**From:** [ ]@london.gov.uk>
**Sent:** 10 January 2023 17:01
**To:** [ ]@fairfueluk.com
**Subject:** Re: Leaked data reveals 66% voted against Sadiq Khan’s ULEZ expansion, and that responses may have been improperly excluded

Thanks Howard - we'll pull out the relevant docs and send to you tomorrow morning

[ ]

[ ], GLA Conservatives

**M:** [ ] | **E**: [ ] @london.gov.uk

City Hall, Kamal Chunchie Way, London E16 1ZE

**From:** [ ] @fairfueluk.com
**Sent:** 10 January 2023 13:14
**To:** [ ] london.gov.uk>
**Subject:** RE: Leaked data reveals 66% voted against Sadiq Khan’s ULEZ expansion, and that responses may have been improperly excluded

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside this organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi [ ], of course I will respect the embargo. I am writing an article for the Sun on the Op-Ed page regarding ULEZ and 41,000 have completed our poll on the issue.  Susan has sent me a quote. So it would be great to synergise with you guys.

Yes please supply the FairFuelUK documents. Thanks

Best H

**From:** [ ] @london.gov.uk

**Sent:** 10 January 2023 12:12
**To:** Howard Cox - FairFuelUK < [ ] @fairfueluk.com>
**Subject:** Re: Leaked data reveals 66% voted against Sadiq Khan’s ULEZ expansion, and that responses may have been improperly excluded

Hi Howard,

Happy new year. I wanted to give you a heads up on a story we have coming up, which is under embargo until Tuesday 17th January.

We have obtained documents proving that Fair Fuel UK entries were excluded from the consultation. We plan to release these, alongside some other revelations, next Tuesday.

If it would be helpful, we can provide the documents re Fair Fuel UK to you in advance if you're happy to stick to the embargo.

Would hugely appreciate if you can keep this to yourself until the story breaks.

Best wishes,

[ ]

[ ], GLA Conservatives

**M:** [ ] | **E:** [ ] @london.gov.uk

City Hall, Kamal Chunchie Way, London E16 1ZE

**From:** Howard Cox – FairFuelUK < [ ] @fairfueluk.com>
**Sent:** 01 October 2022 11:02
**To:** [ ] @london.gov.uk>
**Subject:** Re: Leaked data reveals 66% voted against Sadiq Khan’s ULEZ expansion, and that responses may have been improperly excluded

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside this organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Thanks [ ]. My lawyers are awaiting to see if 5000 supporters of FairFuelUK and their opposition to the ULEZ expansion will be excluded. Please let me know asap if you get wind of this happening. Best Howard

On 1 Oct 2022, at 10:30 am, [ ] < [ ]@london.gov.uk> wrote:

Hello,

I’m a [ ] officer with the GLA Conservatives.

Flagging the below press release which we’ve sent to the media, which may be of interest to you.

Thanks,

[ ]

**From:** [ ]
**Sent:** 30 September 2022 20:26
**Subject:** Leaked data reveals 66% voted against Sadiq Khan’s ULEZ expansion, and that responses may have been improperly excluded

Hello – please see below news which has just broken in the [Telegraph](https://www.telegraph.co.uk/business/2022/09/30/leak-reveals-two-thirds-londoners-oppose-expansion-ultra-low) this evening.

**30 September 2022**

**Leaked data reveals 66% voted against Sadiq Khan’s ULEZ expansion, and that responses may have been improperly excluded**

        **A Telegraph investigation reveals that responses were removed without proper oversight or scrutiny on the grounds that they were allegedly “duplicate or not genuine”, disproportionately reducing the opposition vote. The news raises serious questions about the integrity of the consultation.**

        **The official results show 66% of the consultation respondents voted against Sadiq Khan’s ULEZ expansion, easily meeting the Mayor’s ‘overwhelming opposition’ threshold. If Sadiq Khan proceeds with the policy, it could be subject to legal challenges.**

        **GLA Conservatives Assembly Members were approached by whistleblowers close to the consultation, who provided consistent and verifiable evidence. On this basis, we can confirm the results reported in the Telegraph are accurate.**

        **Nick Rogers AM said that Sadiq Khan must now scrap the policy and address the integrity concerns raised by the Telegraph.**

An investigation by The Telegraph has revealed the results of the ULEZ expansion consultation and found submissions were removed without proper oversight or public scrutiny on the grounds that they were allegedly “duplicate or not genuine”, disproportionately reducing the opposition vote.

The findings raise serious questions about the integrity of the consultation process. The final consultation report is not expected to be published until later this year.

The leaked results of the ULEZ consultation from when the consultation closed at the end of July are:

        66% voted against the ULEZ expansion.

        24% voted in favour.

As per The Telegraph’s reporting, some votes have been removed from the process. The modified result, following these exclusions, is 59% opposed. It is unclear whether TfL intends to remove more votes before the final report is published, which may push the opposition count down even further.

Consultations by public bodies have status in law, and any improper exclusions could be unlawful.

**Legal implications for Sadiq Khan and Transport for London**

In early September, Labour MP Janet Daby attempted to discredit entries from motorists who used templates to respond to the consultation, claiming that they had attempted to ‘manipulate the outcome’  ([Evening Standard](https://www.standard.co.uk/news/london/tfl-ulez-expansion-sadiq-khan-drivers-labour-b1023422.html), 7 September). This refers to one-click templates used by campaign groups on both sides of the argument, where respondents could pre-fill some of the form by clicking a link. An example from a pro-ULEZ campaign group is linked [here](https://twitter.com/_wearepossible/status/1544643121866211328).

Whistleblowers close to the consultation told GLA Conservatives Assembly Members that this justification has been used to disproportionately exclude votes against the ULEZ expansion. We understand from their evidence that pro-ULEZ template responses have not been excluded to the same extent, or at all.

Consultations held by public bodies must comply with the law, the key principles of which are set out in the [Gunning Principles](https://www.local.gov.uk/sites/default/files/documents/The%20Gunning%20Principles.pdf). If responses are improperly excluded in a way that favours a particular side, this may breach the first and fourth principles. Public officials working on the consultation are also required to comply with the [Nolan Principles](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-7-principles-of-public-life/the-7-principles-of-public-life--2).

Both the 66% actual count and the 59% modified count meet Sadiq Khan’s criteria for ‘overwhelming opposition’, under which he committed to scrapping the policy.

If he proceeds with the policy regardless, those affected by the ULEZ expansion may have grounds to pursue legal action against TfL and the Mayor’s Office, per the legal principles outlined above.

If the consultation is found to be illegitimate, this could also put TfL’s finances at risk. Sadiq Khan was required to hold a consultation on the ULEZ expansion by the TfL financial settlement agreement with the Government. If the consultation is found to be illegitimate, he may be in breach of this agreement.

**The ULEZ expansion would have been a ‘cost of living charge’**

Under the Mayor’s proposals, non-compliant vehicles who drive within the new boundaries would have been forced to pay a daily £12.50 ‘cost of living charge,’ punishing Londoners who cannot afford to upgrade their vehicles during the cost of living crisis. Disabled people would be disproportionately affected, as they may require expensive vehicle-specific adaptations to upgrade their cars.

The ULEZ expansion was primarily designed as a revenue raising measure for the Mayor and would not meaningfully affect air quality or climate change. Earlier this year, an integrated impact assessment commissioned by the Mayor found that the proposed expansion would have no effect on particulate emissions or particulate quality, and a negligible effect on nitrogen dioxide levels.

Many small businesses warned they may have to close down if they and their customers were forced to pay the daily ‘cost of living charge.’ Those who have spoken out publicly include a small coffee van business in Harrow ([source](https://www.harrowtimes.co.uk/news/20625712.harrow-dad-fears-coffee-business-go-bust-ulez-expands/)), a greengrocers in Surbiton ([source](https://www.mylondon.news/news/south-london-news/cost-living-legendary-south-london-24725446)), and a tea and coffee house in Bexley ([source](https://www.itv.com/news/london/2022-06-16/london-businesses-worried-ulez-will-wipe-out-trade-if-pollution-zone-expands)).

The Federation of Small Businesses (FSB) said of those small businesses with non-compliant vehicles, nearly 1 in 5 (18%) said they would close if the ULEZ is expanded ([source](https://londonlovesbusiness.com/the-mayor-has-been-asked-to-rethink-ulez-as-many-small-businesses-will-have-to-start-making-difficult-decisions-to-stay-in-london/)). 25% intend to pass charges onto customers, and others have said they would stop serving London. The survey also found 84% of their respondents opposed the proposal to expand the ULEZ.

The ULEZ expansion would have cost taxpayers over £250 million in new signage, cameras and infrastructure, according to TfL estimates. This is more than three times the Mayor’s entire cost of living support package (£80m).

**Nick Rogers AM says Sadiq Khan must scrap the policy**

**Nick Rogers AM, GLA Conservatives transport spokesperson, said:** “Sadiq Khan’s ULEZ expansion would have had a negligible effect on air quality, but would have been devastating for small businesses and low income families. I am not surprised that an overwhelming 66% voted against his cost of living charge, which will force him to drop the policy.

“Londoners will be shocked by the Telegraph’s revelations on the ULEZ expansion consultation. Sadiq Khan must reassure them by confirming he will now scrap the ULEZ expansion and address the serious integrity concerns raised by the investigation.”

**—**

**Notes for editors:**

        The Telegraph’s investigation can be found here: <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/business/2022/09/30/leak-reveals-two-thirds-londoners-oppose-expansion-ultra-low>

        There is some debate over whether one-click templates should be used when inviting responses to consultations, as it is harder to encourage people to consider both sides of the argument. Regardless of your views on them, the responses submitted this way are from real people who have legitimate views that deserve consideration. Many people opted for this approach as it was more convenient than filling out a lengthy form. Much as you cannot throw away postal votes because voters did not make the journey to a polling station, or destroy a ballot paper because an official has decided the person did not put enough effort into deciding their vote, you cannot exclude consultation entries in this way. Similarly, you cannot throw away a large number of votes because an official was suspicious that some of them might be spam or from people who should not be voting.

        We have screenshots showing an example of a one-click template form from a pro-ULEZ group, which illustrates how they typically work. Available here: <https://www.dropbox.com/scl/fo/hlbe6bctfxaiq3oxi2ode/h?dl=0&rlkey=mhka9jt8v6xd2d91470znsujw>

        Submissions from outside London are not illegitimate. Many people who live outside London drive into the city on a regular basis, and would be affected by the charge. The consultation was set up to consider the views of people who would be affected by the charge itself, or by air quality in London.

        The Mayor said on 20 May 2022 to the Evening Standard that he would not press ahead with the plans if the public overwhelmingly rejected them in the consultation. He added: “It’s a genuine consultation – as were the previous two consultations in relation to the central London Ultra-Low Emission Zone and the expansion” ([source](https://www.standard.co.uk/news/london/ulez-tfl-outer-london-sadiq-khan-b1001358.html)).

        The integrated impact assessment is available [here](https://ehq-production-europe.s3.eu-west-1.amazonaws.com/2e0438f24520ece474690bb99a94108e4a555b1e/original/1652882837/c7731c1b9dd3c304567a31d5b4816351_London-wide_ULEZ_Integrated_Impact_Assessment_%28ULEZ_Scheme_IIA%29_%282%29.pdf). Neil Garratt AM gave an example of how the report shows the policy will have a negligible effect on air quality to Sadiq Khan at [Mayor’s Question Time in July 2022](https://www.london.gov.uk/about-us/londonassembly/meetings/documents/s100100/Appendix%202%20-%20Questions%20to%20the%20Mayor.pdf). Table 9.5 on page 165 says that Sutton’s particulate level would go from 9.5 [annual mean concentration in μg/m3] without the scheme to 9.5 with the scheme, Croydon’s will go from 9.6 without the scheme to 9.6 with the scheme. Nitrogen dioxide levels will go from 19.5 to 19.2 in Sutton and from 19.0 to 18.7 in Croydon, which is a negligible change.

        The £250m cost of setting up the ULEZ was revealed in the [TfL financial settlement](https://content.tfl.gov.uk/tfl-settlement-letter-30-august-2022.pdf) with the government.

        Some alternative uses for the £250m that would actually improve air quality in London were set out in Shaun Bailey’s [Every Breath You Take](https://www.glaconservatives.co.uk/_files/ugd/be2809_a072a92e73b5449983d03a84125d0168.pdf) report.

