From: Calderato Christina
Sent: 05 August 2022 18:19

To: <u>Shirley Rodrigues; Seb Dance;</u>

David Bellamy; Richard Watts; Will Norman; Sarah

Brown;

Cc: Alex Williams;

Subject: FINAL 2022 ULEZ consultation weekly summary report - 5th Aug 2022

Attachments: 2022 ULEZ weekly summary report 5 August 2022_.docx

Αll

Please see attached the final weekly summary of the consultation results.

We have received **52,492** responses online (via the Have your say website), by email or by post. This number does not include the 5,267 'copy and paste' campaign emails, where the same blanket statement is sent to us by email or post (this relates only to the Living Streets and Fairfuel.com / British Drivers campaigns).

In this week's report, the key stat to be aware of is that the respondents answering Q8 have shifted in the last 7 days. Last week 63% of respondents to Q8 thought the ULEZ expansion 'should not be implemented at all'. This week that figure has dropped to 59%, which is a significant shift and the headline of the week.

We have also seen a big increase, as expected, in stakeholder responses. To date we have received **330** stakeholder responses, an increase of 190 responses from the previous week. This may continue to creep up over the next week or so and we will start analysis of those responses next week and report back.

Thanks,

Christina

Christina Calderato

Director of Transport Strategy and Policy | City Planning | Transport for London 4^{th} Floor, Palestra, 197 Blackfriars Road, London SE1 8NJ

Our proposals to help improve air quality, tackle the climate emergency and reduce congestion.

Report Date 05 August 2022

The consultation is now closed

We have received **52,492** responses online (via the Have your say website), by email or by post.

This number does not include the 5,267 'copy and paste' campaign emails, where the same blanket statement is sent to us by email or post (this relates only to the Living Streets and Fairfuel.com / British Drivers campaigns). For more information on how we handle campaign responses see page 3.

Contents

Stakeholder replies	1
Campaigns	
How we process campaign responses	
Headlines from online survey	
Emerging themes	13

Stakeholder replies

To date we have now received **330** stakeholder responses, an increase of 190 responses from the previous week. At present we have not been able to summarise all of these new stakeholder submissions to determine their position on our proposals.

We will carry out this work w/c 8 August 2022.

Petitions

Known petitions (9):

Source	Start date	Title	Signatures 28 July	Link
Change.org	Feb 22	Stop Sadiq Khan expanding the ULEZ to all the London borough 2023	83,7890	<u>Link</u>
Change.org	1 June 22	ULEZ Proposed expansion upto M25 Started	483	<u>Link</u>
Change.org	May 22	Stop the expansion of ULEZ to Greater London Started	2,616	<u>Link</u>
Change.org	June 22	Stop the ULEZ Expansion to include the whole of Greater London by 2023	4,556	<u>Link</u>
Louie French MP	3 May 22	Stop Sadiq Khan's plans to expand ULEZ to Old Bexley and Sidcup.	Unknown	<u>Link</u>
GLA Conservatives	June 22	Say NO to Sadiq Khan's London Wide ULEZ Sign the petition to stop the ULEZ expansion.	Unknown	<u>Link</u>

Source	Start date		Signatures 28 July	Link
Elliot Colburn MP	May 22	Stop Ulez	Over 2,500 (according to twitter page)	<u>Link</u>
Gareth Bacon MP	May 22	Stop ULEZ to Orpington	11,736	<u>Link</u>
Merton Conservatives	June 22	Say NO to Sadiq Khan's London Wide ULEZ!	Unknown	<u>Link</u>

Please note, we cannot find the number of response to some of the petitions without signing them.

