MAYOR OF LONDON OFFICE FOR POLICING AND CRIME

Г	71	ЛD	CI	۵	cic	ion		DC	n '	145	1
L	<i>,</i> 11	VIP	L. I	Je	us	10)[_	Рι.	.,	147	

Title: Request for authorisation to settle claims against the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS)

Executive Summary:

The Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime (DMPC) is asked to consider a request to settle claims.

The Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime has the discretion to authorise financial settlement of claims where it would be conducive to the maintenance of an efficient and effective police force

Recommendation:

The Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime is recommended to: authorise settlement of claims for the reasons set out below and in part two.

Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime

I confirm I have considered whether or not I have any personal or prejudicial interest in this matter and take the proposed decision in compliance with the Code of Conduct. Any such interests are recorded below.

The above request has my approval.

Signature Spice hunder

Date

01/06/2023

PCD November 2018

PART I - NON-CONFIDENTIAL FACTS AND ADVICE TO THE DMPC

1. Introduction and background

1.1. In December 2017 Havering Council Safer Neighbourhood Board requested statistical data from Havering Borough police in order to compare officer numbers in 2010 and 2017. The police response contained not only the statistical information but also confidential personal details of approx. 1,360 officers and PCSOs. The data breach was discovered in May 2018. Borough police ensured that all recipients of the data deleted it from their computers. There has been no onward disclosure.

2. Issues for consideration

2.1. For the DMPC to consider whether to authorise settlement of claims identified in part 2, and whether the settlement will secure an efficient and effective Police Service

3. Financial Comments

3.1. DMPC has authority to approve the cost of any settlement, above £50,000.00, or those claims that are otherwise high profile or sensitive, according to the criteria set out at section 4.10 of the Scheme of Consent and Delegation 2016.

4. Legal Comments

- 4.1. The DMPC has delegated authority under section 4.10 of the Scheme of Consent and Delegation 2016, to consider the current application.
- 4.2. MOPAC has the power to pay any sum required in connection with the settlement for any claim made against the Commissioner under Section 88 of the Police Act 1996.

5. GDPR and Data Privacy

5.1. The processing of personal data has been minimised as part of this decision and is held within Part 2 of the report.

6. Equality Comments

6.1. To continue policing with the consent of the population it serves, the police will always seek to treat everyone fairly and openly. Race or equality issues do not appear to have an impact in this matter.

7. Background/supporting papers

7.1. None

Public access to information

Information in this form (Part 1) is subject to the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA) and will be made available on the MOPAC website following approval.

If immediate publication risks compromising the implementation of the decision it can be deferred until a specific date. Deferral periods should be kept to the shortest length strictly necessary.

Part 1 Deferral:

Is the publication of Part 1 of this approval to be deferred? Yes

If yes, for what reason:

Until what date: Annual Review

Part 2 Confidentiality: Only the facts or advice considered as likely to be exempt from disclosure under the FOIA should be in the separate Part 2 form, together with the legal rationale for non-publication.

Is there a Part 2 form - YES

ORIGINATING OFFICER DECLARATION

	Tick to confirm statement (✓)
Financial Advice:	
The Strategic Finance and Resource Management Team has been consulted on	
this proposal.	✓
Legal Advice:	✓
The MPS legal team has been consulted on the proposal. required.	
Equalities Advice:	
Equality and diversity issues are covered in the body of the report. AND The	✓
Workforce Development Officer has been consulted on the equalities and	
diversity issues within this report.	
Commercial Issues	✓
Commercial issues are not applicable	
GDPR/Data Privacy	✓
GDPR compliance issues are covered in the body of the report an	
A DPIA is not required.	
Director/Head of Service:	✓
Judith Mullett has reviewed the request and is satisfied it is correct and	
consistent with the MOPAC's plans and priorities.	

OFFICER APPROVAL

Chief Executive Officer

I have been consulted about the proposal and confirm that financial, legal and equalities advice has been taken into account in the preparation of this report. I am satisfied that this is an appropriate request to be submitted to the Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime.

Signatura	Chanaluctiferd.	
Signature	1 the cont	

Date 30/05/2023

PCD November 2018