        The ULEZ expansion is Sadiq Khan’s legacy project. He is writing a book called *Breathe* for May 2023 and has recently launched a new podcast called *Clear The Air*. He is deeply personally invested in this policy, and this vote has significant political implications for him and his future plans.

        Sadiq Khan has yet to commit to standing for a third term. So far, he has only said it remains his “intention” to do so.

**ENDS**

[ ] GLA Conservatives

**M:** [ ]| **E:** [ ]@london.gov.uk

City Hall, Kamal Chunchie Way, London E16 1ZE

**From:** [ ] @london.gov.uk>
**Sent:** 11 January 2023 10:43
**To:** Howard Cox - FairFuelUK [ ]@fairfueluk.com>; fairfueluk@[ ].com
**Subject:** ULEZ documents

Hi Howard,

As discussed, please find attached the relevant documents.

In summary:

* 6,602 campaign entries in support of the ULEZ expansion were accepted as responses to question 8 (whether and when it should go ahead).
* 4,726 opposing (Fair Fuel UK) and 544 supportive (Living Streets) campaign entries were excluded from question 8, because they were not deemed to be answering that question.
* The effect of this intervention alone was to lower the final "includes campaigns" opposition % from 62% to 59%.
* 100% of the campaign entries ***accepted*** under question 8 supported the ULEZ expansion. 90% of the campaign entries ***excluded*** from question 8 opposed the ULEZ expansion.
* The Mayor's office is planning to argue that Fair Fuel UK entries were not excluded, because they were counted as 'comments' in the consultation, and therefore were taken into account.
* But they were ultimately not counted against the question 8 figure. This is despite the fact that the entries obviously answer question 8, and other pro-ULEZ campaign entries were recognised as responses to question 8.

There are some differences between the numbers in this correspondence and the final report. Our understanding is that:

* 406 responses to question 8 (likely by phone or email) were processed and added to the figures after this correspondence and before the final report was issued. These didn't change the percentages and Fair Fuel UK and Living Streets were not added back in to question 8.
* 1 Fair Fuel UK entry and 2 Living Streets entries were processed after this correspondence, but not added into question 8.

As discussed, this is embargoed until **Tuesday 17 January**.

Best wishes,

[ ]

[ ]

[ ], GLA Conservatives

**M:** [ ] | **E:** [ ] @london.gov.uk

City Hall, Kamal Chunchie Way, London E16 1ZE

**From:** [ ]@fairfueluk.com <[ ]@fairfueluk.com>
**Sent:** 15 January 2023 19:02
**To:** [ ]@london.gov.uk>
**Cc:** [ ]@gmail.com; [ ]@london.gov.uk>
**Subject:** RE: ULEZ

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside this organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Main part of FairFuelUK’s draft Press release to follow you.

**ULEZ Expansion set to damage London’s Economy.**

In the largest survey of drivers, FairFuelUK’s Opinion Poll of over 40,000 responses shows:

* 1 in 5 drivers out of 42,792 responses will not drive into London anymore
* 31% of sole traders (plumbers, electricians etc) will not drive into London anymore
* 1 in 4 visitors/tourists will not drive into London
* 3 out of 4 drivers believe the ULEZ expansion to cover Greater London is purely a money raising exercise
* Half believe the ULEZ programme is to stop us driving
* Only 12% believe LEZ will improve urban air quality
* 6 out of 10 drivers in the survey describe Sadiq Khan as a cash grabbing Mayor, with only 3% saying he is an environmental champion
* 89% of Drivers who live outside of Greater London oppose low emission zones in other cities across the UK.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| The London ULEZ is to be expandedfrom 29 August. Tick statements that are relevant to you | **All drivers** | **Visitor** | **Sole trader** | **Biz Owner** | **Employee** | **Retired** | **Student** |
| **Total Responses** | 42792 | 6647 | 6112 | 4430 | 13765 | 11290 | 548 |
| **I will only drive in if I really have to** | 21% | 27% | 16% | 23% | 30% | 11% | 16% |
| **I will not drive into G London anymore** | **21%** | **25%** | **31%** | **22%** | **13%** | **24%** | **28%** |
| **I will drive into G London much less** | 21% | 45% | 13% | 18% | 11% | 25% | 14% |
| **I will still have to drive into G London** | 19% | 3% | 31% | 27% | 23% | 14% | 36% |
| **I never ever drive into G London.** | 17% | 1% | 10% | 10% | 23% | 26% | 6% |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| In your opinion, what are the reasons for introducing or expanding low emission zones? | **42,792** |
| **To generate income for local authority** | 77% |
| **To stop us driving** | 46% |
| **To make us use public transport** | 17% |
| **As part of net zero plans** | 13% |
| **To improve urban air quality** | 12% |
| **To reduce congestion** | 7% |
| **To reduce global warming** | 2% |
| **To save children’s' lives** | 1% |

**From:** [ ]@london.gov.uk>
**Sent:** 15 January 2023 18:38
**To:** Howard Cox - FairFuelUK <[ ]@fairfueluk.com>
**Cc:** [ ]@gmail.com; Keith Prince <[ ]@london.gov.uk>
**Subject:** Re: ULEZ

Thanks Howard, much appreciated. We'll fix the 'FairFuelUK' stylisation in the published materials - thanks for flagging.

[ ]
[ ], GLA Conservatives

**M:** [ ] | **E:** [ ]@london.gov.uk

City Hall, Kamal Chunchie Way, London E16 1ZE

**From:** Howard Cox - FairFuelUK <[ ]@fairfueluk.com>
**Sent:** 15 January 2023 18:25
**To:** [ ]<[ ]@london.gov.uk>
**Cc:** [ ]@gmail.com>; Keith Prince <[ ] @london.gov.uk>
**Subject:** Re: ULEZ

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside this organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

I have only spoken to the Sun as I was already writing my ULEZ OpEd. They promise to respect your embargo as I do.  I am speaking with [ *Redacted – personal data s40(2)* ] in the morning and will enforce your embargo. I have not spoken to any other media.  My poll release is ready and set for Wednesday to not over shadow yours.  H

PS. Please when mentioning FairFuelUK please note there are no spaces. Thanks

On 15 Jan 2023, at 4:27 pm, [ ]@london.gov.uk> wrote:

﻿

Thanks Howard. Below is the press release.

We'd be grateful if you could avoid speaking to online and print press about this until the embargo lifts on Tuesday, and in the meantime, we'll pass on your quote to the journalists we are prebriefing. This is to avoid any clashes where multiple journalists at the same outlet are given the story, and also to minimise leak risk.

But do feel free to speak to broadcast if you want to set up interviews for Tuesday. As long as they abide by the embargo and you mention that the release is from us, there shouldn't be any issues with approaching them.

Best wishes,

[ ]

**EMBARGO: 06:00 Tuesday 17 January 2023**

*GLA Conservatives*

**Sadiq Khan made ‘false and dishonest’ statements to the London Assembly and manipulated ULEZ results**

* **New documents released today reveal Sadiq Khan made ‘false and dishonest’ statements to the London Assembly on six occasions.**

* **Sadiq Khan and his deputy Seb Dance told the London Assembly that they had not been briefed in advance on the ULEZ consultation results, when asked repeatedly by Peter Fortune AM at public meetings. Internal correspondence and secret documents released today reveal that this was untrue and dishonest.**

* **Nick Rogers AM, GLA Conservatives transport spokesperson, has submitted a formal complaint about Sadiq Khan’s misconduct to the Greater London Authority Monitoring Officer. The Mayor is expected to face an investigation into his behaviour.**

* **The documents also show thousands of responses, 90% of which opposed the expansion, were secretly and improperly excluded from the final ULEZ consultation results after an intervention by the Mayor’s senior advisers. The intervention lowered the overall level of opposition in the final count by 3 percentage points (from 62% to 59%).**

Sadiq Khan made ‘false and dishonest’ statements to the London Assembly on six occasions, as newly released documents expose a secret campaign by the Mayor’s office to manipulate the results of the Ultra Low Emissions Zone (ULEZ) consultation.

Internal correspondence released today proves that the Mayor was secretly briefed on the ULEZ consultation results on 29 September, contrary to his repeated and dishonest denials to the London Assembly. His senior advisers were also regularly briefed.

Nick Rogers AM has made a formal complaint against Sadiq Khan to the Greater London Authority Monitoring Officer, the statutory officer responsible for investigating any allegations of misconduct by the Mayor or London Assembly Members.

Seb Dance, the deputy mayor for transport, also made false and dishonest statements to the London Assembly when he denied on three occasions receiving the ULEZ consultation results in advance. In fact, the documents reveal that he and Shirley Rodrigues, deputy mayor for the environment, received weekly updates on the results alongside the Mayor’s senior advisers.

**The documents**

The documents released today have exposed “The ULEZ Scandal,” and comprise over 200 pages of internal correspondence and documents from the Mayor’s senior advisers and TfL directors during the final weeks of the ULEZ consultation process.

The correspondence reveals the Mayor’s office intervened in the consultation process, which skewed the results and reduced the percentage opposed to Sadiq Khan’s ULEZ expansion.

This included a decision at the end of the consultation to secretly exclude thousands of responses from the final results. So-called ‘campaign responses’ from ULEZ expansion opponents Fair Fuel UK and pro-ULEZ group Living Streets were not counted as responses to the main questionnaire, while ‘campaign responses’ from the remaining pro-ULEZ campaign groups were accepted.

This intervention lowered the level of opposition in the final count by 3 percentage points (from 62% to 59%).

The Mayor’s office also used the interim results to launch a £165,000 digital marketing campaign in the final weeks of the consultation, focused on demographics more likely to support the ULEZ expansion, and tracked the impact it had on the final count.

And the documents reveal the Mayor spent public funds commissioning a YouGov poll in the final weeks of the consultation to re-run the main question, after his office was made aware of the level of opposition in the interim results.

Consultations by public bodies have status in law, and any improper interference in the results could be unlawful.

**Nick Rogers AM, GLA Conservatives transport spokesperson, said:**“We now have overwhelming evidence that Sadiq Khan has committed serious misconduct, by violating the integrity of the consultation and improperly excluding thousands of legitimate responses. The Mayor must now explain himself to Londoners, who participated in this consultation in good faith. This behaviour cannot stand and must be addressed by the appropriate authorities.”

**Peter Fortune AM, deputy leader of the GLA Conservatives, added:**“The files released today further reveal the Mayor’s contempt for the rules, his failure to follow due process and his disregard for the people who hold him to account. More importantly, it shows he never intended to listen to Londoners. Sadiq Khan has brought himself and his office into disrepute. He must face the consequences and apologise for his actions.”

**Paul Scully MP, Minister for London and Parliamentary Under-Secretary of State for Tech and the Digital Economy, said:**“These findings are very concerning. If the Mayor is going to push through such a punitive and unpopular policy, he has to have a grip on the detail. The London Assembly is responsible for the oversight of the Mayor of London. It can and should investigate this further.”

**NOTES TO EDITORS**

* The ULEZ Scandal files can be accessed here: <https://www.dropbox.com/sh/mkjczjfqjn0p3c1/AABu5TaksLDAzM9Jof1ic7Y3a?dl=0>

* Our briefing document is available here with screenshots to the relevant evidence: <https://www.dropbox.com/s/sjb9mnc7pekftdj/Briefing%20-%20The%20ULEZ%20Scandal.pdf?dl=0>

* The files were obtained by three Environmental Information Regulations and Freedom of Information Requests to the Greater London Authority and Transport for London, submitted by Keith Prince AM, Andrew Boff AM, Emma Best AM.

* Consultations held by public bodies must comply with the law, the key principles of which are set out in the [Gunning Principles](https://www.local.gov.uk/sites/default/files/documents/The%20Gunning%20Principles.pdf). If responses are improperly excluded in a way that favours a particular side, or the consultation is not handled in an impartial way, this is likely to breach the first and fourth principles. Public officials working on the consultation are also required to comply with the [Nolan Principles](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-7-principles-of-public-life/the-7-principles-of-public-life--2), the [TfL Code of Conduct](https://tfl.gov.uk/corporate/publications-and-reports/code-of-conduct) and the [GLA Code of Ethics and Standards](https://www.london.gov.uk/who-we-are/governance-and-spending/promoting-good-governance/high-standards-conduct).

* The GLA Monitoring Officer is the statutory officer responsible for investigating misconduct by the Mayor of London or London Assembly Members. Their scope does not include the deputy mayors.

 **ENDS**

 [ ]

[ ], GLA Conservatives

**M:** [ ] | **E:** [ ]@london.gov.uk

City Hall, Kamal Chunchie Way, London E16 1ZE

**From:** [ ]@fairfueluk.com <[ ]@fairfueluk.com>
**Sent:** 14 January 2023 13:17
**To:** [ ] <[ ]@london.gov.uk>; [ ]@gmail.com <[ ]@gmail.com>
**Cc:** Keith Prince < [ ]@london.gov.uk>
**Subject:** RE: ULEZ

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside this organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Ok[ ], here is my quote. Adjust as you deem fit. I have gone hard.

**“London’s Mayor says Brexit is causing immense damage to London.  He should butt out of national politics and focus on repairing the colossal destruction to our Capital City he has and continues to inflict.**

**UK’s most unaccountable politician deploys policy to suit his own personal agenda. Ignoring and manipulating the ULEZ consultation process is not only contemptible, but also possibly unlawful.**

**He must be subject to an immediate independent public enquiry as to his immoral behaviour in the way he has implemented the ULEZ expansion.**

**He deceitfully and consciously excluded 5000 supporters of FairFuelUK, and their legitimate responses to the ULEZ consultation because they objected to his uncorroborated expansion plans.**

**His planned new Greater London ULEZ will be environmentally ineffective as already proven by TfL’s very own data.**

**The scheme is purely a cash grab hidden behind a virtual signalling emotive approach to allegedly  improving air quality.**

**London’s Mayor is incapable of anything else, but self-centred politics.**

**With 1 in 3 sole traders and 1 in 4 visitors telling FairFuelUK they will never drive into the city ever again, Mayor Khan must cancel this vain scheme for good, so that London is not economically damaged any further.”**

Howard Cox
Founder of FairFuelUK
[ ]

**From:** [ ] <[ ]@london.gov.uk>
**Sent:** 14 January 2023 11:08
**To:** Howard Cox - FairFuelUK <[ ]@fairfueluk.com>; [ ]@gmail.com
**Cc:** Keith Prince <[ ]@london.gov.uk>
**Subject:** Re: ULEZ

Thanks Howard. We’ll follow up shortly re the release - it may be easier if we provide your quote to the journalists we’ve already spoken to, if you'd be happy with that?

Sent from Outlook for iOS

**From:** Howard Cox - FairFuelUK <[ ]@fairfueluk.com>
**Sent:** Saturday, January 14, 2023 8:52:28 AM
**To:** [ ]@gmail.com <[ ]@gmail.com>
**Cc:** [ ]<[ ]@london.gov.uk>; Keith Prince <[ ]@london.gov.uk>
**Subject:** ULEZ

CAUTION: This email originated from outside this organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Susan, [ ], Keith

I have written an OpEd for the Sun I think for Wednesday to not cramp your Tuesday release.  Please can I see your press release asap -  I want to supply a quote to the main nationals to support you guys. My poll of 44,000 says that 1 in 3 sole traders will not come into G London from august  and 1 in 4 tourists too.

 Best h

------------------------------------------------------------------------------

This message has been scanned for viruses by the Greater London Authority.

Click [https://www.mailcontrol.com/sr/MZbqvYs5QwJvpeaetUwhCQ== to report this email as spam.

------------------------------------------------------------------------------](https://www.mailcontrol.com/sr/MZbqvYs5QwJvpeaetUwhCQ%3D%3D)

**From:** [ ]<[ ]@london.gov.uk>
**Sent:** 16 January 2023 17:48
**To:** [ ]@fairfueluk.com
**Cc:** [ ]@gmail.com; Keith Prince <[ ]@london.gov.uk>
**Subject:** Re: Story leaked

Thanks Howard, appreciate your help with this. Not [ ***Redacted-Personal data Section 40(2)*** ] fault, it's [ ***Redacted-Personal data Section 40(2)*** ] and an issue with the PA embargo that caused it.

[ ]

[ ], GLA Conservatives

**M:** [ ]| **E:** [ ]@london.gov.uk

City Hall, Kamal Chunchie Way, London E16 1ZE

**From:** [ ]@fairfueluk.com <[ ]@fairfueluk.com>
**Sent:** 16 January 2023 17:46
**To:** [ ] <[ ]@london.gov.uk>
**Cc:** [ ]@gmail.com <[ ]@gmail.com>; Keith Prince <[ ]@london.gov.uk>
**Subject:** RE: Story leaked

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside this organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

I will bring my poll results release forward 1 day now to tomorrow. [ ***Redacted-Personal data Section 40(2)*** ]

H

**From:** [ ] <[ ]@london.gov.uk>
**Sent:** 16 January 2023 16:53
**To:** [ ]@fairfueluk.com; [ ]@gmail.com; Keith Prince <[ ]@london.gov.uk>
**Subject:** Re: Story leaked

Thanks Howard. Yes unfortunately the Telegraph decided to break the embargo this afternoon.

We're holding off on comms until tomorrow morning, as rest of the press are sticking to it for now.

[ ]
[ ] GLA Conservatives

**M:** [ ]| **E:** [ ]@london.gov.uk

City Hall, Kamal Chunchie Way, London E16 1ZE

**From:** [ ]@fairfueluk.com <[ ]@fairfueluk.com>
**Sent:** 16 January 2023 16:51
**To:** [ ] <[ ]@london.gov.uk>; [ ]@gmail.com <[ ]@gmail.com>; Keith Prince <[ ]@london.gov.uk>
**Subject:** Story leaked

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside this organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

I see someone has leaked the story to the Telegraph.  Its not come from me. As my release does not go out till tomorrow as agreed. And most of the story is your Press Release.

<https://www.telegraph.co.uk/business/2023/01/16/london-mayor-sadiq-khan-accused-manipulating-poll-expand-ulez/>

Howard

**From:** [ ]@fairfueluk.com <[ ]@fairfueluk.com>
**Sent:** Saturday, January 21, 2023 9:51:36 AM
**To:** [ ]@gmail.com <[ ]@gmail.com>; Keith Prince <[ ]@london.gov.uk>; [ ] <[ ]@london.gov.uk>
**Subject:** 16609 messages sent in 52 hours to Labour Members and Keir Starmer

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside this organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

In 48 hours about 20% of FairFuelUK ULEZ Consultation expansion exclusions have emailed the Labour group and copied Keir Starmer too.  Cheers Howard

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **16609 messages sent in 52 hours** |  | 16609 |
| **Target name** | **Target email** | **Sent** |
| **Sadiq Khan** | [ ]@london.gov.uk | 995 |
| **Mayor Khans Office** | mayor@london.gov.uk | 920 |
| **Labour Assembly Member Clarke** | [ ]@london.gov.uk | 918 |
| **Labour Party Office** | leader@labour.org.uk | 918 |
| **Deputy London Mayor Dance** | [ ]@london.gov.uk | 918 |
| **Labour Assembly Member Cooper** | [ ]@london.gov.uk | 918 |
| **Deputy Leader of the Labour Party Angela Rayner** | [ ]@parliament.uk | 918 |
| **Labour Assembly Member Onkar** | [ ]@london.gov.uk | 918 |
| **Labour Assembly Member Desai** | [ ]@london.gov.uk | 918 |
| **Chair of the Labour Party Anneliese Dodds** | [ ]@parliament.uk | 918 |
| **Labour Assembly Member Hirani** | [ ]@london.gov.uk | 918 |
| **Labour Assembly Member Duvall** | [ ]@london.gov.uk | 918 |
| **Labour Assembly Member Ahmad** | [ ]@london.gov.uk | 918 |
| **Labour Assembly Member Sheikh** | [ ]@london.gov.uk | 918 |
| **Labour Assembly Member McCartney** | [ ]@london.gov.uk | 918 |
| **Labour Assembly Member Baker** | [ ]@london.gov.uk | 918 |
| **Leader of the Labour Party Sir Keir Starmer** | [ ]@parliament.uk | 918 |
| **Labour Assembly Member Moema** | [ ]@london.gov.uk | 918 |