Campaigns

Known campaigns (5)

Source	Headline	Notes/ activity	Link
London Cycling Campaign	Email sent to Members asking them to respond to consultation Positive intentions	1,577	Link
Possible.org	Social media campaign Email responses sent to TfL answering specific questions from the consultation questionnaire and with respondent specific details. Respondents support the proposals	4,324	<u>Link</u>
Action Network	Social media campaign Email responses sent to TfL answering specific questions from the consultation questionnaire and with respondent specific details. Respondents support the proposals	701	<u>Link</u>
Living Streets	Copy and paste email campaign Email sent to members with text to support email to TfL The same text is on all emails	542	<u>Link</u>
Fairfuel.com and British Drivers	Copy and paste email campaign Campaign email to stop the ULEZ extending further in and around London. The same text is on all emails	4,725	https://fairfu eluk.eaction. org.uk/Fight- ULEZs

How we process campaign responses

LCC, Possible.com and Action Network all organised campaigns that encouraged people to respond to the consultation with an email answering a selection of closed questions from the consultation questionnaire. Emails also included respondent specific information e.g. postcode, location (in / out of existing ULEZ), frequency of driving in Greater London etc.

Responses typically also contained the same statement of support for the ULEZ expansion proposal, but in some instances, respondents also chose to add additional feedback to this statement.

As specific responses to consultation questions were given in these emails, this data has already been processed and reflected in the headline data shown on pages 4-14.

These email responses <u>are</u> included in the headline consultation response figure of 52,492.

Living Streets, Fairfuel.com and British Driver campaigns encouraged respondents to send the same blanket statement to TfL. Some respondents may also have chosen to add to the statement with additional feedback and views.

However, no consultation questions were answered in the email and they did not contain respondent specific information e.g. postcode, location (in / out of existing ULEZ), frequency of driving in Greater London etc.

To ensure the key themes of these campaign responses are reflected in the consultation analysis, the blanket statements contained in these emails will be added by AECOM as a response to our ULEZ open question (question 13 in the consultation questionnaire). This action will be undertaken post consultation.

For the above reason these email campaign responses are <u>not</u> included in the headline consultation response figure of 52,492. They will be reflected in the overall consultation analysis when AECOM produce their consultation analysis report.

Headlines from online survey.

Q1. How concerned are you about air quality where you live?

	Actual Number	Percentage
Very Concerned	13,690	28.8
Concerned	11,874	25
No opinion	4.684	9.9
Unconcerned	12,097	25.5
Very unconcerned	4,888	10.3
Don't know	229	Less than 1

Q2. Does your vehicle(s) meet the emission standards required to drive in London without paying the ULEZ charge?

	Actual Number	Percentage
Yes – my vehicle meets the standards	14,189	34.4
Yes – I have more than one vehicle, all of which meet the standards	2,170	5.3
No – my vehicle doesn't meet the standards	12,270	29.8
No – I have more than one vehicle, one or more of which do not meet the standards	7,174	17.4
I don't know	826	2
I don't own a vehicle	4,610	11.2

Q3. Are you registered for a discount or entitled to an exemption for the current ULEZ?

	Actual Number	Percentage
Yes	726	1.8
No	37,380	90.8
I don't know	3,043	7.4

Q4 If yes, please indicate the relevant discount or exemption. (please tick all that apply)

	Actual Number
Vehicles for disabled people (with 'disabled' or 'disabled passenger vehicle' tax class)	311
Minibuses used for community transport registered for discount	7
Wheelchair-accessible private hire vehicles	5
Taxis	44
Historic vehicles	87
Showman's vehicles registered for discount	7
Other	256
Other exempt vehicles	7

Q5 Have you claimed a reimbursement of the ULEZ charge under the NHS patient reimbursement scheme?

	Actual Number	Percentage
Yes	76	Less than 1
No	40,402	98.6
I don't know	516	1.3

Q6 How important do you consider it is to continue to have these existing discounts and exemptions and reimbursements for the ULEZ $\,$

	Actual Number	Percentage
Very important	19,024	45.6
important	8,341	20
No opinion	7,355	17.6
Unimportant	2,204	5.3
Very unimportant	2,146	5.1
Don't know	2,665	6.4

Q7. Do you think we should provide any further discounts, exemptions or reimbursements for the ULEZ?

	Actual Number	Percentage
Yes	24,958	54.6
No	12,707	27.8
Don't know	8,043	17.6

Q8. We are proposing to expand the ULEZ London-wide on 29 August 2023. What do you think of the implementation date?

	Actual Number	Percentage
It should be earlier	5,553	11.7
It is the right date	9,567	20.1
It should be later	3,613	7.6
It should not be implemented at all	28,237	59.4
Don't know	532	1.1

Q9. How important is it that the proposed expansion of the ULEZ is supported by a scrappage scheme?

	Actual Number	Percentage
Very important	24,904	54.3
Important	6,443	14
No opinion	4,805	10.5
Unimportant	3,357	7.3
Very unimportant	4,508	9.8
Don't know	1,876	4.1

Q10. Do you consider the proposed PCN level of £180 is?

	Actual Number	Percentage
Sufficient to act as an effective deterrent	11,161	24.3
Not high enough to act as an effective deterrent	2,951	6.4
Too high	29,743	64.8
Don't know	726	1.6
No opinion	1,313	2.9

Q11. How important is it that we remove the annual £10 Auto Pay administration fee per vehicle (for the ULEZ, the Low Emission Zone (LEZ), and the Congestion Charge)?

	Actual Number	Percentage
Very important	22,817	55.3
important	5,427	13.1
No opinion	6,149	14.9
Unimportant	2,593	6.3
Very unimportant	1,988	4.8
Don't know	2,301	5.6

Q12. How concerned are you about use of your data and the installation of more Automatic Number-Plate Recognition (ANPR) cameras to collect information on vehicle movements to enforce an expanded London-wide ULEZ?

	Actual Number	Percentage
Very concerned	18,949	45.9
Concerned	7,211	17.5
No opinion	4,254	10.3
Unconcerned	6,860	16.6
Very unconcerned	3,582	8.7
Don't know	408	1

Q13. If you own a vehicle(s) that is not currently compliant with emission standards and if we proceed with our proposals to expand the ULEZ to outer London, what do you intend to do?

*	Actual Number
Walk or cycle more	2,294
Use public transport more	2,998
Use taxis or private hire vehicles more	1,225
Use a car club	497
Trade the vehicle in for a compliant one	5,672
Get rid of the vehicle	3,783
Pay the charge when I use the vehicle	6,499
Not make journeys I would have done	7,073
I would do something else not listed	6,618
Don't know	8,195

^{*}These figures contain answers from people with compliant vehicles. Aecom are providing a table of what the figures are without the compliant vehicles in. I will not be able to provide the correct data on the question for the weekly update though.

Q14. Please use this space to give us any comments about these proposals or impacts identified as part of the Integrated Impact Assessments. If you have identified any impacts, please let us know any suggestions to mitigate or enhance these.

Comments raised mor than 150 times.

Data to be provided once AECOM have completed their post consultation analysis of results.

Q15. Please use this space to give us any comments about the proposed revision to the Mayor's Transport Strategy.

Data to be provided once AECOM have completed their post consultation analysis of results.

Q16. How important is it to you that we take further steps to tackle air pollution in London?

	Actual Number	Percentage
Very important	17,144	36.5
Important	12,436	26.5
No opinion	5,269	11.2
Unimportant	6,669	14.2
Very unimportant	4,991	10.6
Don't know	500	1.1

Q17. How important to you is it that we take further steps to tackle the climate emergency by reducing emissions in London?

	Actual Number	Percentage
Very important	17,022	36.3
Important	11,150	23.8
No opinion	5,388	11.5
Unimportant	6,883	14.7
Very unimportant	6,005	12.8
Don't know	467	1

Q18. How important to you is it that we take further steps to tackle traffic congestion in London?

	Actual Number	Percentage
Very important	16,786	35.8
Important	11,933	25.4
No opinion	6,055	12.9
Unimportant	7,325	15.6
Very unimportant	4,457	9.5
Don't know	377	Less than 1

Q19. How important to you is it that we take further steps to improve the health of Londoners and address health inequality in London?

	Actual Number	Percentage
Very important	17,870	38.1
Important	12,858	27.4
No opinion	7,527	16.1
Unimportant	4,423	9.4
Very unimportant	3,624	7.7
Don't know	546	1.2

Q20. If we were to develop a future road user charging scheme to replace our existing schemes, how important is it for the new scheme to address the following challenges?

important is it is	Very	Important	No	Unimportant	Very	Don't
Taakla air	Important	11 717	opinion	6.002	unimportant	know
Tackle air	16,671	11,747	5,951	6,093	5,159	798
pollution	40.0=0	40 -04		0.04=		
Tackle the	16,372	10,581	6,081	6,347	6,170	738
climate						
emergency by						
reducing						
emissions						
Tackle traffic	15,608	13,327	6,420	6,038	4,259	597
congestion						
Improve health	16,656	12,991	7,438	4,279	3,945	683
and well-being						
Provide more	16,115	7,143	5,297	7,810	9,474	499
space for walking						
and cycling						
Improve bus	20,506	12,339	5,391	3,925	3,747	446
journey times and	•	•	ŕ	,	,	
reliability						
Improve journey	14,256	11,867	10,300	4,864	3,899	1,103
times and	,	,	,	,	ŕ	,
reliability for						
freight and						
servicing trips						
Make roads safer	20,245	14,672	5,618	2,634	2,645	458
for everyone		.,	-,	_,	_,_,	

21. If we develop a future road user charging scheme to replace existing schemes, what elements should be considered? (please select all that apply)

	Actual Number
The distance driven	20,070
The time of day	21,339
The type of vehicle (for example car, van, Heavy Goods Vehicle)	23,125
How polluting the vehicle is	22,360
Where the vehicle is driven in London	19,346
The alternatives available for walking, cycling or public transport	16,259
Household income	20,312
Ability to choose between daily charges and pay as you go	14,813
The number of journeys driven each day, week, or month	18,354
Other costs of driving (fuel duty and Vehicle Excise Duty)	20,868

Q22. Please use this space to give us any comments or suggestions you have about shaping the future of road user charging in London. We are not expecting any updated information from these tables until after the consultation has closed

Data to be provided once AECOM have completed their post consultation analysis of results.

About you

Q23. Are you a resident:

	Actual Number	Percentage
In outer London	28,132	65.7
In the current inner London ULEZ	8,723	20.4
Neither of the above	5,488	12.8
Don't know	450	1.1

Q24 Post code

Data to be provided once AECOM have completed their post consultation analysis of results.

Q25. Are you?

	Actual Number
An owner of a business in the current inner London ULEZ (the area within the North and South Circular)	1,588
A business owner in outer London	3,747
Employed in the current inner London ULEZ	14,081
Employed in outer London	11,904
A visitor to Greater London	4,290
A London licensed taxi (black cab) driver	168
A London licensed private hire vehicle driver	120
None of the above but interested in the proposals	9,597

Q26. How often do you drive in Greater London?

	Actual Number	Percentage
Every day	8,236	18.7
5-6 days a week	5,119	11.6
3-4 days a week	6,152	14
1-2 days a week	6,336	14.4
1-3 times a month	5,325	12.1
Less than once a month	5,592	12.7
Never	7,242	16.5

Emerging themes

The following is guide based on sample comments and comments made by email. Once a code frame is developed by sampling the online comments, we will gain a clearer idea of emerging themes in survey responses.

Frequent comments in email samples and during phone calls remain unchanged with a negative sentiment.

- Some people understand the need to improve air quality but do not agree with ULEZ expansion in 2023
- Disabled people should be exempt from charges
- General cost of living, won't be able to afford to change car or pay charge