GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY

REQUEST FOR ASSISTANT DIRECTOR DECISION – ADD326

Title: London City Airport

Executive Summary:

The GLA decided to pursue acquiring/securing the rights over the Dock bed of Royal Albert Dock from the Royal Docks Management Company (RoDMA) for the proposed expansion of City Airport. A specialist property consultant was appointed to assist the GLA with the negotiations to dispose of the land to City Airport.

The negotiations were initially put on hold until City Airport secured a resolution to grant planning for the development from the London Borough of Newham (LBN). LBN resolved to grant planning permission for the physical works for the proposed expansion required on the 3 February 2015 and duly referred the application to the Mayor on the 13 March 2015 to decide if he wished to take over the application for his own determination, direct the Council to refuse it, or allow LBN to determine the application itself.

Negotiations were however aborted when the Mayor decided on the 26 March 2015 to direct LBN to refuse the application as it was contrary to the London Plan and did not adequately mitigate and manage its adverse noise impacts.

Decision:

The Assistant Director approves expenditure of \pounds 15,000 plus VAT on the abortive consultancy fee related to the proposed acquisition of the Dock bed of the Royal Albert Dock.

AUTHORISING ASSISTANT DIRECTOR/HEAD OF UNIT:

I have reviewed the request and am satisfied it is correct and consistent with the Mayor's plans and priorities.

It has my approval.

Name: Simon Powell

Position: Assistant Director Strategic Projects & Property

Signature:

Date:

PART I - NON-CONFIDENTIAL FACTS AND ADVICE Decision required – supporting report

1. Introduction and background

- 1.1 London City Airport submitted a planning application to increase the maximum number of passengers passing through the airport a year to 6 million. This would require the expansion of the runway apron to provide additional space for larger planes.
- 1.2 In February the London Borough of Newham's Planning Committee resolved to grant planning permission for the development of the Airport as well as a 260 bed hotel.
- 1.3 The application was referred to the Mayor of London for a final decision on 13 March 2015. After careful consideration the Mayor decided not to approve the applications as it was contrary to the London Plan and did not adequately mitigate and manage its adverse noise impacts.

2. Objectives and expected outcomes

2.1 Agree terms for the disposal under a long lease of land above the dock bed. The disposal would only proceed if the Mayor of London supportive the proposal.

3. Equality comments

3.1 There are no equality implications. The disposal of the land did not proceed and therefore none of the objectives or expected outcomes were achieved.

4. Other considerations

Key Risks and issues.

Key Risks	Mitigation Strategy
City Airport may take the decision to appeal the decision.	None require for housing & land. If successful take instructions from the Mayor on whether to re-start negotiations with City Airport
Additional budget required.	Final account agreed. Any additional budget requirement will be subject to a separate approval.

5. Financial comments

5.1 The consultancy fee for feasibility work around the expansion to City Airport was funded from the 2014/15 Land and Property budget. It was included in the 2015/16 budget workings with an estimated requirement of £47,000 across 2014/15 and 2015/16.

6. Planned delivery approach and next steps

Not required. Negotiations aborted.

Appendices and supporting papers:

None

Public access to information

Information in this form (Part 1) is subject to the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOI Act) and will be made available on the GLA website within one working day of approval.

If immediate publication risks compromising the implementation of the decision (for example, to complete a procurement process), it can be deferred until a specific date. Deferral periods should be kept to the shortest length strictly necessary.

Note: This form (Part 1) will either be published within one working day after approval <u>or</u> on the defer date.

Part 1 Deferral:

Is the publication of Part 1 of this approval to be deferred? NO If YES, for what reason:

Until what date: (a date is required if deferring)

Part 2 Confidentiality: Only the facts or advice considered to be exempt from disclosure under the FOI Act should be in the separate Part 2 form, together with the legal rationale for non-publication.

Is there a part 2 form – NO

ORIGINATING OFFICER DECLARATION:	Drafting officer to confirm the following (\checkmark)
Drafting officer: <u>Paul Guest</u> has drafted this report in accordance with GLA procedures and confirms that the <u>Finance</u> team have commented on this proposal as required, and this decision reflects their comments.	✓

HEAD OF GOVERNANCE AND RESILIENCE:

I confirm that financial implications have been appropriately considered in the preparation of this report.

Signature:

Date: