There is no comparable alternative leisure centre for miles around and its loss will be felt acutely. At a time when there is such widespread concern about the challenges facing young people and the rise in anti-social behaviour, it beggars belief that anyone could propose doing away with a site which offers young people safe and healthy opportunities for socialization and leisure activities.</p> <p>I am not convinced that the developers have taken sufficient measures to mitigate any possible environmental damage, including damage to the Glebelands Nature Reserve and the threat to local protected wildlife.</p> <p>There has been an alarming lack of transparency and proper democratic procedures throughout this process. The Council seems to have taken major decisions about this development, including changing the original proposals for the Leisure Centre, without taking the needs of the community into account. Residents have been kept in the dark as to the true scale and nature of the development, and the consultation events that I attended did not address any of the above concerns.</p> <p>Having studied the documents closely, and worked with local councilors and our local MP to address the obvious flaws in these plans, I, like thousands of other local residents, was relieved to see this proposal rejected by Barnet Council. I sincerely hope that the disastrous implications of this plan will be as clear to the Mayor's office as they were to our local officers.</p> <p>I urge you to reject this application.</p>	Object	12/15/2025 6:04:25 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GjKX2	<p>The size and density of the development is far too high for this area. There is lack of infrastructure in the area to support this many people. Development of leisure facility is welcome, and you can improve this for benefit of local people. The residential development is overpriced and hardly affordable, only suitable for overseas investors and money launderers. The development is not suitable for this area and not in line with the character of properties around here. Reduce the volume and height of buildings, create more green space and improve leisure facilities to make a more meaningful impact on quality of life for everyone. More affordable housing would be welcome</p>	Object	12/16/2025 6:04:24 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GjyN8	<p>The correct version of the proposal's destruction of the thriving leisure facilities will have a deeply damaging effect on employment in the leisure sector and a huge negative impact on the Borough's economy as the proximity to a major traffic route, attracts large numbers of visitors and thus revenue, from outside Barnet. Therefore any decision to remove or move these vital facilities will be seen as demonstrating complete disdain for Barnet and the near 8000 signatories objecting to the development.</p> <p>Additionally, as Barnet considers it essential to retain its own leisure facility on the site, the logic that other large footprint leisure facilities be co-located is undeniable.</p> <p>If these facilities are lost it is not only Barnet but London that will suffer because of the loss of revenue from outside London which will in turn undermine London's and the Government's drive for economic growth.</p> <p>The consequential detrimental effect on the Borough's young people, of the loss of somewhere to congregate and enjoy peaceful leisure activities and entertainment, cannot be understated.</p> <p>Furthermore there would be a displacement and thus disruption to operations of a very well run, vital, and greatly supported food bank.</p>	Object	12/16/2025 6:04:24 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001Gk5rL	<p>Terrible design.oppressive</p>	Object	12/16/2025 6:04:23 PM

2025/0073	a07Q500001GpgJA	<p>Horrendous project, high rise flats, too close together, no light, insufficient parking, impact on mental health of residents , poor design, overstretching local resources (GP, hospital, schools), transport is already stretched and their response to add a couple of buses is pitiful, loss of well-used leisure facilities which serve a wide area. Is there no thought to quality of life for young families and youth. Impact on the newts in the nature reserve.</p>	Object	12/17/2025 6:04:24 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001Gpseo	<p>I strongly object to this application</p> <p>Poor Design & Disproportionate Size</p> <p>120 linked tower blocks, twenty-five storeys high will create an overpopulated new town more suited to Canary Wharf at the expense of a loved, historic suburb. The North London Business Park (N20) covers 16.1 hectares, and the Inspectorate determined that 1,350 in blocks up to 12 stories fully maximised the site's potential. GNLN N12 is 4.40 hectares. How can building 1,500 flats with 4,300 people squeezed into an area the size of five football pitches which is a quarter of the size of the N20 site be justified?</p> <p>The cluster of twenty-five storey blocks represents shoddy architectural design. Barnet Council's own Urban Design Team has described the scheme as "unfit for purpose and in conflict with planning policy".</p> <p>Lack of Affinity Family Homes</p> <p>The London Plan states 50% of new homes should be affordable with a minimum of 35% yet Regal proposal offers a derisory 22% and no social housing.</p> <p>Infrastructure</p> <p>There is no no funding envisaged for local infrastructure to support schools, GP surgeries, dentists and hospitals or extra funding for the Metropolitan Police to combat already serious concerns with rising levels of crime and anti-social behaviour in Finchley. Significantly, public transport links are wholly inadequate to serve such a large development with the only major bus route (263) already overcrowded and the nearest underground station is 30 minutes away. TfL rates GNLN as having poor public transport access. Regal's proposal to provisionally arrange a couple of extra designated buses to help relieve pressure for a 5 year timeframe only is, given the circumstances, ridiculous.</p> <p>Key utilities such as the water supply/sewage treatment and waste management will be put under further strain as will the road network, already in need of extensive repairs from potholes. The knock on effect is that all these existing institutions and services will come under extreme pressure, and that, in turn will have an adverse affect on everyone.</p> <p>Loss of Facilities/Privacy/Health & Safety</p> <p>The well-known cinema and much used bowling alley will be demolished and much smaller replacements are planned to be squeezed into Lodge Lane, a residential side road. The existing restaurants popular with families and local schools will disappear. The new leisure centre will be significantly smaller than residents were led to believe, and it will lack a suitable leisure pool for children. Aside from denying disabled, vulnerable children and industrious carers the loss of this vital leisure and entertainment resource, this proposal may exacerbate significant issues with mental health and rising antisocial behaviour/crime. The 16- storey building at the Arts Depot, Tally Ho/North Finchley has created overshadowing and a wind tunnel which Barnet Council has recognised to be a serious safety concern. Twenty/five storey buildings will create the same problems and blight the area.</p> <p>The privacy of current residents will be affected. There are significant safety concerns in building high-rise apartments not suited for</p>	Object	12/17/2025 6:04:23 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GptBA	<p>The great north leisure park is a very important place to many people. For me, it is the only place nearby where I can go bowling, which I enjoy doing. Many people like going to the leisure centre and it is a place where people (including me) like to go to meet with friends or for a day out, and building over it would not only mean a big loss of a space for leisure activities in for many in the area, but also has other problems which would include a lot more traffic, disruptive to wildlife, and more. The area is populated enough and introducing more flats for 4000 people, which most are not even affordable, would result in overpopulation, loss of green space for wildlife, stress on services such as hospitals/doctors and public transport. Wildlife would be disrupted as the buildings will be built over their habitat, leaving them nowhere to go. Removing green spaces would also affect quality of the air.</p> <p>Many of my friends, family, and people I know agree on this, along with people online. I haven't seen anyone say this is a good idea, and think this plan would be a massive disadvantage to the area and people living nearby.</p>	Object	12/17/2025 6:04:25 PM

2025/0073	a07Q500001GQ7OQ	This proposed development is completely out of character with the local area. The public transport links are not enough and a bus is required to make it to the nearest tube station. There are no additional NHS services available in the area and it is already heavily congested on the A1000. In addition to this the cinema, leisure centre, bowling alley and restaurants are all used regularly by the local residents and there is nothing else in the area. A much smaller development I. Keeping g with the area, along with resident amenities would be a much better use of a site. Also these flats are too dense, single aspect, with poor light. They are the slums of 20 years time in the making! They are not a step in the housing ladder as it would be hard to resell.	Object	12/12/2025 6:04:22 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GQ95T	The refusal decision by Barnet Council is based on solid planning grounds. There is no reason for the mayor to intervene. Among many possible objections, the density of this proposal would be fairly high for a central London location with excellent transport links. But this is a suburban site with PTAL 2 which could only be marginally improved by adding more buses. The proposal essentially drops a whole new town of about 4000 people into an area with few services. If approved, this will quickly become a slum and will need to be demolished in 25 years which would be a huge waste of resources.	Object	12/12/2025 6:04:24 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GQEJA	will support the slumification of London. People deserve high-quality, well-designed, and sustainable homes. Overly dense, aiming to house 4k people, but the closest station is 30 min walk and the local bus to the closest tube station is already exploding with queues outside the bus stop every day. Parking allocation is also abysmal, given how remote this development is from decent transport. I can barely see much private communal green space or gardens for residents or many family homes. If this developer would like to build decent homes there should be more affordable homes, reduction in density, a long term contract with TFL AFTER development is complete with new bus lines to support mass increase in residents. more respect for local wildlife and reduction in mega tall towers reaching 25 floors	Object	12/12/2025 6:04:24 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GQI4o	My family are deeply concerned at the loss of cinema, Hollywood Bowl and choice of restaurants. The local bus service wouldn't cope with the influx of more residents. I've known this site ever since I was a child. It was always known for entertainment, not housing.	Object	12/12/2025 6:04:25 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GQL2a	PEOPLE POWER will no doubt ensure this proposal does not go ahead. In every way it is unacceptable & meets only greedy developers needs for more&more profit. The weak spineless Council were easily hoodwinked by Regal's slick marketing - residents not so gullible ...Barnet Council & the Government will pay the price for trying to push through unsuitable, unsustainable & environmentally destructive developments. These housing plans are NOT affordable to ordinary working families. There is a total lack of sufficient social units which are desperately needed. We residents are not nimbies - we demand sustainable appropriate developments which meet OUR needs not greedy developers. REJECT these plans, be transparent & genuinely involve residents. Government GET real! You will never build millions of units for a multitude of reasons. Council LISTEN! Residents are getting together to strongly oppose this type of submitted plans....involve residents, be transparent, reject Regal's plans.....	Object	12/12/2025 6:04:24 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GSp8m	A dreadful scheme, totally out of character with the neighbourhood. There is also no rail link nearby - the nearest station is 30 minute walk away. The proposed cluster of tall tower blocks, located near the north circular, will increase the air, light and noise pollution and exacerbate people with respiratory conditions. We have already seen what happened to Ella Roberts, who spent most of her short life living by the south circular. The current local amenities will also be destroyed, leaving families and young people with no similar social places to enjoy. Where are the additional provisions required to support the 4300 residents going to come from? The plan threatens the local wildlife including Glebelands Nature Reserve, causing damage to rare habitats.	Object	12/13/2025 6:04:28 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GU338	We do not need any luxury flats. We need affordable housing. This will impact as well the traffic and the overall harmony of the area. It will increase the traffic and affect our life	Object	12/13/2025 6:04:27 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GU8aX	One bus route is not sufficient for this plan which is more suitable for close to an underground station in central London. We will lose the wonderful vue cinema and large bowling alley important for families and everyone. And the leisure centre would have to expand massively to accommodate the huge increase in local people. The car park is usually full. Please don't take away these wonderful restaurants and community facilities. This is not a brown site. It is a heavily used community facility.	Object	12/13/2025 6:04:27 PM

2025/0073	a07Q500001GUDIt	<p>I wish to register my objections for Regal and the Council's GNLp proposals:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>Insufficient Affordable/ social housing / family housing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>Overdevelopment – too dense, too high, lack of green space and children's play space</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>Public service provision (NHS health, transport etc.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>Insufficient Parking</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>Loss of Hollywood Bowl / Vue Cinema/ lack of entertainment venues for children and young people</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>Loss of all restaurants</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>Environmental concerns re Damage to Glebelands Nature Reserve – and threat to wildlife and protected species i.e. the Great Crested Newts, felling of many mature trees</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>Inferior Lido Leisure Centre – loss of wave pool</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>Lack of community consultation. Public comments by residents and experts on the application have not been properly acknowledged or responded to.</p>	Object	12/13/2025 6:04:26 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GUHy7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Local doctors, dentists, education etc will not support 4500 +- residents - North Circular very close so 24/7 pollution. Remember Ella, children will be affected with respiratory problems++. - Environmental protection ignored ie Greenland's nature reserve. - dreadful design, many units no appropriate daylight. - no proper recreation space. - poor transport connections. That end of high road always very congested. only 18% disabled spaces. Whatever they say/want people will always need cars for a variety of reasons. - unaffordable! Only 22% so-called affordable! No social housing which is much needed. <p>THESE ARE IN ADDITION TO EARLIER COMMENTS</p>	Object	12/13/2025 6:04:29 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GUJmR	<p>I object to this application and urge its rejection. The area is at capacity, with high-density developments overwhelming inadequate infrastructure—GP surgeries are oversubscribed, roads are congested, and public services are stretched. Approving more housing will worsen these problems and reduce residents' quality of life. Recent developments, such as the Homebase and Lodge Lane sites, have not been properly considered for their cumulative impact. Moreover, increased density is linked to higher crime rates, further risking community safety. A thorough cumulative impact assessment is essential before any further development approvals.</p>	Object	12/13/2025 6:04:26 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GUJRw	<p>This development will lead to loss of a multiplex cinema and of a bowling alley with very poor mitigation elsewhere.</p> <p>It has poor transport links.</p> <p>It will be an isolated high density development (think Broadwater Farm, Stonebridge Park).</p> <p>The size is incongruous.</p> <p>Nothing about it adds to the rest of Finchley.</p>	Object	12/13/2025 6:04:26 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GULUi	<p>It's a dreadful planning application. Too high, not enough lighting, too dense, no parking space, the bus network is already dreadful without 3000 more residents crammed into a dense housing estate without cars and half an hour walk to the nearest tube station and inadequate bus transport. Poor natural lighting and breaks the rules for high rise buildings in that location. Also it will mess up the natural spaces as well affecting the rare newts and other wildlife. It's a monstrosity. Also we've been lied to. Developers claimed the current complex with cinema and bowling alleyway and park were 'underused'. Liars. It's always very busy at the weekend and peak hours and it's a fight over finding parking space. It's a much needed leisure complex and we don't need extra housing as Barnet council said we have over 3000 empty residences in the borough that can't be filled so what on earth would another development housing 3000 be for? And a place that nobody would want to live in which would be like a ghetto without any adequate transport. Would these end up being kept empty after being bought by foreign property investors?</p> <p>The public don't want these and they'll cast long shadows robbing light from existing neighbouring properties. The council voted to reject it, bar one abstention and none in favour of this. Common sense and truth prevailed.</p> <p>Please also make sure this doesn't go through.</p>	Object	12/13/2025 6:04:24 PM

2025/0073	a07Q500001GUM3p	<p>Great North Leisure Park is a unique and much-loved destination in North London, offering a cinema, bowling, a leisure centre, restaurants, and ample parking. It serves as a vibrant hub for Finchley and the surrounding areas, attracting visitors because of the wide range of activities available on one site. With limited public transport links, the existing parking provision is essential for families and visitors who rely on cars.</p> <p>The current proposal to remove the cinema, bowling, restaurants, and much of the parking—replacing them with an enlarged leisure centre and dense blocks of flats—would erase what makes this location special. The developers, Arada/Regal, originally promised a leisure centre three times larger than the current one, yet the revised plans already scale that back.</p> <p>This site should continue to function as a leisure hub, modernised and improved, rather than diminished. Housing could be incorporated, but it must be in keeping with the character of Finchley: moderate in height, not imposing tower blocks more suited to central London. Any new homes should prioritise genuinely affordable 2- and 3-bedroom flats suitable for families, with appropriate parking provision. In outer London, car use remains a practical necessity for many households, and it is unrealistic to assume future residents will not need cars.</p> <p>Another significant concern is the insufficient attention given to local biodiversity—particularly the protected great crested newts in the neighbouring nature reserve. Increased footfall and disturbance from a much denser development could threaten this important population, yet the developers have not demonstrated robust plans for safeguarding the species.</p> <p>I strongly urge you to object to these proposals. Finchley deserves a modernised leisure park that continues to serve as a major recreational hub for North London—not a development that strips away its most valued amenities and threatens its local environment.</p>	Object	12/13/2025 6:04:25 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GUMNd	<p>This project don't take into account the stressed public services in the area: public transport is over used, at rush hours the 263 which is the main line is over capacity. Luck of NHS surgeries, dental, schools space and amenities. The size of the homes is minimal, not really fit for average families.</p> <p>The allocation for social housing and affordable homes is way short of requirements.</p> <p>The building are too heigh and will block sunlight.</p>	Object	12/13/2025 6:04:28 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GUP6U	<p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>This proposed plan is 30metres from my front window, the idea of demolishing the much loved leisure facilities and restaurants is criminal, the site is a unique attraction for north London with over 300,000 visits per year and a god send for families.</p> <p>The idea of replacing this with super dense apartments is insane and a clear financial property scheme that does not fit with the location and area, this is not central London, and there is no demand for 2bed flats costing above 500k in Finchley.</p> <p>Currently we already have oversubscribed GP practices, lack of dentists, and the nearest supermarkets are a twenty minute walk, so a car is vital, there is already no available on street parking and people who live in this area all have cars, where are another 1000 cars going to park? I know the proposed plan is to encourage no car ownership, but again with twenty minutes walk to a tube or supermarket people will buy a car.</p> <p>Transportation links at this location are very bad as the nearest tube is 20-30 mins walk, the North Circular is often blocked and rerouted north on the High Rd then right into Summers Lane, which I believe is the proposed entrance to this ridiculous plan.</p> <p>Twenty Five floor apartment blocks are completely unfitting to the area, with the highest being six floors, not to mention the environmental damage to the newts on glebe lands nature reserve,</p> <p>Please rethink this plan as it is not fit for this location and will not bring any positives to our beloved area.</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	Object	12/13/2025 6:04:25 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GURJ9	<p>I object to this plan on these grounds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is far too dense Too many 1 and 2 bed units Poor design (many single aspect units, poor light, lack of privacy) Loss of amenities Excessive height Poor transport links New leisure centre offers less than the existing one (children's wave pool to be scrapped) Inadequate green space Inadequate parking including disabled 	Object	12/13/2025 6:04:27 PM

2025/0073	a07Q500001GYCb4	<p>As a local resident and frequent user of the Great North Leisure Park I strongly object to this proposed development for the following reasons:</p> <p>Overcrowding: This will be one of the densest complexes in the country, with 4,300 people squeezed into an area the size of five football pitches. There are too few public spaces, amenities, and children's play areas.</p> <p>There is no way North Finchley social services such as GP's, Dentists, hospitals, police and schools could cope with this huge influx of people.</p> <p>Unaffordable housing: The London Plan says that 50% of new homes should be "affordable", with a minimum of 35%, yet Regal proposes a meagre 22% and no social housing.</p> <p>Loss of entertainment venues: The Hollywood Bowl and the Vue cinema, the only such venues in this part of London, would be demolished with no comparable replacements. These facilities are well utilised and loved by the community.</p> <p>Young people and families will have no safe space to socialise, exacerbating rising mental health problems and antisocial behaviour.</p> <p>Leisure Centre: in the latest proposal from Regal by Arada the current leisure centre is demolished and the children's indoor pool removed. This pool is used daily by families, schools and for swimming coaching and swimming lessons.</p> <p>Poor transport services: TfL rates GNLP as having poor public transport access. There's only one major bus route the 263 which is always overcrowded and the Tube is atleast 30 minutes walk away.</p> <p>Discrimination against car users: Only 18% of the flats have parking spaces, which is a third of what is required by Barnet's Local Plan. GNLP is remote and there are no major shops nearby. Many people need to use a car because they can't walk or cycle long distances. Due to disabilities, illness and having young children.</p> <p>Health concerns: The said cluster of tower blocks, so close to the 24/7 air, light & noise polluting North Circular, will only develop and/or exacerbate people with respiratory conditions</p> <p>Damage to nature: Many trees will be removed. The plans threaten local wildlife, including the protected great crested newts at Glebelands Nature Reserve. Regal's application risks causing serious damage to a rare habitat.</p> <p>Bad design: The cluster of blocks up to 25 storeys' tall represents shoddy architecture. Barnet Council's own Urban Design Team has described the scheme as "unfit for purpose and in conflict with planning policy."</p> <p>Ignoring local people and visitors: Barnet Council failed to consult the community before allowing Regal by Arada to remove the entertainment venues.</p> <p>I strongly advise you to reject this disastrous proposal.</p>	Object	12/14/2025 6:04:24 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GYgGR	<p>Overcrowding: This will be one of the densest complexes in the country, with 4,300 people squeezed into an area the size of five football pitches. There are too few public spaces, amenities, and children's play areas.</p> <p>Unaffordable housing: The London Plan says that 50% of new homes should be "affordable", with a minimum of 35%, yet Regal proposes a meagre 22% and no social housing.</p> <p>Loss of entertainment venues: The Hollywood Bowl and the Vue cinema, the only such venues in this part of London, would be demolished with no comparable replacements. Young people and families will have no safe space to socialise, exacerbating rising mental health problems and antisocial behaviour.</p> <p>Poor transport services: TfL rates GNLP as having poor public transport access. There's only one major bus route and the Tube is 30 minutes walk away.</p> <p>Discrimination against car users: Only 18% of the flats have parking spaces, which is a third of what is required by Barnet's Local Plan. GNLP is remote and there are no major shops nearby. Many people need to use a car because they can't walk or cycle long distances.</p> <p>Damage to nature: Many trees will be removed. The plans threaten local wildlife, including the protected great crested newts at Glebelands Nature Reserve. Regal's application risks causing serious damage to a rare habitat.</p> <p>Bad design: The cluster of blocks up to 25 storeys' tall represents shoddy architecture. Barnet Council's own Urban Design Team has described the scheme as "unfit for purpose and in conflict with planning policy."</p>	Object	12/14/2025 6:04:27 PM

2025/0073	a07Q500001GYhFY	It is critical that we build additional housing, but this proposal represents intense overdevelopment that appears driven primarily by profit for the developer: maximum number of smaller accommodation units within the available space, with very low affordable units. The mix of accommodation is not aligned to the actual local need for more family housing. The very high number of units will also generate a huge increase in traffic on the A1000 High Road, and there is no proposal for investment in increased public services to meet additional demand from the new residents. The development has also not addressed impact on local nature, in particular threat to protected local species.	Object	12/14/2025 6:04:25 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GYi8K	Desproporcionáete development to the area	Object	12/14/2025 6:04:27 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GYNmT	I strongly object to this proposal. The scheme represents severe overdevelopment, cramming around 4,300 residents into a very small site with insufficient public space, amenities, or provision for children. It also fails to meet the London Plan's affordable housing requirements, offering only 22% affordable homes and no social housing at all. The demolition of the Hollywood Bowl and Vue cinema would remove the only local entertainment venues, leaving young people and families without safe social spaces. Transport provision is poor, with limited bus services and the Tube a long walk away, yet parking is drastically underprovided, unfairly disadvantaging residents who rely on cars. The loss of trees and potential harm to wildlife, including protected great crested newts, is deeply concerning. The proposed towers—up to 25 storeys—are of poor design quality and have been described by the Council's own Urban Design Team as unfit for purpose.	Object	12/14/2025 6:04:27 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GYUkk	The area is already too busy with traffic congestion. Come and look for yourself at the traffic and the congestion happening now. It is often impossible to drive down the high road due to traffic jams and available parking is sparse. This proposal will be catastrophic for the area and make it significantly over populated when the infrastructure cannot currently cope, with the residents already here. GP surgeries here have merged and have too many patients with few appointments available - the situation with the current population is desperate. How will bringing thousands more people to the area help? What about schools? Have these things been carefully considered? It appears not. Poor planning and design, has unfortunately not considered the huge negative impact of thousands of extra people coming to the area.	Object	12/14/2025 6:04:26 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001GYy1z	I object to this planning application. The consequences of building something like this will be enormous, and will make quality of life worse for people living there.	Object	12/14/2025 6:04:26 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001H2uXX	I object this development as I believe it will not benefit the local community for the following reasons: - No guarantee of social housing at all. Affordable housing is actually not affordable and will not benefit people in temporary accommodation, especially families who need larger homes than those available in this scheme. - Building expensive small flats will not solve London's housing crisis. They will be bought as investments by already wealthy people. - The development does not include additional services such as school places, GP surgeries, dental surgery, cycle or bus lanes. The additional buses offer is likely to be insufficient as the main bus line deserving this area is already at max capacity on rush hours. - There is an environmental impact on the local nature reserve where great crested newts strive. The additional footfall is a worry for their habitat. - The design is ugly. - We are also going to lose on the leisure facilities. I believe the new cinema and bowling will be smaller, and no fun children pool that they love so much especially playing in the waves.	Object	12/19/2025 6:04:24 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001H7n4H	One of the only spots left in Finchley for family and friends and has been for years.	Object	12/20/2025 6:04:25 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001H7ueJ	I'm sure you'll be aware of the many objections to this flawed proposal, but it like to re-iterate some of the points: The expected thousands of new residents will strain local health and education services. What plans are in place to provide for this? The development would be inadequately served by public transport - I assume that residents would be encouraged to use that rather than private vehicles. Social housing would bring societal and economic benefits rather than the proposed building of mostly unaffordable homes in a frankly ugly and overbearing development. And then there's the newts: cavalier comments about not 'worrying about the bats and the newts' betray a disregard for the importance of the natural world which I find short-sighted. The UK is now severely compromised, with one sixth of wild species risking extinction in these islands.	Object	12/20/2025 6:04:23 PM

2025/0073	a07Q500001HM6HC	<p>This is a truly terrible proposal. I urge you to reject it.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - far far too big and out of keeping with the suburban area - housing is much too dense - not within reasonable walking distance of any tube or train station - buses are already very few and overcrowded - the road is already dangerous with traffic jams, frequent crashes, accidents and near misses. Many school children walk this way to and from school - the leisure facilities are essential as they are the only place for children and teenagers to spend time safely. Losing them will increase anti-social behaviour, gangs and litter. My own teenage children and their friends go here regularly to the cinema and arcade and we have also had many family birthday parties at the bowling alley, restaurants etc - no provision for additional school places, doctors etc. - environmental damage to the glabelands nature reserve 	Object	12/23/2025 6:04:23 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001HvaZO	<p>Lack of sufficient affordable, social, family housing provision. Lack of green space and children's play space.</p> <p>Loss of equivalent capacity Bowling alleys, vue cinema swimming pool: loss of entertainment venues for children, young people, disabled people and carers along side with everybody else really.</p> <p>Loss of all restaurants.</p> <p>Impact on environment. A jungle of high rises wind traps where the sun is nowhere to be seen. In as much, there is a need for housing development, we need to retain the identity proper to the North Finchley area and its community.</p> <p>Lack of community consultation. Major decisions taken without taking the community aspirations and needs into account.</p> <p>No forward planning ahead of development as regard to NHS health, schools, transport, community places. These should be thought of, built and established first. Instead of prioritising private profit, developers should be incentivised by the government to put these very public services that seem to always be a forgotten afterthought at the core of any new developments.</p> <p>Also are the developers responsible for the cost of the damaged road infrastructure it creates?</p>	Object	12/31/2025 6:04:24 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001la3mW	<p>As someone who spent a huge amount of time at the Leisure Park growing up, the thought of it being demolished — and my own children never having the chance to use it — is genuinely sad. From the bowling centre and cinema to the swimming pool and gym, it offers so many safe, enjoyable places for children, young people and adults to spend time, be active and socialise.</p> <p>I also don't understand how accommodation for this many people can be proposed without properly considering the impact on the existing community. Parking is already limited, and there is no clear plan for how residents and visitors would realistically access the site.</p> <p>In addition to this, traffic in the area is already a serious issue. The High Road regularly becomes congested from Squires Lane all the way up to Tally Ho, particularly during peak hours and weekends. Introducing a large residential development here would inevitably add even more vehicles to roads that are already struggling to cope, increasing delays, noise and pollution for local residents. Without a clear and credible traffic management plan, this proposal risks making an existing problem significantly worse.</p> <p>Overall, this application would remove facilities that bring real value and enjoyment to the community, replacing them with expensive, unattractive flats that feel completely out of place. I strongly believe these flats will struggle to attract residents, while the loss of a much-loved leisure space would be felt immediately and long-term by local people.</p>	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001la7yL	<p>The area's infrastructure, schools, GP surgeries etc cannot cope with the addition of this huge development, which will also compound traffic and pollution issues in the local and surrounding areas.</p>	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:38 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001la8Zh	<p>This is a much needed, utilised and valued complex of leisure facilities. The plan removes all of this complex and replaces it with an overbuild of housing, little of which is affordable. Presumably another attempt to raise money using this as a 'donor' site in order to fund large social housing complex elsewhere in Barnet in an attempt to meet unrealistic government targets for new housing regardless of impact on the area or current residents. Shame on London Mayor if he chooses to override decision that this build should not go ahead.</p>	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:31 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lakoR	<p>We need more social and community spaces, not more high-density housing.</p> <p>Removing leisure and cultural facilities to replace them with tall residential blocks reduces quality of life and community wellbeing.</p>	Not set	1/8/2026 6:04:32 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001laLQu	<p>The local residents have already shown huge objection to this development which was rejected by Barnet Council. It is insulting to think our voices may not be heard if this is overturned by the Mayor. This development would do not add to our environment in any healthy and sustainable way.</p>	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:26 PM

2025/0073	a07Q500001lanCw	<p>Lack of Infrastructure The proposal lacks any adequately validated or realistic consideration of services required for the additional residents that will be housed in the c.1400 suggested homes. This includes public transport, parking provision, health and education services, water and refuse waste strategies and access to educational institutions at the required quotas.</p> <p>Height, Density & lack of a Design-led approach The proposal demonstrates a complete disregard of the local townscape and the impact of excessive high rise blocks on existing homes and ignores the principles of a design led approach in all aspects; provision of light, access to outdoor space, liveable/flexible homes and context to geographical surroundings. It does not meet the key principle of prioritising 'People and Community: Places should be designed to be human in scale, safe, accessible, and inclusive for all members of society, fostering strong, positive community relations'. It lacks any nature of a scheme that could be described as having cohesive, integrated vision and simply promotes isolation and separation from the community it intends to be part of.</p> <p>Loss of Amenity The GNLP is a much loved, extensively utilised leisure space. One that is vital for families and the young people in the area. There is nothing else like it within accessible distance. Schools use not only the pool/lido but the cinema and bowling for reward and social outings. The proposals to diminish the offering and scatter a much lesser provision across nearby highroads shows a distinct lack of understanding of how the community uses and values the site - all abilities all ages.</p> <p>Impact of Environment, Nature & Biodiversity The scheme shares a boundary with the Glebelands Nature Reserve and the developer has failed to carry out a proper ecological survey, providing only the bare minimum in terms of data and demonstration of the issues. Approving the scheme without a comprehensive protection plan and mitigation strategy, alongside the lack of key ecological evidence would put the Council in breach of its biodiversity duties.</p> <p>The scheme overall equates to a development that will damage the environment, the community and the wellbeing of new and existing residents. It is the wrong development, in the wrong place. Any scheme to be considered should not only retain but enhance the health and leisure provision on site, but reduce the density and scale of the scheme - alongside urgently reviewing the percentage of social and affordable housing to be provided. Which, given the housing crisis, the site should prioritise as a matter of urgency.</p>	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:23 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001laT7c	Not enough affordable housing this is happening everywhere New Build with exceptionally low social and affordable housing...	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:36 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lateF	I object to this planning application because the proposed development will significantly reduce an important local space that is used by the community. This area provides a safe place for people—especially young people—to meet, play, and spend time. Losing this space would have a negative impact on local wellbeing and community life, and would remove one of the few accessible areas locally for young people to socialise and have fun in the local area in a safe environment.	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001latsu	This would result in loss of leisure facilities not only for the local area but for youth and families further afield. The buildings are of a terrible design with loss of light, no space for children to spend their leisure time, too compact, poor parking facilities. Local resources are already overstretched, This would exacerbate the situation. Would destroy the newt population. Design totally out of keeping with our residential suburban locality.	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:38 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lau5j	The loss of this space and amenities will have a devastating effect on local residents and particularly the younger generation who have very few safe spaces to socialise in the area. This open air space is used extensively and has already been rejected overwhelmingly by the local council officers. There is also no additional infrastructure planned for so many new homes which will have an enormous impact on traffic congestion/pollution and will adversely affect the quality of life for local residents. Barnet is one of the lowest ranked boroughs for having open/green space. Local residents support the decision by our council for the planning not to go ahead. Please respect our local community and the Labour councillors decision to protect this much needed facility. Please do not ignore our loud voices.	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:25 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lauSF	This is a popular leisure park and benefits the local community in a diverse manner of ways. It is a central family hub allowing a range of leisure activities to be condensed to a safe local area. It is a distinct benefit to living in this area of London, quite unique too, and the public should still be allowed to benefit from this distinction	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:24 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lauTp	I use these facilities several times a week and have previously responded to the survey and visited the sessions with the developers to understand this proposal. I object due to the height of the proposed flats and the removal of very popular, affordable and accessible entertainment facilities. I also have strong concerns about the lack of transport and infrastructure to support this massive development. There are 2 bus services and the nearest tube is around 35 minutes walk away. The bus services are already unreliable and over-crowded due to heavy traffic. Having raised this with the developers, they showed no concern and told me it was up to the local council and TfL to resolve. There is also little affordable housing proposed and no focus on affordable shops for residents - the developers told me they were hoping an ice cream parlour would open, rather than affordable and accessible supermarkets etc. There is also significant pressure on local GPs and schools without adding thousands more to this small area.	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:25 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lavD7	Loosing the all the amenities that are currently supplying activities and entertainment to the local community	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:36 PM

2025/0073	a07Q500001lavpp	This would be a total over development with inadequate public transport links. It has NO social housing and a very tiny amount of affordable housing if they don't by pass the plans which seems to be the case with all the recent developments.	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lavrN	There is only one bus between East Finchley tube station and North Finchley (263) which is already always full. The traffic is VERY slow on this stretch of road and there is no room for the additional cars or bus passengers such a development would create. At the back of the site is a lovely wild orchard with different types of apples that the public are able to pick. It's often a route used by local ramblers group. The existing leisure facilities there are low rise, very popular and will be missed by the local community. The proposed tower blocks are too many and too high and remind me of the 1960s tower blocks that became unpopular in London and taken down. The site is already very close to the North Circular and with the High Road being the only South to North route to Barnet and Hertfordshire the increased traffic will lead to slower speeds and more pollution. There are no shops nearby and it's more than a 30 minute walk to the nearest tube stations so residents without cars will be stuck in their tower blocks.	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lavub	As demonstrated in the evidence presented at the local planning meeting this was comprehensively shown to be over development, without robust or honest evaluation of traffic and parking. Not in keeping with local character. Critical impact by reducing leisure facilities, no plans for mitigating impact on local public services. Poorly designed, far too concentrated. Too far from tube, no adequate public transport for the residents.	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001laVzl	This proposal takes away local amenities, there is nothing similar in the area no other local bowling alley, cinema and no other council run gym . It is also cramming too many flats into a small space with no infrastructure - no extra buses, doctors , schools etc . It	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001law15	A shocking loss to the community if this application were to be approved. The pool, bowling, and cinema were a staple of my childhood, and continue to be for my child. This is a vital leisure hub for the area, and is greatly utilised by residents.	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:32 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001laW3a	I believe it's public benefit and give modern look around	Support	1/8/2026 6:04:26 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lawKM	I cannot think of one positive reason to go ahead with this enormous development. Massive tall building, loss of light, lack of accessibility, dreadful design, no thought for the people who will live there. No extra amenities, extra parking needed with no added spaces, in fact the parking is considerably reduced. The list goes on. This is a monster development that should never be approved.	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:25 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lawsk	Many friends and family grew up using the original lido over many years. [REDACTED] over 20years using these amenities to entertain and educate. The transport links to and from will be put under too much pressure for this already built up area to cope with.	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lawVh	The site should remain for use for residents Leisure facilities	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:31 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001laxgK	This development is totally out of character for this area where heights are no more than 6 floors, and the site is currently 2 storey buildings at most. This site is also a major source of amenities for the local people encompassing restaurants, fitness, and entertainment. A mixed use development at a lower height is much better suited to the area and would continue to provide the community with the amenities which it values	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001laxJh	This site is currently a Leisure Park and has been for many years. I remember the old lido, which was a thing of beauty and whilst the current facilities are not high grade and lack character, they are still leisure facilities. All three of my kids learnt to swim there and the cinema, bowling alley and pool were important parts of their teenage experience. We want to encourage our kids to get out and engage with real people as opposed to social media and this is one of the few local venues for them to hang out in relative safety. The developer originally promised to retain most of the leisure facilities within their proposal, but through out this process, this provision has been reduced further and further until it has become laughable. I know that we need more housing, but at what cost? As for the development itself, it is truly excessive. The very definition of over development, that will put already stretched local services under huge pressure. In terms of transport it is caught between a rock and a hard place. Its location, right next to the A406 would suggest that a car based scheme, but this goes against a need to reduce car volumes, congestion and pollution in and around the capital. Providing adequate parking for all residents would also take up valuable space for residential units. However, to try and shoehorn more units in, by reducing parking provision to a minimum, then puts more pressure on the public transport system, which does not have adequate capacity. The site is miles from the nearest tube station and the bus service has been much reduced in recent years. This scheme fails in almost every way and is the clearest example of greedy, overdevelopment that I have ever seen. It was rejected by local people and the local Council and it should be rejected by the Mayor. It is the wrong scheme, in the wrong place.	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:38 PM

2025/0073	a07Q500001laxmm	Please reject this ridiculous overdevelopment. No social housing, no green space, the using of land given in perpetuity to the people of Finchley to use for leisure. No amenities - shops, schools, doctors surgeries. Transport is already poor and the main road hugely over congested, massively increased pollution which is already awful. A terrible burden on already poor infrastructure. As a disabled person, the swimming pool in particular is a wonderful amenity, which I doubt will be replaced. There is little for young people to do locally and there will be nothing for young people if they lose the Cinema and the bowling alley. The last tiny remaining portion of the historic Finchley Common (known locally as Rough Lots) will be lost with the resultant ecological losses. Barnet council despise local residents and this proves it.	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001laxTO	Loss of residential amenity	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001laxTP	Lack of GPs in area	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:37 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001laye3	We need more houses for people to live in.	Support	1/8/2026 6:04:37 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001layPR	This is a terrible scheme, already rejected by the council and opposed by the community. It removes local amenities such as a swimming pool, cinema etc to create a vast estate with nothing to support it, no local shops, insufficient bus routes. Nasty tiny flats at a huge density. This would be a nightmare for the local community. This helps no one except a wealthy property developer.	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:32 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001layVq	Loss of current social amenities will make this area into a ghetto. There are already limited amenities/leisure activities for local population and this will not only decrease what is on offer but increase population looking to access such amenities. It will put an overload on local health and welfare resources such as local hospitals - Barnet A&E is already beyond working capacity with current population before adding to it, lack of available GPs/Dentists/Therapists for current population, and education and training facilities are already failing due to current demand exceeding availability.	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:36 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lazlK	This area needs affordable Family homes and social homes. I appreciate the housing targets but provision must match what the area needs and improve the area these plans will provide non family flats that are not affordable, destroy the leisure facilities for families and young people and impact the Green environment. There has been little attention to improved transport and infrastructure. Please please insist on a development that enhances the area, that provides for the current residents as well as bringing in new families.	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lazVD	I regularly go to the gym in this area, and live not far from it and can attest to how well used the leisure park is by locals. It is always busy and an important amenity for the locals. It provides swimming, cinema and other entertainment so that locals can enjoy this rather than going further into town and putting pressure on transport. Further, I cannot fathom the potential impact this kind of development and scale of housing would put on the local resources. I travel by bike mainly to the gym and sometimes by bus but the location is not close to any tube station and would have to be accessed by bus which is already a lean service. There is clearly a very strong feeling in the area, that this is not a good addition (8000 responses to the petition) I understand the need for housing, but it needs much more consideration that this plan which seeks maximising profit over quality of life. If the major was to approve this, I would be incredibly disappointed. It does not represent the spirit of his administration and I would see it cowering to developer investment at the expense of the people living the area. I understand the need for affordable housing but lumping a crazy number of flats in this area when the supply of flats is already outsized compared to demand in London is not the answer. More thoughtful consideration needed	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lb06H	As someone who spent a huge amount of time at the Leisure Park growing up, the thought of it being demolished — and my own children never having the chance to use it — is genuinely sad. From the bowling centre and cinema to the swimming pool and gym, it offers so many safe, enjoyable places for children, young people and adults to spend time, be active and socialise. I also don't understand how accommodation for this many people can be proposed without properly considering the impact on the existing community. Parking in the area is already limited, and there is no clear plan for how residents and visitors would realistically access the site. In addition to this, traffic is already a serious problem locally. The High Road is frequently congested from Squires Lane all the way up to Tally Ho, especially during peak times and weekends. Adding a large residential development here would inevitably increase traffic even further, putting extra pressure on roads that are already struggling to cope, and worsening noise, delays and pollution for local residents. Overall, this application would remove facilities that bring genuine enjoyment and value to the community, replacing them with expensive, unattractive flats that feel completely out of place. I strongly believe these flats will struggle to attract residents, while the loss of a much-loved leisure space would be felt immediately and long-term by the people who live here.	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lb09Y	Demolition of the LIDO centre will be a great loss to the community. The so-called planned replacement does not offer similar recreational opportunities that the centre currently offers.	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:34 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lb12J	This proposed development not only means a loss of a great leisure facility- there is also zero social housing in the proposed 1500 homes. A totally 'for profit' scheme with no concern for the social and environmental impact.	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lb1By	Don't take away my cinema!	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:33 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lb1FD	The swimming pool and leisure facilities are vital. And we need to ensure the housing is adequately linked to transport otherwise traffic will just spiral. Concern for the great crested newt also.	Object	1/8/2026 6:04:35 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lgdJ	This would be a huge loss to the community for a safe space for youngsters to go as well as families - we don't need more cheap housing that won't last we need more focus on our community	Object	1/9/2026 6:04:30 PM

2025/0073	a07Q500001h0Fc	We don't need more flats , leave it as it is the locals will loose a lot of amenities, we do not want this locally, listen to the local people for once .	Object	1/9/2026 6:04:23 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001h6Gw	<p>I have lived in Finchley all my life. I have used the facilities at Great North Leisure Park since I was a teenager, and my children have used the facilities since they were babies, starting with the leisure pool and outdoor pool at the Lido, and moving on to the cinema, the bowling alley, the restaurants and now, as an older teenager, my eldest son plays pool at Hollywood Bowl. There is literally nowhere else for him to meet and socialise with friends outside of home.</p> <p>These facilities are heavily used - the car park is always full and the leisure facilities and restaurants are busy and thriving.</p> <p>Great North Park provides critical amenities for the local community, that are not available elsewhere. These are basic requirements for any town to provide. If they are removed, there will be a significant impact on mental health and wellbeing for local people of all ages. It would be a terrible decision, removing accessible and affordable opportunities to connect, socialise, learn new skills, relax, exercise etc. with a significant cost long term.</p> <p>In addition, the mammoth 20+ storey properties are not in keeping with Finchley's suburban environment, and will block out the sky and sun, and be an eye sore. The development is far too dense and high, with no amenities for the residents - it will be terrible for the mental health of anyone living there.</p> <p>The transport links are absolutely inadequate for the 4,000 people intended to live in this development - this shows just how poorly thought through and unworkable this proposal is.</p> <p>There will be even more pressure on local facilities including GP provision, which is already a major issue locally.</p> <p>This is a money making scheme that does not address the need for affordable family homes, instead providing tiny, cramped, inappropriate but very expensive flats in dense, high rise blocks with poor levels of design, at the same time removing critical amenities that are incredibly popular and used very widely by the community. This is an incredibly unpopular proposal and approving it would be a slap in the face to the local community.</p>	Object	1/9/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001h8CB	Demolishing the complex would be terrible for the community of Finchley. The lido, cinema and bowling alley are jammed packed with families every week. The space has become a community hub. You would be doing a disservice to local Londoners by allowing the demolition to go ahead.	Object	1/9/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001h9WD	This plan will eradicate excellent amenities, including a great health & fitness centre (including a pool/lido and gym), cinema, bowling, and restaurants that are loved and used, and that have been serving the local area for decades. They keep teenagers, adolescents and young adults off the streets and in many cases they avail themselves of the health benefits of classes at the health and fitness centre. The plan will overpopulate the area that cannot deal with increase in population including local GPs, dentists, local health centres, etc.	Object	1/9/2026 6:04:24 PM

2025/0073	a07Q500001hBiv	<p>Great North Leisure Park, N12 (hereinafter referred to as GNLP) - Planning Application: 25/02/13/FUL - Objections to Mayor Khan</p> <p>For the attention of Mayor Sir Sadiq Khan.</p> <p>I believe this planning application should retain Barnet Council's Strategic Planning Committee decision – 8 against (+ 1 abstention) – on 4th December 2025, and thus let it be upheld, for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Height: with tower blocks ranging from 16 – 25 storeys, these buildings, both in height and design, simply will not lend themselves to the established, residential area. Existing community's properties, which pretty much surround the site, range from 2 – 6 storeys. 2. Massing: placing so many tower blocks in an area less than 4.2 hectares makes the design of this application dangerously overcrowded. 3. Density: it is estimated, with up to 1,485 units proposed – the majority being 1-bedroom flats (in and of itself a concern) – that up to 4,300 new residents could become located within the proposed cluster of tower blocks. Alas, there isn't any provision to take this fact into account since there are no additional: NHS, Educational or Dental service provisions included in the application. * 4. Public Transport: (Inadequate provision of bus services) – overcrowding – and West Finchley/Woodside Park Tube Stations being too far away (at least 30 minutes by foot each way) to make them a practical, safe and alternative mode of public transport. 5. Biodiversity: there is great concern that the developers may not have undertaken the correct legal procedures to mitigate against real and on-going damage to both The Glebelands Nature Reserve (immediately adjacent to the GNLP south eastern point, and its population of Great Crested Newts – reported to be the largest one in all of London. 6. Location: despite ULEZ being expanded to the whole of London, it remains the case that GNLP is less than 1 Km from The North Circular (A406); which still remains a heavily polluted trunk road. Anyone, young or old, with heart or lung disease; respiratory conditions such as asthma or emphysema will, no doubt, have their on-going health issues compromised still further. 7. The Lido Leisure Centre: demolishing such well-established family leisure centre facilities like: The Hollywood Bowl, The Vue Multiplex Cinema; The Restaurant, and endeavouring to construct much smaller, new versions on the Lodge Lane Car Park, N12, just up the road, makes – literally – no sense whatsoever! It would be far more advantageous, both in terms of speed and finance, to devise a refurbishment package for all these facilities. The project would: a. be completed in far less time, b. retain the size of capacity and, by association, revenue generated); and c. it would ultimately save the freeholder – Barnet Council – literally millions of pounds. 8. Pennycook Statement *: finally, I would like to draw your attention to part of a statement given by The Minister of State for Housing and Planning, Matthew Pennycook MP, from yesterday – Tuesday 16th December 2025 – where he stated the following: 'We've been absolutely clear, as a government, that when new housing comes forward, it must be matched with new amenities and new infrastructure.. (to create).. thriving places and communities'. <p>From what I have observed, I see little to no concern in Regal by Arada's design application that includes any of the Pennycook statement.</p>	Object	1/9/2026 6:04:30 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001hHgB	This site is a leisure site with important facilities for the local community. The proposal is too vast for the site with no replacement of the facilities there along with GP and school availability	Object	1/9/2026 6:04:26 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001hOyh	Having had planning permission rejected once unless there are major changes I hope it is rejected for the above reasons	Object	1/9/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001hRV8	Additional pressure on local schools, hospitals, traffic connection and other local infrastructure	Object	1/9/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001hSXf	The area that they want to demolish is loved- we need the cinema there, the bowling alley, the leisure centre. If they add this number of houses without the infrastructure it'll have a hugely negative impact for miles in every direction and make the area more bland and with less to do for young and old alike. We need investment in amenities exactly like this, not masses of non affordable properties that don't even reflect the needs of the area.	Object	1/9/2026 6:04:26 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001hT79	<p>I have been living in North Finchley since 2014 and have been going to the Leisure centre, the cinema & bowling alley, Lido where I have held/attended many birthday parties and have had many great evening out/dinners ever since. So my child does this now with her friends and they enjoy it very much.</p> <p>Demolishing and fitting the whole Leisure centre in the Lodge Lane car park is an insane project which will make the local people to loose a lovely place where we go to relax, socialise and have a little bit of fun.</p> <p>It also immensely disturb the local people living hear by Lodge Lane, the children in Northside Primary school with building works, causing an extreme pollution, noise, traffic and most importantly putting people under stress and uncertainty for the sake of big profits!!!</p>	Object	1/9/2026 6:04:26 PM

2025/0073	a07Q500001hTTI	Barnet Council has already strongly rejected this proposal, which is of poor quality and will create rather than solve problems in the local area. I agree with the reasons for rejection, which have already been set out. I particular: • Overdevelopment – this is not the place for packing people into high-rise high-density flats with no light and air or recreational facilities. Such accommodation does not serve people's needs in the longer term; • Loss of current amenity – the area is already densely populated and we need more leisure facilities, not far less as this scheme proposed; • Completely inadequate public transport links, while proceeding with the usual implausible assumption that no-one needs private cars/parking. The proposed scheme needs to be completely reconsidered. Please do not use this prime site for cramped high-rise overdevelopment without amenity or infrastructure. Adding a tiny park, library etc. does not substitute for properly planned development which serves residents and the wider area in the long term.	Object	1/9/2026 6:04:31 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001hUBG	Awful design and plans	Object	1/9/2026 6:04:25 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001hUpa	The building of a large number of new housing units in an already that's the populated area seems like a very bad idea to me	Object	1/9/2026 6:04:24 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001hUuc	The density and height of this proposed development, combined with the lack of affordable or social housing, seems this an unacceptable proposal for the area.	Object	1/9/2026 6:04:25 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001hV2U	There are not enough local amenities, zero social housing and not enough affordable housing.	Object	1/9/2026 6:04:24 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001hV2V	It will affect many locals,now already lack of transportation like not enough bus routes to the underground tube stations etc, then lack of GP / hospitals , very congested during peak hours already	Object	1/9/2026 6:04:29 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001hVDn	The development would put extra pressure on schools, hospitals, dentists, GP's and by losing the Leisure park there is a loss of safe spaces for our teens to socialise.	Object	1/9/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001hVLp	The leisure park is a safe space for our teens to socialise. The new build would put too much pressure on our schools, hospitals, Dentists and GP's, the lack of parking provision does not support the disabled community and our locality would be overstressed by such a popular swell. Please do not let this plan go ahead.	Object	1/9/2026 6:04:27 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001hVP3	Over density - this area is already too crowded.	Object	1/9/2026 6:04:28 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001hVTt	The services provided at this location, particularly the sports hall / swimming pool provide vital services for my family	Object	1/9/2026 6:04:31 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001hIEFq	My son often uses this space to socialise with his friends, cinema, bowling. I think they need to reconsider the affordability and ensure everyone has access. What ever it is replaced with needs to be affordable and accessible to ALL. And green space needs to be added. Free Parking should be available for those accessing the facilities as it's currently stands	Not set	1/10/2026 6:04:25 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001hUbE	We will lose much needed local leisure amenities. Quality of life needs to be taken into consideration. The scheme will impact population density hugely and affect already busy local roads and support services such as GPs schools etc. has this scheme gone to a proper local consultation taking into consideration views of local people?	Object	1/10/2026 6:04:24 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001hIVfL	The loss of the existing amenities will severely impact the quality of life of local residents. The current leisure park provides affordable opportunities for exercise and recreational spaces for young people.	Object	1/10/2026 6:04:23 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001hO0px	This area at a busy junction is already raising safety concerns along with existing housing and noise issues in the area. The facilities have long been serving the residents of the area and will be of substantial loss, with limited returned in its place. Increasing housing will add additional pressure to traffic and health concerns on a slow moving junction and also on surrounding services.	Object	1/6/2026 6:04:24 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001hO8wr	Absolute No to that horrid plan!!!! Stripping local residents of a very popular entertainment area is a crime! Instead of providing more opportunities to a local residents more and more is taken away! Every family that we know will be saying the same thing- from visiting weekly for swimming sessions we all have a very fond memories for celebrating children birthdays in the very busy Hollywood bowl, visiting local cinema and enjoying meals after - decision to build residential homes at the site is criminal as it strips local residents of having social interactions, stealing a very popular spot for a local teens to hang out in safety of secluded square and will be adding unnecessary pressure on already very densely populated area, making traffic more difficult and dangerous surely affecting all surrounding areas like East and North Finchley.	Object	1/6/2026 6:04:24 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001hO9bA	My family and I use the leisure facilities at GNLP regularly and we are very concerned about the loss of the cinema, bowling alley and restaurants. The proposals for the site are overdevelopment, far too dense, lacking in sufficient affordable housing especially for families, with resultant lack of green space, children's play areas and parking for disabled and family groups who rely on cars. There will also be a serious environmental impact to the Glebelands Nature Reserve and threat to wildlife and protected species such as the Great Crested Newts. The new Lido leisure centre will be inferior and the loss of the wave pool will be detrimental to the children's enjoyment. There has been a lack of community consultation and public comments by residents and experts on the application have not been properly responded to. We ask for your help to ensure the developers work jointly with our community and Barnet Council - not against us. This could be an amazing opportunity for good quality housing yet still retain all the leisure facilities. Thank you	Object	1/6/2026 6:04:23 PM

2025/0073	a07Q500001IOJA2	<p>There are a range of reasons why the proposals do not support the local community's needs and expectations of the development. For me personally, my primary objections are:</p> <p>A) the loss and/or reduction in quality, capacity and accessibility of local leisure facilities (ie bowling, cinema, lido, indoor bowls). I believe these to be vital social infrastructures, and well-used + well-loved community assets, which contribute to securing the welfare and wellbeing of a range of residents, and supporting their caring responsibilities. I believe proposals for alternative facilities on lodge lane to be inferior and do not meet community needs.</p> <p>B) the lack of affordable and social housing - particularly that designed to cater to local families. We do not need luxury, exclusive housing in North Finchley. Any increased housing density must also be met with appropriate increases in capacity of good-quality services (eg school, GP, dentist, youth/ community hubs) and transport options (bus/tube, parking, cycle lanes, disability access).</p> <p>Overall, I believe that meaningful community participation is required to ensure the development meets local needs and expectations.</p>	Object	1/6/2026 6:04:25 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001IOKY8	<p>Absolute No to that horrid plan!!!!</p> <p>Stripping local residents of a very popular entertainment area is a crime! Instead of providing more opportunities to a local residents more and more is taken away! Every family that we know will be saying the same thing- from visiting weekly for swimming sessions we all have a very fond memories for celebrating children birthdays in the very busy Hollywood bowl, visiting local cinema and enjoying meals after - decision to build residential homes at the site is criminal as it strips local residents of having social interactions, stealing a very popular spot for a local teens to hang out in safety of secluded square and will be adding unnecessary pressure on already very densely populated area, making traffic more difficult and dangerous surely affecting all surrounding areas like East and North Finchley.</p>	Object	1/6/2026 6:04:24 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001IOMoX	<p>I am objecting to this proposed development for all the reasons ticked above . It is too high and far too dense , residents would suffer from lack of light and privacy . There is little provision for affordable and social housing and green spaces for children , and also family housing which is what we need . There is insufficient parking and transport links are poor. Local infrastructure would be badly impacted , I haven't seen any evidence that this will be addressed .</p> <p>The current leisure and entertainment facilities on the Great North Leisure Park are very popular and well used, they will be a huge loss to the area if this development were to go ahead especially for children , young people , families and disabled people as there nothing else like it in the area.</p> <p>The proposed development will also have a detrimental affect on local wildlife in the adjacent Glebelands Nature Reserve which is home to Great Crested Newts , a protected species .</p> <p>I have been dismayed by the lack of consultation by the developers, many residents have been unaware about what is being planned and I am extremely pleased that Barnet Council has listened to residents and rejected the proposed plans .</p>	Object	1/6/2026 6:04:25 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lpczs	<p>I strongly object this plan.</p> <p>As a resident of North Finchley, I have been using all the facility at GNLC. They provide cheap and safe entertainment for young people across the area with cinemas and 10 pin bowling as well as swimming & gym facilities for families and people of all ages. The free parking spaces provide easy access for the family with small children or disabled people to the facilities, cafes and restaurants within.</p> <p>The planned 20 towers are too high and dense, providing poor designed and poor quality housing. The ratio of affordable houses are too small and they are mostly 1 and 2 bedroom flats?</p> <p>The location is too far from tube stations and there are only one bus route to East Finchley tube station, which is already overcrowded. There isn't enough parking space planned, so the nearby residential road will be used to park extra cars.</p> <p>There isn't a plan to increase the public service such as GP, school and dentist and having new residents of 1,500 people will be a problem. I also worry about the impact on the greenspace next to the site as well as the impact on people's health as it's so close to North Circular Road</p>	Object	1/11/2026 6:04:22 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lpeAF	<p>This area has been designated for leisure for Barnet Residents which will largely be lost. Concerns that such a large housing development will impact on local roads hospitals doctors and dentists schools. The site is not near an underground station so huge impact on roads nearby and possible parking at nearby stations, already in jeopardy as council are building on Station car parks.</p>	Object	1/11/2026 6:04:23 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lpVcR	<p>The loss of these local amenities, including the cinema, restaurants, and healthcentre would impact everyday life for young people and families, negatively impacting thriving communities where people and come together and connect.</p>	Object	1/11/2026 6:04:23 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001lpYly	<p>This plan is out of character of our area and won't provide the necessary housing, i.e. social and affordable housing. Crowded towers near the main road, the north circular, who wants to live in such a flat. It will be bought by investors and not by people who really need housing.</p>	Object	1/11/2026 6:04:24 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001UhmE	<p>The overdevelopment will be a travesty if approved. Loss of social spaces for all by demolishing the cinema and bowling alley as well as the restaurants.</p> <p>Over density of flats which will likely remain empty as will be unaffordable.</p> <p>Destruction of habitat for the protected newt species.</p> <p>Please do not approve this</p>	Object	1/7/2026 6:04:23 PM

2025/0073	a07Q500001IU1I	There are no discernable positives for local residents, just a money making exercise for the devopers of this site.	Object	1/7/2026 6:04:24 PM
2025/0073	a07Q500001IUO4M	<p>Barnet needs more homes, but there are significant problems with this application.</p> <p>The Local Plan indicates it is too dense for a suburban area - 435 dwellings/ha. Excessive height: many buildings exceed 8 stories. The site is not listed in "Barnet Policy CDH04: Tall Buildings" as suitable. Poor access to daylight and sunlight - 26.7% of homes do not meet minimum daylight standards.</p> <p>33% of homes are single aspect of which 3% are north facing, which is "normally unacceptable" (SPD 7.9). PPG describes good housing as that which "supports and promotes healthy behaviours and environments and a reduction in health inequalities". Where is the equality in design?</p> <p>GNLP overprovides small dwellings.</p> <p>Barnet Local Plan Policy HOU02 - table 7 (Market housing):</p> <p>1 bed6% 2 bed24% 3 bed 40% 4 bed25% 5+ bed5%</p> <p>GNLP:</p> <p>1 bed 40% 2 bed 42% 3 bed 17% 4 bed≤1%</p> <p>Affordable housing is 22%, below the 50% target in London Plan Policy H4.</p> <p>The site lacks public transport facilities, as reflected by the Public Transport Level of 2. The 263 bus to East Finchley Station will be impacted. Lack of onsite parking risks spillover into neighbouring roads.</p> <p>Limited vehicle access may cause delivery backlog onto the A1000, block emergency access, and create safety issues at the Leisure centre. The refuse collection design is poor.</p> <p>The leisure centre is considered open space, but it serves the wider borough, not just this development. There is a lack of play space for children. A potential rise in crime and antisocial behaviour caused by losing amenities is concerning. The IFS reported in 2024 "after youth clubs closed... youth crime increased".</p>	Object	1/7/2026 6:04:25 PM

2025/0073	a07Q500001IUWo6	<p>I wish to formally object to planning application 25-0213-FUL for the redevelopment of the Great North Leisure Park. The proposal would result in the permanent loss of valuable and well-used community leisure facilities, including the Vue cinema, Hollywood Bowl and associated social spaces that serve families, young people and the wider community. These facilities provide affordable, accessible leisure opportunities and play an important role in local wellbeing. The proposed development does not offer like-for-like or equivalent replacements, and their loss would significantly harm the social infrastructure of the area.</p> <p>The scale, height and density of the proposed buildings represent over-development of the site and are out of keeping with the surrounding area. Towers of up to around 25 storeys would dominate the local townscape, harm residential amenity, and create an oppressive environment. This concern has previously been recognised by Barnet Council when similar proposals were refused, and the fundamental issue of excessive scale has not been adequately addressed.</p> <p>The level of affordable housing proposed is also insufficient and falls materially below the targets set out in the London Plan and Barnet's own policy expectations. At a time of acute housing need, a scheme of this size should be delivering a far higher proportion of genuinely affordable homes, rather than maximising private residential development at the expense of policy compliance and local need.</p> <p>The site's proximity to Glebelands Local Nature Reserve raises serious concerns about impacts on biodiversity, including protected species such as great crested newts. The information provided does not give sufficient confidence that ecological impacts have been fully assessed or that effective mitigation measures are in place. Any development that risks long-term harm to protected habitats and species should be resisted.</p> <p>The proposal would also place significant additional pressure on already stretched local infrastructure, including GP services, schools, transport and community facilities. Public transport accessibility in the area is limited, and the scheme does not appear to be supported by commensurate investment to ensure that services and infrastructure can cope with the increased population.</p> <p>Overall, the development prioritises density and height over quality, liveability and community benefit, with insufficient open space and a design that risks creating a poor living environment for future residents while negatively impacting existing neighbours. For these reasons, I urge the planning authority and the Mayor of London to refuse planning permission for this application.</p>	Object	1/7/2026 6:04:24 PM
-----------	-----------------	---	--------	---------------------